Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Applied Mathematics Volume 2012, Article ID 720192, 14 pages doi:10.1155/2012/720192

## Research Article

# Implicit and Explicit Iterations with Meir-Keeler-Type Contraction for a Finite Family of Nonexpansive Semigroups in Banach Spaces

## Jiancai Huang,<sup>1</sup> Shenghua Wang,<sup>2</sup> and Yeol Je Cho<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Control and Computer Engineering, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, China
- <sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, China
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics Education and the RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Yeol Je Cho, yjcho@gnu.ac.kr

Received 31 December 2011; Accepted 28 January 2012

Academic Editor: Rudong Chen

Copyright © 2012 Jiancai Huang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce an implicit and explicit iterative schemes for a finite family of nonexpansive semigroups with the Meir-Keeler-type contraction in a Banach space. Then we prove the strong convergence for the implicit and explicit iterative schemes. Our results extend and improve some recent ones in literatures.

#### 1. Introduction

Let *C* be a nonempty subset of a Banach space *E* and  $T: C \to C$  be a mapping. We call *T* nonexpansive if  $||Tx - Ty|| \le ||x - y||$  for all  $x, y \in E$ . The set of all fixed points of *T* is denoted by Fix(T), that is,  $Fix(T) = \{x \in C : x = Tx\}$ .

One parameter family  $\mathcal{T} = \{T(t) : t \ge 0\}$  is said to a semigroup of nonexpansive mappings or nonexpansive semigroup on C if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) T(0)x = x for all  $x \in C$ ;
- (2) T(s+t) = T(s)T(t) for all  $s, t \ge 0$ ;
- (3) for each  $t \ge 0$ ,  $||T(t)x T(t)y|| \le ||x y||$  for all  $x, y \in C$ ;
- (4) for each  $x \in C$ , the mapping  $T(\cdot)x$  from  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^+$  denotes the set of all nonnegative reals, into C is continuous.

We denote by  $Fix(\mathcal{T})$  the set of all common fixed points of semigroup  $\mathcal{T}$ , that is,  $Fix(\mathcal{T}) = \{x \in C : T(t)x = x, 0 \le t < \infty\}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  by the set of natural numbers.

Now, we recall some recent work on nonexpansive semigroup in literatures. In [1], Shioji and Takahashi introduced the following implicit iteration for a nonexpansive semigroup in a Hilbert space:

$$x_n = \alpha_n x + (1 - \alpha_n) \frac{1}{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} T(s) x_n ds, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (1.1)

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$  and  $\{t_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ . Under the certain conditions on  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$ , they proved that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.1) converges strongly to an element in Fix( $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

In [2], Suzuki introduced the following implicit iteration for a nonexpansive semigroup in a Hilbert space:

$$x_n = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) T(t_n) x_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$  and  $\{t_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ . Under the conditions that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n/t_n = 0$ , he proved that  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.2) converges strongly to an element of Fix( $\mathbb{T}$ ). Later on, Xu [3] extended the iteration (1.2) to a uniformly convex Banach space that admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping. Song and Xu [4] also extended the iteration (1.2) to a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space.

In 2007, Chen and He [5] studied the following implicit and explicit viscosity approximation processes for a nonexpansive semigroup in a reflexive Banach space admitting a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping:

$$x_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) T(t_n) x_n,$$
  

$$y_{n+1} = \beta_n f(y_n) + (1 - \beta_n) T(t_n) y_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.3)

where f is a contraction,  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$  and  $\{t_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ . They proved the strong convergence for the above iterations under some certain conditions on the control sequences.

Recently, Chen et al. [6] introduced the following implicit and explicit iterations for nonexpansive semigroups in a reflexive Banach space admitting a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping:

$$y_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T(t_n) x_n,$$
  

$$x_n = \beta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \beta_n) y_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.4)

$$y_{n} = \alpha_{n} x_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n}) T(t_{n}) x_{n},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_{n} f(x_{n}) + (1 - \beta_{n}) y_{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.5)

where f is a contraction,  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$  and  $\{t_n\} \subset (0,\infty)$ . They proved that  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.4) and (1.5) converges strongly to an element q of Fix( $\mathcal{T}$ ), which is the unique solution of the following variation inequality problem:

$$\langle (f-I), j(x-q) \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}).$$
 (1.6)

For more convergence theorems on implicit and explicit iterations for nonexpansive semigroups, refer to [7–13].

