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A blow-up time for nonlinear heat equations with transcendental nonlinearity is investigated. An upper bound of the first blow-up time is presented. It is pointed out that the upper bound of the first blow-up time depends on the support of the initial datum.

## 1. Introduction

We are concerned with the initial value problem of nonstationary nonlinear heat equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t)-\Delta u(x, t)=F(u(x, t)),  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, F$ is a given nonlinear function and $u$ is unknown. Due to the mathematical and physical importance, existence and uniqueness theories of solutions of nonlinear heat equations have been extensively studied by many mathematicians and physicists, for example, $[1-10]$ and references therein. Unlike other studies, we focus on the nonlinear heat equations with transcendental nonlinearities such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u-\Delta u=|u|^{p} e^{|u|^{q}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive real numbers $p, q$. The nonlinearity in the above problem grows so fast that the solutions may blow up very fast. We are interested in how fast! Even though we present only one problem with the specific nonlinear function $F(u) \equiv|u|^{p} e^{|u|^{q}}$, this nonlinearity exemplifies (analytic) nonlinearities with rapid growth.

The study of the blow-up problem has attracted a considerable attention in recent years. The latest developments for the case of power type nonlinear terms $F(u) \equiv|u|^{p-1} u$ are mainly devoted to the subjects of blow-up rate, set, profiles, and the possible continuation after blow-up. The continuity with respect to the initial data also has been studied.

The studies on finite time blow-up rates were conducted in [11-21]. For example, it has been proved that for $1<p<(n+2) /(n-2)$, there exists a uniform constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C t^{-1 /(p-1)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

under certain constraints before the blow-up, see [19, 22]. It also has been noticed after the blow-up that for such subcritical cases $1<p<(n+2) /(n-2)$ the blow-up is complete, that is to say, a proper continuation of the solution beyond the blow-up point identically equals $+\infty$ in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The first main contribution in this direction seems to be the work of Baras and Cohen [23] who looked into the complete blow-up of semi-linear heat equations with subcritical power type nonlinear terms, and thus established the validity of a conjecture of H. Brezis (page 143 in [23]). Further results were obtained in [18, 24, 25]; see also the references therein.

It seems to be very natural and important to find the explicit blow-up time in study of the blow-up problem. To the author's knowledge, explicit blow-up time has not been uncovered yet-even for the case of power type nonlinearity. One only began to understand that the blow-up time is continuous with respect to the initial data $u_{0}$ (for a certain topological sense) for details, see [ $8,23,24,26-28]$.

This paper is mainly concerned with the blow-up time. For the power type nonlinearity, when the blow-up phenomena are established, a partial representation for an upper bound of the (first) blow-up time can be found in Section 9 in [29] and also in [30]. One preliminary observation of this research is that an upper bound of the blow-up time for the case of the power type nonlinear term is related with the explicit solution of the classical Bernoulli's equations (see (3.5) below). For the case of transcendental nonlinearities, we prove a series of ordinary differential inequalities and equations to disclose an effective upper bound of the blow-up time for positive solutions with a large initial datum. We have found that the blow-up time (of the positive solutions) may depend not only on the norm of given initial datum but also on the area of the support of the initial datum.

The upper bound of the blow-up time we present here is universal in the sense that it is an upper bound for many popular function spaces as explained at Remark 2.3. A better upper bound and a lower bound in a special space, for example the Lebesgue space $L^{\infty}$, are of obvious interest.

## 2. The Main Theorem

Let $u_{0}$ be a function with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $u$ be a (smooth) solution of (1.2) inside of supp $u_{0}$ with a homogeneous Dirichlet's boundary condition and the initial condition $u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)$. It is clear that $\operatorname{supp} u(t) \subset \operatorname{supp} u_{0}$ for all $t \geq 0$ if we employ the trivial extension of $u$ to the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By virtue of maximum principle, if the
initial source $u_{0}$ is nonnegative, so is $u$. It is also well known that a positive solution $u$ of (1.2) with sufficiently large initial datum blows up within a finite time; that is, there exists a positive constant $T^{*}$ (the maximal existence time) so that $\lim _{t T^{*}}\|u(t)\|_{X}=\infty$ in an appropriate function space $X$. We choose an open ball $B_{\delta}$ of radius $\delta$ that contains the support of $u_{0}$. We proceed by choosing an orthonormal basis $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ for $L^{2}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$, where $w_{j} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to each eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$ of $-\Delta$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta w_{j}=\lambda_{j} w_{j} \quad \text { in } B_{\delta}, \\
w_{j}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial B_{\delta}, \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots$. In particular, we are interested in the eigenfunctions corresponding to the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}>0$.

