

## THE STAR COMPACTIFICATION

**G.D. RICHARDSON**

Department of Mathematics  
East Carolina University  
Greenville, N. C. 27834

**D.C. KENT**

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics  
Washington State University  
Pullman, Washington 99164

(Received September 18, 1980)

**ABSTRACT.** The relationships between a convergence space and its star compactification is studied. Special attention is given to lifting properties of this compactification. In particular, it is shown that a natural extension of any continuous function to the respective compactification spaces is  $\theta$ -continuous.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** *Convergence space, compactification, G-space, R-series, natural extension,  $\theta$ -continuous function, proper map, open map.*

**1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** 54A20, 54D35

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

We study a convergence space compactification which was introduced by one of the authors in 1970 (see [11]). The star compactification  $\kappa^* = (X^*, i^*)$  of a convergence space  $X$  is constructed by adjoining to  $X$  the set  $X'$  of all non-convergent ultrafilters on  $X$  and constructing a compact convergence structure on  $X^* = X \cup X'$  in a natural way. It is proved in [11] that a continuous function from a  $T_2$  space  $X$  into a compact  $T_3$  space  $Y$  has a continuous extension to  $X^*$ .

The authors published a survey paper, [7], concerning the existence of largest and smallest convergence space compactifications relative to various constraints. In all cases studied, the largest compactification, whenever it existed, turned out to be  $\kappa^*$ . In a more recent paper, [9], we showed that  $\kappa^*$  can be used to characterize  $\omega$ -regular and completely regular spaces. These results suggest that further investigation of the star compactification is appropriate.

In Section 2, we examine the relationship between the decomposition series of  $X$  and  $X^*$ , showing that the lengths of these series can differ by at most one. These results yield a method for constructing compact  $T_2$  spaces with arbitrarily long decomposition series. In Section 3, the R-series of  $X$  and  $X^*$  are compared. By means of the R-series, the notion of  $\theta$ -continuity and other  $\theta$ -mapping properties (see [2], [3]) are extended to convergence spaces.

If  $f$  is a function from a space  $X$  to a space  $Y$ , then a "natural extension"  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  is defined in Section 4. The natural extension is unique if  $Y$  is  $T_2$  and coincides with the continuous extension constructed in [11] when  $Y$  is compact and  $T_3$ . The main result of Section 4 is that any natural extension  $f_*$  is  $\theta$ -continuous whenever  $f$  is continuous. This result is used to obtain, among other things, an alternate construction of  $\beta X$  for a Tychonoff topological space  $X$ . Section 5 examines conditions on  $f$ ,  $X$ , and  $Y$  under which  $f_*$  is continuous, and Section 6 gives conditions under which  $f_*$  preserves certain quotient-type mapping

properties, such as "open", "proper", and "perfect".

2. DECOMPOSITION SERIES.

The reader is asked to refer to [7] for convergence space notation and terminology not given here, as well as additional information about the star compactification. As in [7], space will always mean convergence space, and the abbreviation "u.f." is often used for "ultrafilter". The separation axioms  $T_1$  (singletons are closed),  $T_2$  (convergent filters have unique limits), and  $T_3$  (regular plus  $T_2$ ) will be used, but no separation axioms are assumed unless such is explicitly stated.

Given a space  $X$ , let  $F(X)$  (resp.  $U(X)$ ) be the set of all filters (resp., ultrafilters) on  $X$ . Let  $X'$  be the set of all non-convergent members of  $\mathcal{U}(X)$ , and  $X^* = X \cup X'$ . If  $A \subseteq X$ , define  $A' = \{ \mathfrak{F} \in X' : A \in \mathfrak{F} \}$ , and  $A^* = A \cup A'$ . If  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$ , and  $F' \neq \emptyset$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then let  $\mathfrak{F}'$  be the filter generated by  $\{F' : F \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ ; let  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  be the filter generated by  $\{F^* : F \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}'$  exists, then  $\mathfrak{F}^* = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F}'$ ; otherwise,  $\mathfrak{F}^* = \mathfrak{F}$ . We omit the easy proofs of the first two propositions.

PROPOSITION 2.1. The following equalities hold for any subsets  $A, B$  of  $X$ :  
 $A' \cup B' = (A \cup B)'$ ;  $A' \cap B' = (A \cap B)'$ ;  $A^* \cup B^* = (A \cup B)^*$ ;  $A^* \cap B^* = (A \cap B)^*$ .

Let  $X$  be a space,  $G \in F(X^*)$ , and  $X' \in G$ . Define  $G^\wedge$  to be the filter on  $X$  generated by  $\{A \subseteq X : A' \in G\}$ .

- PROPOSITION 2.2. (a) If  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$ ,  $\mathfrak{L} \in F(X^*)$ , and  $\mathfrak{L} \geq \mathfrak{F}^*$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}^\wedge \geq \mathfrak{F}$ .  
 (b) If  $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}'$  exists, then  $(\mathfrak{F}')^\wedge = \mathfrak{F}$ .  
 (c) If  $G \in \mathcal{U}(X^*)$  and  $X' \in G$ , then  $G^\wedge \in \mathcal{U}(X)$ .

A convergence structure is defined on  $X^*$  as follows:

For  $x \in X$ ,  $G \rightarrow x$  in  $X^*$  iff there is  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  such that  $G \geq \mathfrak{F}^*$ ; for  $\mathfrak{L} \in X'$ ,  $G \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$  iff  $G \geq \mathfrak{L}^*$ . Let  $i^*$  denote the identity embedding of  $X$  into  $X^*$ ; it is proved in [11] that  $\kappa^* = (X^*, i^*)$  is a compactification of  $X$  which is  $T_2$

whenever  $X$  is  $T_2$ . It is immediate from the construction that, for any non-compact space  $X$ ,  $X^*-X$  is a  $T_2$  pretopological space; thus  $X^*$  is pretopological whenever  $X$  is pretopological. The universal property of  $\kappa^*$  established in [11] will be obtained in Section 3 as a corollary of a much more general result.

A subset  $A$  of space  $X$  is bounded if each ultrafilter containing  $A$  is convergent.  $X$  is said to be locally bounded if each convergent filter contains a bounded set.  $X$  is essentially bounded if  $\mathfrak{F} \in X'$  implies that the filters  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\bigcap \{ \mathfrak{G} \in X' : \mathfrak{G} \neq \mathfrak{F} \}$  contain disjoint sets. The next proposition is proved in [7].

PROPOSITION 2.3. (a)  $X$  is locally bounded iff  $X$  is open in  $X^*$ .

(b)  $X$  is essentially bounded iff  $X^* - X$  is discrete.

