

## DOUBLY STOCHASTIC RIGHT MULTIPLIERS

CHOO-WHAN KIM

Department of Mathematics  
Simon Fraser University  
Burnaby, B.C., Canada V5A 1S6

(Received June 13, 1983)

ABSTRACT. Let  $P(G)$  be the set of normalized regular Borel measures on a compact group  $G$ . Let  $D_r$  be the set of doubly stochastic (d.s.) measures  $\lambda$  on  $G \times G$  such that  $\lambda(A \times B_s) = \lambda(A \times B)$ , where  $s \in G$ , and  $A$  and  $B$  are Borel subsets of  $G$ . We show that there exists a bijection  $\mu \leftrightarrow \lambda$  between  $P(G)$  and  $D_r$  such that  $\lambda \phi^{-1} = \mu \otimes \mu$ , where  $m$  is normalized Haar measure on  $G$ , and  $\phi(x, y) = (x, xy^{-1})$  for  $x, y \in G$ . Further, we show that there exists a bijection between  $D_r$  and  $M_r$ , the set of d.s. right multipliers of  $L_1(G)$ . It follows from these results that the mapping  $\mu \mapsto T_\mu$  defined by  $T_\mu f = \mu * f$  is a topological isomorphism of the compact convex semigroups  $P(G)$  and  $M_r$ . It is shown that  $M_r$  is the closed convex hull of left translation operators in the strong operator topology of  $B[L_2(G)]$ .

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Compact group, regular Borel measures, doubly stochastic measures, multipliers.*

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES, 28C10, 43A22, 43A77, 60B15.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $G$  be an arbitrary compact Hausdorff group, let  $B(G)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $G$ , and let  $m$  be normalized Haar measure on  $G$ . Let  $P(G)$  be the set of regular Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $G$  such that  $\mu(G) = 1$ . Assume that  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $L_p(G)$  denote the complex Banach space  $L_p(G, B(G), m)$ , and let  $B[L_p(G)]$  denote the complex Banach space of bounded linear operators from  $L_p(G)$  into itself. An operator  $T$  in  $B[L_p(G)]$  is called a positive contraction on  $L_p(G)$  if  $Tf \geq 0$  for each nonnegative  $f$  in  $L_p(G)$  and  $\|T\|_p \leq 1$ .

For each positive contraction  $T$  on  $L_1(G)$ , the adjoint  $T^*$  determined by the equation  $\int_G (Tf)g \, dm = \int_G f(T^*g) \, dm$  for  $f \in L_1(G)$  and  $g \in L_\infty(G)$  is a positive contraction on  $L_\infty(G)$ . A positive contraction  $T$  on  $L_1(G)$  such that  $T1 = 1$ , or equivalently,  $\int_G Tg \, dm = \int_G g \, dm$  for  $g \in L_\infty(G)$ , is called a doubly stochastic (d.s.)

operator. Let  $D$  be the set of d.s. operators. Note that  $T \in D$  if and only if  $T^* \in D$ . By the Riesz convexity theorem, each d.s. operator  $T$  is also a positive contraction on  $L_p(G)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , with  $\|T\|_p = 1$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the class of measure-preserving maps  $\phi$  from  $(G, B(G), m)$  onto itself, and let  $\Phi_1$  be the class of maps  $\phi$  in  $\Phi$  that are invertible and  $\phi^{-1} \in \Phi$ . Then each  $\phi$  in  $\Phi$  gives rise to a d.s. operator  $T_\phi$  that is defined by  $T_\phi f(x) = f(\phi(x))$ . For brevity we also write  $\Phi$  for  $\{T_\phi : \phi \in \Phi\}$  and  $\Phi_1$  for  $\{T_\phi : \phi \in \Phi_1\}$ . For  $s \in G$ , let  $L_s$  and  $R_s$  be the left and the right translation operators defined by  $L_s f(x) = f(s^{-1}x)$  and  $R_s f(x) = f(xs^{-1})$ . Then both  $L_s$  and  $R_s$  are in  $\Phi_1$ .

An operator  $T$  in  $D$  is called a right multiplier (centralizer) of  $L_1(G)$  if  $T$  commutes with right translation operators, that is,  $TR_s = R_s T$  for each  $s \in G$ . Let  $M_r$  be the set of d.s. right multipliers of  $L_1(G)$ . The set  $M_\ell$  of d.s. left multipliers of  $L_1(G)$  is defined in an analogous fashion. We see readily that  $L_s \in M_r$  and  $R_s \in M_\ell$  for each  $s \in G$ . Let  $M = M_r \cap M_\ell$ . It is plain that  $L_s = R_s \in M$  for each  $s \in G^Z$ , the center of  $G$ . Note that if  $G$  is Abelian, then  $M = M_r = M_\ell$ .

For a semigroup  $S$ , the set of elements  $x$  in  $S$  such that  $xy = yx$  for each  $y$  in  $S$  is called the center of  $S$  and is denoted by  $S^Z$ . For topological semigroups  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , an (algebraic) isomorphism of  $S_1$  into  $S_2$  which is also a homeomorphism is called a topological isomorphism of  $S_1$  into  $S_2$ . All functions on  $G$  are Borel measurable and will always be considered up to  $m$ -equivalence. For two functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $G$ ,  $f = g$ ,  $f \neq g$  mean that the equality and the inequality, respectively, are satisfied in the almost everywhere (a.e.) sense with respect to  $m$ .

It follows from Theorem 1 of Wendel [1] (see also Edwards [2]; Hewitt and Ross [3], 35.5) that for each  $T$  in  $M_r$ , there exists a unique  $\mu$  in  $P(G)$  such that  $Tf = \mu * f$  for each  $f \in L_1(G)$ . Using a d.s. measure, Brown [4] gave an alternate proof of the above result when the underlying group  $G$  is Abelian and compact. The purpose of this paper is to extend Brown's work [4] for an arbitrary compact group.

