Research Article

Periodic Solution of Prey-Predator Model with Beddington-DeAngelis Functional Response and Impulsive State Feedback Control

Chunjin Wei¹ and Lansun Chen²

¹ Science College, Jimei University, Fujian, Xiamen 361021, China
 ² Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Chunjin Wei, chunjinwei92@163.com

Received 18 June 2012; Revised 31 August 2012; Accepted 2 September 2012

Academic Editor: Shiping Lu

Copyright © 2012 C. Wei and L. Chen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A prey-predator model with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response and impulsive state feedback control is investigated. We obtain the sufficient conditions of the global asymptotical stability of the system without impulsive effects. By using the geometry theory of semicontinuous dynamic system and the method of successor function, we obtain the system with impulsive effects that has an order one periodic solution, and sufficient conditions for existence and stability of order one periodic solution are also obtained. Finally, numerical simulations are performed to illustrate our main results.

1. Introduction

The study of the dynamics of prey-predator system is one of the dominant subjects in both ecology and mathematical ecology due to the fact that predator-prey interaction is the fundamental structure in population dynamics. Many scholars have carried out the study of prey-predator system with various functional responses, such as Monod-type and Holling-type. It is well known that Beddington-DeAngelis functional response which was introduced by Beddington and DeAngelis et al. [1, 2] can avoid some of the singular behavior of ratio-dependent models at low densities and provide better description of predator feeding over a range of prey-predator abundances. Therefore, in this paper, we investigate prey-predator system with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response.

Impulsive differential equations have been widely used in various fields of applied sciences, for example, physics, ecology, and pest control. The majority of them just concern the system with impulses at fixed times [3–7]. However, in practical ecological system, the control measures (by poisoning or releasing the natural nenmy, etc.) are taken only when

the amount of species reaches a threshold value, rather than the usual impulsive fixedtime control strategy. Impulsive state feedback equation is a powerful tool to manage these problems. Therefore, some researchers proposed the impulsive state feedback control models for population management [8–11].

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, we consider the following predator-prey system with Beddington-DeAngelis functional respose:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{x}'(\tau) &= r\widetilde{x}\left(1 - \frac{\widetilde{x}}{K}\right) - \frac{\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y}}{\alpha + \beta\widetilde{x} + \gamma\widetilde{y}'}, \\ \widetilde{y}'(\tau) &= \frac{k\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y}}{\alpha + \beta\widetilde{x} + \gamma\widetilde{y}} - d\widetilde{y}, \\ \widetilde{y}'(\tau) &= \frac{k\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y}}{\alpha + \beta\widetilde{x} + \gamma\widetilde{y}} - d\widetilde{y}, \\ \Delta\widetilde{x} &= -p\widetilde{x}, \\ \widetilde{x} &= h_1, \\ \Delta\widetilde{y} &= \iota_1 - q\widetilde{y}, \end{split}$$
(1.1)

where $\Delta \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}(\tau^+) - \tilde{x}(\tau)$, $\Delta \tilde{y} = \tilde{y}(\tau^+) - \tilde{y}(\tau)$, r, K, α , β , γ , d, and k are positive constants and $x(\tau)$, $y(\tau)$ represent the population density of prey (pest) and predator (natural enemy) at time τ , respectively. r is the intrinsic growth rate and K is the carrying capacity in the absence of predation. The predator consumes the prey with functional response of Beddington-DeAngelis type $\tilde{x}\tilde{y}/(\alpha + \beta\tilde{x} + \gamma\tilde{y})$ and contributes to its growth with rate $k\tilde{x}\tilde{y}/(\alpha + \beta\tilde{x} + \gamma\tilde{y})$. d is the death rate of predator. 0 , <math>0 < q < 1, when the amount of the prey reaches the threshold h at time τ_{h_1} , controlling measures are taken and the amount of the prey and predator abruptly turn to $(1-p)h_1$ and $\iota_1 + (1-q)y(\tau_{h_1})$, respectively. The functional response in system (1.1) is similar to the well-known Holling type II with an extra term $\gamma\tilde{y}$ in the denominator which models the mutual interference among predators. It also has some of the same qualitative behaviours as the classical ratio-dependent model (i.e., $\alpha = 0$), but is free from the singular behaviors of ratio-dependent model at low densities which is, in fact, the source of controversy [12–14]. For simplicity, let $(\alpha + \beta\tilde{x} + \gamma\tilde{y})dt = d\tau$, then system (1.1) becomes the form:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{x}'(t) &= \widetilde{x} \left[r\alpha + \left(r\beta - \frac{r}{K} \right) \widetilde{x} + (r\gamma - 1) \widetilde{y} + \frac{r\beta}{K} \widetilde{x}^2 - \frac{r\gamma}{K} \widetilde{x} \widetilde{y} \right], \\ \widetilde{y}'(t) &= \widetilde{y} \left[-\alpha d + (k - d\beta) \widetilde{x} - \gamma d \widetilde{y} \right], \\ \Delta \widetilde{x} &= -p \widetilde{x}, \\ \Delta \widetilde{x} &= -p \widetilde{x}, \\ \widetilde{x} &= h_1. \\ \Delta \widetilde{y} &= \iota_1 - q \widetilde{y}, \end{split}$$
(1.2)

We assume that $k - d\beta > 0$, otherwise $\tilde{y}'(t) < 0$, we have $\tilde{y} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, which means that the predator population will die out, therefore, in the following, we always assume that $k - d\beta > 0$.

