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#### Abstract

It is well known that Poisson binomial distribution can be approximated by Poisson distribution. In this paper, we give a nonuniform bound of this approximation by using Stein-Chen method.
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1. Introduction and main result. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be independent, possibly not identically distributed, Bernoulli random variables with $P\left(X_{i}=1\right)=1-$ $P\left(X_{i}=0\right)=p_{i}$ and let $S_{n}=X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}$. The sum of this kind is often called a Poisson binomial random variable. In the case where the "success" probabilities are all identical, $p_{i}=p, S$ is the binomial random variable $\mathscr{B}(n, p)$. Let $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}$ and let $\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}$ be the Poisson random variable with parameter $\lambda$, that is, $P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}=\omega\right)=e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\omega} / \omega$ ! for all nonnegative integers $\omega$. It has long been known that if $p_{i}$ 's are small, then the distribution of $S_{n}$ can be approximated by a distribution of $\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}$ (see, e.g., Chen [2]).

In this paper, we investigate the bound of this approximation. As an illustration, we look at the case of $p_{1}=p_{2}=\cdots=p_{n}=p$. There are at least three known uniform bounds: Kennedy and Quine [6] showed that, for $0<\lambda \leq 2-\sqrt{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(S_{n} \leq \omega\right)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda} \leq \omega\right)\right| \leq 2 \lambda\left[(1-p)^{n-1}-e^{-n p}\right], \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Barbour and Hall [1] showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(S_{n} \leq \omega\right)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda} \leq \omega\right)\right| \leq \min (p, \lambda p) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Deheuvels and Pfeifer [5] proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid P\left(S_{n}\right. & \leq \omega)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda} \leq \omega\right) \mid \\
& \leq \lambda p e^{-\lambda}\left\{\frac{(n p)^{(a-1)}(a-n p)}{a!}-\frac{(n p)^{(b-1)}(b-n p)}{b!}\right\}+R \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with $a=[n p+1 / 2+\sqrt{n p+1 / 4}], b=[n p+1 / 2-\sqrt{n p+1 / 4}]$, and $|R| \leq$ $(1 / 2)(2 p)^{3 / 2} /(1-\sqrt{2 p})$, for $0<p<1 / 2$, and $[x]$ is understood to be the integer part of $x$.

For the general case, Le Cam [7] investigated and showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\omega=0}^{\infty}\left|P\left(S_{n}=\omega\right)-\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\omega}}{\omega!}\right| \leq \frac{16}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be observed that the constant $16 / \lambda$ will be large when $\lambda$ is small. Stein [10] used the method of Chen [3] to improve the bound and showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(S_{n} \leq \omega\right)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda} \leq \omega\right)\right| \leq\left(\lambda^{-1} \wedge 1\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\omega=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\lambda^{-1} \wedge 1=\min \left(\lambda^{-1}, 1\right)$. In case when $\lambda$ tends to 0 , one can see that (1.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(S_{n} \leq \omega\right)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda} \leq \omega\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we consider a nonuniform bound when $\lambda$ is small, that is, $\lambda \in(0,1]$ and $\omega \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Note that, when $\omega \notin\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we can compute the exact probabilities, that is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
P\left(S_{n}=0\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-p_{i}\right), \quad P\left(S_{n}=n\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{n} p_{j},  \tag{1.7}\\
P\left(S_{n}=\omega\right)=0, \quad \omega=n+1, n+2, \ldots
\end{gather*}
$$

In finding the uniform bound, there are several techniques which can be used; for example,
(i) the operator method initiated in Le Cam [7],
(ii) the semigroup approach due to Deheuvels and Pfeifer [4],
(iii) the Chen-Stein technique, see Chen [3] and Stein [10],
(iv) direct computations as in Kennedy and Quine [6],
(v) the coupling method, see Serfling [8] and Stein [10].

In the present paper, our argument closely follows the Chen-Stein technique in Chen [3] and Stein [10]. The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\lambda \in(0,1]$ and $\omega_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(S_{n}=\omega_{0}\right)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}=\omega_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\omega_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{2} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Proof of the main result. Stein [9] gave a new technique to find a bound in the normal approximation to a distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. His technique was free from Fourier methods and relied instead on the elementary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(\omega)-w f(\omega)=h(\omega)-N(h) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is a function that is used to test convergence and $N(h)=E[h(Z)]$ where $Z$ is the standard normal. Chen [3] applied Stein's ideas in the Poisson setting. Corresponding to the differential equation in the normal case above, one has an analogous difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda f(\omega+1)-\omega f(\omega)=h(\omega)-\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}(h) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}(h)=E\left[h\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\right)\right]$ and $f$ and $h$ are real-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{Z}^{+} \cup\{0\}$. Let $\omega_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and define $h, h_{\omega_{0}}: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \cup\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
h(\omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text { if } \omega=\omega_{0},  \tag{2.3}\\
0, & \text { if } \omega \neq \omega_{0},
\end{array} \quad h_{\omega_{0}}(\omega)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \omega \leq \omega_{0} \\
0, & \text { if } \omega>\omega_{0}\end{cases}\right.
$$

