

Research Article

Common Fixed Point Theorem in Partially Ordered \mathcal{L} -Fuzzy Metric Spaces

S. Shakeri,¹ L. J. B. Ćirić,² and R. Saadati³

¹ Young Research Club, Islamic Azad University-Ayatollah Amoli Branch, P.O. Box 678, Amol, Iran

² Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Kraljice Marije 16, 11 000 Belgrade, Serbia

³ Faculty of Sciences, Islamic Azad University-Ayatollah Amoli Branch, P.O. Box 678, Amol, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to R. Saadati, rsaadati@eml.cc

Received 29 October 2009; Accepted 27 January 2010

Academic Editor: Juan Jose Nieto

Copyright © 2010 S. Shakeri et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce partially ordered \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric spaces and prove a common fixed point theorem in these spaces.

1. Introduction

The Banach fixed point theorem for contraction mappings has been generalized and extended in many directions [1–43]. Recently Nieto and Rodríguez-López [27–29] and Ran and Reurings [33] presented some new results for contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. The main idea in [27–33] involves combining the ideas of iterative technique in the contraction mapping principle with those in the monotone technique.

Recall that if (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set and $F : X \rightarrow X$ is such that for $x, y \in X$, $x \leq y$ implies $F(x) \leq F(y)$, then a mapping F is said to be nondecreasing. The main result of Nieto and Rodríguez-López [27–33] and Ran and Reurings [33] is the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Suppose that F is a nondecreasing mapping with*

$$d(F(x), F(y)) \leq kd(x, y) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x \leq y$, where $0 < k < 1$. Also suppose the following.

- (a) F is continuous.
 (b) If $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a nondecreasing sequence with $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X ,

then $x_n \leq x$ for all n hold.

If there exists an $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \leq F(x_0)$, then F has a fixed point.

The works of Nieto and Rodríguez-López [27, 28] and Ran and Reurings [33] have motivated Agarwal et al. [1], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [3], and Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [23] to undertake further investigation of fixed points in the area of ordered metric spaces. We prove the existence and approximation results for a wide class of contractive mappings in intuitionistic metric space. Our results are an extension and improvement of the results of Nieto and Rodríguez-López [27, 28] and Ran and Reurings [33] to more general class of contractive type mappings and include several recent developments.

2. Preliminaries

The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [44]. Various concepts of fuzzy metric spaces were considered in [15, 16, 22, 45]. Many authors have studied fixed point theory in fuzzy metric spaces; see, for example, [7, 8, 25, 26, 39, 46–48]. In the sequel, we will adopt the usual terminology, notation, and conventions of \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric spaces introduced by Saadati et al. [36] which are a generalization of fuzzy metric spaces [49] and intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces [32, 37].

Definition 2.1 (see [46]). Let $\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq_L)$ be a complete lattice, and U a nonempty set called a universe. An \mathcal{L} -fuzzy set \mathcal{A} on U is defined as a mapping $\mathcal{A} : U \rightarrow L$. For each u in U , $\mathcal{A}(u)$ represents the degree (in L) to which u satisfies \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 2.2 (see [13, 14]). Consider the set L^* and the operation \leq_{L^*} defined by

$$L^* = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) : (x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1]^2, x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$(x_1, x_2) \leq_{L^*} (y_1, y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 \leq y_1$, and $x_2 \geq y_2$, for every $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in L^*$. Then (L^*, \leq_{L^*}) is a complete lattice.

Classically, a triangular norm T on $([0, 1], \leq)$ is defined as an increasing, commutative, associative mapping $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying $T(1, x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$. These definitions can be straightforwardly extended to any lattice $\mathcal{L} = (L, \leq_L)$. Define first $0_{\mathcal{L}} = \inf L$ and $1_{\mathcal{L}} = \sup L$.

Definition 2.3. A negation on \mathcal{L} is any strictly decreasing mapping $\mathcal{N} : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying $\mathcal{N}(0_{\mathcal{L}}) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{N}(1_{\mathcal{L}}) = 0_{\mathcal{L}}$. If $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}(x)) = x$, for all $x \in L$, then \mathcal{N} is called an involutive negation.