We nondimensionalize system (1.2) with the following scaling: $\tilde{x} = (\alpha d/(k - d\beta))x$, $\tilde{y} = (\alpha/\gamma)y$, and have

$$x'(t) = fx(1 + a_1x + a_2y - a_3x^2 - a_4xy),
 x < h,
 y'(t) = ey(x - y - 1),
 \Delta x = -px,
 x = h,
 \Delta y = \iota - qy,$$
 (1.3)

where the nondimensional parameters are defined as $a_1 = (K\beta - \alpha)d/(k - d\beta)K$, $a_2 = 1 - (1/r\gamma)$, $f = r\alpha$, $e = \alpha d$, $a_3 = \alpha\beta d^2/K(k - d\beta)^2$, $a_4 = \alpha d/(k - d\beta)$, $h = ((k - d\beta)/\alpha d)h_1$, and $\iota = (\gamma/\alpha)\iota_1$. Obviously that e > 0, f > 0, $a_3 > 0$, $a_4 > 0$.

In this paper, we mainly discuss the existence and stability of periodic solution of system (1.3) by using the geometry theory of semicontinuous dynamic system and the method of successor function which were introduced in the paper [15, 16], as far as we know, there are few papers to apply these methods to prove the existence of order one periodic solution, which makes the study simpler and clearer. What is worth saying is that modeling thoughts and mathematical methods used in this paper are of more important theoretical and practical value.

An outline of this paper is as follows: some definitions and theorems are given for the later use in the next section. The qualitative analysis of the system without impulsive effects is given in Section 3. In Section 4, the existence and stability of order one periodic solution of system (1.3) are investigated. Numerical simulations and some discussions are provided in Section 5.

2. Definitions and Lemmas

Definition 2.1 (see [15]). Differential equation with impulsive state feedback control

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = P(x,y), \qquad \frac{dy}{dt} = Q(x,y), \quad (x,y) \notin M\{x,y\},
\Delta x = \alpha(x,y), \qquad \Delta y = \beta(x,y), \quad (x,y) \in M\{x,y\},$$
(2.1)

where $M\{x, y\}$ and $N\{x, y\}$ are lines or curves on the plane $R^2(x, y)$. $M\{x, y\}$ is called pulse set and $N\{x, y\}$ is called phase set. The dynamical system which is constituted by the solution map of system (2.1) is called semicontinuous dynamical system which is denoted by (Ω, f, φ, M) . We assume that the map with the initial point p is not in the pulse set $M\{x, y\}$, that is, $p \in \Omega = R^2 - M\{x, y\}$, φ is a continuous map, $\varphi(M) \subseteq N$, and φ is called pulse map.

In this paper, $R^+ = [0, +\infty)$, $R^2_+ = \{(x, y) \mid x \ge 0, y \ge 0\}$. $M = \{(x, y) \in R^2_+ \mid x = h, y \ge 0\}$, $\varphi : (x, y) \in M \to ((1-p)x, \iota+(1-q)y) \in R^2_+$, $N = \varphi(M) = \{(x, y) \in R^2_+ \mid x = (1-p)h, y \ge 0\}$, then system (1.3) constitutes a semicontinuous dynamical system (Ω, f, φ, M).

For any $P \in \Omega$, the function $f_P : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \Omega$ defined as $f_P(t) = f(P, t)$ is continuous and we call $f_P(t)$ the trajectory passing through point *P*. The set $C^+(P) = \{f(P, t) \mid 0 \le t < +\infty\}$ is called positive semitrajectory of point *P*. The set $C^-(P) = \{f(P, t) \mid -\infty < t \le 0\}$ is called the negative semitrajectory of point *P*. For the convenience, if $P \in \Omega$, F(P) is defined as the first intersection point of $C^+(P)$ and M, that is, there exists a $t_1 \in R^+$ such that $F(P) = f(P, t_1) \in M$, and for $0 < t < t_1, f(P, t) \notin M$.

Definition 2.2 (see [15]). A trajectory f(P,t) is called order one periodic solution with period T if there exists a point $P \in N$ and T > 0 such that $f(P,T) = Q \in M$ and $\varphi(Q) = \varphi(f(P,T)) = P \in N$.