Certain preliminary results on  $P(G)$  and d.s. measures are given in Section 2. In Section 3 we show that there exists a bijection between  $M_r$  and  $D_r$  (Proposition 5), and that there exists a bijection between  $D_r$  and  $P(G)$  (Proposition 6). Using Propositions 5 and 6, we prove (Theorem 1) that the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  defined by  $T_\mu f = \mu * f$  is a topological isomorphism of the compact convex semigroups  $P(G)$  and  $M_r$ . In Section 4 we show that  $T \in M_r$  is an isometry of  $L_1(G)$  if and only if  $T$  is a left translation operator (Theorem 3), and that the set of extreme points of  $M_r$  is the group  $\underline{G}$  of left translation operators, and  $M_r$  is the closed convex hull of  $\underline{G}$  in the strong operator topology of  $B[L_2(G)]$  (Theorem 4). By minor modifications of our arguments we obtain analogous results for  $M_\ell$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES.

Let  $C(G)$  be the Banach space of complex continuous functions on  $G$ . For  $\mu, \nu \in P(G)$ , there exists, by the Riesz representation theorem, a unique measure  $\mu * \nu$

in  $P(G)$  such that  $\int f(z) d\mu * \nu(z) = \int \int f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y)$  for each  $f \in C(G)$ . Thus  $P(G)$  is a semigroup under the convolution operation. It follows from Theorem 2 of Stromberg [5] that  $\mu * \nu(A) = \int_G \mu(Ay^{-1}) d\nu(y) = \int_G \nu(x^{-1}A) d\mu(x)$  for each  $A \in B(G)$ . As usual we shall identify  $P(G)$  with a subset of  $C(G)^*$ , the dual space of  $C(G)$ . We show readily that  $P(G)$  is convex and is compact in the weak\* topology. It is well-known (Rosenblatt [6]) that for  $\mu, \nu$  in  $P(G)$ , the convolution operation  $\mu * \nu$  is jointly continuous in  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  with respect to the weak\* topology. Therefore  $P(G)$  with the convolution operation and the weak\* topology, is a compact, convex, Hausdorff semigroup.

We state without proof the following result of Stromberg [5].

LEMMA 1. (Stromberg). Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $f$  a continuous mapping of  $X$  into itself. If  $\mu$  is a regular Borel measure on  $X$ , then so is the measure  $\mu f^{-1}$ .

For  $\mu \in P(G)$ , the adjoint  $\mu'$  defined by  $\mu'(A) = \mu(A^{-1})$  is an element of  $P(G)$  and  $(\mu')' = \mu$ . For each  $x \in G$ ,  $\epsilon_x$  be the probability measure such that  $\epsilon_x(A) = \chi_A(x)$  for  $A \subset G$ , where  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of  $A$ . Note that  $\epsilon_x' = \epsilon_{x^{-1}}$ . It is easily seen that the mapping  $x \rightarrow \epsilon_x$  is a topological isomorphism of  $G$  into the compact semigroup  $P(G)$ .

A characterization of the center  $P^Z(G)$  of the semigroup  $P(G)$  is given by Stromberg [7]. It is straightforward to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.  $P^Z(G)$  is a compact, convex, Abelian subsemigroup of  $P(G)$ .

Let  $P^i(G)$  be the set of idempotents  $\mu$  of  $P(G)$ , that is,  $\mu * \mu = \mu$ . For any compact subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , let  $m_H$  be normalized Haar measure on  $H$ . We shall always extend the measure  $m_H$  in  $P(H)$  to a unique measure in  $P(G)$ , denoted also by  $m_H$ , as follows:  $m_H(A) = m_H(A \cap H)$  for  $A \in B(G)$ . Then  $m_H \in P^i(G)$ . Theorem 1 of Wendel [8] states that  $\mu \in P^i(G)$  if and only if there exists a unique compact subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $\mu = m_H$ . It is routine to verify that  $P^i(G)$  is a compact subset of  $P(G)$ . Since, for arbitrary compact subgroups  $H$  and  $K$  of  $G$ , the set  $HK$  is not always a subgroup of  $G$  and  $m_H * m_K = m_{HK}$ , the set  $P^i(G)$  is not necessarily a subsemigroup of  $P(G)$ . Let  $e$  denote the identity of  $G$ . Observe that

$$\frac{1}{2}(m + \epsilon_e) * \frac{1}{2}(m + \epsilon_e) = (3m + \epsilon_e)/4 \neq \frac{1}{2}(m + \epsilon_e).$$

Therefore  $P^i(G)$  is not a convex set.

The set  $P^i(G) \cap P^Z(G)$  contains Haar measure  $m$  and the point mass  $\epsilon_e$  and is a compact subset of  $P(G)$ . For  $\mu \in P(G)$ , let  $S(\mu)$  be the support of  $\mu$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $\mu$  be in  $P(G)$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mu$  is in  $P^i(G) \cap P^Z(G)$ ;
- (ii) there exists a unique compact subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $\mu = m_H$  and  $\nu_s * m_H * \nu_s' = m_H$  for each  $s \in G$ ;
- (iii) there exists a unique compact normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $\mu = m_H$ .

PROOF. It is known (Stromberg [7]; Wendel [8]) that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Suppose that (ii) holds. Then we have, for each  $s \in G$ ,

$H = S(m_H) = S(\varepsilon_s * m_H * \varepsilon'_s) = S(\varepsilon_s)S(m_H)S(\varepsilon'_s) = sHs^{-1}$ , so that  $H$  is a compact normal subgroup of  $G$ . Thus (ii) implies (iii).

