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We consider functions $f$, analytic in the unit disc and of the normalized form $f(z)=$ $z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$. For functions $f \in \bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$, the class of functions involving the Ruscheweyh derivatives operator, we give sharp upper bounds for the Fekete-Szegö functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

1. Introduction. Let $S$ denote the class of normalized analytic univalent functions $f$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the unit disc $D=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{gather*}
S^{*}=\left\{f \in S: \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0, z \in D\right\}, \\
S^{*}(\beta)=\left\{f \in S:\left|\arg \left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\beta \pi}{2}, z \in D\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

are classes of starlike and strongly starlike functions of order $\beta(0<\beta \leq 1)$, respectively. Note that $S^{*}(\beta) \subset S^{*}$ for $0<\beta<1$ and $S^{*}(1)=S^{*}$ [5]. Kanas [2] introduced the subclass $\bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ of function $f \in S$ as the following.

Definition 1.1. For $\delta \geq 0, \beta \in(0,1]$, a function $f$ normalized by (1.1) belongs to $\bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ if, for $z \in D-\{0\}$ and $D^{\delta} f(z) \neq 0$, the following holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \frac{z\left(D^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\delta} f(z)}\right| \leq \frac{\beta \pi}{2}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{\delta} f$ denotes the generalized Ruscheweyh derivative which was originally defined as the following.

Definition 1.2 [6]. Let $D^{n} f$ and $f$ be defined by (1.1). Then for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} f(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} * f(z), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $*$ denotes the Hadamard product of two analytic functions and $\mathbb{N}$ is a set of natural numbers.

Later in [1], Al-Amiri generalized the Ruscheweyh derivative $D^{\delta}$ for real numbers $\delta \geq-1$ as a Hadamard product of the functions $f$ and $z /(1-z)^{\delta+1}$.

Note that $\bar{R}_{0}(\beta)=S^{*}(\beta)$ for each $\beta \in(0,1]$ and $\bar{R}_{0}(1)=S^{*}$. In this note, we obtain sharp estimates for $\left|a_{2}\right|,\left|a_{3}\right|$ and the Fekete-Szegö functional for the class $\bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$. For the subclass $S^{*}$, sharp upper bounds for the functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ have been obtained for all real $\mu[3,4]$.
2. Preliminary results. In proving our results, we will need the following lemmas. However, we first denote $P$ to be the class of analytic functions with positive real part in $D$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $p \in P$ and let it be of the form $p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ with $\operatorname{Rep}(z)>0$. Then
(i) $\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2$ for $n \geq 1$,
(ii) $\left|c_{2}-c_{1}^{2} / 2\right| \leq 2-\left|c_{1}\right|^{2} / 2$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\delta \geq 0$ and $\beta \in(0,1]$. If $f \in \bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ and is given by (1.1), then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \beta}{\delta+1} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)} & \text { if } \beta \leq \frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{6 \beta^{2}}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)} & \text { if } \beta \geq \frac{1}{3}\end{cases} \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $F(z)=D^{\delta} f(z)=z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$. Since $f \in \bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ and $D^{\delta} f(z) \in$ $S^{*}(\beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=p^{\beta}(z) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(1+2 A_{2} z+3 A_{3} z^{2}+\cdots\right)}{z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots}=\left(1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots\right)^{\beta} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z+2 A_{2} z^{2}+3 A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots=z+\left(\beta c_{1}+A_{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(\beta c_{2}+\frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2} c_{1}^{2}+\beta A_{2} c_{1}+A_{3}\right) z^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the coefficients, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{2}=\beta c_{1},  \tag{2.5}\\
A_{3}=\frac{\beta}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{3}{4} \beta^{2} c_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, for $\delta \geq-1, D^{\delta} f$ has the Taylor expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\delta} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\delta)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(1+\delta)} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in D \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(n+\delta)$ denotes Euler's functions with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(n+\delta)=\delta(\delta+1) \cdots(\delta+n-1) \Gamma(\delta) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots=z+\frac{\Gamma(2+\delta)}{\Gamma(1+\delta)} a_{2} z^{2}+\frac{\Gamma(3+\delta)}{2 \Gamma(1+\delta)} a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the coefficients in (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2} \frac{\Gamma(2+\delta)}{\Gamma(1+\delta)}=a_{2}(\delta+1)=A_{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (2.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{\beta c_{1}}{\delta+1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that from Lemma 2.1(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \beta}{\delta+1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the coefficient of $z^{3}$ in (2.9) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3} \frac{\Gamma(3+\delta)}{2 \Gamma(1+\delta)}=a_{3} \frac{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}{2}=A_{3} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{2}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}\left[\frac{\beta}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{3}{4} \beta^{2} c_{1}^{2}\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1(ii) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}\left[\frac{\beta}{2}\left(2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{3}{4} \beta^{2}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)} & \text { if } \beta \leq \frac{1}{3}  \tag{2.16}\\ \frac{6 \beta^{2}}{(\delta+2)(\delta+1)} & \text { if } \beta \geq \frac{1}{3}\end{cases}
$$

3. Results. We first consider the functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for complex $\mu$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ and $\beta \in(0,1]$. Then for $\mu$ complex,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)} \max \left[1, \frac{|\beta(3(\delta+1)-2 \mu(\delta+2))|}{(\delta+1)}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $\mu$ there is a function in $\bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ such that equality holds.
Proof. From (2.11) and (2.14), we write