Next we will give the definition of the successor function of semicontinuous dynamical system (1.3). First, we define a new number axis in set *N*. On straight line x = (1 - p)h, take the origin at point ((1 - p)h, 0) of coordinate axis *x* and define positive direction and unit length to be consistent with coordinate axis *y*, then we obtain a number axis *l*. For any $x \in l$, let l(x) be coordinate of point *x*.

Definition 2.3. Suppose $g : N \to N$ be a map. For any $x \in N$, there exists a $t_1 > 0$ such that $F(x) = f(x, t_1) = x_1 \in M$, $x_1^+ = \varphi(x_1) \in N$, then $g(x) = l(x_1^+) - l(x)$ is called the successor function of point x, and the point x_1^+ is called the successor point of x.

Remark 2.4. If $g(x_0) = 0$, the trajectory $f(x_0, t)$ with initial point x_0 is an order one periodic solution of system (1.3).

According to the continuity of compound function, we know the following.

Lemma 2.5. The successor function g(x) is continuous.

In system (1.3), the isocline dx/dt = 0 is denoted by Γ_1 , the isocline dy/dt = 0 is denoted by Γ_2 . Let $A((1 - p)h, y_A)$ be the intersection point of isocline Γ_1 and set N. If there exist two points $x_1 \in N$, $x_2 \in N$, which are both below A or above A, satisfying $g(x_1)g(x_2) < 0$, by the zero point theorem of continuous function in the closed interval, we know there exists a point $x_0 \in N$ which is between x_1 and x_2 such that $g(x_0) = 0$, so we have the following.

Lemma 2.6 (existence theorem of order one periodic solution). The system (1.3) exists an order one periodic solution if there exist two points $x_1 \in N$, $x_2 \in N$, which are both below A or above A, satisfying $g(x_1)g(x_2) < 0$.

3. Qualitative Analysis of System (1.3) without Impulsive Effects

In this section, we will study the qualitative characteristic of system (1.3) without impulsive effects. If no impulsive effects is introduced, then system (1.3) is

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = fx \Big(1 + a_1 x + a_2 y - a_3 x^2 - a_4 x y \Big),$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = ey (x - y - 1).$$
 (3.1)

The equilibrium of system (3.1) satisfies

$$fx(1 + a_1x + a_2y - a_3x^2 - a_4xy) = 0,$$

$$ey(x - y - 1) = 0.$$
(3.2)



Figure 1: The uniformly bounded region.

It can be seen that system (3.1) exist boundary equilibrium O(0,0), $\overline{A}(x_1,0)$, where x_1 satisfied $1 + a_1x - a_3x^2 = 0$ and a positive equilibrium $B(x^*, y^*)$ provided that $a_3 < 1 + a_1$, $a_2 < 0$, where $x^* = (a_1 + a_2 + a_4 + \sqrt{(a_1 + a_2 + a_4)^2 + 4(a_3 + a_4)(1 - a_2)})/2(a_3 + a_4)$, $y^* = x^* - 1$. In the following, we always suppose that $a_1 < 0$, $a_2 < 0$.

Lemma 3.1. The system (3.1) is uniformly bounded.

Proof. Let the straight line $l_1 = x - x_1 = 0$, we have $dl_1/dt = dx/dt|_{x=x_1} = fx(a_2y - a_4xy) < 0$, then the trajectory of system (3.1) from the right of l_1 through the l_1 into the left. Define a function $V(x, y) = -(e/a_2)x + fy - K$, where $0 < x < x_1$. The function V(x, y) intersects the line $x = x_1$ and axis y at the points H and G, respectively. We have $dV/dt|_{HG} = -efK^2[(1/f^2) - (a_4x^2/Ka_2f) + (2ex/Ka_2f^2) + (n/f)] + ef[-(x/a_2) - (a_1x^2/a_2)(a_3x^3/a_2) + (a_4ex^3/a_2^2f) - (e^2x^2/a_2^2f^2)(ex/a_2f)]$. we can choose K enough large such that $dV/dt|_{HG} < 0$. Hence, the system (3.1) is uniformly bounded (see Figure 1). The proof is completed.

In the following, we will analysis the stability of equilibrium O(0,0), $\overline{A}(x_1,0)$ and $B(S^*, x^*)$ of system (3.1). Clearly, O(0,0) is saddle point and if $a_3 > 1 + a_1$, $\overline{A}(x_1,0)$ is stable, otherwise $\overline{A}(x_1,0)$ is saddle point and the positive equilibrium point $B(S^*, x^*)$ exists. For the positive equilibrium point, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. The positive equilibrium point $B(S^*, x^*)$ is globally asymptotically stable if $a_3 < 1+a_1$.