Suppose that  $\mu = m_H$ , where  $H$  is a unique compact normal subgroup of  $G$ . We shall show that  $\int_S \int_H \int_E \chi * m_H * \varepsilon'_s = m_H$  for each  $s \in G$ , or equivalently,  $m_H(s^{-1}Es) = m_H(E)$  for  $s \in G$  and  $E \in B(G)$ . Let  $\phi_s(x) = sxs^{-1}$ . Since the inner automorphism  $\phi_s$  of  $G$  is a homeomorphism of  $G$  onto itself, and  $\phi_s(H) = H$ , we have, from Lemma 1,  $m_H \phi_s^{-1} \in P(G)$ , and  $S(m_H \phi_s^{-1}) = H$ . For  $s \in G$ ,  $a \in H$ , and  $E \in B(G) \cap H$ , we have  $h = s^{-1}a^{-1}s \in H$ ,  $\phi_s(x)a^{-1} = \phi_s(xh)$ , and

$$m_H \phi_s^{-1}(Ea) = \int_H \int_E \chi(\phi_s(x)a^{-1}) dm_H(x) = \int_H \int_E \chi(\phi_s(xh)) dm_H(x) = \int_H \int_E \chi(\phi_s(x)) dm_H(x) = m_H \phi_s^{-1}(E),$$

so that by the uniqueness of Haar measure on  $H$ ,  $m_H = m_H \phi_s^{-1}$ . That is,  $m_H(E) = m_H \phi_s^{-1}(E) = m(s^{-1}Es)$ . Therefore (iii) implies (ii).  $\square$

It is easy to verify that for  $\mu \in P^i(G)$  and  $\nu \in P^i(G) \cap P^Z(G)$ ,  $\mu * \nu = \nu * \mu \in P^i(G)$ .

We also have

PROPOSITION 3.  $P^i(G) \cap P^Z(G)$  is a compact, non-convex subsemigroup of  $P(G)$ .

We omit the elementary proof of this proposition.

For  $\mu \in P(G)$ , let  $P: G \times B(G) \rightarrow [0,1]$  be such that

$$P(x,A) = \int_G \int_H \int_E \chi * \mu * \varepsilon'_x(A) = \mu(xA^{-1}).$$

Then  $P(x,A)$  is a transition (probability) function which is a regular probability measure on  $B(G)$  for each  $x \in G$  and a Borel function of  $x$  for each  $A \in B(G)$ . It follows easily that

$$\int_G P(x,A) dm(x) = m(A) \tag{2.1}$$

for  $A \in B(G)$ , and

$$P(xs,As) = P(x,A) \tag{2.2}$$

for  $s,x \in G$  and  $A \in B(G)$ . Note that the transition function  $P(x,A)$  has an invariant measure  $m$  and is invariant under right translations. The transition function  $P(x,A) = \mu(xA^{-1})$  gives rise to the Markov operator  $P = P_\mu$  from  $C(G)$  into itself by the formula  $Pf(x) = \int_G P(x,dy)f(y) = \int_G f(y^{-1}x)d\mu(y)$ . It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that  $\int_G Pfdm = \int_G fdm$  for  $f \in C(G)$ ,  $P1 = 1$ , and  $PR_s = R_sP$  for  $s \in G$ . See Rosenblatt [6] for details. By the usual argument the Markov operator  $P_\mu$  is uniquely extended to an operator  $T_\mu$  in  $M_r$ . We shall see in Proposition 7 that each  $T \in M_r$  is induced by a unique Markov operator  $P_\mu$  on  $C(G)$ .

Let  $P(G \times G)$  be the set of regular probability measures on  $(G \times G, B(G \times G))$ , where  $B(G \times G)$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $G \times G$ . A measure  $\lambda$  in  $P(G \times G)$  is called a d.s. measure on  $G \times G$  if

$$\lambda(A \times G) = \lambda(G \times A) = m(A) \quad (2.3)$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of d.s. measures on  $G \times G$ . A probability measure  $\lambda$  on the product measurable space  $(G \times G, \mathcal{B}(G) \times \mathcal{B}(G))$  satisfying (2.3) is called doubly stochastic by Brown [4]. Let  $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$  be the right random walk on  $G$  generated by  $m$  and  $\mu \in P(G)$ . That is,  $\{X_n\}$  is the Markov process with state space  $G$ , initial distribution  $m$ , and stationary transition function  $P(x, A) = \mu(xA^{-1})$ . If  $\lambda$  denotes the joint distribution of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , then  $\lambda$  is a d.s. measure on  $(G \times G, \mathcal{B}(G) \times \mathcal{B}(G))$ . If  $G$  is metrizable, then  $\mathcal{B}(G) \times \mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{B}(G \times G)$ , so that these two definitions are equivalent. However we have  $\mathcal{B}(G) \times \mathcal{B}(G) \not\subseteq \mathcal{B}(G \times G)$  in general, so that a d.s. measure in the sense of Brown [4] is not an element of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_0(G)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Baire subsets of  $G$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}_0(G \times G)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Baire subsets of  $G \times G$ . Note that  $\mathcal{B}_0(G \times G) = \mathcal{B}_0(G) \times \mathcal{B}_0(G)$ .

LEMMA 2. For each probability measure  $\sigma$  on  $(G \times G, \mathcal{B}(G) \times \mathcal{B}(G))$  satisfying (2.3), there exists a unique  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\lambda(E) = \sigma(E)$  for each  $E$  in  $\mathcal{B}(G) \times \mathcal{B}(G)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\sigma_0$  be the Baire restriction of  $\sigma$ , that is,  $\sigma_0(E) = \sigma(E)$  for each  $E \in \mathcal{B}_0(G \times G)$ . Then  $\sigma_0$  is a Baire measure on  $(G \times G, \mathcal{B}_0(G \times G))$  such that  $\sigma_0(A \times G) = \sigma_0(G \times A) = m(A)$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0(G)$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the unique, regular Borel measure on  $(G \times G, \mathcal{B}(G \times G))$  which extends  $\sigma_0$  (see Halmos [9], 54.D). We shall show that  $\lambda$  also extends  $\sigma$ . It is enough to show that  $\lambda(C_1 \times C_2) = \sigma(C_1 \times C_2)$  for all compact sets  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in  $G$ . By the regularity of Haar measure  $m$  there exist compact  $G'_j$ 's,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , such that  $C_j \subset A_j$  and  $m(A_j - C_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $m$  is completion regular by a theorem of Kakutani-Kodaira [10] (see also, Halmos [9], 64.H), there exist  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in  $\mathcal{B}_0(G)$  such that  $A_j - C_j \subset B_j$  and  $m(B_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Note that

$$A_1 \times A_2 - C_1 \times C_2 = [(A_1 - C_1) \times A_2] \cup [C_1 \times (A_2 - C_2)] \cup (B_1 \times G) \cup (G \times B_2).$$

Then we have

$$\lambda(A_1 \times A_2 - C_1 \times C_2) \leq \lambda(B_1 \times G) + \lambda(G \times B_2) = \sigma_0(B_1 \times G) + \sigma_0(G \times B_2) = m(B_1) + m(B_2) = 0,$$

so that  $\lambda(C_1 \times C_2) = \lambda(A_1 \times A_2) = \sigma_0(A_1 \times A_2)$ . Similarly we also have  $\sigma(C_1 \times C_2) = \sigma(A_1 \times A_2) = \sigma_0(A_1 \times A_2)$ , and so our assertion is proved.