In this paper the negation $\mathcal{N} : L \rightarrow L$ is fixed.

Definition 2.4. A triangular norm (t -norm) on \mathcal{L} is a mapping $\mathcal{T} : L^2 \rightarrow L$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) (for all $x \in L$) $(\mathcal{T}(x, 1_{\mathcal{L}}) = x)$ (boundary condition);

- (ii) (for all $(x, y) \in L^2$) $(\mathcal{T}(x, y) = \mathcal{T}(y, x))$ (commutativity);
- (iii) (for all $(x, y, z) \in L^3$) $(\mathcal{T}(x, \mathcal{T}(y, z)) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}(x, y), z))$ (associativity);
- (iv) (for all $(x, x', y, y') \in L^4$) $(x \leq_L x'$ and $y \leq_L y' \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}(x, y) \leq_L \mathcal{T}(x', y'))$ (monotonicity).

A t -norm \mathcal{T} on \mathcal{L} is said to be continuous if for any $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$ and any sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ which converge to x and y we have

$$\lim_n \mathcal{T}(x_n, y_n) = \mathcal{T}(x, y). \quad (2.2)$$

For example, $\mathcal{T}(x, y) = \min(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{T}(x, y) = xy$ are two continuous t -norms on $[0, 1]$. A t -norm can also be defined recursively as an $(n + 1)$ -ary operation ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) by $\mathcal{T}^1 = \mathcal{T}$ and

$$\mathcal{T}^n(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}^{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}) \quad (2.3)$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $x_i \in L$.

A t -norm \mathcal{T} is said to be of *Hadžić type* if the family $\{\mathcal{T}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at $x = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$, that is,

$$\forall \varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\} \exists \delta \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\} : a >_L \mathcal{N}(\delta) \implies \mathcal{T}^n(a) >_L \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (2.4)$$

\mathcal{T}_M is a trivial example of a t -norm of Hadžić type, but there exist t -norms of Hadžić type weaker than \mathcal{T}_M [50] where

$$\mathcal{T}_M(x, y) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \leq_L y, \\ y, & \text{if } y \leq_L x. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Definition 2.5. The 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (nonempty) set, \mathcal{T} is a continuous t -norm on \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy set on $X^2 \times]0, +\infty[$ satisfying the following conditions for every x, y, z in X and t, s in $]0, +\infty[$:

- (a) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L 0_{\mathcal{L}}$;
- (b) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (c) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, x, t)$;
- (d) $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, t), \mathcal{M}(y, z, s)) \leq_L \mathcal{M}(x, z, t + s)$;
- (e) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot) :]0, \infty[\rightarrow L$ is continuous.

If the \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ satisfies the condition:

$$(f) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}, \quad (2.6)$$

then $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be *Menger \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space* or for short a **M \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space**.

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. For $t \in]0, +\infty[$, we define the *open ball* $B(x, r, t)$ with center $x \in X$ and radius $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$, as

$$B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(r)\}. \quad (2.7)$$

A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called *open* if for each $x \in A$, there exist $t > 0$ and $r \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ such that $B(x, r, t) \subseteq A$. Let $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the family of all open subsets of X . Then $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ is called the *topology induced by the \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric \mathcal{M}* .

Example 2.6 (see [38]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote $\mathcal{T}(a, b) = (a_1 b_1, \min(a_2 + b_2, 1))$ for all $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in L^* and let M and N be fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x, y, t) = (M(x, y, t), N(x, y, t)) = \left(\frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, y)}{t + d(x, y)} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, \mathcal{T})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Example 2.7. Let $X = \mathbb{N}$. Define $\mathcal{T}(a, b) = (\max(0, a_1 + b_1 - 1), a_2 + b_2 - a_2 b_2)$ for all $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in L^* , and let $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y-x}{y} \right) & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ \left(\frac{y}{x}, \frac{x-y}{x} \right) & \text{if } y \leq x \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ is an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space.