Proof. The Jacobian matrix $J_B = J(x^*, y^*)$ of system (3.1) at *B* takes the form of

$$J_B = \begin{pmatrix} f(a_1x^* - 2a_3x^2 - a_4x^*y^*) & fx^*(a_2 - a_4x^*) \\ ey^* & -ey^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.3)

The eigenvalue problem for the $J_B = J(x^*, y^*)$ provides the characteristic equation

$$\lambda^2 + Q_1 \lambda + Q_2 = 0, (3.4)$$

where the coefficients Q_1, Q_2 are

$$Q_{1} = ey^{*} + f\left(-a_{1}x^{*} + 2a_{3}x^{*2} + a_{4}x^{*}y^{*}\right),$$

$$Q_{2} = efy^{*}\left(2a_{3}x^{*2} + a_{4}x^{*}y^{*} - a_{1}x^{*} - a_{2}x^{*} + a_{4}x^{*2}\right).$$
(3.5)

Note that $Q_1 > 0$, $Q_2 > 0$, then both of the eigenvalues have negative real part, we have that *B* is locally asymptotically stable.

Let $P(x, y) = fx(1 + a_1x + a_2y - a_3x^2 - a_4xy)$, Q(x, y) = ey(x - y - 1) and $B = x^{\alpha - 1}y^{\beta - 1}$, where $\alpha = -a_1/(1 + a_1)$, $\beta = \alpha f/e$, then we have

$$D = \frac{\partial (BP)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (BQ)}{\partial y}$$

= $x^{\alpha - 1} y^{\beta - 1} \Big[\alpha f - e\beta + (\alpha f a_1 + f a_1 + e\beta) x + (\alpha f a_2 - e\beta - e) y$
 $- (\alpha f a_3 + 2a_3 f) x^2 - (\alpha f a_4 + f a_4) xy \Big]$
= $x^{-((a_1/(1+a_1))-1)} y^{-((a_1 f/((1+a_1)e))-1)} \Big[(\alpha f a_2 - e\beta - e) y$
 $- (\alpha f a_3 + 2a_3 f) x^2 - (\alpha f a_4 + f a_4) xy \Big] < 0,$
(3.6)

the system (3.1) has no closed trajectory, therefore $B(S^*, x^*)$ is globally asymptotically stable. This completes the proof.

4. Existence and Stability of Periodic Solutions of System (1.3)

4.1. Existence of Order One Periodic Solution

In this section, we will investigate the existence of order one periodic solution of system (1.3) by using the method of successor function. Note that system (1.3) is a semicontinuous dynamical system, for convenience, any point *C*, let x_C denote its abscissa and y_C be its ordinate. If $C = (h, y_C) \in M$, then impulse occurs at point *C*, the impulsive function transfers the point *C* into C^+ . Without loss of generality, unless otherwise specified we assume the initial point of the trajectory lies in *N*. From discussion of Section 3, we know that *B* is globally asymptotically stable when $a_3 < 1 + a_1$. If $h > x^*$, $(1 - p)h > x^*$, all the solutions of system (1.3) tend to the equilibrium *B* after finite impulse, so we mainly pay attention to the case $h < x^*$ or $h > x^*$ and $(1 - p)h < x^*$.

Case I ($h < x^*$). In this case, sets M and N are both in the left side of point B. Trajectory passing through point A tangents to N at point A. Set $F(A) = E(h, y_E) \in M$, then impulse occurs at point E. Suppose point E is subject to impulsive effects to point $E^+((1-p)h, y_{E^+}) \in N$, here $y_{E^+} = (1-q)y_E + \iota$, the position of E^+ has the following three cases.

Case $I_1(y_{E^+} = y_A)$. E^+ coincides with A, and the successor function of A is $g(A) = y_{E^+} - y_A = 0$, so trajectory AE and segment EA formulate an order one periodic solution of system (1.3).



Figure 2: Illustration of system (1.3) for the case $h < x^*$, $y_{E^*} > y_A$.

Case I_2 ($y_{E^+} > y_A$). In this case, E^+ is above A. Set $F(E^+) = E_1 \in M$, in view of vector field and disjointness of any two trajectories, we know $y_{E_1} < y_E$, so we have $y_{E_1^+} < y_{E^+}$, then the successor function of E^+ is $g(E^+) = y_{E_1^+} - y_{E^+} < 0$.

Take another point $D((1 - p)h, y_A + \varepsilon)$ above A, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Set $F(D) = D_1 \in M$, in view of continuous dependence of the solution on initial value and time, we know $y_{D_1} < y_E$ and the point D_1 is close to E enough, so we have $y_{D_1^+} < y_{E^+}$ and the point D_1^+ is close to E^+ enough, since $y_{E^+} > y_A$, then we obtain $g(D) = y_{D_1^+} - y_D > 0$. By Lemma 2.6, we know there exists an order one periodic solution of system (1.3), whose initial point is between D and E^+ in set N (see Figure 2).

Case I_3 ($y_{E^+} < y_A$). In this case, E^+ is below A. We have $y_{E_1} < y_E$, so $y_{E_1^+} < y_{E^+}$, then the successor function of E^+ is $g(E^+) = y_{E_1^+} - y_{E^+} < 0$.