Then we see that the solution $f$ of (2.2) can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega)= \begin{cases}\frac{(\omega-1)!}{\omega_{0}!} \lambda \omega_{0}-\omega_{\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}}\left(1-h_{\omega-1}\right), & \text { if } \omega_{0}<\omega, \\
-\frac{(\omega-1)!}{\omega_{0}!} \lambda \omega_{0}-\omega \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(h_{\omega-1}\right), & \text { if } \omega_{0} \geq \omega>0, \\
0, & \text { if } \omega=0,\end{cases}  \tag{2.4}\\
\lambda E\left[f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}+1\right)\right]-E\left[S_{n} f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}\right)\right]=P\left(S_{n}=\omega_{0}\right)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}=\omega_{0}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $S_{n}^{(i)}=S_{n}-X_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. By using the facts that each $X_{j}$ takes on values 0 and 1 and that $X_{j}$ 's are independent, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left[S_{n} f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}\right)\right] & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} E\left[f\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+1\right)\right] \\
& =\lambda E\left[f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}+1\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} E\left[f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+1\right)-f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}+1\right)\right] \\
& =\lambda E\left[f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}+1\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} E\left\{X_{i}\left[f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+1\right)-f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+2\right)\right]\right\} \\
& =\lambda E\left[f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}+1\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{2} E\left[f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+1\right)-f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+2\right)\right], \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies, by (2.5), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(S_{n}=\omega_{0}\right)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}=\omega_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{2} E\left[f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+2\right)-f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+1\right)\right] . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+2)-f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+1) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}-\lambda \omega_{0}-\omega-2 \frac{\omega!}{\omega_{0}!}\left[(\omega+1) \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(h_{\omega+1}\right)-\lambda \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(h_{\omega}\right)\right], & \text { if } \omega \leq \omega_{0}-2, \\
\lambda \omega_{0}-\omega-2 \frac{\omega!}{\omega_{0}!}\left[(\omega+1) \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(1-h_{\omega+1}\right)+\lambda \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(h_{\omega}\right)\right], & \text { if } \omega=\omega_{0}-1, \\
\lambda^{\omega_{0}-\omega-2} \frac{\omega!}{\omega_{0}!}\left[(\omega+1) \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(1-h_{\omega+1}\right)-\lambda \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(1-h_{\omega}\right)\right], & \text { if } \omega \geq \omega_{0} .\end{cases} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

CASE $1\left(\omega \leq \omega_{0}-2\right)$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\omega+1) \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(h_{\omega+1}\right)-\lambda \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(h_{\omega}\right)=e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega+1} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}(\omega+1-k), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+2)-f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+1)\right| & =\lambda^{\left(\omega_{0}-2\right)-\omega} \frac{\omega!}{\omega_{0}!}\left[e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega+1} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}(\omega+1-k)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{(\omega+1)!}{\omega_{0}!}\left[e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega+1} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}\right]  \tag{2.10}\\
& \leq \frac{\left(\omega_{0}-1\right)!}{\omega_{0}!} \\
& =\frac{1}{\omega_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the facts that $\lambda \in(0,1]$ and $0 \leq \omega+1-k \leq \omega+1$ in the first inequality and the conditions $\omega \leq \omega_{0}-2$ and $e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega+1}\left(\lambda^{k} / k!\right) \leq 1$ in the second inequality.

CASE $2\left(\omega=\omega_{0}-1\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+2)-f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+1)\right| & =\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\omega_{0}}\left[\omega_{0} e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=\omega_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}+\lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega_{0}-1} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\omega_{0}}\left[e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=\omega_{0}+1}^{\infty} k \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}+e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega_{0}-1}(k+1) \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}\right] \\
& =\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\omega_{0}} E\left[\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\omega_{0}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

CASE $3\left(\omega \geq \omega_{0}\right)$. Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1 \lambda^{\omega+2}}{(\omega+2)!}+\frac{2 \lambda^{\omega+3}}{(\omega+3)!}+\frac{3 \lambda^{\omega+4}}{(\omega+4)!}+\cdots \\
& \quad \leq \lambda^{\omega-\omega_{0}+2}\left[\frac{\omega_{0} \lambda^{\omega_{0}}}{\omega_{0}!\left(\omega_{0}+1\right) \cdots(\omega+2)}+\frac{\left(\omega_{0}+1\right) \lambda^{\omega_{0}+1}}{\left(\omega_{0}+1\right)!\left(\omega_{0}+2\right) \cdots(\omega+3)}+\cdots\right] \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\lambda^{\omega-\omega_{0}+2}}{\left(\omega_{0}+1\right)\left(\omega_{0}+2\right) \cdots(\omega+2)}\left[\sum_{k=\omega_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{k \lambda^{k}}{k!}\right] \\
& \quad \leq \frac{e^{\lambda} \lambda^{\omega-\omega_{0}+2} E\left[\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\right]}{\left(\omega_{0}+1\right)\left(\omega_{0}+2\right) \cdots(\omega+2)} \\
& \quad=\frac{e^{\lambda} \lambda^{\omega-\omega_{0}+3}}{\left(\omega_{0}+1\right)\left(\omega_{0}+2\right) \cdots(\omega+2)}, \\
& (\omega+1) \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(1-h_{\omega+1}\right)-\lambda \mathscr{P}_{\lambda}\left(1-h_{\omega}\right)=-e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=\omega+2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}(k-(\omega+1))<0, \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+2)-f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+1)\right| & =\lambda^{\omega_{0}-\omega-2} \frac{\omega!}{\omega_{0}!} e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=\omega+2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}(k-(\omega+1))  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq \frac{\lambda \omega!}{(\omega+2)!} \leq \frac{1}{(\omega+1)(\omega+2)}
\end{align*}
$$

From Cases 1, 2, and 3, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+2)-f_{\omega_{0}}(\omega+1)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\omega_{0}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.7) and (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|P\left(S_{n}=\omega_{0}\right)-P\left(\mathscr{P}_{\lambda}=\omega_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{2}\right) E\left[\left|f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+2\right)-f_{\omega_{0}}\left(S_{n}^{(i)}+1\right)\right|\right] \leq \frac{1}{\omega_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{2} . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$
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