In this paper, we introduce an implicit and explicit iterative process by a generalized contraction for a finite family of nonexpansive semigroups in a Banach space. Then we prove the strong convergence for the iterations and our results extend the corresponding ones of Suzuki [2], Xu [3], Chen and He [5], and Chen et al. [6].

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let *E* be a Banach space and  $E^*$  the duality space of *E*. We denote the normalized mapping from *E* to  $2^{E^*}$  by *J* defined by

$$J(x) = \left\{ j \in E^* : \langle x, jx \rangle = ||x||^2 = ||j|| \right\}, \quad \forall x \in E,$$
 (2.1)

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the generalized duality pairing. For any  $x, y \in E$  with  $j(x) \in J(x)$  and  $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$ , it is well known that the following inequality holds:

$$||x||^2 + 2\langle y, j(x) \rangle \le ||x + y||^2 \le ||x||^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

The dual mapping J is called weakly sequentially continuous if J is single valued, and  $\{x_n\} \rightharpoonup x \in E$ , where  $\rightharpoonup$  denotes the weak convergence, then  $J(x_n)$  weakly star converges to J(x) [14–16]. A Banach space E is called to satisfy Opial's condition [17] if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - x|| < \limsup_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - y||, \quad \forall y \in E \text{ with } x \neq y.$$
 (2.3)

It is known that if *E* admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping *J*, then *E* is smooth and satisfies Opial's condition [14].

A function  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be an L-function if  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,  $\psi(t) > 0$  for any t > 0, and for every t > 0 and s > 0, there exists u > s such that  $\psi(t) \le s$ , for all  $t \in [s, u]$ . This implies that  $\psi(t) < t$  for all t > 0.

Let  $f: C \to C$  be a mapping. f is said to be a  $(\psi, L)$ -contraction if there exists a L-function  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| < \psi(\|x - y\|)$  for all  $x, y \in C$  with  $x \neq y$ . Obviously, if  $\psi(t) = kt$  for all t > 0, where  $k \in (0,1)$ , then f is a contraction. f is called a Meir-Keeler-type mapping if for each e > 0, there exists  $\delta(e) > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in C$ , if  $e < \|x - y\| < e + \delta$ , then  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| < e$ .

In this paper, we always assume that  $\psi(t)$  is continuous, strictly increasing and  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\eta(t)=\infty$ , where  $\eta(t)=t-\psi(t)$ , is strictly increasing and onto.

The following lemmas will be used in next section.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [18]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $f: X \to X$  be a mapping. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) f is a Meir-Keeler-type mapping,
- (ii) there exists an L-function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that f is a  $(\psi, L)$ -contraction.

**Lemma 2.2** (see [19]). Let E be a Banach space and C be a convex subset of E. Let  $T: C \to C$  be a nonexpansive mapping and f be a  $(\psi, L)$ -contraction. Then the following assertions hold:

- (i)  $T \circ f$  is a  $(\psi, L)$ -contraction on C and has a unique fixed point in C;
- (ii) for each  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , the mapping  $x \mapsto \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)Tx$  is of Meir-Keeler-type and it has a unique fixed point in C.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [20]). Let E be a Banach space and C be a convex subset of E. Let  $f: C \to C$  be a Meir-Keeler-type contraction. Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $r \in (0,1)$  such that, for each  $x,y \in C$  with  $||x-y|| \ge \epsilon$ ,  $||f(x)-f(y)|| \le r||x-y||$ .

**Lemma 2.4** (see [21]). Let C be a closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space E. Let  $T_m: C \to C$  be a nonexpansive mapping for each  $1 \le m \le r$ , where r is some integer. Suppose that  $\cap_{m=1}^r \operatorname{Fix}(T_m)$  is nonempty. Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers with  $\sum_{n=1}^r \lambda_n = 1$ . Then the mapping  $S: C \to C$  defined by

$$Sx = \sum_{m=1}^{r} \lambda_m T_m x, \quad \forall x \in C,$$
 (2.4)

is well defined, nonexpansive and  $Fix(S) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{r} Fix(T_m)$  holds.

**Lemma 2.5** (see [22]). Assume that  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$\alpha_{n+1} \le (1 - \gamma_n)\alpha_n + \delta_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (2.5)

where  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a sequence in (0,1) and  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

- (i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \delta_n/\gamma_n \le 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$ .

Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$ .