We shall next consider the relationship between the closure operators of  $X$  and  $X^*$ . Let  $cl_X$  be the closure operator on a space  $X$ . For an ordinal number  $\alpha$ , we define:

$$\begin{aligned} cl_X^0 A &= A \\ cl_X^1 A &= cl_X A \\ &\vdots \\ cl_X^\alpha A &= cl_X (cl_X^{\alpha-1} A) \text{ if } \alpha-1 \text{ exists} \\ cl_X^\alpha A &= \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} cl_X^\beta A, \text{ if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal.} \end{aligned}$$

The smallest ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $cl_X^\alpha A = cl_X^{\alpha+1} A$  for all  $A \subseteq X$  is called the length of the decomposition series of  $X$  and denoted by  $\ell_D(X)$ . The relationship between  $\ell_D(X)$  and  $\ell_D(X^*)$  can be obtained with the help of several lemmas.

For the remainder of this section, we shall assume that  $X$  is an arbitrary space;  $(X^*, i^*)$  will always denote the star compactification of  $X$ . Let  $\omega$  be the smallest infinite ordinal number.

LEMMA 2.4. If  $A \subseteq X$ , then  $cl_{X^*}^n A = cl_X^n A \cup (cl_X^{n-1} A)'$ .

PROOF. It suffices to prove this result for  $n=2$ . Note that  $cl_X^2 A \cup (cl_X A)' \subseteq cl_{X^*}^2 A$  is obvious. If  $x \in (cl_{X^*}^2 A) \cap X$ , then there is  $G \in \mathcal{U}(X^*)$  such that  $G \geq \mathcal{L}^*$ , and  $x \in G$  implies  $G|_X \geq \mathcal{L}$ . Thus  $x \in cl_X^2 A$ . If  $\mathcal{F} \in cl_{X^*}^2 A \cap X'$ , then it is easy to see that  $cl_X A \in \mathcal{F}$ , and so  $\mathcal{F} \in (cl_X A)'$ . ■

We next describe  $cl_{X^*}^n B$  for  $B \subseteq X'$ . For this purpose, it is necessary to introduce some additional terminology. If  $B \subseteq X'$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_B = \{ \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \in B \}$ ; note that  $\mathcal{H}_B \in F(X)$ . Define  $B^\sim = \{ \mathcal{L} \in X' : \mathcal{L} \geq \mathcal{H}_B \}$ , and  $B^\vee = \{ x \in X : \exists \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(X) \text{ such that } \mathcal{F} \rightarrow x \text{ in } X \text{ and } \mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{H}_B \}$ . Note that  $(B^\sim)^\sim = B^\sim$  and  $(B^\sim)^\vee = B^\vee$ .

LEMMA 2.5. If  $B \subseteq X'$ , then  $cl_{X^*}^n B = B^\sim \cup (cl_X^{n-1} B^\vee) \cup (cl_X^{n-2} B^\vee)'$ .

PROOF. For  $n=1$ , the statement becomes  $cl_{X^*} B = B^\sim \cup B^\vee$ . If  $\mathcal{L} \in (cl_{X^*} B) \cap X'$ , then there is  $G \in \mathcal{U}(X^*)$  such that  $G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  in  $X^*$  and  $B \in G$ . Thus  $G \geq \mathcal{L}'$ , and so  $B \cap G' \neq \emptyset$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{L}$ . This implies  $\mathcal{L} \geq \mathcal{H}_B$ , and so  $\mathcal{L} \in B^\sim$ . If  $x \in (cl_{X^*} B) \cap X$ , then there is an u.f.  $G$  containing  $B$  and a filter  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$  such that  $G \geq \mathcal{F}'$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $G^\wedge \geq \mathcal{F}$ , and so  $G^\wedge \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ . Also,  $G^\wedge \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  and  $G \geq (G^\wedge)'$ . Letting  $\mathcal{L} = G^\wedge$ , we have  $B \cap G' \neq \emptyset$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{L}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L} \geq \mathcal{H}_B$ , and  $x \in B^\vee$ .

Conversely, if  $x \in B^\vee$ , then there is  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$  and  $\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{H}_B$ . For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , choose  $\mathcal{L}_F \in B$  such that  $F \in \mathcal{L}_F$ . Let  $G$  be the filter of sections of the net  $(\mathcal{L}_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ . Then  $G \geq \mathcal{F}^*$  implies  $G \rightarrow x$  in  $X^*$ , and so  $x \in cl_{X^*} B$ . A similar argument shows that  $B^\sim \subseteq cl_{X^*} B$ . This establishes the result for  $n=1$ .

If  $n=2$ , then  $cl_{X^*}^2 B = cl_{X^*} (B^\sim \cup B^\vee) = (B^\sim)^\sim \cup (B^\sim)^\vee \cup (cl_X B^\vee)'$  follows with the help of Lemma 2.4. By the remarks preceding Lemma 2.5,  $(B^\sim)^\sim = B^\sim$  and  $(B^\sim)^\vee = B^\vee = B^\vee \subseteq cl_X B^\vee$ . This establishes the result for  $n=2$ . The generalization to arbitrary  $n$  is now a trivial induction argument.

COROLLARY 2.6. If  $A \subseteq X$ , then  $cl_{X^*} A = cl_{X^*} A^*$ .

PROOF.  $cl_{X^*} A^* = cl_{X^*} A \cup cl_{X^*} A'$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $cl_{X^*} A' = (A')^\sim \cup (A')^\vee$ .

It is easy to check that  $(A')^\sim = A' \subseteq cl_{X^*} A$ , and  $(A')^\vee \subseteq cl_X A \subseteq cl_{X^*} A$ . ■

LEMMA 2.7 (a) If  $A \subseteq X$  and  $\alpha$  is a non-limit ordinal, then

$$cl_{X^*}^\alpha A = cl_X^\alpha A \cup (cl_X^{\alpha-1} A)'$$

(b) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then  $cl_{X^*}^\alpha A = cl_X^\alpha A \cup (U\{(cl_X^\beta A)'\} : \beta < \alpha)$ .

PROOF. Using transfinite induction along with Lemma 2.4, the results follow easily from both limit and non-limit ordinals, except for the case  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ , where  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal. In this case we have

$$cl_{X^*}^{\gamma+1} A = cl_{X^*}(cl_X^\gamma A \cup B) = cl_X^{\gamma+1} A \cup (cl_X^\gamma A)' \cup B^\sim \cup B^\vee,$$

where  $B = U\{(cl_X^\beta A)'\} : \beta < \alpha$ . It is not difficult to show that  $B^\vee \subseteq cl_X^{\gamma+1} A$  and  $B^\sim \subseteq (cl_X^\gamma A)'$ ; we omit the details. ■

The symbol  $\lambda X$  represents the topological modification of  $X$ , i.e., the finest topological space on  $X$  coarser than  $X$ .

