Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
Vol. 1 (1978) 63-68

ON TUCKER'S KEY THEOREM

ABRAHAM BERMAN and MICHAEL TARSY

Department of Mathematics
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
Technion, Haifa, Israel

(Received August 12, 1976 and in final revised form November 11, 1977)

ABSTRACT. A new proof of a (slightly extended) geometric version of Tucker's fundamental result is given.

1. INTRODUCTION.

A classical result of A. W. Tucker (9) states that the dual systems

$$Ax = 0, \quad x \geqq 0$$

and

$$A^T y = 0$$

have solutions x^o and y^o such that $A^T y^o + x^o$ is positive.

In this note we suggest a new proof of a (slightly extended) geometric version of this fundamental result, which was observed, e.g. (6), to be a key to duality theory.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

We use L and S to denote convex cones in C^n, i.e., subsets of the n-dimensional unitarian space which are closed under addition and under multiplication by a nonnegative scalar. For a nonempty set $T \subseteq C^n$, T^* denotes the closed convex cone $\{x \in C^n;\ Re(x,T) \geqq 0\}$.

We shall make use of the following identities:

$$K^* = (c\ell K)^*, \tag{2.1}$$

$$K^{**} = c\ell K, \tag{2.2}$$

$$(K_1 + K_2)^* = K_1^* \cap K_2^*, \tag{2.3}$$

satisfied by the convex cones K, K_1 and K_2. For these and other basic results on convex cones the reader is referred to (2) and (4).

Consider the following intersection.

$$I(L,S) = S\cap\ S^*\cap(L\cap S)^*\cap(-L\cap S)^*)\cap(L-S).$$

The proof of the main result is based on the fact that this intersection consists only of the origin.

<u>LEMMA</u>. $I(L,S) = \{0\}$.

<u>PROOF</u>. Let $x \in I(L,S)$. Then $x \in L - S$ and there exists an $s \in S$ such that $x + s \in L$.

Now, $x \in S \Rightarrow x + s \in S \Rightarrow x + s \in L \cap S$.

On the other hand, $x \in (L\cap S)^*\cap(-(L\cap S)^*$.

Thus $Re(x,x+s) = 0$.

Still more, $x \in S^* \Rightarrow Re(x,s) \geqq 0$. Thus $||x||^2 \leqq 0$, but this is possible only when $x = 0$, which was to be proved

The intersection $S\cap S^*$ is pointed. (It consists only of the origin if and only if S is a real subspace, e.g. (1), (5)). Thus $(S\cap S^*)^*$ is solid and the following theorem is meaningful.

<u>KEY THEOREM</u>. If (i) $L - S$ is closed or (iia) $L^* + S^*$ is closed and (iib) $c\ell(L\cap S) = c\ell\ L\ \cap\ c\ell\ S$, then

$$x \in L\cap S,\ v \in (S-L)^*, \qquad x + v \in \text{int}(S\cap S^*)^*, \tag{2.4}$$

is consistent.

<u>PROOF</u>. The consistency of (2.4) is equivalent (by 2.3) to

$$(L\cap S + (-L^*)\cap S^*)\cap \text{int}(S\cap S^*)^* \neq \emptyset, \tag{2.5}$$

The set $L\cap S + (-L^*)\cap S^*$ is convex. The set $\text{int}(S\cap S^*)^*$ is the interior of a convex cone. Thus, e.g. (4), (2.5) is not true if and only if there exists a <u>non-zero</u> $z \in S\cap S^*$ such that $\text{Re}(z, L\cap S + (-L^*)\cap S^*) \leqq 0$.
But $z \in S \Rightarrow \text{Re}(z,(-L^*)\cap S^*) \geqq 0$ and $z \in S^* \Rightarrow \text{Re}(z,L\cap S) \geqq 0$. Thus the negation of (2.5) is equivalent to the existence of a $0 \neq z \in S\cap S^*$ such that $\text{Re}(z,L\cap S) = \text{Re}(z,(-L^*)\cap S^*) = 0$. To show that this is impossible consider the intersection

$$I = S\cap S^*\cap(L\cap S)^*\cap(-(L\cap S)^*)\cap((-L^*)\cap S^*)^*\cap(L^*\cap(-S^*))^*.$$

