A SUMMABILITY FACTOR THEOREM FOR ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY INVOLVING ALMOST INCREASING SEQUENCES

B. E. RHOADES and EKREM SAVAŞ

Received 17 October 2003

We obtain sufficient conditions for the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ to be absolutely summable of order k by a triangular matrix.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40G99, 40G05, 40D15.

A triangle is a lower triangular matrix with all principal diagonal entriesbeing nonzero. Given an almost increasing sequence $\{X_n\}$ and a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfying certain conditions, we obtain sufficient conditions for the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ to be absolutely summable of order $k \ge 1$ by a triangle *T*. As a corollary we obtain the corresponding result when *T* is a weighted mean matrix.

Theorem 1 of this paper is an example of a summability factor theorem. There is a large literature dealing with summability factor theorems. For example, MathSciNet lists over 500 papers dealing with this topic. For some other papers treating absolute summability factor theorems (of order $k \ge 1$) the reader may wish to consult [3, 4, 5].

Let *T* be a lower triangular matrix, and $\{s_n\}$ a sequence. Then

$$T_n := \sum_{\nu=0}^n t_{n\nu} s_{\nu}.$$
 (1)

A series $\sum a_n$, with partial sums s_n , is said to be summable $|T|_k$, $k \ge 1$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |T_n - T_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$
⁽²⁾

We may associate with *T* two lower triangular matrices \overline{T} and \hat{T} as follows:

$$\bar{t}_{n\nu} = \sum_{r=\nu}^{n} t_{nr} \quad n, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots,
\hat{t}_{n\nu} = \bar{t}_{n\nu} - \bar{t}_{n-1,\nu} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$
(3)

We may write

$$T_{n} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} t_{n\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{\nu} a_{i} \lambda_{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} \lambda_{i} \sum_{\nu=i}^{n} t_{n\nu} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \bar{t}_{ni} a_{i} \lambda_{i}.$$
 (4)

Thus

$$T_{n} - T_{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \bar{t}_{ni} a_{i} \lambda_{i} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{t}_{n-1,i} a_{i} \lambda_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \bar{t}_{ni} a_{i} \lambda_{i} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \bar{t}_{n-1,i} a_{i} \lambda_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\bar{t}_{ni} - \bar{t}_{n-1,i}) a_{i} \lambda_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \hat{t}_{ni} a_{i} \lambda_{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \hat{t}_{ni} \lambda_{i} (s_{i} - s_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{t}_{ni} \lambda_{i} s_{i} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \hat{t}_{ni} \lambda_{i} s_{i-1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{t}_{ni} \lambda_{i} s_{i} + \hat{t}_{nn} \lambda_{n} s_{n} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \hat{t}_{n,i+1} \lambda_{i+1} s_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\hat{t}_{ni} \lambda_{i} - \hat{t}_{n,i+1} \lambda_{i+1}) s_{i} + t_{nn} \lambda_{n} s_{n}.$$
(5)

We may write

$$(\hat{t}_{ni}\lambda_i - \hat{t}_{n,i+1}\lambda_{i+1}) = \hat{t}_{ni}\lambda_i - \hat{t}_{n,i+1}\lambda_{i+1} - t_{n,i+1}\lambda_i + t_{n,i+1}\lambda_i$$
$$= (\hat{t}_{ni} - \hat{t}_{n,i+1})\lambda_i + t_{n,i+1}(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1})$$
$$= \lambda_i \Delta_i \hat{t}_{ni} + \hat{t}_{n,i+1} \Delta \lambda_i.$$
(6)

Therefore

$$T_n - T_{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Delta_i \hat{t}_{ni} \lambda_i s_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{t}_{n,i+1} \Delta \lambda_i s_i + t_{nn} \lambda_n s_n$$

= $T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3}$. (7)

A positive sequence $\{b_n\}$ is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence $\{c_n\}$ and two positive constants *A* and *B* such that $Ac_n \le b_n \le Bc_n$ for each *n*.

THEOREM 1. Let $\{X_n\}$ be an almost increasing sequence and let $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be sequences such that

(i) $|\Delta\lambda_n| \le \beta_n$, (ii) $\lim \beta_n = 0$, (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta\beta_n| X_n < \infty$, (iv) $|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1)$ are satisfied. *Let T be a triangle satisfying the following:*

(v)
$$nt_{nn} = O(1)$$
,

- (vi) $t_{n-1,\nu} \ge t_{n\nu}$ for $n \ge \nu + 1$,
- (vii) $\bar{t}_{n0} = 1$ for all n,
- (viii) if $\sum_{n=1}^{m} (1/n) |s_n|^k = O(X_m)$, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|T|_k, k \ge 1$.