Lemma 2.8 (see [49]). *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. Then, $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ is nondecreasing with respect to t , for all x, y in X .*

Definition 2.9. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ is called a *Cauchy sequence*, if for each $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ and $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq n \geq n_0$ ($n \geq m \geq n_0$),

$$\mathcal{M}(x_m, x_n, t) >_L \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon). \quad (2.10)$$

The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be *convergent* to $x \in X$ in the \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ (denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}} x$) if $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, x_n, t) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ whenever $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for every $t > 0$. A \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space is said to be *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 2.10. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. \mathcal{M} is said to be continuous on $X \times X \times]0, \infty[$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, y_n, t_n) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \quad (2.11)$$

whenever a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n, t_n)\}$ in $X \times X \times]0, \infty[$ converges to a point $(x, y, t) \in X \times X \times]0, \infty[$, that is, $\lim_n \mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) = \lim_n \mathcal{M}(y_n, y, t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\lim_n \mathcal{M}(x, y, t_n) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ be an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric space. Then \mathcal{M} is continuous function on $X \times X \times]0, \infty[$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that for fuzzy spaces (see [35, Proposition 1]). \square

Lemma 2.12. *If an \mathbf{ML} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ satisfies the following condition:*

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = C, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.12)$$

then one has $C = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $x = y$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = C$ for all $t > 0$. Then by (f) of Definition 2.5, we have $C = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ and by (b) of Definition 2.5, we conclude that $x = y$. \square

Lemma 2.13 (see [50]). *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ be an \mathbf{ML} -fuzzy metric space in which \mathcal{T} is Hadžić' type. Suppose*

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{k^n}\right) \quad (2.13)$$

for some $0 < k < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

3. Main Results

Definition 3.1. Suppose that (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set and $F, h : X \rightarrow X$ are mappings of X into itself. We say that F is h -nondecreasing if for $x, y \in X$,

$$h(x) \leq h(y) \quad \text{implies} \quad F(x) \leq F(y). \quad (3.1)$$

Now we present the main result in this paper.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric \mathcal{M} on X such that $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ is a complete \mathbf{ML} -fuzzy metric space in which \mathcal{T} is Hadžić' type. Let $F, h : X \rightarrow X$ be two self-mappings of X such that there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$F(X) \subseteq h(X)$, F is a h -nondecreasing mapping and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(F(x), F(y), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ & \mathcal{M}(h(x), h(y), t), \mathcal{M}(h(x), F(x), t), \mathcal{M}(h(y), F(y), t), \\ & \mathcal{M}(h(x), F(y), (1+q)t), \mathcal{M}(h(y), F(x), (1-q)t) \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for which $h(x) \leq h(y)$ and all $t > 0$.

Also suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } \{h(x_n)\} \subset X \text{ is a nondecreasing sequence with } h(x_n) \longrightarrow h(z) \text{ in } h(X), \\ \text{then } h(z) \leq h(h(z)) \text{ and } h(x_n) \leq h(z) \quad \forall n \text{ hold.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Also suppose that $h(X)$ is closed. If there exists an $x_0 \in X$ with $h(x_0) \leq F(x_0)$, then F and h have a coincidence. Further, if F and h commute at their coincidence points, then F and h have a common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $h(x_0) \leq F(x_0)$. Since $F(X) \subseteq h(X)$, we can choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $h(x_1) = F(x_0)$. Again from $F(X) \subseteq h(X)$ we can choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $h(x_2) = F(x_1)$. Continuing this process we can choose a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$h(x_{n+1}) = F(x_n) \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Since $h(x_0) \leq F(x_0)$ and $h(x_1) = F(x_0)$, we have $h(x_0) \leq h(x_1)$. Then from (3.1),

$$F(x_0) \leq F(x_1), \quad (3.5)$$

that is, by (3.4), $h(x_1) \leq h(x_2)$. Again from (3.1),

$$F(x_1) \leq F(x_2), \quad (3.6)$$

that is, $h(x_2) \leq h(x_3)$. Continuing we obtain

$$F(x_0) \leq F(x_1) \leq F(x_2) \leq F(x_3) \leq \cdots \leq F(x_n) \leq F(x_{n+1}) \leq \cdots. \quad (3.7)$$

