

A REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR OPERATORS ON A SPACE OF INTERVAL FUNCTIONS

J. A. CHATFIELD

Department of Mathematics
 Southwest Texas State University
 San Marcos, Texas 78666 U.S.A.

(Received May 4, 1978)

ABSTRACT. Suppose N is a Banach space of norm $|\cdot|$ and R is the set of real numbers. All integrals used are of the subdivision-refinement type. The main theorem [Theorem 3] gives a representation of TH where H is a function from $R \times R$ to N such that $H(p^+, p^+)$, $H(p, p^+)$, $H(p^-, p^-)$, and $H(p^-, p)$ each exist for each p and T is a bounded linear operator on the space of all such functions H . In particular we show that

$$TH = (I) \int_a^b f_H d\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\overline{H}(x_{i-1}^-, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)] \beta(x_{i-1}) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\overline{H}(x_i^-, x_i) - H(x_i^-, x_i^-)] \Theta(x_{i-1}, x_i)$$

where each of α , β , and Θ depend only on T , α is of bounded variation, β and Θ are 0 except at a countable number of points, f_H is a function from R to N depending on H , and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ denotes the points p in $[a, b]$ for which $[H(p, p^+) - H(p^+, p^+)] \neq 0$ or $[H(p^-, p) - H(p^-, p^-)] \neq 0$. We also define an interior

interval function integral and give a relationship between it and the standard interval function integral.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let N be a Banach space of norm $|\cdot|$ and R the set of real numbers. The purpose of this paper is to exhibit a representation of TH where H is a function from $R \times R$ to N such that $H(p^+, p^+)$, $H(p, p^+)$, and $H(p^-, p^-)$, and $H(p^-, p)$ each exist for each p and T is a bounded linear operator on the space of all such functions H . Functions H for which each of the four preceding limits exist have been used extensively in the study of both sum integration and multiplicative integration, (for example see [2]). In particular we show that

$$TH = (I) \int_a^b f_H d\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)] \beta(x_{i-1}^+) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_i^-, x_i^-) - H(x_i^-, x_i^-)] \theta(x_{i-1}^-, x_i^-),$$

where each of α , β , θ depend only on T , α is of bounded variation, β and θ are 0 except at a countable number of points, f_H is a function from R to N depending on H , and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ denotes the points p in $[a, b]$ for which $H(p, p^+) - H(p^+, p^+) \neq 0$ or $[H(p^-, p) - H(p^-, p^-)] \neq 0$. We also define an interior interval function integral and give a relationship between it and the standard interval function integral.

2. DEFINITIONS.

If H is a function from $R \times R$ to N , then $H(p^+, p^+) = \lim_{x, y \rightarrow p} H(x, y)$ and similar meanings are given to $H(p, p^+)$, $H(p^-, p^-)$, and $H(p^-, p)$. The set of all functions for which each of the preceding four limits exist will be denoted by OL^0 . If H is a function from $R \times R$ to N then H is said to be (1) of bounded variation on the interval $[a, b]$ and (2) bounded on $[a, b]$ if there exists a number M and a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that if $D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a refinement of D then

(1) $\sum_{i=1}^n |H(x_{i-1}, x_i)| < M$ and (2) if $0 < i \leq n$, then $|H(x_{i-1}, x_i)| < M$, respectively.

Further, H is said to be integrable on $[a, b]$ if there is a number A such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that if $D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a refinement of D , then $|\sum_{i=1}^n H(x_{i-1}, x_i) - A| < \epsilon$ and A is denoted by $\int_a^b H$ when

such an A exists. In our development we will also find a slight modification of the preceding definition useful. If $H(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ is replaced by $H(r_i, s_i)G(x_{i-1}, x_i)$, $x_{i-1} < r_i < s_i < x_i$, in the approximating sum of the preceding definition then the number A is denoted by $(I_H) \int_a^b HG$ and termed the interior integral of H with respect to G on $[a, b]$. Also, if each of f and α is a function from R to N ,

then the interior integral of f with respect to α exists means there is a number A such that if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a subdivision D of $[a, b]$ such that if

$D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a refinement of D and for $0 < i \leq n$, $x_{i-1} < t_i < x_i$, $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)[\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})] - A| < \epsilon$ and A is denoted by $(I) \int_a^b f d\alpha$.