PROOF. To prove the theorem it will be sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |T_{nr}|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3.$$
(8)

From [2, page 86] it follows that (vi) and (vii) imply that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_i \hat{t}_{ni}| = O(t_{nn}).$$
(9)

From (iv) and the fact that $\{X_n\}$ is almost increasing, it follows that $\lambda_n = O(1)$. Using Hölder's inequality, (9), and (v),

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n1}|^{k} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{i} \hat{t}_{ni}| |\lambda_{i}|^{k} |s_{i}|^{k} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{i} \hat{t}_{ni}| \right)^{k-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{i} \hat{t}_{ni}| |\lambda_{i}|^{k} |s_{i}|^{k} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{i} \hat{t}_{ni}| \right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} (nt_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{i} \hat{t}_{ni}| |\lambda_{i}|^{k} |s_{i}|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} (nt_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_{i} \hat{t}_{ni}| |\lambda_{i}| |\lambda_{i}|^{k-1} |s_{i}|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^{m} |\lambda_{i}| |s_{i}|^{k} \sum_{n=i+1}^{m+1} (nt_{nn})^{k-1} |\Delta_{i} \hat{t}_{ni}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^{m} |\lambda_{i}| |s_{i}|^{k} t_{ii} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^{m} |\lambda_{i}| |s_{i}|^{k} t_{ii} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=0}^{m} |\lambda_{i}| |\sum_{r=0}^{i} |s_{r}|^{k} t_{rr} - \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} |s_{r}|^{k} t_{rr} \right) \\ &= O(1) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} |\lambda_{i}| \sum_{r=0}^{i} |s_{r}|^{k} t_{rr} + |\lambda_{n}| \sum_{r=0}^{j} |s_{r}|^{k} t_{rr} \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_{i}| \sum_{r=0}^{i} |s_{r}|^{k} t_{rr} + |\lambda_{m}| \sum_{r=0}^{m} |s_{r}|^{k} t_{rr} \right]. \end{split}$$

If we define

$$X_{i} = \sum_{r=0}^{i} |s_{r}|^{k} t_{rr}, \qquad (11)$$

then $\{X_i\}$ is an almost increasing sequence. Using (iv) and (i),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n1}|^k = O(1) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_i X_i + O(1) = O(1),$$
(12)

using the result of [1, Lemma 3].

Using (i), Hölder's inequality, (ii), (v), (vi), and (vii),

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n2}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{t}_{n,i+1} S_i \Delta \lambda_i \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\hat{t}_{n,i+1}| |\Delta \lambda_i| |s_i| \right)^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\hat{t}_{n,i+1}| |s_i| \beta_i \right)^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\hat{t}_{n,i+1}| |s_i|^k \beta_i \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\hat{t}_{n,i+1}| \beta_i \right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} (nt_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\hat{t}_{n,i+1}| |s_i|^k \beta_i \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i |s_i|^k \sum_{n=i+1}^{n+1} |\hat{t}_{n,i+1}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i |s_i|^k = O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} i\beta_i \frac{1}{i} |s_i|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \left[\sum_{r=1}^{i} \frac{|s_r|^k}{r} - \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \frac{|s_r|^k}{r} \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} i\beta_i \sum_{r=1}^{i} \frac{|s_r|^k}{r} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (j+1)\beta_{j+1} \sum_{r=1}^{j} \frac{|s_r|^k}{r} \right] \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \Delta(i\beta_i) \sum_{r=1}^{i} \frac{1}{r} |s_r|^k + O(1)m\beta_m \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{1}{i} |s_i|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(i\beta_i)| X_i + O(1) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \beta_i X_i + O(1)m\beta_m X_m = O(1), \end{split}$$

again using [1, Lemma 3].

Using (v),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n3}|^{k} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |t_{nn}\lambda_{n}s_{n}|^{k}$$
$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} (nt_{nn})^{k-1} t_{nn} |\lambda_{n}|^{k} |s_{n}|^{k}$$
$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} t_{nn} |\lambda_{n}|^{k-1} |\lambda_{n}| |s_{n}|^{k} = O(1),$$
(14)

as in the proof of T_{n1} .

A weighted mean matrix, denoted by (\overline{N}, p_n) , is a lower triangular matrix with nonzero entries p_k/P_n , where $\{p_n\}$ is a nonnegative sequence with $p_0 > 0$ and $P_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_i \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

COROLLARY 2. Let $\{X_n\}$ be an almost increasing sequence and let condition (viii) of *Theorem 1* be satisfied. If $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfy conditions (i)–(iv) of *Theorem 1* and if $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence such that

(i)
$$np_n/P_n = O(1)$$
,

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$.

PROOF. With $T = (\overline{N}, p_n)$, condition (v) of Theorem 1 reduces to condition (i). Conditions (vi) and (vii) of Theorem 1 are automatically satisfied.

It should be noted that, in [1], an incorrect definition of absolute summability was used (see, e.g., [2]). Corollary 2 gives the correct version of Bor's theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This research was completed while the second author was a Fulbright scholar at Indiana University during the fall semester of 2003.

REFERENCES

- H. Bor, An application of almost increasing sequences, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 23 (2000), no. 12, 859–863.
- B. E. Rhoades, Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 238 (1999), no. 1, 82-90.
- B. E. Rhoades and E. Savaş, Some necessary conditions for absolute matrix summability factors, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (2002), no. 7, 1003–1009.
- [4] _____, Some summability factor theorems for absolute summability, Analysis (Munich) 22 (2002), no. 2, 163-174.
- [5] _____, A summability type factor theorem, Tamkang J. Math. 34 (2003), no. 4, 395-401.

B. E. Rhoades: Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-7106, USA

E-mail address: rhoades@indiana.edu

Ekrem Savaş: Department of Mathematics, Yüzüncü Yil University, 65080 Van, Turkey *E-mail address*: ekremsavas@yahoo.com