Now we will show that a sequence $\{\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t)\}$ converges to $1_{\mathcal{L}}$ for each $t > 0$. If $\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ for some n and for each $t > 0$, then it is easily to show that $\mathcal{M}(F(x_{n+k}), F(x_{n+k+1}), t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ for all $k \geq 0$. So we suppose that $\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t) <_L 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ for all n . We show that for each $t > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), t) \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (3.8)$$

Since from (3.4) and (3.7) we have $h(x_{n-1}) \leq h(x_n)$, from (3.1) with $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ & \mathcal{M}(h(x_n), h(x_{n+1}), t), \mathcal{M}(h(x_n), F(x_n), t), \mathcal{M}(h(x_{n+1}), F(x_{n+1}), t), \\ & \mathcal{M}(h(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), (1+q)t), \mathcal{M}(h(x_{n+1}), F(x_n), (1-q)t) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

So by (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ & \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), t), \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), t), \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t), \\ & \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_{n+1}), (1+q)t), 1_\mathcal{L} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since by (d) of Definition 2.5

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_{n+1}), (1+q)t) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), t), \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), qt) \}, \quad (3.11)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ & \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), t), \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t), \\ & \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), qt) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

As t -norm is continuous, letting $q \rightarrow 1_\mathcal{L}$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), t), \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t) \}. \quad (3.13)$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), \frac{1}{k}t\right), \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), \frac{1}{k}t\right) \right\}. \quad (3.14)$$

By repeating the above inequality, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \left\{ \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), \frac{1}{k}t\right), \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), \frac{1}{k^p}t\right) \right\}. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), (1/k^p)t) \rightarrow 1_\mathcal{L}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_{n-1}), F(x_n), \frac{1}{k}t\right). \quad (3.16)$$

Thus we proved (3.7). By repeating the above inequality (3.7), we get

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_0), F(x_1), \frac{1}{k^n}t\right). \quad (3.17)$$

Since $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $k < 1$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.17) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), t) = 1_{\mathcal{L}} \quad \text{for each } t > 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Now we will prove that $\{F(x_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which means that for every $\delta > 0$ and $\epsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ there exists $n(\delta, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+p}), \delta) >_L \mathcal{N}(\epsilon) \quad \text{for every } n \geq n(\delta, \epsilon) \text{ and every } p \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.19)$$

Let $\epsilon \in L \setminus \{0_{\mathcal{L}}, 1_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ and $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. For any $p \geq 1$ we have

$$\delta = \delta(1-k)(1+k+\dots+k^p+\dots) > \delta(1-k)\left(1+k+\dots+k^{p-1}\right). \quad (3.20)$$

Since $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ is nondecreasing with respect to t , for all x, y in X ,

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+p}), \delta) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_n), F(x_{n+p}), \delta(1-k)\left(1+k^n+\dots+k^{p-1}\right)\right) \quad (3.21)$$

and hence, by (d) of Definition 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+p}), \delta) \geq_L \mathcal{C}_M^{p-2} \{ & \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), (1-k)\delta), \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n+1}), F(x_{n+2}), (1-k)\delta k) \\ & \dots, \mathcal{M}(F(x_{n+p-1}), F(x_{n+p}), (1-k)\delta k^{p-1}) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

From (3.17) it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_{n+i}), F(x_{n+i+1}), t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), \frac{t}{k^i}\right) \quad \text{for each } i \geq_L 1_{\mathcal{L}}. \quad (3.23)$$

From (3.23) with $t = (1-k)\delta k^i$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}\left(F(x_{n+i}), F(x_{n+i+1}), (1-k)\delta k^i\right) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), (1-k)\delta). \quad (3.24)$$

Thus by (3.22),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+p}), \delta) \geq_L \mathcal{C}_M^n \{ & \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), (1-k)\delta), \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), (1-k)\delta) \\ & \dots, \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), (1-k)\delta) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Hence we get