Take another point $A_1((1-p)h, \varepsilon) \in N$ satisfying $0 < \varepsilon < \iota$. Set $F(A_1) = E_2 \in M$, then $y_{E_2^+} = (1-q)y_{E_2} + \iota$, so we have $g(A_1) = y_{E_2^+} - y_{A_1} > 0$. By Lemma 2.6, we know there exists an order one periodic solution of system (1.3), whose initial point is between E^+ and A_1 in set N (see Figure 3). Therefore, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $a_3 < 1 + a_1$, $h < x^*$, then system (1.3) has an order one periodic solution.

Case II $(h > x^*, (1 - p)h < x^*)$. In this case, set M is in the right side of B and set N in the left of B. Denote the intersection point of isocline $\Gamma_1(dx/dt = 0)$ and set M by $A(h, y_A)$. The trajectory passing through point A tangents to M at point A. $G(x_h, y_h)$ is defined as the first intersection point of $C^-(A)$ and Γ_1 , that is, there exists a $T_1 > 0$ such that $f(A, -T_1) = G \in C^-(A) \cap \Gamma_1$, and for $-T_1 < t \le 0$, $f(A, t) \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$.

Case II_1 ($x_G < (1 - p)h$). There exist $T_2, T_3 > 0$ such that $C \in C^-(A) \cap N, D \in C^-(A) \cap N$, $f(A, -T_2) = D, f(A, -T_3) = C$, and for $-T_3 < t < -T_2, f(A, t) \cap N = \emptyset$. Suppose point A is subject to impulsive effects to point $A^+((1 - p)h, y_{A^+}) \in N$.



Figure 3: Illustration of system (1.3) for the case $h < x^*$, $y_{E^*} < y_A$.



Figure 4: Illustration of system (1.3) for the case $h > x^*$, $(1 - p)h < x^*$, $x_G < (1 - p)h$, $y_{A^+} < y_D$.

If A^+ coincides with *C* or *D*, trajectory \widetilde{DA} and segment *DA* or trajectory \widetilde{CDA} and segment *AC* formulate an order one periodic solution of system (1.3).

If A^+ is below D, that is, $y_{A^+} < y_D$, the successor function of D is $g(D) = y_{A^+} - y_D < 0$. Take another point $D_1((1-p)h, \varepsilon) \in N$ satisfying $0 < \varepsilon < \iota$. Set $F(D_1) = D_2 \in M$, then $y_{D_2^+} = (1-q)y_{D_2} + \iota > \varepsilon$, then we have $g(D_1) = y_{D_2^+} - y_{D_1} > 0$. We conclude that there exists an order one periodic solution of system (1.3), whose initial point is between D and D_1 in set N (see Figure 4).

If A^+ is above *C*, that is, $y_{A^+} > y_C$, the successor function of *C* is $g(C) = y_{A^+} - y_C > 0$. Set $F(A^+) = A_1 \in M$, in view of the vector fields of system (1.3), we know $y_{A_1} < y_A, y_{A_1^+} < y_{A^+}$, so we have $g(A^+) = y_{A_1^+} - y_{A^+} < 0$. We conclude that there exists an order one periodic solution of system (1.3), whose initial point is between *C* and A^+ in set *N* (see Figure 5).



Figure 5: Illustration of system (1.3) for the case $h > x^*$, $(1 - p)h < x^*$, $x_G < (1 - p)h$, $y_{A^*} > y_C$.

If A^+ is between *C* and *D*, in view of vector fields, we know the trajectory of system (1.3) initiating any point between *C* and *D* of set *N* will be free from impulsive effects and ultimately tend to stable point *B*, thus there does not exist order one periodic solution in system (1.3) in this case. So we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $a_3 < 1 + a_1, h > x^*$, $(1 - p)h < x^*$ and $x_G < (1 - p)h$, $y_{A^+} \le y_D$ or $y_{A^+} \ge y_C$, then system (1.3) has an order one periodic solution.

Case II_2 ($x_G > (1 - p)h$). Denote the intersection point of isocline Γ_1 and set N by $K((1 - p)h, y_K)$. The trajectory passing through point K tangents to N at point K. Set $F(K) = S(h, y_S) \in M$, then impulse occurs at point S. Suppose point S is subject to impulsive effects to point $S^+((1 - p)h, y_{S^+}) \in N$. Like the analysis of Case I, we can prove that there exists an order one periodic solution in system (1.3) in this case (see Figure 6).

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $a_3 < 1 + a_1$, $h > x^*$, $(1 - p)h < x^*$ and $x_G > (1 - p)h$, then system (1.3) exists an order one periodic solution.

In the following, we analyze the stability of order one periodic solution in system (1.3). Firstly, we give one lemma to discuss the stability of this periodic solution of system (1.3).