If α is a function from R to N , $\alpha(p^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow p^+} \alpha(x)$, $\alpha(p^-) = \lim_{x \rightarrow p^-} \alpha(x)$, and $d\alpha$ denotes the function H from $R \times R$ to N such that for $x < y$, $H(x, y) = \alpha(y) - \alpha(x)$.

If each of H, H_1, H_2, \dots is a function from $R \times R$ to N , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n = H$ uniformly

on $[a, b]$ means if $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive integer N and a subdivision

$D = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $n > N$ and $x_{i-1} \leq r < s \leq x_i$ for some $0 < i \leq n$,

then $|H(r, s) - H_n(r, s)| < \epsilon$. If H is a function from $R \times R$ to N , then H is bounded on

$[a, b]$ means there is a number M and a subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ of $[a, b]$ such that

if $0 < i \leq n$ and $x_{i-1} \leq r < s \leq x_i$, then $|H(r, s)| < M$. The norm of H on $[a, b]$ with

respect to D , $\|H\|_D$ is then defined as the greatest lower bound of the set of

all such M 's.

T is a linear operator on OL^0 means T is a transformation from OL^0 to N such that if each of H_1 and H_2 are in OL^0 then

$$T[k_1H_1 + k_2H_2] = k_1TH_1 + k_2TH_2$$

for k_1, k_2 in R. T is bounded on $[a,b]$ means there is a number M such that $|TH| \leq M||H||_D$ for some subdivision D of $[a,b]$.

For convenience we adopt the following conventions for a function from $R \times R$ to N and R to N for some subdivision $D = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of $[a,b]$:

- (1) $H(a^-, a) = H(a^-, a^-) = H(b, b^+) = H(b^+, b^+) = 0,$
- (2) $H(x_{i-1}, x_i) = H_i, 0 < i \leq n,$
- (3) $\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1}) = \Delta\alpha_i,$
- (4) $\sum_{i=1}^n \underset{D}{H(x_{i-1}, x_i)} = \sum_D H_i.$

3. THEOREMS.

We will begin by establishing a relationship between $\int_a^b H d\alpha$ and $(I_H) \int_a^b H d\alpha$ which will require the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If H is in OL^0 and α is a function from R to N of bounded variation on $[a,b]$, then $\int_a^b H d\alpha$ exists.

This lemma is a special case of THEOREM 2 of [2].

LEMMA 2. Suppose H is in OL^0 , $[a,b]$ is an interval, $\epsilon > 0$, and S_1 and S_2 are sets such that p is in S_1 if and only if p is in $[a,b]$ and $|H(p, p^+) - H(p^+, p^+)| \geq \epsilon$ and p is in S_2 if and only if p is in $[a,b]$ and $|H(p^-, p) - H(p^-, p^-)| \geq \epsilon$. Then, each of S_1 and S_2 is a finite set. [2, lemma page 498].

We note that it follows from LEMMA 2 that if S is the set such that p is in S if and only if $H(p, p^+) - H(p^+, p^+) \neq 0$ or $H(p^-, p) - H(p^-, p^-) \neq 0$ then S is countable.

LEMMA 3. If H is in OL^0 and α is a function from R to N of bounded variation on $[a,b]$ then (1) if p is in $[a,b]$ each of $\alpha(p^+)$ and $\alpha(p^-)$ exists and (2) if $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of numbers such that if p is in $[a,b]$ and $H(p, p^+) - H(p^+, p^+) \neq 0$

or $H(p^-, p) - H(p^-, p^-) \neq 0$, then there is an n such that $p = x_n$, then

$$(1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_i^-, x_i^+) - H(x_i^+, x_i^+)] [\alpha(x_i^+) - \alpha(x_i^-)] \text{ exists}$$

and (2) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_i^-, x_i^-) - H(x_i^-, x_i^-)] [\alpha(x_i^-) - \alpha(x_i^-)] \text{ exists.}$

INDICATION OF PROOF. It follows from the bounded variation of α that for p in $[a, b]$ each of $\alpha(p^+)$ and $\alpha(p^-)$ exists.

