

Research Article

Note on Product Summability of an Infinite Series

W. T. Sulaiman

Department of Mathematic, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, Mosul University, Mosul, Iraq

Correspondence should be addressed to W. T. Sulaiman, waadsulaiman@hotmail.com

Received 14 October 2007; Accepted 21 April 2008

Recommended by Huseyin Bor

New results concerning product summability of an infinite series are given. Some special cases are also deduced.

Copyright © 2008 W. T. Sulaiman. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums s_n . Let u_n^α denote the n th Cesaro mean of order $\alpha > -1$ of the sequence (s_n) . The series $\sum a_n$ is summable $|C, \alpha|_k$, $k \geq 1$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |u_n^\alpha - u_{n-1}^\alpha|^k < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

(Flett [1]). For $\alpha = 1$, $|C, \alpha|_k$ reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive real constants such that $P_n = p_0 + \dots + p_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ($P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0$). The (N, p) transform ϕ_n of (s_n) generated by (p_n) is defined by

$$\phi_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_{n-v} s_v. \quad (1.2)$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\Phi_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v \quad (1.3)$$

defines the sequence (Φ_n) of (\overline{N}, p_n) transform of (s_n) generated by (p_n) . The series $\sum a_n$ is summable $|R, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\Phi_n - \Phi_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

In the special case when $p_n = 1$ for all n (resp., $k = 1$), $|R, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ (resp., $|R, p_n|$) summability.

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|(N, p)(N, q)|$, when the (N, p) transform of the (N, q) transform of (s_n) is a sequence of bounded variation (see Das [2]).

We give the following new definition.

Let (T_n) define the sequence of the (\bar{N}, q_n) transform of the (\bar{N}, p_n) transform of (s_n) generated by the sequences (q_n) and (p_n) , respectively. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|(R, q_n)(R, p_n)|_k$, $k \geq 1$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |T_n - T_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

We may assume through the paper that $Q_n = q_0 + \dots + q_n \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$; $R_n = r_0 + \dots + r_n \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. New results

We state and prove the following.

Theorem 2.1. Let $k \geq 1$, (λ_n) be a sequence of constants. Define

$$f_v = \sum_{r=v}^n \frac{q_r}{P_r}, \quad F_v = \sum_{r=v}^n p_r f_r. \quad (2.1)$$

Let

$$p_n Q_n = O(P_n), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{k-1} q_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}} = O\left(\frac{(v q_v)^{k-1}}{Q_v^k}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

Then, sufficient conditions for the implication

$$\sum a_n \text{ is summable } |R, r_n|_k \implies \sum a_n \lambda_n \text{ is summable } |(R, q_n)(R, p_n)|_k \quad (2.4)$$

are

$$|\lambda_v| F_v = O(Q_v), \quad (2.5)$$

$$|\lambda_n| = O(Q_n), \quad (2.6)$$

$$p_v R_v |\lambda_v| = O(Q_v), \quad (2.7)$$

$$p_v q_v R_v |\lambda_v| = O(Q_v Q_{v-1} r_v), \quad (2.8)$$

$$p_n q_n R_n |\lambda_n| = O(P_n Q_n r_n), \quad (2.9)$$

$$R_{v-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| F_{v+1} = O(Q_v r_v), \quad (2.10)$$

$$R_{v-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| = O(Q_v r_v). \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. Let (S_n) be the sequence of partial sums of $\sum a_n \lambda_n$. Let v_n, V_n be the (\overline{N}, r_n) , $(\overline{N}, q_n)(\overline{N}, p_n)$ transforms of the sequences (s_n) , (S_n) , respectively. We write $t_n = v_n - v_{n-1}$, $T_n = V_n - V_{n-1}$. Therefore,