Lemma 4.4. The *T*-periodic solution $x = \xi(t)$, $y = \eta(t)$ of the system,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = P(x, y), \quad \text{if } \phi(x, y) \neq 0, \\
\frac{dy}{dt} = Q(x, y), \quad (4.1) \\
\Delta x = \alpha(x, y), \quad \text{if } \phi(x, y) = 0, \\
\Delta y = \beta(x, y), \quad \text{if } \phi(x, y) = 0,$$



Figure 6: Illustration of system (1.3) for the case $h > x^*$, $(1 - p)h < x^*$, $x_G > (1 - p)h$.

is orbitally asymptotically stable if the Floquet multiplier μ_2 satisfies the condition $|\mu_2| < 1$, where

$$\mu_{2} = \Pi_{k=1}^{q} \Delta_{k} \exp\left[\int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(\xi(t), \eta(t)) + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(\xi(t), \eta(t))\right) dt\right],$$
(4.2)

with

$$\Delta_{k} = \frac{P_{+}((\partial\beta/\partial y)(\partial\phi/\partial x) - (\partial\beta/\partial x)(\partial\phi/\partial y) + (\partial\phi/\partial x))}{P(\partial\phi/\partial x) + Q(\partial\phi/\partial y)} + \frac{Q_{+}((\partial\alpha/\partial x)(\partial\phi/\partial y) - (\partial\alpha/\partial y)(\partial\phi/\partial x) + (\partial\phi/(\partial y)))}{P(\partial\phi/\partial x) + Q(\partial\phi/\partial y)},$$
(4.3)

and P, Q, $(\partial \alpha / \partial x)$, $(\partial \alpha / \partial y)$, $(\partial \beta / \partial x)$, $(\partial \beta / \partial y)$, $(\partial \phi / \partial x)$, and $(\partial \phi / \partial y)$ are calculated at the point $(\xi(\tau_k), \eta(\tau_k))$, $P_+ = P(\xi(\tau_k^+), \eta(\tau_k^+))$, $Q_+ = Q(\xi(\tau_k^+), \eta(\tau_k^+))$. $\phi(x, y)$ is a sufficiently smooth function with grad $\phi(x, y) \neq 0$, and $\tau_k(k \in N)$ is the time of the kth jump.

The proof of this lemma is referred to Simeonov and Baĭnov [17].

In the following, we suppose this periodic solution of system (1.3) with period *T* passes through the points $H_1^+((1-p)h, \iota + (1-q)\eta_0) \in N$ and $H_1(h, \eta_0) \in M$ (see Figures 2–6). As the expression and the period of this solution are unknown, we discuss the stability of this positive periodic solution by Lemma 4.4. In our case,

$$P(x,y) = fx(1 + a_1x + a_2y - a_3x^2 - a_4xy), \qquad Q(x,y) = ey(x - y - 1),$$

$$\alpha(x,y) = -px,$$

$$\beta(x,y) = \iota - qy, \qquad \phi(x,y) = x - h,$$

$$(\xi(T), \eta(T)) = (h, \eta_0), \qquad (\xi(T^+), \eta(T^+)) = ((1 - p)h, \iota + (1 - q)\eta_0).$$
(4.4)

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} &= f\left(1 + a_1 x + a_2 y - a_3 x^2 - a_4 x y\right) + fx\left(a_1 - 2a_3 x - a_4 y\right), & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} = e\left(x - y - 1\right) - ey, \\ & \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = -p, & \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} = 0, & \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x} = 0, & \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial y} = -q, & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = 1, & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_k &= \frac{P_+((\partial \beta/\partial y)(\partial \phi/\partial x) - (\partial \beta/\partial x)(\partial \phi/\partial y) + (\partial \phi/\partial x)))}{P(\partial \phi/\partial x) + Q(\partial \phi/\partial y)} \\ &+ \frac{Q_+((\partial \alpha/\partial x)(\partial \phi/\partial y) - (\partial \alpha/\partial y)(\partial \phi/\partial x) + (\partial \phi/\partial y)))}{P(\partial \phi/\partial x) + Q(\partial \phi/\partial y)} \\ &= \frac{(1 - q)P(\xi(T^+), \eta(T^+))}{P(\xi(T), \eta(T))} \\ &= \frac{(1 - q)(1 - p)\left[1 + a_1(1 - p)h + a_2(\iota + (1 - q)\eta_0) - a_3(1 - p)^2h^2 - a_4((1 - p)h(\iota + (1 - q)\eta_0))\right]}{1 + a_1h + a_2\eta_0 - a_3h^2 - a_4h\eta_0}. \end{aligned}$$
(4.5)

Set

$$N = \int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} (x(t), y(t)) + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} (x(t), y(t)) \right) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \left[f \left(1 + a_{1}x + a_{2}y - a_{3}x^{2} - a_{4}xy \right) + f x (a_{1} - 2a_{3}x - a_{4}y) + e(x - y - 1) - ey \right] dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \left[f \left(1 + a_{1}x + a_{2}y - a_{3}x^{2} - a_{4}xy \right) \right] dt + \int_{0}^{T} \left[e(x - y - 1) \right] dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \left[f x (a_{1} - 2a_{3}x - a_{4}y) - ey \right] dt,$$