Since H is in OL^0 , it follows from the covering theorem that H is bounded on $[a, b]$ and that there is a number M_1 such that for each positive integer i ,

$$|H(x_i, x_i^+) - H(x_i^+, x_i^+)| < M_1,$$

and, furthermore, for n a positive integer and $0 < i \leq n$, let $x_{p_i} > x_i$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha(x_i^+) - \alpha(x_{p_i})| < 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n | [H(x_i, x_i^+) - H(x_i^+, x_i^+)] [\alpha(x_i^+) - \alpha(x_i^-)] | \\ & \leq M_1 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha(x_i^+) - \alpha(x_{p_i})| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha(x_{p_i}) - \alpha(x_i^-)| \right] \\ & < M_1 (1) + M_1 \sum_D |\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})|, \end{aligned}$$

where D is a subdivision of $[a, b]$ containing x_i and x_{p_i} as consecutive points in D for each $0 < i \leq n$. Hence, since α is of bounded variation there is a number M such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n | [H(x_i, x_i^+) - H(x_i^+, x_i^+)] [\alpha(x_i^+) - \alpha(x_i^-)] | < M.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_i, x_i^+) - H(x_i^+, x_i^+)] [\alpha(x_i^+) - \alpha(x_i^-)] \text{ exists. In a similar manner it may be}$$

shown that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_i^-, x_i^-) - H(x_i^-, x_i^-)] [\alpha(x_i^-) - \alpha(x_i^-)] \text{ exists.}$$

THEOREM 1. If H is in OL^0 and α is a function from R to N of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then $(I_H) \int_a^b H d\alpha$ exists.

PROOF. If $\epsilon > 0$ then it follows from LEMMA 2 that each of the sets A_ϵ^+ and A_ϵ^- to which p belongs if and only if p is in $[a, b]$ and $|H(p, p^+) - H(p^+, p^+)| \geq \epsilon$ or $|H(p^-, p) - H(p^-, p^-)| \geq \epsilon$, respectively, is a finite set. Let $A_\epsilon^+ = \{c_i\}_{i=1}^{m_1}$, $A_\epsilon^- = \{d_i\}_{i=1}^{m_2}$, and A^+ and A^- denote the sets to which p belongs if and only if p is in $[a, b]$ and $H(p, p^+) - H(p^+, p^+) \neq 0$ or $H(p^-, p) - H(p^-, p^-) \neq 0$, respectively. Since each of A^+ and A^- is a countable set then let $A^+ + A^- = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$.

Since α is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then for each c_i , $0 < i \leq m_1$ and d_i , $0 < i \leq m_2$ there is an $e_i > c_i$ and an $f_i > d_i$ such that if $e_i \geq r_i > c_i$ and $f_i \leq s_i < d_i$, then $|\alpha(c_i^+) - \alpha(r_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{16m_1}$ and $|\alpha(d_i^-) - \alpha(s_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{16m_2}$.

From LEMMA 3, it follows that there is a positive integer N such that if $n > N$, then

$$(1) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [H(y_i, y_i^+) - H(y_i^+, y_i^+)] [\alpha(y_i^+) - \alpha(y_i)] - \sum_{i=1}^\infty [H(y_i, y_i^+) - H(y_i^+, y_i^+)] [\alpha(y_i^+) - \alpha(y_i)] \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{8}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [H(y_i^-, y_i) - H(y_i^-, y_i^-)] [\alpha(y_i^-) - \alpha(y_i)] - \sum_{i=1}^\infty [H(y_i^-, y_i) - H(y_i^-, y_i^-)] [\alpha(y_i^-) - \alpha(y_i)] \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{8}.$$

Note that for some y_i 's, $[H(y_i^-, y_i) - H(y_i^-, y_i^-)]$ or $[H(y_i, y_i^+) - H(y_i^+, y_i^+)]$ may be zero.

Since, from LEMMA 1, $\int_a^b H d\alpha$ exists, then there is a number M and a subdivision D_1 of $[a, b]$ such that if $D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a refinement of D_1 , then

$$(3) \quad \sum_{D'} |\Delta\alpha_i| < M,$$

$$(4) \quad \left| \int_a^b H d\alpha - \sum_{D'} H_i \Delta\alpha_i \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{4},$$

and (5) if $0 < i \leq n$, then $|H(x_{i-1}^-, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)| < M$ and

$$|H(x_i^-, x_i^-) - H(x_i^-, x_i^-)| < M.$$

Further, since H is in OL^0 , using the covering theorem we may obtain a subdivision D_2 of $[a, b]$ such that if $D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a refinement of D_2 , $0 < i \leq n$, and