We recall a relationship between the volume of the domain and the principal eigenvalue of the Laplacian, which says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{\delta^{2}}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{0}>0$ is the first positive zero of the Bessel function $J_{n / 2-1}$ of order $(n / 2)-1$ which can be expressed by elementary functions (for $n \geq 2$, see page 45 in [31]). Also, we may choose an eigenfunction $w_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}>0 \quad \text { in } B_{\delta}, \quad \int_{B_{\delta}} w_{1}(x) d x=1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A smooth solution $u$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(B_{\delta}\right)$ can be expressed by a linear combination of $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}: u(x, t)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}(t) w_{j}(x)\left(0 \leq t<T^{*}, x \in B_{\delta}\right)$, where $a_{j}(t)=\int_{B_{\delta}} u(x, t) w_{j}(x) d x$. In particular, we denote the eigen-coefficient of $u$ with respect to the eigenfunction $w_{1}$ by $\eta(t) \equiv a_{1}(t)$.

We introduce two specific real numbers $m_{1}$ and $c_{0}$ as follows: $m_{1}$ is the smallest positive integer among $m$ satisfying $q m+p>1$, and $c_{0}$ is the smallest nonnegative number such that $t^{p} e^{t q}>\lambda_{1} t$ holds for all $t>c_{0}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let the spatial dimension $n$ be greater than 1. With the notations above, assume that the given initial source $u_{0}$ is large enough that the initial eigen-coefficient $\eta_{0} \equiv \int_{B_{6}} u_{0}(x) w_{1}(x) d x$ is greater than both $\left(m_{1}!\lambda_{1}\right)^{1 /\left(q m_{1}+p-1\right)}$ and $c_{0}$. Then the (first) blow-up time $T_{\eta}^{*}$ of the first eigencoefficient $\eta(t)$ is less than or equal to the positive number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta^{2}}{\left(q m_{1}+p-1\right) r_{0}^{2}} \ln \left(\frac{\delta^{2} \eta_{0}^{q m_{1}+p-1}}{\delta^{2} q_{0}^{q m_{1}+p-1}-m_{1}!r_{0}^{2}}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=(1 / 2) \max \left\{|x-y|: x, y \in \operatorname{supp} u_{0}\right\}$.

Remark 2.2. We notice that as the diameter $\delta$ of the support of $u_{0}$ gets bigger, (2.4) converges to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{1}!}{\left(q m_{1}+p-1\right) \eta_{0}^{q m_{1}+p-1}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. By virtue of Hölder's inequality on $\eta(t)=\int_{B_{\delta}} u(x, t) w_{1}(x) d x$, it is noted that the blow-up time $T^{*}$ of $\|u\|_{X}$ cannot exceed the (first) blow-up time $T_{\eta}^{*}$ of $\eta(t)$. Here the space $X$ can be one of any function spaces that obey Hölder's inequality together with the dual space $X^{\prime}$. Classical Lebesgue spaces, $B M O$, Besov spaces, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, and Orlicz spaces are some of the examples.