If  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are any two measures in  $\mathcal{D}$  both of which extend  $\sigma$ , then they also extend the Baire measure  $\sigma_0$ , so that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .  $\square$

We obtain readily from Corollary of Brown [11], together with Lemma 2, the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4. There exists a bijection  $T \leftrightarrow \lambda$  between  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\lambda(A \times B) = \int_G \chi_A^T \chi_B^T dm \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ .

3. DOUBLY STOCHASTIC RIGHT MULTIPLIERS.

Let  $\mathbf{D}_r$  be the set of measures  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbf{D}$  such that  $\lambda(As \times Bs) = \lambda(A \times B)$  for all  $A, B \in B(G)$ ,  $s \in G$ . For each  $s \in G$ , let  $\tau_s(x, y) = (xs^{-1}, ys^{-1})$  for  $x, y \in G$ . It is easy to see that for each  $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_r$  if and only if  $\lambda \tau_s^{-1} = \lambda$  for each  $s \in G$ .

PROPOSITION 5. There exists a bijection  $T \leftrightarrow \lambda$  between  $M_r$  and  $\mathbf{D}_r$  satisfying relation (2.4).

PROOF. Let  $T$  and  $\lambda$  be the associated d.s. operator and d.s. measure as in Proposition 4. Then  $T \in M_r$  iff  $T = R_{s^{-1}} T R_s$  for all  $s \in G$  iff  $T \chi_B = R_{s^{-1}} T R_s \chi_B$  for all  $B \in B(G)$ ,  $s \in G$  iff  $\lambda(A \times B) = \lambda(As \times Bs)$  for all  $A, B \in B(G)$ ,  $s \in G$ .  $\square$

For  $\mu, \nu \in P(G)$ , there exists, by the Riesz representation theorem, a unique regular Borel measure  $\mu \times \nu$  in  $P(G \times G)$  such that

$$\int_{G \times G} f(x, y) d\mu \times \nu(x, y) = \int_G (\int_G f(x, y) d\mu(x)) d\nu(y) = \int_G (\int_G f(x, y) d\nu(y)) d\mu(x)$$

for all continuous functions  $f(x, y)$  on  $G \times G$ . Note that  $\mu \times \nu$  is the unique regular Borel measure on  $G \times G$  which extends the product measure  $\mu \times \nu$  on  $(G \times G, B(G) \times B(G))$ . Note also that Haar measure  $m \times m$  on the compact group  $G \times G$  is an element of  $\mathbf{D}_r$ . Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be the mappings from  $G \times G$  onto  $G$  defined by  $\xi(x, y) = y^{-1}x$  and  $\eta(x, y) = xy^{-1}$ . Then both  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are continuous surjections. Define  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  on  $G \times G$  by

$$\phi(x, y) = (x, xy^{-1}), \psi(x, y) = (x, y^{-1}x).$$

Then  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism of  $G \times G$  onto itself with  $\phi^{-1} = \psi$  and is  $m \times m$  measure-preserving.

PROPOSITION 6. There exists a bijection  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu$  between  $\mathbf{D}_r$  and  $P(G)$  such that  $\lambda \phi^{-1} = m \times \mu$ .

LEMMA 3. There exists an injection  $\lambda \rightarrow \mu$  from  $\mathbf{D}_r$  into  $P(G)$  such that  $\lambda \phi^{-1} = m \times \mu$ , and in this case we have  $\mu = \lambda \eta^{-1}$ .

PROOF. For each  $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_r$  and for each  $B \in B(G)$ , let  $\theta_B$  be the Borel measure on  $G$  defined by  $\theta_B(A) = \lambda \phi^{-1}(A \times B)$ . It follows from the regularity of Haar measure  $m$  that, for  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $A \in B(G)$ , there exist a compact set  $C$  and an open set  $U$  such that  $C \subset A \subset U$  and  $m(U - C) < \epsilon$ . Then we have  $\theta_B(U - C) \leq m(U - C) < \epsilon$ , so that  $\theta_B$  is a regular Borel measure. Since, for each  $s \in G$ , the mapping  $\tau_s(x, y) = (xs^{-1}, ys^{-1})$  is  $\lambda$  measure-preserving,

$$\theta_B(A) = \lambda \phi^{-1}(A \times B) = \lambda \tau_s^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}(A \times B) = \lambda \phi^{-1}(As \times B) = \theta_B(As)$$

for all  $s \in G$ ,  $A \in B(G)$ , so that by the uniqueness of Haar measure there exists  $c \geq 0$  such that  $\theta_B = cm$ . It follows that  $c = \theta_B(G) = \lambda \eta^{-1}(B)$  and  $\lambda \phi^{-1}(A \times B) = m(A) \lambda \eta^{-1}(B)$  for all  $A, B \in B(G)$ . By Lemma 1 we have  $\lambda \phi^{-1} \in P(G \times G)$  and  $\lambda \eta^{-1} \in P(G)$ . Since two regular Borel measures  $\lambda \phi^{-1}$  and  $m \times \lambda \eta^{-1}$  agree on all Baire sets of  $G \times G$ , we have  $\lambda \phi^{-1} = m \times \lambda \eta^{-1}$ . If  $\lambda \phi^{-1} = m \times \mu$  for some  $\mu \in P(G)$ , then  $\mu(A) = m \times \mu(G \times A) = \lambda \phi^{-1}(G \times A) = \lambda \eta^{-1}(A)$  for  $A \in B(G)$ , so that  $\mu = \lambda \eta^{-1}$ . It is clear