$x_{i-1} < r < s < x_i$, then

$$(6) \quad |H(r,s)-H(x_i^-,x_i^-)| < \frac{\epsilon}{64M},$$

$$(7) \quad |H(r,s)-H(x_{i-1},x_{i-1})| < \frac{\epsilon}{6M},$$

$$(8) \quad |H(x_{i-1},x_{i-1}^+)-H(x_{i-1},x_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{64},$$

and (9) $|H(x_i^-,x_i)-H(x_{i-1},x_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{64M},$

Let $D = D_1 + D_2 + A_\epsilon^+ + A_\epsilon^- + \sum_{i=1}^{m1} \{e_i\} + \sum_{i=1}^{m2} \{f_i\} + \sum_{i=1}^N \{y_i\}$, $D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be a refine-

ment of D , and for each $0 < i \leq n$, $x_{i-1} < r_{j-1} < s_j < x_i$. Choose $m > N$ such that

for each x_i , $0 < i \leq n$, in $D' \cdot (A^+ + A^-)$ there exists a positive integer $z < m$ such

that $y_z = x_i$. Hence, for x_i , $0 < i \leq n$, in D' such that neither x_{i-1} nor x_i is

in $(A^+ + A^-)$, it follows from (6)-(9) that $|H(r_i, s_i) - H(x_{i-1}, x_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{32M}$.

If $W_i = H(y_{i-1}, y_{i-1}^+) - H(y_{i-1}^+, y_{i-1}^+)$ and $Q = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ for $0 < i \leq m$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^m W_i [\alpha(y_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(y_{i-1})] - \sum [H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)] \Delta \alpha_i \right| \\ & \quad D' \cdot [A_\epsilon^+ + (A^+ - A_\epsilon^+)] \\ = & \left| \sum_{A_\epsilon^+} W_1 [\alpha(y_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(y_{i-1})] + \sum_{Q - A_\epsilon^+} W_1 [\alpha(y_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(y_{i-1})] \right. \\ & \quad - \sum_{D' \cdot A_\epsilon^+} [H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)] \Delta \alpha_i \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{D' \cdot (A^+ - A_\epsilon^+)} [H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)] \Delta \alpha_i \right| \\ \leq & \sum_{A_\epsilon^+} |W_i| \cdot |\alpha(y_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(y_i)| + \sum_{Q - A_\epsilon^+} |W_i| \cdot |\alpha(y_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(y_{i-1})| \\ & \quad + \sum_{D' \cdot (A^+ - A_\epsilon^+)} |H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)| \cdot |\Delta \alpha_i| \\ < & M \sum_{A_\epsilon^+} \frac{\epsilon}{16Mm_1} + \frac{\epsilon}{16M} \sum_{Q - A_\epsilon^+} |\alpha(y_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(y_{i-1})| + \frac{\epsilon}{16M} \sum_{D' \cdot (A^+ - A_\epsilon^+)} |\Delta \alpha_i| \\ < & \frac{3\epsilon}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(10) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^m W_i [\alpha(y_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(y_{i-1})] - \sum_{D', A^+} H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+) \Delta\alpha_i \right| < \frac{3\epsilon}{16}$$

and in a similar manner it may be shown that

$$(11) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^m Z_i [\alpha(y_i^-) - \alpha(y_i)] - \sum_{D', A^-} [H(x_i^-, x_i^-) - H(x_i^-, x_i)] \Delta\alpha_i \right| < \frac{3\epsilon}{16},$$

where $Z_i = H(y_i^-, y_i^-) - H(y_i^-, y_i)$.