## 3. The Arguments

The monotone convergence theorem implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \eta(t) & =\int_{B_{\delta}} u_{t} w_{1} d x=\int_{B_{\delta}}\left(\Delta u+|u|^{p} e^{|u|^{q}}\right) w_{1} d x \\
& =-\lambda_{1} \eta(t)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{B_{\delta}}|u|^{q k+p} w_{1} d x \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hölder's inequality and (2.3), on the other hand, yield that for each $k$

$$
\begin{align*}
|\eta(t)| & \leq \int_{B_{\delta}}|u| w_{1} d x \leq\left(\int_{B_{\delta}}|u|^{q k+p} w_{1} d x\right)^{1 /(q k+p)}\left(\int_{B_{\delta}} w_{1} d x\right)^{(q k+p-1) /(q k+p)}  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\left(\int_{B_{\delta}}|u|^{q k+p} w_{1} d x\right)^{1 /(q k+p)}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we have $|\eta(t)|^{q k+p} \leq \int_{B_{\delta}}|u|^{q k+p} w_{1} d x$. Apply this inequality on (3.1) to find that for $0 \leq t<T^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \eta(t) \geq-\lambda_{1} \eta(t)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}|\eta(t)|^{q k+p}=-\lambda_{1} \eta(t)+|\eta(t)|^{p} e^{|\eta(t)|^{q}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now going to find a lower bound function for $\eta(t)$. To do it, take $\phi$ to be a solution of the ordinary differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \phi(t)=-\lambda_{1} \phi(t)+|\phi(t)|^{p} e^{|\phi(t)|^{q}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\eta(0)=\phi(0)$. We also define a real-valued function $f$ by $f(t) \equiv-\lambda_{1} t+|t|^{p} e^{|t|^{q}}$. A closer look at (3.3) and a chain of considerations on the choice of $c_{0}$ deliver that $\eta(t) \geq \eta(0)=\eta_{0}>c_{0}$,
which in turn implies that $(d / d t) \eta(t) / f(\eta(t)) \geq 1$. Integrate both sides with respect to $t$, and we have $\int_{0}^{t}((d / d s) \eta(s) / f(\eta(s))) d s \geq t$. Consider an indefinite integral $F$ of $1 / f(x)$ to get $F(\eta(t))-F(\eta(0)) \geq t$. Similarly, we can obtain $F(\phi(t))-F(\phi(0))=t$. Hence these facts together with $\eta(0)=\phi(0)$ yield that $F(\eta(t)) \geq F(\eta(0))+t=F(\phi(0))+t=F(\phi(t))$. Note that $F$ is monotone increasing on $\left(c_{0}, \infty\right)$, and so we can deduce that $\eta(t) \geq \phi(t)$ for $0 \leq t<T^{*}$.

We will find the first blow-up time for $\phi(t)$. First, for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider two real-valued functions $g, h:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x) \equiv-\lambda_{1} x+|x|^{p} e^{|x|^{q}}$ and $h(x) \equiv-\lambda_{1} x+$ $\sum_{m=0}^{k}(1 / m!)|x|^{q^{m+p}}$. Then it is clear that $g(x) \geq h(x)$ for all $0 \leq x<\infty$. Let $\rho_{k}$ be a solution for a Bernoulli-type equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \rho_{k}(t)=h\left(\rho_{k}(t)\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k}(0)=\left(1-\frac{1}{k+2}\right) \phi(0) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. For each $k, \phi(t) \geq \rho_{k}(t)$ for all $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$.
Proof. We choose indefinite integrals $G$ and $H$ of $1 / g$ and $1 / h$, respectively, with the conditions that $G(0)=0$ and $H\left(\rho_{k}(0)\right)=G(\phi(0))$. We have $G(x) \leq H(x)$ for all $x$, which follows from the facts that $g(x) \geq h(x)$ for all $x$ and $\rho_{k}(0)<\phi(0)$. On the other hand, the argument used above leads to get $G(\phi(t))-G(\phi(0))=t$, and similarly $t=H\left(\rho_{k}(t)\right)-H\left(\rho_{k}(0)\right)$. Hence we arrive at $G(\phi(t))=H\left(\rho_{k}(t)\right)$. From this together with the fact that the function $G$ is dominated by $H$, we can realize that $\rho_{k}$ should be dominated by $\phi$, that is, $\phi(t) \geq \rho_{k}(t)$ for all $0 \leq t<T^{*}$.