By (2.3) and (2.2), $(L^*\cap(-S^*))^* = ((L-S)^*)^* = c\ell\,(L-S)$. By (2.1), (iib), (2.2) and (2.3), $-(L\cap S)^* = -(L^{**}\cap S^{**})^* = -(L^* + S^*)^{**} = -\,c\ell(L^*+S^*)$, and by (2.2), $S \subseteq S^{**}$.

Thus if $L - S$ is closed, $I \subseteq I(L,S) = \{0\}$ and if $L^* + S^*$ is closed $I \subseteq I(-L^*,S^*) = \{0\}$ so in both cases the proof is complete.

The assumptions made in the theorem suggest two interrelated open problems:
a) Is the theorem true without the assumptions?
b) For what convex cones L and S, both assumptions, (i) and (ii), do not hold? Notice that if L and S are polyhedral then all the assumptions hold. We remark that, in general, assumptions (iia) and (iib) are independent. Let S and L be closed convex cones in R^3 such that

$$S^* = \{\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{pmatrix},\quad x \geqq 0,\quad z \geqq 0,\quad 2xz \geqq y^2\}$$

and L^* is the x-axis. Then obviously (iib) holds but, e.g.(2, p. 7), (iia) does not. Conversely, let

$$S = \{\binom{x}{y};\ \ x > 0,\ \ y > 0\} \cup \{0\}$$

and

$$L = \{\binom{x}{y};\ \ x > 0,\ \ y < 0\} \cup \{0\}$$

be (not closed) convex cones in R^2. Then (iia) holds but (iib) is false.

In conclusion, we point out some special cases.

The real version of the theorem with $S = R^n_+$ is due to Epelman and Waksman (3).

Taking S to be polyhedral and L the null space of a matrix $A, L^* = L^+ = R(A^H)$ and replacing $v \in R(A^H) \cap S^*$ by $A^H y \in S^*$ one gets the Key Theorem of Abrams and Ben-Israel (1). As shown in (1), the theorem of Tucker is the real special case where $S = R^n_+$. Its complex extension, due to Levinson (8), is the special case where

$$S = T_\alpha = \{z \in C^n;\ |\arg z_i| \leqq \alpha_i\}$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_i) \leqq \frac{\pi}{2} e, \quad \text{e-vector of ones}$$

and $S^* = T_{\frac{\pi}{2} e - \alpha}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. We wish to thank the referee for his remarks and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Abrams, R. and A. Ben-Israel. On the Key Theorems of Tucker and Levinson for Complex Linear Inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 29 (1970) 640-656.

2. Berman, A. Cones, Matrices and Mathematical Programming, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 79 (1973). Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

3. Epelman, M. and Z. Waksman. Private communication.

4. Fan, K. Convex Sets and Their Applications, Argonne National Laboratory Lecture Notes, Argonne, Ill., Summer, 1959.

5. Gaddum, J. W. A Theorem on Convex Cones with Applications to Linear Inequalities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1952) 957-960.

6. Good, R. A. Systems of Linear Relations, SIAM Rev. 1 (1959) 1-31.

7. Krein, M. G. and M. A. Rutman. Linear Operators Leaving Invariant a Cone in a Banach Space, Uspehi. Mat. Nauk. (N-S) 3, 1(23), (1948) 3-95. (English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Translations Ser. 1, 10 (1962) 199-325, Providence, Rhode Island.

8. Levinson, N. Linear Programming in Complex Space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 14 (1966) 44-62.

9. Tucker, A. W. Dual Systems of Homogeneous Linear Relations, Linear Inequalities and Related Systems, pp. 3-18 (Ed. H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker), Annals of Math. Studies No. 38. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1956.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Convex cones, dual systems, polyhedrality, complex programming.

AMS(MOS) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS (1970). 52A20, 52A40, 52A25, 90C05.