(4.6)

if (x(t), y(t)) are period function with *T*, then

$$\int_{0}^{T} f\left(1 + a_{1}x + a_{2}y - a_{3}x^{2} - a_{4}xy\right) dt = \int_{0}^{T} d\ln x(t) = 0,$$

$$\int_{0}^{T} e(x - y - 1) dt = \int_{0}^{T} d\ln y(t) = 0,$$
(4.7)

hence

$$N = \int_0^T [fx(a_1 - 2a_3x - a_4y) - ey] dt < 0.$$
(4.8)

Suppose $H(t) = (\partial P/\partial x)(\xi(t), \eta(t)) + (\partial Q/\partial y)(\xi(t), \eta(t))$, then

$$\mu_{2} = \Delta_{1} \exp\left[\int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(\xi(t), \eta(t)) + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(\xi(t), \eta(t))\right) dt\right]$$

= $\frac{(1-p)\left[r - (r(1-p)h)/K\right] - a(1-p)h(\eta_{0}+\omega) - b(\eta_{0}+\omega)}{r - rh/K - ah\eta_{0} - b\eta_{0}} \exp\left(\int_{0}^{T} (H(t)) dt\right).$
(4.9)

Because $(\xi(t), \eta(t))$ is period solution of system (1.3), we have $\int_0^T H(t)dt < 0$. Obviously, $|\mu_2| < 1$ if

$$\left| \frac{(1-q)(1-p)\left[1+a_1(1-p)h+a_2(\iota+(1-q)\eta_0)-a_3(1-p)^2h^2-a_4((1-p)h(\iota+(1-q)\eta_0))\right]}{1+a_1h+a_2\eta_0-a_3h^2-a_4h\eta_0} \right| \le 1.$$
(4.10)

Theorem 4.5. *If system* (1.3) *has an order one periodic solution, this order one periodic solution is orbitally asymptotically stable provided*

$$\left|\frac{(1-q)(1-p)\left[1+a_{1}(1-p)h+a_{2}(\iota+(1-q)\eta_{0})-a_{3}(1-p)^{2}h^{2}-a_{4}((1-p)h(\iota+(1-q)\eta_{0}))\right]}{1+a_{1}h+a_{2}\eta_{0}-a_{3}h^{2}-a_{4}h\eta_{0}}\right| \leq 1.$$
(4.11)

5. Numerical Analysis and Discussion

In order to verify the theoretical results in this paper, we consider the following example

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= 0.5x \left(1 - 0.35x - 0.4y - 0.01x^2 - 0.8xy \right), \\ y'(t) &= 0.4y (x - y - 1), \\ \Delta x &= -px, \\ x &= h. \\ \Delta y &= \iota - qy, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

In numerical simulation, let $a_1 = -0.35$, $a_2 = -0.4$, $a_3 = 0.01$, $a_4 = 0.8$, e = 0.4, and f = 0.5, by calculating we know $a_3 < 1 + a_1$, $x^* = 1.34$, $y^* = 0.34$. If $h = 2.4 > x^*$, p = 0.4, $(1 - p)h = 1.44 > x^*$, q = 0.5, $\iota = 0.8$, then the time series and phase portrait can be seen in Figure 7, by analysis of Section 4, we know that in this case, system (1.3) has no periodic solution and all the solutions tend to the equilibrium *B* after finite impulses. If $h = 1.2 < x^*$, by Theorem 4.1, we know that system (1.3) has an order one periodic solution which is shown in Figure 8. As shown in Figure 9, if $h = 1.4 > x^*$, $(1 - p)h = 0.84 < x^*$, by Theorems 4.2 and



Figure 7: Time series and portrait phase of system (1.3) with p = 0.4, q = 0.5, $x_0 = 0.72$, $y_0 = 0.18$, $h = 2.4 > x^*$, and $(1 - p)h = 1.44 > x^*$.



Figure 8: Time series and portrait phase of system (1.3) with p = 0.4, q = 0.5, $x_0 = 0.72$, $y_0 = 0.18$, and $h = 1.2 < x^*$.



Figure 9: Time series and portrait phase of system (1.3) with p = 0.4, q = 0.5, $x_0 = 0.84$, $y_0 = 0.18$, and $h = 1.4 > x^*$.

4.3, we know system (1.3) also has an order one periodic solution. The results show that preypredator model with Beddington-DeAnglis functional response and impulsive state feedback control tends to stable state or be periodic, which means that the amount of prey is under control.