#### 3. Main Results

In this section, by a generalized contraction mapping we mean a Meir-Keeler-type mapping or  $(\psi, L)$ - contraction. In the rest of the paper we suppose that  $\psi$  from the definition of the  $(\psi, L)$ -contraction is continuous, strictly increasing and  $\eta(t)$  is strictly increasing and onto, where  $\eta(t) = t - \psi(t)$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . As a consequence, we have the  $\eta(t)$  is a bijection on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J from E into  $E^*$ . For every  $i=1,\ldots,N(N\geq 1)$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_i=\{T_i(t):t\geq 0\}$  be a semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on C such that  $\mathcal{T}=\bigcap_{i=1}^N\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{T}_i)\neq\emptyset$  and  $f:C\to C$  be a generalized contraction on C. Let  $\{\alpha_n\},\{\beta_n\}\subset[0,1)$  and  $\{t_n\}\subset(0,\infty)$  be

the sequences satisfying  $\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\alpha_n/t_n)=0$  and  $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\beta_n<1$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$x_{n} = \alpha_{n} f(x_{n}) + \frac{1 - \alpha_{n}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{in},$$

$$y_{in} = \beta_{n} x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) T_{i}(t_{n}) x_{n}, \quad i = 1, ..., N.$$
(3.1)

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality:

$$\langle (f-I)x^*, j(x-x^*) \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{F}.$$
 (3.2)

*Proof.* First, we show that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (3.1) is well defined. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and i = 1, ..., N, let  $U_{in} = \beta_n I + (1 - \beta_n) T_i(t_n)$  and define  $W_n : C \to C$  by

$$W_n x = \alpha_n f(x) + (1 - \alpha_n) G_n x, \quad \forall x \in C, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $G_n x = (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^N U_{in} x$ . Since  $U_{in}$  is nonexpansive,  $G_n$  is nonexpansive. By Lemma 2.2 we see that  $W_n$  is a Meir-Keeler-type contraction for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, each  $W_n$  has a unique fixed point, denoted as  $x_n$ , which uniquely solves the fixed point equation (3.3). Hence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (3.1) is well defined.

Now we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (3.1) is bounded. For any  $p \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\|y_{in} - p\| \le \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|T_i(t_n)x_n - p\| \le \|x_n - p\|. \tag{3.4}$$

Using (3.4), we get

$$||x_{n} - p||^{2} = \left\langle \alpha_{n} f(x_{n}) + \frac{1 - \alpha_{n}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{in} - p, j(x_{n} - p) \right\rangle$$

$$= \alpha_{n} \left\langle f(x_{n}) - f(p), j(x_{n} - p) \right\rangle + \alpha_{n} \left\langle f(p) - p, j(x_{n} - p) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \alpha_{n}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\langle y_{in} - p, j(x_{n} - p) \right\rangle$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n} \psi(||x_{n} - p||) ||x_{n} - p|| + \alpha_{n} ||f(p) - p|| ||x_{n} - p||$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \alpha_{n}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||y_{in} - p|| ||x_{n} - p||$$

$$= \alpha_{n} \psi(||x_{n} - p||) ||x_{n} - p|| + \alpha_{n} ||f(p) - p|| ||x_{n} - p||$$

$$+ (1 - \alpha_{n}) ||x_{n} - p||^{2}$$

$$(3.5)$$

and hence

$$||x_n - p|| \le \psi(||x_n - p||) + ||f(p) - p||,$$
 (3.6)

which implies that

$$\eta(\|x_n - p\|) = \|x_n - p\| - \psi(\|x_n - p\|) \le \|f(p) - p\|. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence

$$||x_n - p|| \le \eta^{-1}(||f(p) - p||).$$
 (3.8)

This shows that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, and so are  $\{T_i(t_n)x_n\}$ ,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  and  $\{y_{in}\}$ .