that the mapping  $\lambda \rightarrow \mu$  is injective.  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6. It remains to show that the injection defined in Lemma 3 is surjective. For each  $\mu \in P(G)$ , let  $\lambda = (m \circ \mu) \psi^{-1}$ . By Lemma 1 we have  $\lambda \in P(G \times G)$ . It follows that, for  $A$  and  $B$  in  $B(G)$ ,

$$\lambda(A \times B) = (m \circ \mu) \psi^{-1}(A \circ B) = \int_G m(A \cap yB) d\mu(y),$$

so that  $\lambda(A \times G) = \lambda(G \times A) = m(A)$ . Since  $m(As \cap yBs) = m(A \cap yB)$  for all  $A, B \in B(G)$ ,  $s, y \in G$ , we obtain  $\lambda(A \times B) = \lambda(As \times Bs)$ . Therefore  $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_r$ . Using  $\phi = \psi^{-1}$  we also have  $\lambda \phi^{-1} = m \circ \mu$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 7. There exists a bijection  $\mu \leftrightarrow T_{\mu}$  between  $P(G)$  and  $M_r$  such that  $T_{\mu} f = \mu * f$  for each  $f \in L_1(G)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mu \in P(G)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}_r$ , and  $T \in M_r$  be the associated elements determined by Propositions 5 and 6. Clearly the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_{\mu} = T$  is a bijection from  $p(G)$  onto  $M_r$ . Then we have

$$\int_G \chi_A T \chi_B dm = \lambda(A \times B) = (m \circ \mu) \psi^{-1}(A \times B) = \int_G \chi_A(x) \left( \int_G \chi_B(y^{-1}x) d\mu(y) \right) dm(x)$$

for  $A$  and  $B$  in  $B(G)$ , so that  $T \chi_B(x) = \int_G \chi_B(y^{-1}x) d\mu(y)$ . Therefore we have  $Tf = \mu * f$  for each  $f \in L_1(G)$ .  $\square$

It is shown by Brown [11] that  $D$  with the weak operator topology of  $B[L_2(G)]$  is a compact, convex Hausdorff semigroup, and that on the set  $D$  the weak operator topologies of  $B[L_p(G)]$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , coincide. An elementary argument shows that  $M_r$  is a compact, convex subsemigroup of  $D$ .

THEOREM 1. The mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_{\mu}$  of Proposition 7 is a topological isomorphism between the compact convex semigroups  $P(G)$  and  $M_r$ .

PROOF. It is straightforward to show that  $T_{\mu} T_{\nu} = T_{\mu * \nu}$ ,  $T_{\mu}^* = T_{\mu}^*$ , and  $T_{t\mu + (1-t)\nu} = tT_{\mu} + (1-t)T_{\nu}$  for  $\mu, \nu \in P(G)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By Proposition 7 the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_{\mu}$  is an isomorphism of  $P(G)$  onto  $M_r$ . Note that the mapping is a regular representation of  $P(G)$  (see Hewitt and Ross [12], 22.11).

To prove that the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_{\mu}$  is a homeomorphism it is enough to show that the mapping is continuous, or equivalently, whenever a net  $(\mu_{\alpha})$  converges to  $\mu$  in  $P(G)$ , the net  $(T_{\mu_{\alpha}})$  converges to  $T_{\mu}$  in  $M_r$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be real continuous functions on  $G$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq 1$ ,  $|g(x)| \leq 1$  for  $x \in G$ . Since  $f \circ \xi(x, y) = f(y^{-1}x)$  is right uniformly continuous on  $G \times G$ , there exists, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , a neighbourhood  $U$  of the identity  $e$  of  $G$  such that for all  $y \in G$ ,

$$|f(y^{-1}x) - f(y^{-1}x')| < \epsilon/8 \text{ for } x'x^{-1} \in U.$$

Since  $G$  is compact, an open covering  $\{U_x: x \in G\}$  of  $G$  has a finite subcovering  $\{U_j: j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $U_j = U_{x_j}$ . Then we have that for all  $y \in G$ ,

$$\sup_{x, x' \in U_j} |f(y^{-1}x) - f(y^{-1}x')| < \epsilon/4, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and that

$$\sup_{x, x' \in U_j} |P_\nu f(x) - P_\nu f(x')| < \epsilon/4, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

for all  $\nu \in P(G)$ , where  $P_\nu f(x) = \int_G f(y^{-1}x) d\nu(y)$ . Let  $h_\alpha(x) = P_{\mu_\alpha} f(x) - P_\mu f(x)$ . It follows that for all  $\alpha$ ,

$$\sup_{x, x' \in U_j} |h_\alpha(x) - h_\alpha(x')| < \epsilon/2, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Define a finite partition  $\{E_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $G$  by  $E_1 = U_1$  and  $E_j = U_j - \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} U_i$  for  $j \geq 2$ . Choose a point  $a_j$  in  $E_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then we obtain that for all  $\alpha$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in E_j} |h_\alpha(x) - h_\alpha(a_j)| < \epsilon/2, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

A simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_G (x) (P_{\mu_\alpha} f(x) - P_\mu f(x)) dm(x) \right| \leq \int_G |h_\alpha(x)| dm(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E_j} |h_\alpha(x)| dm(x) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{E_j} |h_\alpha(x) - h_\alpha(a_j)| dm(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n |h_\alpha(a_j)| \\ & < \epsilon/2 + \sum_{j=1}^n |P_{\mu_\alpha} f(a_j) - P_\mu f(a_j)| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha$ . Since  $\mu_\alpha \rightarrow \mu$ , there exists  $\alpha_0$  such that for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ,

$$|P_{\mu_\alpha} f(a_j) - P_\mu f(a_j)| < \epsilon/2n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

so that

$$\left| \int_G g(x) (P_{\mu_\alpha} f(x) - P_\mu f(x)) dm(x) \right| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq \alpha_0.$$

Thus  $T_{\mu_\alpha} \rightarrow T_\mu$ .  $\square$

REMARK 1. We may show readily that there exists a homeomorphism  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  between the compact convex semigroups  $P(G)$  and  $M_\mu$  such that  $T_\mu f = f * \mu$  for  $f \in L_2(G)$ , and that this mapping is not an isomorphism. (See Hewitt and Ross [12], 22.21)

COROLLARY 1. The mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  of Theorem 1 carries  $P^Z(G)$  onto  $M$  and is a topological isomorphism between the compact convex Abelian semigroups  $P^Z(G)$  and  $M$ .