Choosing ι as a control parameter, the phase portraits of system (1.3) are shown in Figure 10 with different values ι , which not only indicate that the prey can be suppressed below certain level by using the impulsive state feedback strategy when the amount of the prey reaches the economic threshold h, but also indicate that it is more easy to control the amount of the prey below certain economic threshold h as ι increasing. On the other hand, if choosing h as a control parameter, we can see that more numbers of impulses are needed to make the system tend to a stable periodic solution, that is, it is more difficult to control the amount of the prey below economic threshold h as h is decreasing (see Figure 11). Figure 12 shows that it is more easy to control the amount of the prey under certain economic threshold h as q is increasing or p is increasing (see Figure 13).

According to the above analysis, it is obvious that the prey can be well suppressed below certain level by using impulsive state feedback strategy for the fact that the system has stable periodic solution under some conditions. The key to the system with impulsive state feedback control is to give the suitable feedback state (the value of h) and the control parameters (p, q and ι) according to practice.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171284), the Fujian Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (2012J01012), the Fujian Provincial



Figure 10: The phase portraits of system (1.3) with p = 0.4, q = 0.5, $x_0 = 0.72$, $y_0 = 0.18$, $h = 1.2 < x^*$, and different *ι*: (a) $\iota = 0.75$; (b) $\iota = 0.4$; (c) $\iota = 0.14$.



Figure 11: The phase portraits of system (1.3) with p = 0.4, q = 0.4, $x_0 = 0.22$, $y_0 = 0.114$, $\iota = 0.6$, and different *h*: (a) h = 1.2; (b) h = 0.81; (c) h = 0.23.



Figure 12: The phase portraits of system (1.3) with $x_0 = 0.72$, $y_0 = 0.114$, q = 0.5, $\iota = 0.2$, and different *p*: (a) p = 0.79; (b) p = 0.59; (c) p = 0.39.



Figure 13: The phase portraits of system (1.3) with $x_0 = 0.72$, $y_0 = 0.114$, p = 0.4, $\iota = 0.62$, and different *q*: (a) q = 0.9; (b) q = 0.6; (c) q = 0.2.

Education Fundation (JA12198), and the Scientific Research Foundation of Jimei University of China (ZC2011003).

References

- J. R. Beddington, "Mutual interference between parasites or predators and its effect on searching efficency," *Journal of Animal Ecology*, vol. 44, pp. 331–340, 1975.
- [2] D. L. DeAngelis, R. A. Goldstein, and R. V. O'Neill, "A model for trophic interaction," *Ecology*, vol. 56, pp. 881–892, 1975.
- [3] Z. Xiang, Y. Li, and X. Song, "Dynamic analysis of a pest management SEI model with saturation incidence concerning impulsive control strategy," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 2335–2345, 2009.
- [4] C. Wei and L. Chen, "Eco-epidemiology model with age structure and prey-dependent consumption for pest management," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 4354–4363, 2009.
- [5] R. Shi, X. Jiang, and L. Chen, "A predator-prey model with disease in the prey and two impulses for integrated pest management," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 2248–2256, 2009.
- [6] H. Zhang, W. Xu, and L. Chen, "A impulsive infective transmission SI model for pest control," Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 1169–1184, 2007.
- [7] S. Tang, Y. Xiao, L. Chen, and R. A. Cheke, "Integrated pest management models and their dynamical behaviour," *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 115–135, 2005.
- [8] G. Zeng, L. Chen, and L. Sun, "Existence of periodic solution of order one of planar impulsive autonomous system," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 466–481, 2006.
- [9] G. Jiang and Q. Lu, "Impulsive state feedback control of a predator-prey model," Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 193–207, 2007.
- [10] G. Jiang, Q. Lu, and L. Qian, "Complex dynamics of a Holling type II prey-predator system with state feedback control," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 448–461, 2007.
- [11] L. Nie, J. Peng, Z. Teng, and L. Hu, "Existence and stability of periodic solution of a Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model with state dependent impulsive effects," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 224, no. 2, pp. 544–555, 2009.
- [12] P. A. Abrams, "The fallacies of ratio-dependent predation," Ecology, vol. 75, pp. 1842–1850, 1994.
- [13] M. Haque, "A detailed study of the Beddington-DeAngelis predator-prey model," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 234, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2011.
- [14] H. K. Baek, "Qualitative analysis of Beddington-DeAngelis type impulsive predator-prey models," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 1312–1322, 2010.
- [15] B. Liu, Y. Tian, and B. Kang, "Dynamics on a Holling II predator-prey model with state-dependent impulsive control," *International Journal of Biomathematics*, vol. 5, article 1260006, 2012.
- [16] L. Zhao, L. Chen, and Q. Zhang, "The geometrical analysis of a predator-prey model with two state impulses," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 238, no. 2, pp. 55–64, 2012.
- [17] P. S. Simeonov and D. D. Baĭnov, "Orbital stability of periodic solutions of autonomous systems with impulse effect," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 2561–2585, 1988.



Advances in **Operations Research**

The Scientific

World Journal





Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics

Complex Analysis









International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

Journal of Function Spaces



Abstract and Applied Analysis





Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society