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+p}), \delta) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+1}), (1-k)\delta). \quad (3.26)$$

From (3.26) and (3.17),

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+p}), \delta) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(F(x_0), F(x_1), \frac{(1-k)\delta}{k^n}\right). \quad (3.27)$$

Hence we conclude, as $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \rightarrow 1_\mathcal{L}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $k < 1$, that there exists $n(\delta, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(x_{n+p}), \delta) >_L \mathcal{N}(\epsilon) \quad \text{for every } n \geq n(\delta, \epsilon) \text{ and every } p \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.28)$$

Thus we proved that $\{F(x_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since $h(X)$ is closed and as $F(x_n) = h(x_{n+1})$, there is some $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_n) = h(z). \quad (3.29)$$

Now we show that z is a coincidence of F and h . Since from (3.3) and (3.29) we have $h(x_n) \leq h(z)$ for all n , then from (3.2) and by (d) of Definition 2.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(F(x_n), F(z), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ & \mathcal{M}(h(x_n), h(z), t), \mathcal{M}(h(x_n), F(x_n), t), \mathcal{M}(h(z), F(z), t), \\ & \mathcal{M}(h(x_n), F(z), (1+q)t), \mathcal{M}(h(z), F(x_n), (1-q)t) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(h(z), F(z), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ & \mathcal{M}(h(z), h(z), t), \mathcal{M}(h(z), h(z), t), \mathcal{M}(h(z), F(z), t), \\ & \mathcal{M}(h(z), F(z), (1+q)t), \mathcal{M}(h(z), h(z), (1-q)t) \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

for all $t > 0$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}(h(z), F(z), t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(h(z), F(z), \frac{1}{k}t\right). \quad (3.32)$$

Hence we get

$$\mathcal{M}(h(z), F(z), t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(h(z), F(z), \frac{1}{k^n}t\right) \rightarrow 1_\mathcal{L} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (3.33)$$

Hence we conclude that $\mathcal{M}(h(z), F(z), t) = 1_\mathcal{L}$ for all $t > 0$. Then by (b) of Definition 2.5 we have $F(z) = h(z)$. Thus we proved that F and h have a coincidence.

Suppose now that F and h commute at z . Set $w = h(z) = F(z)$. Then

$$F(w) = F(h(z)) = h(F(z)) = h(w). \quad (3.34)$$

Since from (3.3) we have $h(z) \leq h(h(z)) = h(w)$ and as $h(z) = F(z)$ and $h(w) = F(w)$, from (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(w, F(w), kt) &= \mathcal{M}(F(z), F(w), kt) \\ &\geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ \mathcal{M}(h(z), h(w), t), \mathcal{M}(h(z), F(z), t), \mathcal{M}(h(w), F(w), t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(h(w), F(z), (1+q)t), \mathcal{M}(h(z), F(w), (1-q)t) \} \\ &= \mathcal{M}(F(z), F(w), (1-q)t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Letting $q \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\mathcal{M}(F(z), F(w), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{M}(F(z), F(w), t). \quad (3.36)$$

Hence, similarly as above, we get

$$\mathcal{M}(F(z), F(w), t) \geq_L \mathcal{M}\left(F(z), F(w), \frac{1}{k^n}t\right) \rightarrow 1_L \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (3.37)$$

Hence we conclude that $F(w) = F(z)$. Since $F(z) = h(z) = w$, we have

$$F(w) = h(w) = w. \quad (3.38)$$

Thus we proved that F and h have a common fixed point. \square

Remark 3.3. Note that F is h -nondecreasing and can be replaced by F which is h -non-increasing in Theorem 3.2 provided that $h(x_0) \leq F(x_0)$ is replaced by $F(x_0) \geq h(x_0)$ in Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric \mathcal{M} on X such that $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ is a complete $\mathbf{M}\mathcal{L}$ -fuzzy metric space in which \mathcal{T} is Hadžić' type. Let $F : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing self-mappings of X such that there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(F(x), F(y), kt) &\geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, F(x), t), \mathcal{M}(y, F(y), t), \\ &\quad \mathcal{M}(x, F(y), (1+q)t), \mathcal{M}(y, F(x), (1-q)t) \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for which $x \leq y$ and all $t > 0$. Also suppose the following.