$$t_n = \frac{r_n}{R_n R_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n R_{v-1} a_v, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{r=0}^n q_r \frac{1}{P_r} \sum_{v=0}^r p_v S_v \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v S_v \sum_{r=v}^n \frac{q_r}{P_r} \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v S_v f_v. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= V_n - V_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{r=0}^n p_r S_r f_r + \frac{p_n S_n f_n}{Q_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{r=0}^v p_r f_r \sum_{v=0}^r a_v \lambda_v + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=0}^n a_v \lambda_v \\ &= \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=0}^n a_v \lambda_v \sum_{r=v}^n p_r f_r + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=0}^n a_v \lambda_v \\ &= \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n R_{v-1} a_v \frac{\lambda_v}{R_{v-1}} \sum_{r=v}^n p_r f_r + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n R_{v-1} a_v \frac{\lambda_v}{R_{v-1}} \\ &= \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v R_{r-1} a_r \right) \Delta_v \left(\frac{\lambda_v}{R_{v-1}} \sum_{r=v}^n p_r f_r \right) + \left(\sum_{v=1}^n R_{v-1} a_v \right) \frac{\lambda_n p_n f_n}{R_{n-1}} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v R_{r-1} a_r \right) \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_v}{R_{v-1}} \right) + \left(\sum_{v=1}^n R_{v-1} a_v \right) \frac{\lambda_n}{R_{n-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(t_v \lambda_v F_v + \frac{R_{v-1}}{r_v} p_v t_v \lambda_v f_v + \frac{R_{v-1}}{r_v} t_v \Delta \lambda_v F_{v+1} \right) \right) + \frac{p_n q_n R_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1} r_n} t_n \lambda_n f_n \\ &\quad + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(t_v \lambda_v + \frac{R_{v-1}}{r_v} t_v \Delta \lambda_v \right) \right) + \frac{p_n q_n R_n}{P_n Q_{n-1} r_n} t_n \lambda_n, \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^7 T_{nj}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

In order to complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |T_{nj}|^k < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. \quad (2.15)$$

Applying Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n1}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left| \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} t_v \lambda_v F_v \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{n^{k-1} q_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{q_v^{k-1}} |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k F_v^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{q_v}{Q_{n-1}} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{1}{q_v^{k-1}} |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k F_v^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{k-1} q_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} |t_v|^k \frac{|\lambda_v|^k F_v^k}{Q_v^k} \\
&= O(1), \\
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n2}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left| \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{R_{v-1} p_v}{r_v} t_v \lambda_v f_v \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{n^{k-1} q_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{R_v^k p_v^k}{q_v^{k-1}} |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k f_v^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{q_v}{Q_{n-1}} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{R_v^k p_v^k}{q_v^{k-1}} |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k f_v^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{k-1} q_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} |t_v|^k \frac{R_v^k p_v^k}{Q_v^k} |\lambda_v|^k f_v^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} |t_v|^k \\
&= O(1), \\
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n3}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left| \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{R_{v-1}}{r_v} t_v \Delta \lambda_v F_{v+1} \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \frac{q_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{R_{v-1}^k}{q_v^{k-1} r_v^k} |t_v|^k |\Delta \lambda_v|^k F_{v+1}^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{q_v}{Q_{n-1}} \right)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{R_{v-1}^k}{q_v^{k-1} r_v^k} |t_v|^k |\Delta \lambda_v|^k F_{v+1}^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{k-1} q_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} |t_v|^k \frac{R_{v-1}^k}{Q_v^k r_v^k} |\Delta \lambda_v|^k F_{v+1}^k \\
&= O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^m n^{k-1} |T_{n4}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{k-1} \left| \frac{p_n q_n R_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1} r_n} t_n \lambda_n f_n \right|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{k-1} |t_n|^k |\lambda_n|^k \frac{p_n^k q_n^k R_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k r_n^k} \\
&= O(1), \\
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n5}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left| \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} t_v \lambda_v \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \frac{p_n^k q_n^k}{P_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{q_v^{k-1}} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{q_v}{Q_{n-1}} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{q_v^{k-1}} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{k-1} p_n^k q_n^k}{P_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{q_v^{k-1}} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{k-1} q_n^k}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} |t_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{Q_v^k} \\
&= O(1), \\
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} |T_{n6}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \left| \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{R_{v-1}}{r_v} t_v \Delta \lambda_v \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \frac{p_n^k q_n^k}{P_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{R_{v-1}^k}{q^{k-1} r_v^k} |t_v|^k |\Delta \lambda_v|^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{q_v}{Q_{n-1}} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{R_{v-1}^k}{q_v^{k-1} r_v^k} |t_v|^k |\Delta \lambda_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{k-1} \frac{p_n^k q_n^k}{P_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{k-1} |t_v|^k |\Delta \lambda_v|^k \frac{R_{v-1}^k}{Q_v^k r_v^k} \\
&= O(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^m n^{k-1} |T_{n7}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{k-1} \left| \frac{p_n q_n R_n}{P_n Q_{n-1} r_n} t_n \lambda_n \right|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{k-1} |t_n|^k |\lambda_n|^k \left(\frac{p_n q_n R_n}{P_n Q_{n-1} r_n} \right)^k \\
&= O(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let (2.3) be satisfied and