THEOREM 2.8.  $\lambda X$  is a subspace of  $\lambda X^*$ .

PROOF. Let  $X_1 = \lambda X^*|_X$ . Then  $\lambda X \geq X_1$  is clear. Let  $A \subseteq X$  be  $\lambda X$ -closed. Then  $cl_{X^*} A = cl_X A \cup A' = A \cup A' = A^* = cl_X A^*$ , by Corollary 2.6. Thus  $A^*$  is closed in  $\lambda X^*$  and  $A = A^* \cap X$ , which implies  $A$  is  $X_1$ -closed. ■

THEOREM 2.9. (a) If  $1 \leq \ell_D(X) < \omega$ , then  $\ell_D(X) \leq \ell_D(X^*) \leq \ell_D(X) + 1$ .

(b) If  $\ell_D(X) \geq \omega$ , then  $\ell_D(X) = \ell_D(X^*)$ .

PROOF. Let  $A \subseteq X^*$  and  $A = B \cup C$ , where  $B = A \cap X$ ,  $C = A \cap X'$ . Then, by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5,  $cl_{X^*}^n A = cl_X^n B \cup (cl_X^{n-1} B)' \cup (cl_X^{n-1} C^\vee) \cup (cl_X^{n-2} C^\vee) \cup C^\sim$ . If  $cl_X^k$  is idempotent, it follows that  $cl_{X^*}^{k+1}$  must be idempotent. Thus  $\ell_D(X^*) \leq \ell_D(X) + 1$ . It follows easily from Lemma 2.4 that  $cl_X^k$  is idempotent if  $cl_{X^*}^k$  is idempotent. Thus (a) is established.

Statement (b) follows easily from Lemma 2.6. ■

COROLLARY 2.10. If  $X$  is a topological space, then  $\ell_D(X^*) \leq 2$ .

We define a G-space to be a regular space with the property that  $cl_X \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $\mathfrak{F} \in X'$ ; this concept (but not the terminology) was introduced by Gazik [4]. Discrete spaces and compact regular spaces are the most obvious examples of G-spaces. Another class of G-spaces are the ultraspace, which are the topological spaces having exactly one convergent ultrafilter. The next theorem is proved in [4] in the case where X is  $T_2$ ; removal of the  $T_2$  assumption causes no problems in the proof.

**THEOREM 2.11.**  $X^*$  is regular iff X is a G-space.

A regular space is symmetric if  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  whenever  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow y$  and  $\dot{y} \rightarrow x$ . Examples of symmetric spaces include  $T_3$  spaces, regular topological spaces, and regular convergence groups. It is shown in [14] that a compact symmetric space has the same ultrafilter convergence as a compact regular topological space.

**PROPOSITION 2.12.** If X is a symmetric G-space, then  $X^*$  is symmetric.

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\dot{\alpha} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $X^*$ . By the construction of  $X^*$ , we can conclude that both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are in X. Since  $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \alpha$ , there is  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \alpha$  in X such that  $\mathfrak{G} \geq \mathfrak{F}^*$ . Since X is symmetric,  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \beta$  in X, and so  $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $X^*$ . ■

**THEOREM 2.13.** (a) If X is a G-space, then  $l_D(X^*) \leq 2$  and  $l_D(X) \leq 2$ .

(b) If X is a symmetric G-space, then  $l_D(X^*) \leq 1$ .

(c) If X is a  $T_2$  topological space, then  $l_D(X^*) \leq 1$  iff X is a G-space.

**PROOF.** (a) If X is a G-space, then  $X^*$  is a compact regular space by Theorem 2.11, and it follows by Theorem 2.4(a), [14], that  $l_D(X^*) \leq 2$ . The second inequality follows from the first and Theorem 2.9.

Statement (b) follows immediately from Proposition 2.12 and Theorem 2.4(b), [14].

(c) If X is a topological G-space, then X is symmetric, and so  $l_D(X^*) \leq 1$  by (b). If  $l_D(X^*) \leq 1$ , then  $X^*$  is a compact  $T_2$  topological space, and hence  $X^*$  is regular. By Theorem 2.11, X is a G-space. ■

It should be noted that  $\ell_D(Y) = 0$  iff  $Y$  is discrete, and consequently  $\ell_D(X^*) = 0$  iff  $X$  is a finite discrete space. If  $X$  is not a finite discrete space, we can replace " $\ell_D(X^*) \leq 1$ " by " $\ell_D(X^*) = 1$ " in (b) and (c) of Theorem 2.13.

3. R-SERIES.

In this section, we summarize some results on the R-series of a space (originally studied in [13] and [14]), obtain a few results on the R-series of  $X^*$ , and lay the groundwork for many of the results of Section 4.

Starting with a space  $X$ , an ordinal family of spaces  $\{r_\alpha X\}$  is defined on the same underlying set as follows:  $r_0(X) = X$

$\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $r_1 X$  iff there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} \geq \text{cl}_X^n \mathfrak{G}$ .

$\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $r_\alpha X$  iff there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  and  $\beta < \alpha$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} \geq \text{cl}_{r_\beta X}^n \mathfrak{G}$ .

The family  $\{r_\alpha X\}$  is called the R-series of  $X$ . If  $\gamma$  is the least ordinal such that  $r_\gamma X = r_{\gamma+1} X$ , then  $r_\gamma X$  is denoted  $X_r$ , and  $\gamma$  is called the length of the R-series of  $X$  and denoted by  $\ell_R(X)$ . Note that  $X$  is regular iff  $\ell_R(X) = 0$ . It is shown in [13] that  $X_r$  is the finest regular space coarser than  $X$ , and  $X_r$  is called the regular modification of  $X$ .

Of course,  $X_r$  will not in general be  $T_2$ , even when  $X$  is  $T_2$ . A  $T_3$  space associated with  $X$  (we use  $T_3$  to mean regular plus  $T_1$ ) is constructed as follows. First, define an equivalence relation among the elements of  $X$  by:  $x \sim y$  iff  $\dot{x} \rightarrow y$  in  $X_r$ . Let  $X_s$  be the set of equivalence classes with the quotient convergence structure derived from  $X_r$ .

If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , let  $\bar{f} : X_s \rightarrow Y_s$  be the (unique) function which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \longrightarrow & X_r & \xrightarrow{\varphi_X} & X_s \\
 \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \bar{f} \\
 Y & \longrightarrow & Y_r & \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} & Y_s
 \end{array}$$



where the maps from  $X$  to  $X_r$  and  $Y$  to  $Y_r$  are the respective identity maps, and  $\phi_X, \phi_Y$  are the respective quotient maps.