Using inequalities (10) and (11) we are now able to complete the proof of the theorem. In the following manipulations W_i and Z_i are as defined for (10) and (11) and $P_i = H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)$ and $Q_i = H(x_i^-, x_i^-) - H(x_i^-, x_i)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{D'} H_j \Delta\alpha_i - \int_a^b H d\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i [\alpha(P_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(P_{i-1})] - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i [\alpha(y_i^-) - \alpha(y_i)] \right| \\ & < \left| \sum_{D'} (H_j - H_i) \Delta\alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^m W_i [\alpha(y_{i-1}^+) - \alpha(y_{i-1})] - \sum_{i=1}^m Z_i [\alpha(y_i^-) - \alpha(y_i)] \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{16} + \frac{\epsilon}{16} \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{D'} (H_j - H_i) \Delta\alpha_i - \sum_{D', A^+} P_i \Delta\alpha_i - \sum_{D', A^-} Q_i \Delta\alpha_i \right| + \frac{3}{16} + \frac{3}{16} + \frac{3}{8} \\ & \leq \frac{\sum |H_j - H_i| \cdot |\Delta\alpha_i|}{D' - D' \cdot (A^+ + A^-)} + \frac{\sum |H_j - H_i - P_i| |\Delta\alpha_i|}{D' \cdot A^+} + \frac{\sum |H_j - H_i - Q_i| \cdot |\Delta\alpha_i|}{D' \cdot A^-} + \frac{3\epsilon}{4} \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{32M} \cdot M + \frac{\epsilon}{32M} \cdot M + \frac{\epsilon}{32M} \cdot M \\ & < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have a relationship established between $(I_H) \int_a^b H d\alpha$ and $\int_a^b H d\alpha$ which will be used in the proof of the principal theorem.

THEOREM 2. If $\{H_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of functions from $S \times S$ to N , such that for each i , H_i is in OL^0 , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n = H_0$ uniformly on $[a, b]$, and T is a bounded linear operator on OL^0 then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TH_n = TH_0$.

The proof of this theorem is straightforward and we omit it.

THEOREM 3. Suppose H is in OL^0 , T is a bounded linear operator on OL^0 .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} TH &= (I) \int_a^b f_H d\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^+)] \beta(x_{i-1}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_i^-, x_i) - H(x_i^-, x_i^-)] \theta(x_{i-1}, x_i), \end{aligned}$$

where each of α , β , and Θ depend only on T , α is of bounded variation, β and Θ are 0 except at a countable number of points, f_H is a function from R to N depending on H , and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ denote the points in $[a,b]$ for which $[H(x_i^+, x_i^+) - H(x_i^-, x_i^-)] \neq 0$ or $H(x_i^-, x_i^-) - H(x_i^+, x_i^+) \neq 0, i=1,2,\dots,n$.

PROOF. We first define a sequence of functions converging uniformly to a given function H in OL^0 and then apply THEOREM 2 to establish THEOREM 3. We first define functions g and h for each pair of numbers $t,x, a \leq t \leq b, a \leq x \leq b$ such that

$$g(t,x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t=x \\ 0, & \text{if } t \neq x \end{cases} \quad \text{and } h(t,x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \leq t \leq x \\ 0, & \text{if } x < t \leq b, \end{cases}$$

and using these functions and the operator T define functions α, β, γ , and \emptyset such that

$$\alpha(x)=TH(\cdot,x); \beta(x)=Tg(\cdot,x); \gamma(x)=Tg(x,\cdot); \emptyset(x,y)=Tg(\cdot,x)g(y,\cdot); \text{ and } \Theta(x,y)=\gamma(y)-\emptyset(x,y) \text{ for } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } [a,b].$$

Clearly, α is of bounded variation on $[a,b]$ and we see from

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{D'} |\emptyset(x_{i-1}, x_i)| &= \sum_{D'} \emptyset_i^2 \\ &= \sum_{D'} \text{sgn} \emptyset_i Tg(\cdot, x_{i-1}) g(x_i, \cdot) \\ &\leq M \left| \sum_{D'} \text{sgn} \emptyset_i g(\cdot, x_{i-1}) g(x_i, \cdot) \right|_D \\ &= M, \end{aligned}$$

for D' a refinement of a subdivision D of $[a,b]$, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\emptyset(x_{i-1}, x_i)|$ exists and in a similar manner that each of $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\beta(x_i)|$ and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |(x_i)|$ exists.

Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\Theta(x_{i-1}, x_i)|$ exists.

Each of our approximating functions H_n will be defined in terms of a subdivision D_n of $[a,b]$ determined in the following manner.

Since α is of bounded variation on $[a,b]$ and H is in OL^0 then from THEOREM 1, $(I_H) \int_a^b H d\alpha$ exists and there is a subdivision K_n of $[a,b]$ such that if $K' = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a refinement of K_n , then $|(I_H) \int_a^b H d\alpha - \sum_{K'} H(r_i, s_i) \Delta\alpha_i| < \frac{1}{n}$ where for $0 < i \leq m$, $x_{i-1} < r_i < s_i < x_i$. It follows from the covering theorem and the existence of the limits $H(p, p^+)$ and $H(p^+, p^+)$ that there is a subdivision $I_n = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$ of $[a,b]$ such that if $x_{i-1} < x < r < s < y < x_i$, $0 < i \leq m$, then $|H(x,y) - H(r,s)| < \frac{1}{n}$.