PROOF. In view of Proposition 1 and Theorem 1, it is enough to show that the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  is a bijection between  $P^Z(G)$  and  $M$ . Note that  $L_s = T_{\epsilon_s}$  for  $s \in G$ . Then we have from Theorem 1, together with Theorem 2.5.1 of Stromberg [7], that  $\mu \in P^Z(G)$  iff  $\mu * \epsilon_s = \epsilon_s * \mu$  for  $s \in G$  iff  $T_\mu L_s = T_{\mu * \epsilon_s} = T_{\epsilon_s * \mu} = L_s T_\mu$  for  $s \in G$  iff  $T_\mu \in M$ .  $\square$

We also have from Theorem 1, the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.  $M$  is the center of the semigroup  $M_\Gamma$ .

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 3 and Corollary 1 we have

COROLLARY 3. The mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  of Theorem 1 induces a topological isomorphism between the compact semigroups  $P^1(G) \cap P^2(G)$  and  $\{T \in M: T^2 = T\}$ .

It is easy to see that the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  of Theorem 1 induces a homeomorphism between the compact sets  $P^1(G)$  and  $\{T \in M_\mu: T^2 = T\}$ .

PROPOSITION 8. Let  $\mu \in P(G)$  and  $T \in M_\mu$  be the associated elements as in Theorem 1. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mu * \mu = \mu$ ;
- (ii)  $T^2 = T$ ;
- (iii)  $T(fTg) = TfTg$  for  $f, g \in L_\infty(G)$ .

PROOF. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is obvious. If we put  $f = 1$  in (iii), then  $T^2g = Tg$  for all  $g \in L_\infty(G)$ , so that  $T^2 = T$ . Therefore (iii) implies (ii).

Suppose that (i) holds. Then  $\mu = m_H$ , where  $H$  is a compact subgroup of  $G$ . It follows that for  $f, g \in L_\infty$  and  $x \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T(fTg)(x) &= \int_H f(y^{-1}x)Tg(y^{-1}x)d\mu(y) = \int_H f(yx)Tg(yx)d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_H f(yx)\left(\int_H g(z^{-1}yx)d\mu(z)\right)d\mu(y) = \int_H f(yx)\left(\int_H g(zyx)d\mu(z)\right)d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_H f(yx)\left(\int_H g(zx)d\mu(z)\right)d\mu(y) = Tf(x)Tg(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (i) implies (iii).  $\square$

#### 4. LEFT TRANSLATION OPERATORS.

Let  $\underline{G}$  be the set of left translation operators,  $\underline{G} = \{L_s: s \in G\}$ . Then it is plain that  $\underline{G}$  is a subgroup of the compact semigroup  $M_\mu$ .

THEOREM 2. The mapping  $s \rightarrow L_s$  is a continuous injection of  $G$  into  $M_\mu$  and is a topological isomorphism of the compact groups  $G$  and  $\underline{G}$ .

PROOF. We shall show that the mapping  $s \rightarrow L_s$  is a continuous map from  $G$  into  $M_\mu$ . For  $s \in G$ , let

$$U(L_s: f, g, \epsilon) = \{T: T \in M_\mu, |\langle g, (L_s - T)f \rangle| < \epsilon\},$$

where  $f, g \in C(G)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $h(x, s) = g(x)\bar{f}(s^{-1}x)$  is right uniformly continuous on  $G \times G$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $e$  such that  $|h(x, s) - h(x, t)| < \epsilon$  for all  $t$  in  $Vs$  and for all  $x$  in  $G$ , so that  $L_t \in U(L_s: f, g, \epsilon)$  for all  $t$  in  $Vs$ . This proves that the mapping is continuous.

We verify easily that the mapping is an algebraic isomorphism of the groups  $G$  and  $\underline{G}$ . It follows that, since  $G$  is compact,  $\underline{G}$  is a compact subgroup of  $M_\mu$ , and so the assertion follows.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 we obtain

COROLLARY 4. The mapping  $s \rightarrow L_s$  of Theorem 2 carries the center  $G^Z$  of  $G$  onto the center  $\underline{G}^Z$  of  $\underline{G}$  and is a topological isomorphism between the compact Abelian subgroups  $G^Z$  and  $\underline{G}^Z$ .

We prove the following characterization of a left translation on  $G$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $\phi$  be a mapping from  $G$  into itself. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)y$  for all  $x, y \in G$ ;
- (ii) there exists an element  $s$  in  $G$  such that  $\phi(x) = sx$  for all  $x \in G$ .

PROOF. If (i) holds, we put  $s = \phi(e)$ , so that  $\phi(x) = \phi(ex) = \phi(e)x = sx$  for all  $x \in G$ . Clearly (ii) implies (i).  $\square$

Similarly we prove that a mapping  $\phi$  from  $G$  into  $G$  is a right translation iff  $\phi(xy) = x\phi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .

LEMMA 5.  $\phi_1 \cap M_R = \phi \cap M_R = \underline{G}$ .

PROOF. Since  $\underline{G} \subset \phi_1 \cap M_R \subset \phi \cap M_R$ , it suffices to show that  $\phi \cap M_R \subset \underline{G}$ . If  $T_\phi \in \phi \cap M_R$ , then  $R_{y^{-1}}T_\phi = T_\phi R_y$  for each  $y \in G$ , so that  $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)y$  for  $x, y \in G$ . By Lemma 4 there exists  $s \in G$  such that  $\phi(x) = sx$  for all  $x \in G$ , and so  $T_\phi = L_{s^{-1}} \in \underline{G}$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 3. Let  $T$  be in  $M_R$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is an isometry of  $L_p(G)$  into itself for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is an isometry of  $P_p(G)$  into itself for some  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ;
- (iii)  $T$  is in  $\underline{G}$ .