$$P_v = O(p_v Q_v), \quad (2.18)$$

$$Q_n = O(nq_n). \quad (2.19)$$

Then, necessary conditions for the implication (2.4) to be satisfied are

$$|\lambda_v| = O\left(\frac{Q_v Q_{v-1} r_v}{(1+F_v)q_v R_v}\right), \quad |\lambda_v| = O\left(\frac{v^{1-1/k} r_v Q_v}{p_v f_v R_v}\right), \quad |\Delta \lambda_v| = O\left(\frac{v^{1-1/k} r_v Q_v}{(1+F_{v+1})R_v}\right). \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. For $k \geq 1$ define

$$A^* = \left\{ (a_j): \sum a_j \text{ is summable } |R, r_n|_k \right\}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$B^* = \left\{ (b_j): \sum b_j \lambda_j \text{ is summable } |(R, q_n)(R, p_n)|_k \right\}.$$

From (2.14), we have

$$T_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{q_n F_v}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \right) a_v \lambda_v. \quad (2.22)$$

With t_n and T_n as defined by (2.12) and (2.22), the spaces A^* and B^* are BK-spaces with norms defined by

$$\|c\|_1 = \left\{ |t_0|^k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |t_n|^k \right\}^{1/k}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\|c\|_2 = \left\{ |T_0|^k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |T_n|^k \right\}^{1/k},$$

respectively. By the hypothesis of the theorem,

$$\|c\|_1 < \infty \implies \|c\|_2 < \infty. \quad (2.24)$$

The inclusion map $i : A^* \rightarrow B^*$ defined by $i(a) = a$ is continuous since A^* and B^* are BK-spaces. By the closed graph theorem, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|c\|_2 \leq K \|c\|_1. \quad (2.25)$$

Let e_n denote the n th coordinate vector. From (2.12) and (2.22) with (a_n) defined by $a_n = e_n - e_{n+1}$, $n = v$, $a_n = 0$, otherwise, we have

$$t_n = \begin{cases} 0, & n < v, \\ \frac{r_v}{R_v}, & n = v, \\ -\frac{r_n r_v}{R_n R_{n-1}}, & n > v, \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

$$T_n = \begin{cases} 0, & n < v, \\ \left(\frac{q_v F_v}{Q_v Q_{v-1}} + \frac{p_v q_v}{P_v Q_{v-1}} \right) \lambda_v, & n = v, \\ \Delta_v \left(\left(\frac{q_n F_v}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \right) \lambda_v \right), & n > v. \end{cases}$$

From (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|c\|_1 &= \left\{ v^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_v}{Q_v} \right)^k + \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_n q_v}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \right)^k \right\}^{1/k}, \\ \|c\|_2 &= \left\{ v^{k-1} \left| \left(\frac{q_v F_v}{Q_v Q_{v-1}} + \frac{p_v q_v}{P_v Q_{v-1}} \right) \lambda_v \right|^k + \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left| \Delta_v \left(\left(\frac{q_n F_v}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \right) \lambda_v \right) \right|^k \right\}^{1/k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Applying (2.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &v^{k-1} \left| \left(\frac{q_v F_v}{Q_v Q_{v-1}} + \frac{p_v q_v}{P_v Q_{v-1}} \right) \lambda_v \right|^k + \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left| \Delta_v \left(\left(\frac{q_n F_v}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \right) \lambda_v \right) \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^k + \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_n r_v}{R_n R_{n-1}} \right)^k \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