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2} & =\frac{2}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}\left[\frac{\beta}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{3}{4} \beta^{2} c_{1}^{2}\right]-\mu\left(\frac{\beta c_{1}}{\delta+1}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\frac{1}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}\left[\beta\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right]+\frac{\beta^{2}(3(\delta+1)-2 \mu(\delta+2))}{2(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)} c_{1}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.2) and Lemma 2.1(ii) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{\beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}\left(2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)+\left|\frac{\beta^{2}(3(\delta+1)-2 \mu(\delta+2))}{2(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)}\right|\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}+\frac{\left|\beta^{2}(3(\delta+1)-2 \mu(\delta+2))\right|-\beta(\delta+1)}{2(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

which on using Lemma 2.1(i), that is, $\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 2$, gives

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \kappa(\delta) \leq \beta(\delta+1)  \tag{3.4}\\ \frac{\left|\beta^{2}(6(\delta+1)-4 \mu(\delta+2))\right|}{(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \kappa(\delta) \geq \beta(\delta+1),\end{cases}
$$

where $\kappa(\delta)=\left|\beta^{2}(3(\delta+1)-2 \mu(\delta+2))\right|$.
Equality is attained for functions in $\bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(D^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\delta} f(z)}=\left(\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}\right)^{\beta}, \quad \frac{z\left(D^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\delta} f(z)}=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
We next consider the cases where $\mu$ is real and prove the following.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $f \in \bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ and $\beta \in(0,1]$. Then for $\mu$ real,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{\beta^{2}(6(\delta+1)-4 \mu(\delta+2))}{(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \mu \leq \frac{(6 \beta-2)(\delta+1)}{4 \beta(\delta+2)},  \tag{3.6}\\ \frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \frac{(6 \beta-2)(\delta+1)}{4 \beta(\delta+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(2+6 \beta)(\delta+1)}{4 \beta(\delta+2)}, \\ \frac{\beta^{2}(4 \mu(\delta+2)-6(\delta+1))}{(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \mu \geq \frac{(2+6 \beta)(\delta+1)}{4 \beta(\delta+2)} .\end{cases}
$$

For each $\mu$, there is a function in $\bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ such that equality holds.
Proof. Here we consider two cases.
Case (i): $\mu \leq 3(\delta+1) / 2(\delta+2)$.
In this case, (3.2) and Lemma 2.1(ii) give

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{\beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}\left(2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{\beta^{2}(6(\delta+1)-4 \mu(\delta+2))}{4(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2},  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}+\frac{\beta^{2}(6(\delta+1)-4 \mu(\delta+2))-2 \beta(\delta+1)}{4(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and so, using the fact that $\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 2$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{\beta^{2}(6(\delta+1)-4 \mu(\delta+2))}{(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \mu \leq \frac{(6 \beta-2)(\delta+1)}{4 \beta(\delta+2)}  \tag{3.8}\\ \frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \frac{(6 \beta-2)(\delta+1)}{4 \beta(\delta+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{3(\delta+1)}{2(\delta+2)}\end{cases}
$$

Equality is attained on choosing $c_{1}=c_{2}=2$ and $c_{1}=0, c_{2}=2$, respectively, in (3.2). Case (ii): $\mu \geq 3(\delta+1) / 2(\delta+2)$.

It follows from (3.2) and Lemma 2.1(ii) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{\beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}\left(2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{\beta^{2}(4 \mu(\delta+2)-6(\delta+1))}{4(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2},  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}+\frac{\beta^{2}(4 \mu(\delta+2)-6(\delta+1))-2 \beta(\delta+1)}{4(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)}\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and so, using the fact that $\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 2$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \frac{3(\delta+1)}{2(\delta+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(6 \beta+2)(\delta+1)}{4 \beta(\delta+2)},  \tag{3.10}\\ \frac{\beta^{2}(4 \mu(\delta+2)-6(\delta+1))}{(\delta+1)^{2}(\delta+2)} & \text { if } \mu \leq \frac{(6 \beta+2)(\delta+1)}{4 \beta(\delta+2)} .\end{cases}
$$

Equality is attained on choosing $c_{1}=0, c_{2}=2$ and $c_{1}=2 i, c_{2}=-2$, respectively, in (3.2). Thus the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in \bar{R}_{\delta}(\beta)$ and let it be given by (1.1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right|-\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)} \quad \text { if } \beta \leq \frac{3(\delta+1)}{5 \delta+1} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right|-\left|a_{2}\right| \leq\left|a_{3}-\frac{2}{3} a_{2}^{2}\right|+\frac{2}{3}\left|a_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|a_{2}\right| . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then since $(6 \beta-2)(\delta+1) / 4 \beta(\delta+2) \leq 2 / 3$ for $\beta \leq 3(\delta+1) /(5 \delta+1)$, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right|-\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2 \beta}{(\delta+1)(\delta+2)}+\frac{2}{3}\left|a_{2}\right|^{2}-\left|a_{2}\right|=\lambda(x), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left|a_{2}\right| \in[0,2 \beta /(\delta+1)]$. Since $\lambda(x)$ attains its maximum value at $x=0$, the theorem follows. This is sharp.
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