PROOF. Clearly (i) implies (ii). Suppose that (ii) holds. Let  $T \in M_R$  and  $\mu \in P(G)$  be the associated elements as in Proposition 7. Let  $P$  be the Markov operator on  $C(G)$  defined by  $Pf(x) = \int_G P(x, dy)f(y)$ , where  $P(x, A) = \mu(xA^{-1})$ . It follows from (ii), together with Jensen's inequality, that, for each nonnegative  $f$  in  $C(G)$ ,  $|Pf|^P(x) = P|f|^P(x)$   $m$ -a.e. If the equality holds at a point  $x \in G$ , then the measure  $P(x, \cdot)$  is a unit mass at some point  $\sigma(x) \in G$ , that is,  $P(x, \cdot) = \epsilon_{\sigma(x)}(\cdot)$ . Thus we have  $P(x, \cdot) = \epsilon_{\sigma(x)}(\cdot)$   $m$ -a.e. The mapping  $\sigma(x)$  is defined on  $G$   $m$ -a.e., but it can be defined everywhere on  $G$  in the usual manner. We show readily that  $T = T_\sigma \in \phi \cap M_R$ , and so by Lemma 5  $T$  is in  $\underline{G}$ . Therefore (iii) holds. Clearly (iii) implies (i).  $\square$

COROLLARY 5. Let  $T \in M_R$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is an isometric, algebra isomorphism of  $L_1(G)$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is an isometry on  $L_1(G)$ ;
- (iii)  $T$  is in  $\underline{G}$ .

COROLLARY 6. Let  $T \in M_R$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is a unitary operator on  $L_2(G)$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is an isometry on  $L_2(G)$ ;
- (iii)  $T$  is in  $\underline{G}$ .

By a measure-preserving set isomorphism  $\psi$  on  $(G, B(G), m)$  we shall mean a mapping  $\psi$  of the measure algebra  $\langle B(G), m \rangle$  into itself such that  $\psi(G) = G$ ,  $\psi(G-A) = G - \psi(A)$ ,  $\psi(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi(A_j)$ , and  $m(\psi(A)) = m(A)$ . Let  $\Psi$  be the family of such set mappings  $\psi$ . Each  $\psi \in \Psi$  defines a unique operator  $T_\psi \in D$  such that  $T_\psi \chi_A = \chi_{\psi(A)}$ . We also write  $\Psi$  for  $\{T_\psi; \psi \in \Psi\}$ . In particular, if  $G$  is a compact metrizable group, then each set-mapping  $\psi \in \Psi$  is induced by a point-mapping

$\phi \in \Phi$ , so that  $T_{\Psi} X_A = T_{\phi} X_A$ , that is,  $\Psi = \phi$ . See Lamperti [13]; Royden [14] for details. We prove the following analogue of Theorem 3 for  $D$ .

PROPOSITION 9. Let  $T$  be in  $D$ . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is an isometry of  $L_p(G)$  into itself for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is an isometry of  $L_p(G)$  into itself for some  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ;
- (iii)  $T$  is in  $\Psi$ .

PROOF. Clearly (i) implies (ii). We next show that (ii) implies (iii). If  $T$  is an isometry of  $L_p(G)$  for some  $p$ :  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , then by Theorem 3.1 of Lamperti [13], we have  $T \in \Psi$ . If  $T$  is an isometry of  $L_2(G)$ , then, by the argument of Brown [11], pages 22, 23, we obtain  $T \in \Psi$ . It is straightforward to show that (iii) implies (i).  $\square$

The following corollary follows from Theorem 3 and Proposition 9.

COROLLARY 7.  $\tau \cap M_{\tau} = \underline{G}$ .

REMARK 2. By the Kawada-Wendel theorem (Kawada [15]; Wendel [1,16]), we have that for each  $T$  in  $D$ ,  $T$  is an isometric, algebra isomorphism of  $L_1(G)$  onto itself if and only if  $T = T_{\phi} \in \Phi_1$ , where  $\phi$  is a homeomorphic automorphism of  $G$ .

For a convex subset  $K$  of a real or complex vector space, let  $\text{ext } K$  be the set of extreme points of  $K$ . We write  $G$  and  $G^Z$  for the sets  $\{\epsilon_x: x \in G\}$  and  $\{\epsilon_x: x \in G^Z\}$ . We verify easily that  $\text{ext } P(G) = G$  and

$$G^Z = P^Z(G) \cap \text{ext } P(G) \subset \text{ext } P^Z(G).$$

Example 1 will show that  $\text{ext } P^Z(G) \neq G^Z$  in general. It is known (Stromberg [7]) that for each  $\mu \in P(G)$ , the measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  defined by  $\tilde{\mu}(E) = \int_G \mu(xEx^{-1}) dm(x)$  is an element of  $P^Z(G)$ .

LEMMA 6. If  $\mu$  is a measure in  $P^Z(G) - G^Z$  such that  $e \in S(\mu)$ , then it is not an extreme point of  $P^Z(G)$ .