Let  $\sigma X$  denote the symmetric modification of  $X$ , i.e., the finest symmetric space coarser than  $X$ . The next proposition follows immediately from results of [13] and [14].

PROPOSITION 3.1. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, then each map in the following commutative diagram (in which all non-labeled vertical maps are  $f$  and all non-labeled horizontal maps are identities) is continuous.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 X & \rightarrow & R_1 X & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & r_\alpha X & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & X_r & \rightarrow & \sigma X & \xrightarrow{\phi_X} & X_s \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \phi_Y & \downarrow \bar{f} \\
 y & \rightarrow & r_1 Y & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & r_\alpha Y & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & Y_r & \rightarrow & \sigma Y & \rightarrow & Y_s
 \end{array}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. For any space  $X$ ,  $r_1 X$  is a subspace of  $r_1 X^*$ .

PROOF. Let  $X_1$  be the restriction of  $r_1 X^*$  to  $X$ . Since  $i^* : X \rightarrow X^*$  is continuous, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $i^* : r_1 X \rightarrow r_1 X^*$  is continuous, and thus  $r_1 X \geq X_1$ . On the other hand, let  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $X_1$ . Then there is  $G \rightarrow x$  in  $X^*$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} \geq \text{cl}_{X^*}^n G$ . Since  $G \rightarrow x$  in  $X^*$ , there is  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  such that  $G \geq \mathcal{G}^*$ , and so we have  $\mathfrak{F} \geq \text{cl}_{X^*}^n \mathcal{G}^* = \text{cl}_{X^*}^n \mathcal{G} = \text{cl}_X^n \mathcal{G} \cap (\text{cl}_X^{n-1} \mathcal{G}')$ , by Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.6. Since  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$ ,  $\mathfrak{F} \geq \text{cl}_X^n \mathcal{G}$ , and so  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $r_1 X$ . ■

PROPOSITION 3.3. If  $X$  is a locally compact  $T_3$  space, then  $r_2 X$  is a subspace of  $r_2 X^*$ .

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that for any  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\text{cl}_{r_1 X}^n A = (\text{cl}_{r_1 X^*}^n A) \cap X$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and this will be proved by induction. For  $n = 1$ , the equality follows by Proposition 3.2. If the equality holds for  $n$  and  $x \in (\text{cl}_{r_1 X^*}^{n+1} A) \cap X$ , then there is  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(\text{cl}_{X^*}^k \mathfrak{F}) \cap (\text{cl}_{r_1 X^*}^n A) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $X$  is locally compact and  $T_3$ , we may assume without loss of

generality that  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a filter base of compact sets, and so  $\text{cl}_{X^*}^k \mathfrak{F} = \text{cl}_X^k \mathfrak{F}$ . From this observation, along with the induction hypothesis, we may conclude that  $x \in \text{cl}_{r_1 X}^{n+1} A$ . ■

It is not true in general that  $r_\alpha X$  is a subspace of  $r_\alpha X^*$ . To establish this fact, we need to make use of some theorems from [9].

A space  $X$  is defined to be completely regular if it is a subspace of a symmetric compact space. It is shown in [9] that  $X$  is completely regular iff it is a symmetric space with the same ultrafilter convergence as a completely regular topological space. Let  $\omega X$  denote the finest completely regular space coarser than  $X$ . A space  $X$  is defined to be  $\omega$ -regular if  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  implies  $\text{cl}_{\omega X} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$ . It is proved in [9] that  $X$  is  $\omega$ -regular iff  $X$  is a subspace of a compact regular space. The  $\omega$ -regular spaces include the completely regular spaces and also the  $c$ -embedded spaces of Binz [1].

PROPOSITION 3.4. (a) If  $X$  is a regular space which is not  $\omega$ -regular, then  $X_r$  is not a subspace of  $X_r^*$ , and  $\ell_R(X^*) \geq 2$ .

(b) If  $X$  is a locally compact  $T_3$  space which is not  $\omega$ -regular, then  $\ell_R(X^*) \geq 3$ .

PROOF. The first part of (a) follows from the aforementioned characterization of  $\omega$ -regular spaces as subspaces of compact regular spaces. The two statements concerning  $\ell_R(X^*)$  follow by Proposition 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. ■

For any space  $X$ , let  $C(X)$  be the set of all continuous real-valued functions on  $X$ . A  $T_3$  topological space  $X$  for which  $C(X)$  consists only of constant functions is an example of a regular space which is not  $\omega$ -regular; for this space,  $\ell_R(X) = 0$  and  $\ell_R(X^*) \geq 2$ . An example of a regular space  $X$  for which  $\ell_R(X^*) \geq 3$  is obtained with the help of the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.5. A locally compact  $T_3$  space  $X$  is  $\omega$ -regular iff  $C(X)$  separates points in  $X$ .

PROOF. If  $X = \omega X$ , then  $\omega X$  is  $T_2$  and so  $C(X)$  separates points in  $X$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a compact set  $A$ . Since  $C(X)$  separates points in  $X$ ,  $\omega X$  is  $T_2$  and so the subspaces  $X|_A$  and  $\omega X|_A$  have the same ultrafilter convergence. It follows that  $cl_X \mathcal{F} = cl_{\omega X} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ , and therefore  $X$  is  $\omega$ -regular. ■

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let  $X$  be the set  $[0, 1]$ . If  $s$  is a sequence on the set  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_s$  denote the filter generated by  $s$  in the usual way. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the filter generated by the sequence  $(\frac{1}{n})$ . Define a convergence on  $X$  as follows:

(1) If  $x \notin \{0, 1\}$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$  iff there is a sequence  $s$  converging to  $x$  in the usual topology such that  $\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{F}_s$ ; (2)  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$  iff there is a sequence  $s$  converging to 0 in the usual topology, but not a subsequence of  $(\frac{1}{n})$ , such that  $\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{F}_s$ ; (3)  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 1$  iff  $\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{F}_s$ , where  $s$  is a sequence converging to 1 in the usual topology, or else  $\mathcal{F} \geq \mathcal{L} \cap 1$ . One may easily verify that the space  $X$  is locally compact and  $T_3$ , but  $C(X)$  will not separate the points 0 and 1. Thus, by Lemma 3.5,  $X$  is not  $\omega$ -regular, and it follows from Proposition 3.4 that  $\ell_R(X^*) \geq 3$ , whereas  $\ell_R(X) = 0$ ; this result contrasts with the conclusion of Theorem 2.9. One can also show (we omit the details) that  $\dot{0} \rightarrow 1$  in  $r_3 X^*$ . Since  $r_3 X = X$ , it follows that  $r_3 X$  is not a subspace of  $r_3 X^*$ . This shows that the conclusion of Proposition 3.3 cannot be improved without imposing additional conditions. ■

Gazik showed in [4] that a  $T_3$  pretopological  $G$ -space is a completely regular topological space. Another result along these lines is

PROPOSITION 3.7. (a) A symmetric  $G$ -space is completely regular.