- (i) If $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a nondecreasing sequence with $x_n \rightarrow z$ in X , then $x_n \leq z$ for all n hold.
- (ii) F is continuous.

If there exists an $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \leq F(x_0)$, then F has a fixed point.

Proof. Taking $h = I$ (I = the identity mapping) in Theorem 3.2, then (3.3) reduces to the hypothesis (i).

Suppose now that F is continuous. Since from (3.4) we have $x_{n+1} = F(x_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and as from (3.29), $x_n \rightarrow z$, then

$$F(z) = F\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = z. \quad (3.40)$$

□

Corollary 3.5. *Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is an \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric \mathcal{M} on X such that $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ is a complete \mathbf{ML} -fuzzy metric space in which \mathcal{T} is Hadžić' type. Let $F : X \rightarrow X$ be a nondecreasing self-mappings of X such that there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(F(x), F(y), kt) \geq_L \mathcal{T}_M \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y, t), \mathcal{M}(x, F(x), t), \mathcal{M}(y, F(y), t) \} \quad (3.41)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for which $x \leq y$ and all $t > 0$. Also suppose the following.

- (i) If $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a nondecreasing sequence with $x_n \rightarrow z$ in X , then $x_n \leq z$ for all n hold.
- (ii) F is continuous.

If there exists an $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \leq F(x_0)$, then F has a fixed point.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by Young research Club, Islamic Azad University-Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Amol, Iran. The authors would like to thank Professor J. J. Nieto for giving useful suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, and D. O'Regan, "Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 87, no. 1, pp. 109–116, 2008.
- [2] I. Iltun and H. Simsek, "Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 621469, 17 pages, 2010.
- [3] T. G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, "Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 1379–1393, 2006.
- [4] T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, and J. Vasundhara Devi, "Monotone iterative technique for functional differential equations with retardation and anticipation," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 2237–2242, 2007.
- [5] A. Björner, "Order-reversing maps and unique fixed points in complete lattices," *Algebra Universalis*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 402–403, 1981.
- [6] Dž. Burgić, S. Kalabušić, and M. R. S. Kulenović, "Global attractivity results for mixed-monotone mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 762478, 17 pages, 2009.
- [7] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho, B. S. Lee, J. S. Jung, and S. M. Kang, "Coincidence point theorems and minimization theorems in fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 119–127, 1997.
- [8] Y. J. Cho, H. K. Pathak, S. M. Kang, and J. S. Jung, "Common fixed points of compatible maps of type β on fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 99–111, 1998.
- [9] L. B. Ćirić, S. N. Ješić, and J. S. Ume, "The existence theorems for fixed and periodic points of nonexpansive mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 781–791, 2008.