PROOF. Let  $S(\mu) = H$ . Then there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $e$  such that  $0 < t = \mu(U) < 1$ . Define the measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  in  $P(G)$  by  $\mu_1(E) = \mu(E \cap U)/t$  and  $\mu_2(E) = \mu(E \cap (H-U))/s$ , where  $s = 1 - t$ . It follows that  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ ,  $\mu = t\mu_1 + s\mu_2$ , and  $\mu = \tilde{t}\tilde{\mu}_1 + \tilde{s}\tilde{\mu}_2$ . Then there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $e$  such that  $xVx^{-1} \subset U$  for all  $x \in G$ . Observe that  $\tilde{\mu}_2(V) = \frac{1}{s} \int_G \mu(xVx^{-1} \cap (H-U)) dm(x) = 0$ . On the other hand, since  $f(x) = \mu(xVx^{-1})$  is lower semicontinuous on  $G$ , and  $f(e) = \mu(V) > 0$ , there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of  $e$  such that  $f(x) > f(e)/2$  for each  $x \in W$ . Thus  $\tilde{\mu}_1(V) = \frac{1}{t} \int_G f(x) dm(x) \geq \frac{1}{t} \int_W f(x) dm(x) > f(e)m(W)/2t > 0$ . Accordingly  $\tilde{\mu}_1 \neq \tilde{\mu}_2$  so that  $\mu$  is not an extreme point of  $P^Z(G)$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $G = S_3$ , the symmetric group on three symbols. Let  $G = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6\}$ , where  $a_1 = e$ ,  $a_2 = (1,2)$ ,  $a_3 = (1,3)$ ,  $a_4 = (2,3)$ ,  $a_5 = (1,2,3)$ ,  $a_6 = (1,3,2)$ . For  $a_j \in G$ , let  $[a_j]$  be the conjugacy class of  $G$  which contains  $a_j$ . Then  $[a_1] = \{e\}$ ,  $[a_j] = \{a_2, a_3, a_4\}$  for  $j = 2, 3, 4$ , and  $[a_j] = \{a_5, a_6\}$  for  $j = 5, 6$ . Let  $A = [a_2]$  and  $B = [a_5]$ . Note that  $G^Z = \{e\}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the uniform probability measure on  $A$ , that is,  $\mu(a_j) = 1/3$  for  $j = 2, 3, 4$ . Then  $\mu \in P^Z(G)$  and  $e \notin A = s(\mu)$ . Suppose that  $\mu = t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_2$ , where  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in P^Z(G)$  and  $0 < t < 1$ . We show readily that  $S(\mu_j) = A$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , and that  $\mu = \mu_1 = \mu_2$ . Thus  $\mu \in \text{ext } P^Z(G) - G^Z$ .

Let  $\nu$  be the uniform probability measure on  $B$ . Clearly  $\mu \neq \nu$ . Define  $\lambda = (\mu + \nu)/2$ . It follows that  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  are elements of  $P^Z(G)$ ,  $e \notin S(\lambda) = A \cup B$ , and  $\lambda \notin \text{ext } P^Z(G)$ .

THEOREM 4.  $\text{ext } M_r = \underline{G}$ , and  $M_r$  is the closed convex hull of  $\underline{G}$  in the strong operator topology of  $B[L_2(G)]$ .

PROOF. Since  $\text{ext } P(G) = G$ , we have at once from Theorem 1 that  $\text{ext } M_r = \underline{G}$ . It follows from the Krein-Milman theorem, together with Theorem 1, that  $M_r$  is the closed convex hull of  $\underline{G}$  in the weak operator topology of  $B[L_2(G)]$ . Since the convex hull of  $\underline{G}$  has the same closure in both the weak operator and the strong operator topologies of  $B[L_2(G)]$  (Dunford and Schwartz [17]), the assertion follows.  $\square$

Since  $G^Z \subset \text{ext } P^Z(G)$ , or equivalently,  $\underline{G}^Z \subset \text{ext } M$ , we have from the Krein-Milman theorem, together with Corollary 1, that  $M$  contains the closed convex hull of  $\underline{G}^Z$  in the weak operator topology of  $B[L_2(G)]$ .

REMARK 3. Let  $T \in M_r$  and  $\mu \in P(G)$  be the associated elements as in Theorem 1. Since  $G$  and  $\underline{G}$  are topologically isomorphic, we may view the probability measure  $\mu$  as a probability measure on  $M_r$  supported by  $\underline{G} = \text{ext } M_r$ . It follows that for  $f, g \in L_2(G)$ ,

$$\langle f, Tg \rangle = \int_G \int_G f(x) \bar{g}(y^{-1}x) dm(x) d\mu(y) = \int_G \langle f, L_y g \rangle d\mu(y) = \int_G \langle f, L_g \cdot d\mu(L) \rangle,$$

so that  $\mu$  is the only probability measure on  $M_r$  which represents  $T$  and which is supported by  $\text{ext } M_r$ . Thus a sharper form of the Choquet-Bishop-de Leeuw theorem (see Phelps [18], page 24) holds for  $M_r$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work was supported by a grant from NSERC of Canada.

#### REFERENCES

1. WENDEL, J.G. Left Centralizers and Isomorphisms of Group Algebras, Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952) 251-261.
2. EDWARDS, R.E. Bipositive and Isometric Isomorphisms of Some Convolution Algebras, Canadian J. Math. 17 (1965) 839-846.
3. HEWITT, E. and ROSS, K.A. Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
4. BROWN, J.R. Spatially Homogeneous Markov Operators, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete. 6 (1966) 279-286.
5. STROMBERG, K. A Note on the Convolution of Regular Measures, Math. Scand. 7 (1959) 347-352.
6. ROSENBLATT, M. Markov Processes. Structures and Asymptotic Behavior, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
7. STROMBERG, K. Probabilities on a Company Group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1960) 295-309.

8. WENDEL, J.G. Haar Measure and the Semigroup of Measures on a Compact Group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954) 923-929.
9. HALMOS, P.R. Measure Theory, Van Nostrand, 1950.
10. KAKUTANI, S. and KODAIRA, K. Über das Haarsche Mass in der Lokal Bikompakten Gruppe, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo. 20 (1944) 444-450.
11. BROWN, J.R. Approximation Theorems for Markov Operators, Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966) 13-23.
12. HEWITT, E. and ROSS, K.A. Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
13. LAMPERTI, J. On the Isometries of Certain Function-Spaces, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958) 459-466.
14. ROYDEN, H.L. Real Analysis, 2nd ed. McMillan, 1968.
15. KAWADA, Y. On the Group Ring of a Topological Group. Math. Japonicae. 1 (1948) 1-5.
16. WENDEL, J.G. On Isometric Isomorphism of Group Algebras, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951) 305-311.
17. DUNFORD, N. and SCHWARTZ, J. Linear Operators, Part I, Interscience, 1958.
18. PHELPS, R.R. Lectures on Choquet's Theorem, Van Nostrand, 1966.