(b) Every  $G$ -space is  $\omega$ -regular.

PROOF. These statements follow immediately from Theorem 2.11, Proposition 2.12, and the characterization of  $\omega$ -regular spaces obtained in [9]. ■

THEOREM 3.8. Let  $X$  be a space.

- (a)  $X$  is regular iff  $X$  is a subspace of  $r_1 X^*$ .
- (b)  $X$  is  $\omega$ -regular iff  $X$  is a subspace of  $X_R^*$ .
- (c)  $X$  is completely regular iff  $X$  is a subspace of  $\sigma X^*$ .

Proof. Statement (a) follows immediately from Proposition 3.2. Statements (b) and (c) are proved in [9]. ■

For topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is defined to be  $\theta$ -continuous if, for every  $x \in X$  and every neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x)$ , there is a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $f(x)$  such that  $f(\text{cl}_X \mathcal{U}) \subseteq \text{cl}_Y V$ .

PROPOSITION 3.9. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces. Then  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta$ -continuous iff  $f : r_1 X \rightarrow r_1 Y$  is continuous.

PROOF. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $\theta$ -continuous, and let  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $r_1 X$ . Then there is  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \geq \text{cl}_X \mathcal{B} \geq \text{cl}_X \mathcal{U}(x)$ , where  $\mathcal{U}(x)$  is the neighborhood filter at  $x$ . By  $\theta$ -continuity,  $f(\text{cl}_X \mathcal{U}(x)) \geq \text{cl}_Y \mathcal{U}(f(x))$ , and so  $f(\mathcal{F}) \geq \text{cl}_Y \mathcal{U}(f(x))$ . The latter filter  $r_1 Y$ -converges to  $f(x)$ , and so  $f : r_1 X \rightarrow r_1 Y$  is continuous. For the converse argument, one easily see that  $f : r_1 X \rightarrow r_1 Y$  implies  $f(\text{cl}_X \mathcal{U}(x)) \geq \text{cl}_Y \mathcal{U}(f(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ , which is equivalent to  $\theta$ -continuity of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . ■

The characterization of  $\theta$ -continuity given in Proposition 3.9 is not suitable for a purely topological investigation, since  $r_1 X$  may fail to be topological even when  $X$  is topological. Perhaps this suggests that convergence spaces are the natural realm for the study of  $\theta$ -continuity. But in any event, we shall define a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between arbitrary convergence spaces to be  $\theta$ -continuous if  $f : r_1 X \rightarrow r_1 Y$  is continuous.

More generally, if  $P$  is any function property, then  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is defined to have property  $\theta$ - $P$  if  $f : r_1 X \rightarrow r_1 Y$  has property  $P$ . Thus, one can speak of  $\theta$ -open maps,  $\theta$ -quotient maps, etc. Some of these " $\theta$ -properties" will be studied

briefly in Section 6.

4. NATURAL EXTENSIONS.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be spaces and consider a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . A function  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  is called a natural extension of  $f$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1)  $f_* \upharpoonright_X = f$ .

(2) If  $\mathfrak{F} \in X'$  and  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  is convergent in  $Y$ , then  $f_*(\mathfrak{F})$  is an element of  $Y$  to which  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  converges.

(3) If  $\mathfrak{F} \in X'$  and  $f(\mathfrak{F}) \in Y'$ , then  $f_*(\mathfrak{F}) = f(\mathfrak{F}) \in Y'$ .

If  $\mathfrak{F} \in X'$  implies  $f(\mathfrak{F}) \in Y'$ , then  $f$  is said to be weakly proper. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a weakly proper function, or if  $Y$  is  $T_2$ , then the natural extension  $f_*$  is unique; in general,  $f$  may have many natural extensions. In the proposition and theorem that follow, when  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f_*$  will be assumed to be an arbitrary natural extension of  $f$ .

The proof of the next lemma is straightforward and will be omitted.

LEMMA 4.1. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $A \subseteq X$ , then :

- (a) If  $f$  is continuous, then  $(f(A))^* \subseteq f_*(A^*) \subseteq f_*(cl_{X^*} A) \subseteq cl_{Y^*} f(A)$ ;
- (b) If  $f$  is continuous and  $Y$  is  $T_2$ , then  $f_*(cl_{X^*} A) = cl_{Y^*} f(A)$ ;
- (c) If  $f$  is weakly proper, then  $f_*(A^*) \subseteq (f(A))^*$ .

THEOREM 4.2. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, then  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  is  $\theta$ -continuous.

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that, for each  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$ ,  $f_*(cl_{X^*}^n \mathfrak{F}^*) \geq cl_{Y^*}^n f(\mathfrak{F})$ . If  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $cl_{X^*}^n F = cl_{X^*}^n F^* = cl_X^n F \cup (cl_X^{n-1} F)'$  by Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.6. By continuity of  $f$ ,  $f_*(cl_X^n F) = f(cl_X^n F) \subseteq cl_Y^n f(F)$ , and  $f_*(cl_X^{n-1} F)^* \subseteq cl_{Y^*} f(cl_X^{n-1} F) \subseteq cl_{Y^*} (cl_Y^{n-1} f(F)) \subseteq cl_{Y^*}^n f(F)$  follows with the help of Lemma 4.1. Thus  $f_*(cl_{X^*}^n F^*) \subseteq cl_{Y^*}^n f(F)$ , and the theorem is proved. ■

COROLLARY 4.3. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, then each map in the following commutative diagram (in which all non-labeled vertical maps are  $f_*$  and all non-labeled horizontal maps are identities) is continuous.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 r_1 X^* & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & r_\alpha X^* & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & X^* r & \rightarrow & \sigma X^* & \xrightarrow{\phi_{X^*}} & X^* s \\
 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (f_*)^{-1} \\
 r_1 Y^* & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & r_\alpha Y^* & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & Y^* r & \rightarrow & \sigma Y^* & \xrightarrow{\phi_{Y^*}} & Y^* s
 \end{array}$$

The next result closely resembles, but is more general than, the extension property of the star compactification obtained in [11].

COROLLARY 4.4. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and  $Y$  is compact and regular, then  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. If  $Y$  is also  $T_2$ , then the extension  $f_*$  is unique.