Further, let J_n denote the set such that p is in J_n if p is in $[a,b]$ and $|H(p, p^+) - H(p^+, p^+)| \geq \frac{1}{n}$ or $|H(p^-, p) - H(p^-, p^-)| \geq \frac{1}{n}$ and $D_n = K_n + J_n + I_n$. For each positive integer n , let H_n be a function from $R \times R$ to N determined by $D_n = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_n(x,y) = & \sum_{i=1}^m H(r_i, s_i) [h(x, x_i) - h(x, x_{i-1})] + \sum_{i=1}^m [H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(r_i, s_i)] [g(x, x_i)] \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^m [H(x_i^-, x_i) - H(r_i, s_i)] g(x_i, y) \\
 & - \sum_{i=1}^m [H(x_i^-, x_i) - H(r_i, s_i)] g(x, x_{i-1}) g(x_i, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

for each (x,y) such that $x_{i-1} \leq x < y \leq x_i$, for some $0 < i \leq m$, and for each $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$, $0 < i \leq m$, $x_{i-1} < r_i < s_i < x_i$.

It is evident that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n = H$ uniformly on $[a,b]$ for if $\epsilon > 0$, $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$,

$D = D_n = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^m$, and $x_{p-1} < x < r < s < y < x_p$ for some $0 < p \leq m$, then $H_n(x_{p-1}, x_p) = H(x_{p-1}, x_p)$, $H_n(x, x_p) = H(x, x_p)$, $H_n(x_{p-1}, y) = H(x_{p-1}, y)$, and $H_n(x, y) = H(r, s)$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n = H$ uniformly on $[a,b]$.

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n = H$ uniformly on $[a,b]$, applying THEOREM 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 TH &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TH_n \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{D_n} H(r_1, s_1) [TH(\cdot, x_1) - TH(\cdot, x_{i-1})] \\
 &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{D_n} [H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(r_1, s_1)] Tg(\cdot, x_{i-1}) \\
 &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{D_n} [H(x_1^-, x_1) - H(r_1, s_1)] Tg(x_1, \cdot) \\
 &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [-H(x_1^-, x_1) + H(r_1, s_1)] Tg(\cdot, x_{i-1}) g(x_1, \cdot) \\
 &= (I_H) \int_a^b H d\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}^+) - H(x_{i-1}^+, x_{i-1}^-)] \beta(x_{i-1}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_1^-, x_1) - H(x_1^-, x_1^-)] \gamma(x_1) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [H(x_1^-, x_1^-) - H(x_1^-, x_1)] \theta(x_{i-1}, x_1) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} H(x_1^-, x_1) - H(x_1^-, x_1^-) \Theta(x_{i-1}, x_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the existence of each of the infinite sums is assured by LEMMA 3 and the equality of the last two expressions follows from the definition of D_n .

All that remains to complete the proof of THEOREM 3 is to show that $(I_H) \int_a^b H d\alpha$ may be represented by $(I) \int_a^b f_H d$ where f_H is a function from R to N . If we let f_H be the function such that for each p in $[a, b]$ $f_H(p) = H(p^+, p^+)$ then it follows that $(I) \int_a^b f_H d\alpha$ exists and is $(I_H) \int_a^b H d\alpha$.

REFERENCES

1. Goffman, Casper and Pedrick, George, First Course in Functional Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1965.
2. Helton, B.W. A Product Integral Representation for a Gronwall Inequality, Bulletin of A.M.S. 23 (3), (1969) 493-500.
3. Hildebrandt, T.H. Linear Functional Transformations in General Spaces, Bulletin of A.M.S. 37 (1931) 185-212.
4. Hildebrandt, T.H. and Schoenberg, I.J. On Linear Functional Operations and the Moment Problem for a Finite Interval in One or Several Variables, Annals of Math. 34 (1933) 317-328.
5. Kalterborn, H.S. Linear Functional Operations on Functions Having Discontinuities of the First Kind, Bulletin of A.M.S. 40 (1934), 702-708.
6. Riesz, F. Annales de l'École, Normale Supérieure (3), 31 (1914).
7. Riesz, F. and B. St.-Nagy Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle, 3rd edition, Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó (1955).