As the right-hand side of (2.28), by (2.3), is

$$\begin{aligned} &= O(1) \left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^k + \frac{r_v^k}{R_v^{k-1}} \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{k-1} r_n^k}{R_n^k R_{n-1}} \right) \\ &= O(1) \left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^k + \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^{k-1} v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^k \right) \\ &= O \left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^k \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

and the fact that each term of the left-hand side of (2.28) is $O(v^{k-1}(r_v/R_v)^k)$, we obtain

$$v^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_v F_v}{Q_v Q_{v-1}} + \frac{p_v q_v}{P_v Q_{v-1}} \right)^k |\lambda_v|^k = O \left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^k \right), \quad (2.30)$$

which implies by (2.18)

$$\left(\frac{q_v}{Q_v Q_{v-1}} \right)^k (1 + F_v)^k |\lambda_v|^k = O \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^k, \quad (2.31)$$

that is,

$$|\lambda_v| = O \left(\frac{Q_v Q_{v-1} r_v}{(1 + F_v) q_v R_v} \right). \quad (2.32)$$

Also, we have, by (2.28),

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left| \left(\frac{q_n p_v f_v}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \right) \lambda_v + \left(\frac{q_n F_{v+1}}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \right) \Delta \lambda_v \right|^k = O \left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v} \right)^k \right). \quad (2.33)$$

The above, via the linear independence of λ_v and $\Delta\lambda_v$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_n F_{v+1}}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} + \frac{p_n q_n}{P_n Q_{n-1}} \right)^k |\Delta\lambda_v|^k &= O\left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_v}{Q_v}\right)^k\right) \\ |\Delta\lambda_v|^k (1 + F_{v+1})^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}}\right)^k &= O\left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_v}{Q_v}\right)^k\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

by (2.18). As by (2.19), via the mean value theorem,

$$\frac{1}{Q_v^k} = \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{Q_{n-1}^k}\right) = O(1) \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta Q_{n-1}^k|}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} = O(1) \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{n-1}^{k-1} q_n}{Q_n^k Q_{n-1}^k} = O(1) \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}}\right)^k. \quad (2.35)$$

Then,

$$|\Delta\lambda_v|^k (1 + F_{v+1})^k \frac{1}{Q_v^k} = O\left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v}\right)^k\right), \quad (2.36)$$

which implies

$$\Delta\lambda_v = O\left(\frac{v^{1-1/k} r_v Q_v}{(1 + F_{v+1}) R_v}\right). \quad (2.37)$$

Also, by (2.28),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left| \frac{q_n p_v f_v}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \lambda_v \right|^k &= O\left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v}\right)^k\right), \\ p_v^k f_v^k |\lambda_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \left(\frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}}\right)^k &= O\left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v}\right)^k\right), \\ p_v^k f_v^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{Q_v^k} &= O\left(v^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_v}{R_v}\right)^k\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

which implies

$$\lambda_v = O\left(\frac{v^{1-1/k} r_v Q_v}{p_v f_v R_v}\right). \quad (2.39)$$

□

3. Applications

Corollary 3.1. Let $k \geq 1$. Define

$$f_v = \sum_{r=v}^n \frac{q_r}{r}, \quad F_v = \sum_{r=v}^n f_r. \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$n = O(Q_n). \quad (3.2)$$

Then, sufficient conditions for the implication

$$\sum a_n \text{ is summable } |C, 1|_k \implies \sum a_n \lambda_n \text{ is summable } |(R, q_n)(C, 1)|_k \quad (3.3)$$

are (2.5), (2.6), and the following:

$$\begin{aligned} v|\lambda_v| &= O(Q_v), \\ vq_v|\lambda_v| &= O(Q_v Q_{v-1}), \\ nq_n|\lambda_n| &= O(nQ_n), \\ v|\Delta\lambda_v|_{F_{v+1}} &= O(Q_v), \\ |\Delta\lambda_v| &= O(q_v), \\ v|\Delta\lambda_v| &= O(Q_v). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by putting $p_n = r_n = 1$ for all n . \square