PROOF. Under the given assumptions,  $Y = Y^* = r_1 Y^*$ ; since  $X^* \geq r_1 X^*$ , the first statement is established. The second follows from an earlier remark. ■

In the next section we shall see that continuity of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  does not guarantee the continuity of  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ . If  $X$  is a regular space, let  $X^\sim = r_1 X^*$ ; then by Proposition 3.2  $\kappa^\sim = (X^\sim, i^*)$  is a compactification of  $X$ . Our study of the compactification  $\kappa^\sim$  will be limited to the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.5. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be regular spaces.

- (a) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, then  $f_* : X^\sim \rightarrow Y^\sim$  is continuous.
- (b)  $X^\sim$  is  $T_2$  iff  $X$  is a  $T_2$   $G$ -space.

PROOF. Statement (a) follows immediately from Theorem 4.2.

(b) If  $X$  is a  $T_2$   $G$ -space, then  $X^*$  is regular by Proposition 2.10, and so  $X^\sim = X^*$  is  $T_2$ . If  $X$  is not a  $G$ -space, then there is  $\mathfrak{F} \in X'$  such that  $\mathfrak{L} > \text{cl}_X \mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  and  $\mathfrak{L} \neq \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $\mathfrak{L} \xrightarrow{X} x$ , then  $\dot{x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  in  $X^\sim$ . If  $\mathfrak{L} \in X'$ , then the filter  $\mathfrak{L}_1$  on  $X^*$  generated by  $\mathfrak{L}$  converges in  $X^*$  to both  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ . ■

It is shown in [12] that every completely regular  $T_2$  space has a Stone-Ćech compactification. This compactification is regular and  $T_2$ , has the universal property relative to the class of completely regular spaces, and agrees with the

COROLLARY 4.7. If  $X$  is a completely regular  $T_2$  space, then  $(X^*_S, i_*^-)$  is the Stone - Čech compactification of  $X$ .

If  $X$  is a Tychonoff topological space, then Corollary 4.7 gives a new method for constructing  $\beta X$ . Indeed,  $\beta X$  is in this case the pretopological modification of  $X^*_S$ .

5. CONTINUITY OF NATURAL EXTENSIONS.

We next consider conditions under which a natural extension  $f_*$  of a continuous function  $f$  is continuous. For this purpose, we use some additional notation and terminology.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function, and let  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  be a natural extension of  $f$ . For  $A \subseteq X$ , define  $A'_f = \{ \mathfrak{F} \in A' : f(\mathfrak{F}) \text{ converges in } Y \}$ . Let  $\Gamma_{f_*}(A) = f(A) \cup f_*(A'_f)$ ; note that  $\Gamma_{f_*}(A) = f_*(A^*) \cap Y$ . If  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$  define  $\Gamma_{f_*}(\mathfrak{F}) \in F(Y)$  to be the filter generated by  $\{ \Gamma_{f_*}(F) : F \in \mathfrak{F} \}$ ;  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$  is said to be  $f_*$ -closed if  $\Gamma_{f_*}(\mathfrak{F}) = f(\mathfrak{F})$ .

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let  $f$  be a continuous map.

- (1)  $f_*$  is continuous at  $x \in f^{-1}(Y)$  iff  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  implies that  $\Gamma_{f_*} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow f(x)$  in  $Y$ .
- (2)  $f_*$  is continuous at  $\mathfrak{F} \in f_*^{-1}(Y) \cap X$  iff  $\Gamma_{f_*}(\mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow f_* \mathfrak{F}$  in  $Y$ .
- (3)  $f_*$  is continuous at  $\mathfrak{F} \in f_*^{-1}(Y')$  iff  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $f_*$ -closed.

PROOF. If  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$  then one can easily show that  $\Gamma_{f_*} \mathfrak{F} \geq (\Gamma_{f_*}(\mathfrak{F}))^*$ ; (1) and (2) follow from these inequalities.

(3) If  $f_*$  is continuous at  $\mathfrak{F} \in f_*^{-1}(Y')$ , then  $\Gamma_{f_*} \mathfrak{F} \geq f_*(\mathfrak{F}^*) \geq (f(\mathfrak{F}))^*$ , and hence  $\Gamma_{f_*} \mathfrak{F} = f(\mathfrak{F})$ . Conversely,  $f_*(\mathfrak{F}^*) \geq (\Gamma_{f_*} \mathfrak{F})^* = (f\mathfrak{F})^* \rightarrow f_* \mathfrak{F}$  in  $Y^*$ , and thus  $f_*$  is continuous at  $\mathfrak{F} \in f_*^{-1}(Y')$ . ■

COROLLARY 5.2. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous, and  $Y$  a regular space. Then

- (1)  $f_*$  is continuous at all points of  $f_*^{-1}(Y)$ .
- (2)  $f_*$  is continuous iff each  $\mathfrak{F} \in f_*^{-1}(Y')$  is  $f_*$ -closed.

topological Stone-Čech compactification relative to ultrafilter convergence when  $X$  is topological. We shall now give an alternate construction of this compactification using  $\kappa^*$ .

For any space  $X$ ,  $X_s^*$  is a compact, regular,  $T_2$  space. However it is not generally true that  $X_s$  is a subspace of  $X_s^*$ . Recall the notation  $\sigma X$  for the symmetric modification of  $X$ .

**THEOREM 4.6.** If  $X$  is a space such that  $\sigma X$  is a subspace of  $\sigma X^*$ , then  $\sigma X$  is completely regular,  $X_s$  is completely regular and  $T_2$ , and  $(X_s^*, i_*^-)$  is the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X_s$ .

**PROOF.** By assumption,  $\sigma X$  is a subspace of a compact symmetric space, and hence completely regular.  $X_s$  is  $T_2$  by construction. In the diagram that follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \sigma X & \xrightarrow{i_*^*} & \sigma X^* \\
 \phi_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{X^*} \\
 X_s & \xrightarrow{i_*^-} & X_s^*
 \end{array}$$

the maps  $\phi_X$  and  $\phi_{X^*}$  are strongly open (see Proposition 2.2, [14]). This means that if  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $X_s$  and  $x \in \phi_X^{-1}(\alpha)$ , then there is a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\sigma X$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $\sigma X$  and  $\phi_X(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ . Using this property and the fact that  $\sigma X$  and  $\sigma X^*$  are symmetric, one can easily show that  $X_s$  is densely embedded in  $X_s^*$ .

If  $Y$  is a regular, compact,  $T_2$  space and  $f : X_s \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, then define  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $F(x) = f([x])$ , where  $[x]$  is the equivalence class in  $X_s$  defined by  $x$ . It is easy to check that  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, and so by Corollary 4.3,  $(F_*)^- : X_s^* \rightarrow Y_s^* = Y$  is continuous.  $(F_*)^-$  is clearly an extension of  $f$ , and this extension is unique because  $Y$  is Hausdorff. Thus by the uniqueness of the Stone-Čech compactification established in [12], it follows that this compactification is equivalent to  $(X_s^*, i_*^-)$ . ■



(3) If  $X$  is essentially bounded, then  $f_*$  is continuous.