We assert that the sequence $\left\{\rho_{k}(t)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is monotone increasing and converges to $\phi(t)$ for $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$. In fact, by the same argument used in Lemma 3.1, it can be noticed that $\left\{\rho_{k}(t)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is monotone increasing and bounded above by $\phi(t)$, and so it converges to some $\xi(t)$. The integral representation of (3.5) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k}(t)=\rho_{k}(0)-\lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \rho_{k}(\tau) d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{1}{m!}\left|\rho_{k}(\tau)\right|^{q m+p} d \tau \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem together with Lemma 3.1 leads to the (pointwise) limit of (3.7): $\xi(t)=\phi(0)-\lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(\tau) d \tau+\int_{0}^{t}|\xi(t)|^{p} e^{|\xi(t)|^{\varphi}} d \tau$, which implies that $\xi$ is the solution of (3.4). The uniqueness of the solution for (3.4) yields that $\xi=\phi$.

We can explicitly compute the solutions $\rho_{k}$ by observing that $\rho_{k}=\sum_{m=0}^{k} \varrho_{m}$, where $\varrho_{m}$ are solutions for classical Bernoulli's equations: $(d / d t) \varrho_{m}=-\lambda_{1} \varrho_{m}+(1 / m!) \varrho_{m}^{q m+p}$ with initial values:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{m}(0)=\left(\frac{1}{m+1}-\frac{1}{m+2}\right) \phi(0) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving each Bernoulli's equation and summing up the solutions, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k}(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{k}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1} m!}{\lambda_{1} m!-\varrho_{m}(0)^{q m+p-1}\left(1-e^{-(q m+p-1) \lambda_{1} t}\right)}\right)^{1 /(q m+p-1)} e^{-\lambda_{1} t} \rho_{m}(0) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the denominator is not zero. In case $(1-p) / q$ is a positive integer, to say $m_{0}$, then the $m_{0}$-th term in the summation above should be replaced by $\rho_{m_{0}}(0) e^{\left(\left(1 / m_{0}!\right)-\lambda_{1}\right) t}$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1} m!}{\lambda_{1} m!-\varrho_{m}(0)^{q m+p-1}\left(1-e^{-(q m+p-1) \lambda_{1} t}\right)}\right)^{1 /(q m+p-1)} e^{-\lambda_{1} t} \rho_{m}(0) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first blow-up time at the right hand side of (3.10) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} \equiv-\frac{1}{\left(q m_{1}+p-1\right) \lambda_{1}} \ln \left(1-\frac{\left\{\left(m_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{1}+2\right)\right\}^{q m_{1}+p-1} m_{1}!\lambda_{1}}{\eta(0)^{q m_{1}+p-1}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $m_{1}$ is defined at page 3 ) which implies that $T^{*} \leq T_{1}$, and so the solution blows up before the finite time $T_{1}$.

We now present a better upper bound than $T_{1}$ of the blow-up time $T^{*}$. In fact, the number " $\left\{\left(m_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{1}+2\right)\right\}^{\text {q } m_{1}+p-1 " \prime}$ in (3.11) can be improved by taking another initial data in (3.6) and (3.8). We choose a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $0=a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k}=1$. Then by replacing the initial conditions in (3.6) and (3.8) with $\rho_{k}(0)=a_{k+2} \phi(0)$ and $\rho_{m}(0)=\left(a_{m+2}-a_{m+1}\right) \phi(0)$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\eta}^{*} \leq-\frac{1}{\left(q m_{1}+p-1\right) \lambda_{1}} \ln \left(1-\frac{m_{1}!\lambda_{1}}{\left\{a_{m_{1}+2}-a_{m_{1}+1}\right\}^{q m_{1}+p-1} \eta(0)^{q m_{1}+p-1}}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of (3.11). The estimate (3.12) holds for any sequence $\left\{a_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ with $0<a_{m_{1}+1}<a_{m_{1}+2}<$ 1. Therefore letting the number $a_{m_{1}+2}-a_{m_{1}+1}$ go to 1 , we finally get a better upper bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(q m_{1}+p-1\right) \lambda_{1}} \ln \left(\frac{\eta(0)^{q m_{1}+p-1}}{\eta(0)^{q m_{1}+p-1}-m_{1}!\lambda_{1}}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $T^{*}$. This completes the proof.
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