- [10] L. B. Ćirić, "A generalization of Banach's contraction principle," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 45, pp. 267–273, 1974.
- [11] L. B. Ćirić, "Coincidence and fixed points for maps on topological spaces," *Topology and Its Applications*, vol. 154, no. 17, pp. 3100–3106, 2007.
- [12] L. B. Ćirić, S. N. Jesić, M. M. Milovanović, and J. S. Ume, "On the steepest descent approximation method for the zeros of generalized accretive operators," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 763–769, 2008.
- [13] G. Deschrijver, C. Cornelis, and E. E. Kerre, "On the representation of intuitionistic fuzzy t-norms and t-conorms," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 45–61, 2004.
- [14] G. Deschrijver and E. E. Kerre, "On the relationship between some extensions of fuzzy set theory," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 133, no. 2, pp. 227–235, 2003.
- [15] Z. Deng, "Fuzzy pseudometric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 74–95, 1982.
- [16] M. A. Erceg, "Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 205–230, 1979.
- [17] D. Qiu, L. Shu, and J. Guan, "Common fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings under Φ -contraction condition," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 360–367, 2009.
- [18] R. Farnoosh, A. Aghajani, and P. Azhdari, "Contraction theorems in fuzzy metric space," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 854–858, 2009.
- [19] M. B. Ghaemi, B. Lafuerza-Guillen, and A. Razani, "A common fixed point for operators in probabilistic normed spaces," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 1361–1366, 2009.
- [20] N. Hussain, "Common fixed points in best approximation for Banach operator pairs with Ćirić type I -contractions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 338, no. 2, pp. 1351–1363, 2008.
- [21] S. N. Ješić and N. A. Babačev, "Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric spaces with nonlinear contractive condition," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 675–687, 2008.
- [22] T. Kamran, "Common fixed points theorems for fuzzy mappings," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1378–1382, 2008.
- [23] V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, "Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 12, pp. 4341–4349, 2009.
- [24] Z. Liu, Z. Guo, S. M. Kang, and S. K. Lee, "On Ćirić type mappings with nonunique fixed and periodic points," *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 399–408, 2006.
- [25] D. Mihet, "A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 144, no. 3, pp. 431–439, 2004.
- [26] E. Pap, O. Hadžić, and R. Mesiar, "A fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric spaces and an application," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 202, no. 2, pp. 433–449, 1996.
- [27] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, "Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations," *Order*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 223–239, 2005.
- [28] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, "Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 2205–2212, 2007.
- [29] J. J. Nieto, R. L. Pouso, and R. Rodríguez-López, "Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 135, no. 8, pp. 2505–2517, 2007.
- [30] D. O'Regan and R. Saadati, "Nonlinear contraction theorems in probabilistic spaces," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 195, no. 1, pp. 86–93, 2008.
- [31] D. O'Regan and A. Petrușel, "Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 2, pp. 1241–1252, 2008.
- [32] J. H. Park, "Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1039–1046, 2004.
- [33] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, "A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 132, no. 5, pp. 1435–1443, 2004.
- [34] R. Rezaian, Y. J. Cho, and R. Saadati, "A common fixed point theorem in Menger probabilistic quasi-metric spaces," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 1153–1157, 2008.

- [35] J. Rodríguez-López and S. Romaguera, "The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 147, no. 2, pp. 273–283, 2004.
- [36] R. Saadati, A. Razani, and H. Adibi, "A common fixed point theorem in \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric spaces," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 358–363, 2007.
- [37] R. Saadati and J. H. Park, "On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 331–344, 2006.
- [38] R. Saadati and J. H. Park, "Intuitionistic fuzzy Euclidean normed spaces," *Communications in Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 85–90, 2006.
- [39] R. Saadati, S. Sedghi, and H. Zhou, "A common fixed point theorem for φ -weakly commuting maps in \mathcal{L} -fuzzy metric spaces," *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 47–53, 2008.
- [40] V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharucha-Reid, "Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces," *Mathematical Systems Theory*, vol. 6, pp. 97–102, 1972.
- [41] S. Sharma and B. Deshpande, "Common fixed point theorems for finite number of mappings without continuity and compatibility on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 2242–2256, 2009.
- [42] S. L. Singh and S. N. Mishra, "On a Ljubomir Ćirić fixed point theorem for nonexpansive type maps with applications," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 531–542, 2002.
- [43] R. Vasuki, "A common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 395–397, 1998.
- [44] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Computation*, vol. 8, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [45] I. Kramosil and J. Michálek, "Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces," *Kybernetika*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 336–344, 1975.
- [46] J. A. Goguen, " \mathcal{L} -fuzzy sets," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 18, pp. 145–174, 1967.
- [47] V. Gregori and A. Sapena, "On fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 125, no. 2, pp. 245–252, 2002.
- [48] S. B. Hosseini, D. O'Regan, and R. Saadati, "Some results on intuitionistic fuzzy spaces," *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 53–64, 2007.
- [49] A. George and P. Veeramani, "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 395–399, 1994.
- [50] O. Hadžić and E. Pap, *Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, vol. 536 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.