PROOF. Statements (1) and (2) follow immediately from Proposition 5.1 and the fact that  $Y$  is regular. The assumption that  $X$  is essentially bounded (see Section 2 for this definition) guarantees that each  $\mathfrak{F} \in f_*^{-1}(Y')$  is  $f_*$ -closed. ■

PROPOSITION 5.3. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and weakly proper, then  $f_*$  is continuous.

PROOF. If  $f$  is weakly proper and  $A \subseteq X$ , then  $A'_f = \emptyset$ . Thus each filter  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$  is  $f_*$ -closed. The conditions (1) and (3) of Proposition 5.1 for continuity of  $f_*$  are thus satisfied, while condition (2) is satisfied vacuously. ■

COROLLARY 5.4. If  $X$  is a closed subspace of  $Y$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is the identity embedding, then  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  is also an embedding.

PROOF. Since  $X$  is closed in  $Y$ ,  $f$  is weakly proper; thus  $f_*$  is continuous by Proposition 5.3.  $f_*$  is clearly one-to-one, and by Lemma 4.1,  $f_*(\mathfrak{F}^*) = f(\mathfrak{F})^*$  for all  $\mathfrak{F} \in F(X)$ . From this equality, it follows easily that  $f_*$  is an embedding. ■

PROPOSITION 5.5. The following statements about a regular space  $Y$  are equivalent.

(a)  $Y$  is a  $G$ -space.

(b) Every natural extension of every continuous function into  $Y$  is continuous.

(c) If  $Z$  and  $Y$  have the same set,  $Z$  is discrete, and  $f : Z \rightarrow Y$  is the identity, then  $f_*$  is continuous.

PROOF. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and  $Y$  is a  $G$ -space, then  $Y^*$  is regular by Theorem 2.11 and so  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  is continuous by Corollary 4.4.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Obvious.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). If  $Y$  is not a  $G$ -space, then there is  $\mathfrak{F} \in Y'$  such that  $\text{cl}_Y \mathfrak{F} \neq \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $Y$  is  $T_1$  and  $Z$  is discrete, it follows that  $\Gamma_{f_*} \mathfrak{F} \neq \mathfrak{F}$  for some natural extension  $f_*$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not  $f_*$ -closed, and by Proposition 5.1  $f_*$  is not

continuous. ■

The final result in this section is analogous to Proposition 5.1, but involves  $\theta$ -continuity rather than continuity. Since this result is of marginal interest, we shall omit the proof.

PROPOSITION 5.6. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\theta$ -continuous map.

- (a)  $f_*$  is  $\theta$ -continuous at each point  $x \in X$ .
- (b)  $f_*$  is  $\theta$ -continuous at  $\mathfrak{F} \in f_*^{-1}(Y) \cap X'$  iff  $f(\text{cl}_X^n \mathfrak{F}) \rightarrow f_* \mathfrak{F}$  in  $r_1 Y$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .
- (c)  $f_*$  is  $\theta$ -continuous at  $\mathfrak{F} \in f_*^{-1}(Y')$  iff, for each  $n \geq 1$ , there is  $m \geq 1$  such that  $f(\text{cl}_X^n \mathfrak{F}) \geq \text{cl}_Y^m f(\mathfrak{F})$ .

## 6. QUOTIENT EXTENSIONS.

In this concluding section, we shall consider the circumstances under which  $f_*$  will possess certain quotient-type properties. We begin with definitions of the properties to be considered.

The term map will be used to mean a continuous, onto function. Note that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is onto, then any natural extension  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  is also onto.

1.  $f$  is proper if  $f$  is a map and, whenever  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow y$  in  $Y$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an u.f. on  $X$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ , then there is  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$ .
2.  $f$  is a convergence quotient map if  $f$  is a map and, whenever  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow y$  in  $Y$ , there is  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  and  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ .
3.  $f$  is perfect if  $f$  is a proper convergence quotient map.
4.  $f$  is open if  $f$  is a map and whenever  $\mathcal{L}$  is an u.f. on  $Y$  which converges to  $y$ , and  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ , then there is an u.f.  $\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow x$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ .
5.  $f$  is closure-preserving if  $A \subseteq X$  implies  $f(\text{cl}_X A) = \text{cl}_Y f(A)$ .

Further information about these properties may be found in [6], [8], and [10]. Recall that if  $P$  represents any of the above properties, then  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to have property  $\theta$ - $P$  if  $f : r_1 X \rightarrow r_1 Y$  has property  $P$ .

It is clear that a proper map is weakly proper, and that a weakly proper map onto a  $T_2$  space is proper.

In all of the propositions that follow,  $f$  denotes a function from a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$ , and  $f_* : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$  denotes a natural extension of  $f$ .

PROPOSITION 6.1. If  $f$  is a proper map, then  $f_*$  is also a proper map.

PROOF. Suppose that  $\mathcal{G}$  is an u.f. on  $X^*$  such that  $f_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $Y^*$ . Then there exists an u.f.  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $Y$  such that  $f_*(\mathcal{G}) \geq \mathcal{K}^*$ , where  $\mathcal{K}^* \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $Y^*$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $X^*$ ; then there exists a filter  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $X^*$  and  $\mathcal{G} \geq \mathcal{L}^*$ . Hence  $f_*(\mathcal{G}) \geq f_*(\mathcal{L}^*) = f(\mathcal{L})^*$  since  $f$  is a proper map, and thus  $f(\mathcal{L})^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}^*$  are not disjoint filters on  $Y^*$ . This implies that  $f(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  are not disjoint filters on  $Y$ ; consequently,  $f(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{K}$ .

If  $\alpha \notin Y$ , then it follows that  $f_*(\beta) = \alpha$ . If  $\alpha \in Y$ , then since  $f$  is a proper map,  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  for some  $x \in f^{-1}(\alpha)$ , and thus  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow x$  in  $X^*$ . It follows that  $f_*$  is a proper map. ■

PROPOSITION 6.2. If  $f$  is a convergence quotient map, then  $f_*$  is a convergence quotient map iff  $f_*$  is continuous.