Corollary 3.2. Let $k \geq 1$. Define

$$f_v = \sum_{r=v}^n \frac{1}{F_r}, \quad F_v = \sum_{r=v}^n p_r f_r. \quad (3.5)$$

Let (2.2) be satisfied. Then, sufficient conditions for the implication

$$\sum a_n \text{ is summable } |C, 1|_k \implies \sum a_n \lambda_n \text{ is summable } |(C, 1)(R, p_n)|_k \quad (3.6)$$

are

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_v|_{F_v} &= O(v), \\ |\lambda_n| &= O(n), \\ p_v|\lambda_v| &= O(1), \\ |\Delta\lambda_v|_{F_{v+1}} &= O(1), \\ |\Delta\lambda_v| &= O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1, by putting $q_n = r_n = 1$, for all n , noticing that (2.3) is satisfied as

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{v}. \quad (3.8)$$

\square

Corollary 3.3. Let f_v, F_v be as defined in (3.1). Let (2.3) and (3.2) be satisfied. Then, sufficient conditions for the implication

$$\sum a_n \text{ is summable } |R, r_n|_k \implies \sum a_n \lambda_n \text{ is summable } |(R, q_n)(C, 1)|_k \quad (3.9)$$

are (2.5), (2.6), (2.10), (2.11), and the following:

$$\begin{aligned} R_v |\lambda_v| &= O(Q_v), \\ q_v R_v |\lambda_v| &= O(Q_v Q_{v-1} r_v), \\ q_n R_n |\lambda_n| &= O(n Q_n r_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1, by putting $p_n = 1$ for all n . \square

Corollary 3.4. Let f_v, F_v be as defined in (3.1). Let (2.3), (2.19) be satisfied and

$$v = O(Q_v). \quad (3.11)$$

Then, necessary conditions for the implication (3.3) are

$$\lambda_v = O\left(\frac{Q_v Q_{v-1}}{(1 + F_v) v q_v}\right), \quad \lambda_v = O\left(\frac{Q_v}{v^{1/k} f_v}\right), \quad \Delta \lambda_v = O\left(\frac{Q_v}{v^{1/k} (1 + F_{v+1})}\right). \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.2 by putting $p_n = r_n = 1$ for all n . \square

Corollary 3.5. Let f_v, F_v be as defined in (3.5). Let

$$P_v = O(v p_v). \quad (3.13)$$

Then, necessary conditions for the implication (3.5) to be satisfied are

$$\lambda_v = O\left(\frac{v}{1 + F_v}\right), \quad \lambda_v = O\left(\frac{v^{1-1/k}}{p_v f_v}\right), \quad \Delta \lambda_v = O\left(\frac{v^{1-1/k}}{1 + F_{v+1}}\right). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.2, by putting $q_n = r_n = 1$, keeping in mind that (2.3) is satisfied as in the case of (3.8). \square

Corollary 3.6. Let f_v, F_v be as defined in (3.1). Let (2.3), (2.19), and (3.2) be all satisfied. Then, necessary conditions for the implication (3.9) to be satisfied are

$$\lambda_v = O\left(\frac{Q_v Q_{v-1} r_v}{(1 + F_v) q_v R_v}\right), \quad \lambda_v = O\left(\frac{v^{1-1/k} r_v Q_v}{f_v R_v}\right), \quad \Delta \lambda_v = O\left(\frac{v^{1-1/k} r_v Q_v}{(1 + F_{v+1}) R_v}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.2, by putting $p_n = 1$ for all n . \square

References

- [1] T. M. Flett, "On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 113–141, 1957.
- [2] G. Das, "Tauberian theorems for absolute Nörlund summability," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 357–384, 1969.