PROOF. The relation  $f(A)^* \subseteq f_*(A^*)$  is satisfied for each subset  $A$  of  $X$ , and hence  $f_*(\mathcal{F}^*) \leq f(\mathcal{F})^*$  for each filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $Y^*$  and  $\alpha \notin Y$ ; let  $\mathcal{H}$  be any u.f. on  $X$  such that  $f(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $f_*(\mathcal{H}) = \alpha$  and  $f_*(\mathcal{H}^*) \leq \mathcal{L}^*$ . If  $\alpha \in Y$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{F} \in F(X)$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(\alpha)$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  and  $f(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ , since  $f$  is a convergence quotient map. Since  $f_*(\mathcal{F}^*) \leq \mathcal{L}^*$ , it follows that  $f_*$  is a convergence quotient map precisely when  $f_*$  is a continuous map. ■

COROLLARY 6.3.  $f_*$  is a perfect map whenever  $f$  is a perfect map.

PROPOSITION 6.4. If  $f$  is an open, proper map, then  $f_*$  is open, proper,  $\theta$ -open, and  $\theta$ -proper.

PROOF. It follows by Proposition 6.1 that  $f_*$  is proper. If  $f_*$  is also open, then it follows from Theorem 4.2, [14], that  $f_*$  is also  $\sigma$ -open and  $\sigma$ -proper. Thus it remains only to show that  $f_*$  is open.

Let  $G \in \mathcal{U}(Y^*)$  such that  $G \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $Y^*$ , and let  $\beta \in f_*^{-1}(\alpha)$ . Then there is  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{U}(Y)$  such that  $G \geq \mathcal{L}^*$  and  $\mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $Y^*$ . If  $\alpha \notin Y$ , then  $\beta \notin X$ , and hence there exists exactly one u.f.  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $X^*$ . Thus  $f(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ , and since  $f$  is a proper map,  $f_*(\mathcal{F}^*) = \mathcal{L}^* \leq G$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{U}(X^*)$  contain both  $\mathcal{F}^*$  and  $f_*^{-1}(G)$ ; then  $f_*(\mathcal{B}) = G$ , and  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $X^*$ .

If  $\alpha \in Y$  then, since  $f$  is a proper map,  $\beta \in X$ , and since  $f$  is an open map, there is  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $X$  and  $f(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ . Then, as in the argument of the preceding paragraph, there exists an u.f.  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $X^*$  such that  $f_*(\mathcal{B}) = G$  this establishes that  $f_*$  is an open map. ■

We omit the straightforward proof the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 6.5. If  $f$  is perfect  $\theta$ -proper map, then  $f_*$  is a  $\theta$ -perfect map.

Propositions 6.4 and 6.5 yield the following corollary.

COROLLARY 6.6. If  $f$  is an open perfect map, then  $f_*$  is a  $\theta$ -perfect map.

PROPOSITION 6.7. If  $f$  is a convergent quotient map which is closure preserving, then  $f_*$  is a  $\theta$ -convergence quotient map.

PROOF. Suppose that  $G \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $r_1 Y^*$ . Then there is  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $G \geq cl_{Y^*}^n \mathcal{L}$ . If  $\alpha \notin Y$ , then it may be assumed that  $\alpha = \mathcal{L} \in Y'$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  such that  $f(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ ; then  $\beta = \mathcal{F} \in X'$  and  $f_*(\beta) = \alpha$ . By Lemma 2.4 and the assumption that  $f$  is closure-preserving, it follows that  $f_*(cl_X^{n+1} \mathcal{F}^*) \leq f_*((cl_X^n \mathcal{F})^*) \leq cl_{Y^*} f(cl_X^n \mathcal{F}) = cl_{Y^*} cl_Y^n f(\mathcal{F}) \leq cl_{Y^*}^n \mathcal{L}^*$ . If  $\alpha \in Y$  then, since  $f$  is a convergence quotient map, there is  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \beta \in f^{-1}(\alpha)$  such that  $f(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{L}$ . Again,  $f_*(cl_X^{n+1} \mathcal{F}^*) \leq cl_{Y^*}^n \mathcal{L}^*$ . In both cases  $cl_X^{n+1} \mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \beta$  in  $r_1 X^*$ . If  $\mathcal{K} = f_*^{-1}(G) \vee cl_X^{n+1} \mathcal{F}^*$ , then  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \beta$  in  $r_1 X^*$  and  $f_*(\mathcal{K}) = G$ . Thus  $f_*$  is a  $\theta$ -convergence quotient map. ■

The final proposition follows immediately from Proposition 5.6.

PROPOSITION 6.8. If  $f$  is  $\theta$ -continuous and closure preserving, then  $f_*$  is  $\theta$ -continuous.

We conclude by citing, without detail, some examples which place limitations on the types of results obtained in this section. The function  $f$  constructed in Example 4.3 of [14] is perfect but not  $\theta$ -proper; it is also not difficult to show that in this case  $f_*$  is not  $\theta$ -proper. Thus, in Corollary 6.6, one cannot drop the assumption that  $f$  is open. There are other examples which show that  $f_*$  may fail to be continuous when  $f$  is an open, convergence quotient map, and that  $f_*$  may fail to be open when  $f$  is open and  $f_*$  is continuous.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Binz, E., "Continuous Convergence in  $C(X)$ ," Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag, Vol. 469 (1975).
- [2] Dickman, R.F. and J.R. Porter. " $\theta$ -Perfect and  $\theta$ -absolutely Closed Functions," Illinois, J. Math 21 (1977) 42-60.
- [3] Fomin, S., "Extensions of Topological Spaces," Ann. Math. 44 (1943) 471-480.
- [4] Gazik, R.J., "Regularity of Richardson's Compactification," Can. J. Math. 26 (1974) 1289-1293.
- [5] Herrmann, R.A., "Perfect Maps on Convergence Spaces," Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. (To appear).
- [6] Kent, D.C., "Convergence Quotient Maps," Fund. Math. 65 (1969) 197-205.
- [7] Kent, D.C. and G.D. Richardson, "Compactifications of Convergence Spaces," Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 2 (1979) 345-368.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, "Open and Proper Maps Between Convergence Spaces," Czech. Math. J. 23 (1973) 15-23.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, "Completely Regular and  $\omega$ -Regular Spaces," Proc. Conference on Conv. Structures, Cameron Univ., Lawton, OK., April 1980, 84-90.
- [10] Lowen-Colebunders, Eva, "Open and Proper Maps Characterized by Continuous Setvalued Maps," Can. J. Math. (To appear).

- [11] Richardson, G.D., "A Stone-Čech Compactification for Limit Spaces," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1970) 403-404.
- [12] Richardson, G.D. and D.C. Kent, "Regular Compactifications of Convergence Spaces," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972) 571-573.
- [13] \_\_\_\_\_, "The Regularity Series of a Convergence Space," Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 13 (1975) 21-44.
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, "The Regularity Series of a Convergence Space II," Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 15(1976) 223-244.