Since E is reflexivity and  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}\subset \{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_j} \to x^*$  for some  $x^* \in C$  as  $j \to \infty$ . Now we prove that  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ . For any fixed t > 0, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| x_{n_{j}} - T_{i}(t) x^{*} \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{[t/t_{n_{i}}]-1} \left\| T_{i} \left( (k+1)t_{n_{j}} \right) x_{n_{j}} - T_{i} \left( kt_{n_{j}} \right) x_{n_{j}} \right\| + \left\| T_{i} \left( \left[ \frac{t}{t_{n_{j}}} \right] t_{n_{j}} \right) x_{n_{j}} - T_{i} \left( \left[ \frac{t}{t_{n_{j}}} \right] t_{n_{j}} \right) x^{*} \right\| + \left\| T_{i} \left( \left[ \frac{t}{t_{n_{j}}} \right] t_{n_{j}} \right) x_{n_{j}} - T_{i}(t) x^{*} \right\| \right] \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \left[ \frac{t}{t_{n_{j}}} \right] \left\| T_{i} \left( t_{n_{j}} \right) x_{n_{j}} - x_{n_{j}} \right\| + \left\| x_{n_{j}} - x^{*} \right\| + \left\| T_{i} \left( t - \left[ \frac{t}{t_{n_{j}}} \right] t_{n_{j}} \right) x_{n_{j}} - x^{*} \right\| \right] \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \left[ \frac{t}{t_{n_{j}}} \right] \left\| T_{i} \left( t_{n_{j}} \right) x_{n_{j}} - x_{n_{j}} \right\| + \left\| x_{n_{j}} - x^{*} \right\| + \max \left\{ \left\| T_{i}(s) x^{*} - x^{*} \right\| : 0 \leq s \leq t_{n_{j}} \right\} \right] \\
\leq \frac{N \alpha_{n_{j}} \left[ t / t_{n_{j}} \right]}{\left( 1 - \alpha_{n_{j}} \right) \left( \left( 1 - \beta_{n_{j}} \right) \right)} \left\| x_{n_{j}} - f \left( x_{n_{j}} \right) \right\| + N \left\| x_{n_{j}} - x^{*} \right\| \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \max \left\{ \left\| T_{i}(s) x^{*} - x^{*} \right\| : 0 \leq s \leq t_{n_{j}} \right\} \\
\leq \frac{N t}{\left( 1 - \alpha_{n_{j}} \right) \left( 1 - \beta_{n_{j}} \right)} \frac{\alpha_{n_{j}}}{t_{n_{j}}} \left\| x_{n_{j}} - f \left( x_{n_{j}} \right) \right\| + N \left\| x_{n_{j}} - x^{*} \right\| \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \max \left\{ \left\| T_{i}(s) x^{*} - x^{*} \right\| : 0 \leq s \leq t_{n_{j}} \right\}. \tag{3.9}$$

By hypothesis on  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$ , we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{Nt}{\left(1 - \alpha_{n_j}\right) \left(1 - \beta_{n_j}\right)} \frac{\alpha_{n_j}}{t_{n_j}} = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Further, from (3.9) we get

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|x_{n_{j}} - T_{i}(t)x^{*}\| \le \limsup_{j \to \infty} N \|x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\|.$$
(3.11)

Since *E* admits a weakly sequentially duality mapping, we see that *E* satisfies Opial's condition. Thus if  $x^* \notin \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} N \|x_{n_j} - x^*\| < \limsup_{j \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \|x_{n_j} - T_i x^*\|.$$
 (3.12)

This contradicts (3.11). So  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ .

In (3.5), replacing p with  $x^*$  and n with  $n_i$ , we see that

$$\|x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\|^{2} = \alpha_{n_{j}} \left\langle f\left(x_{n_{j}}\right) - f(x^{*}), j\left(x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\right) \right\rangle + \alpha_{n_{j}} \left\langle f(x^{*}) - x^{*}, j\left(x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\right) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \alpha_{n_{j}}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\langle y_{in_{j}} - x^{*}, j\left(x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\right) \right\rangle$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n_{j}} \psi\left(\|x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\|\right) \|x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\| + \alpha_{n_{j}} \left\langle f(x^{*}) - x^{*}, j\left(x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\right) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \alpha_{n_{j}}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|y_{in_{j}} - x^{*}\| \|x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\|$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n_{j}} \psi\left(\|x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\|\right) \|x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\| + \alpha_{n_{j}} \left\langle f(x^{*}) - x^{*}, j\left(x_{n_{j}} - x^{*}\right) \right\rangle$$

$$+ \left(1 - \alpha_{n_{j}}\right) \|x_{n} - p\|^{2},$$

$$(3.13)$$

which implies that

$$||x_{n_j} - x^*|| (\psi(||x_{n_j} - x^*||) - ||x_{n_j} - x^*||) \le \langle f(x^*) - x^*, j(x_{n_j} - x^*) \rangle.$$
(3.14)

Now we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is relatively sequentially compact. Since j is weakly sequentially continuous, we have

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \|x_{n_i} - x^*\| \left( \psi(\|x_{n_i} - x^*\|) - \|x_{n_i} - x^*\| \right) \le 0, \tag{3.15}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} ||x_{n_j} - x^*|| = 0, \quad \text{or } \lim_{j \to \infty} \left( \psi \left( ||x_{n_j} - x^*|| \right) - ||x_{n_j} - x^*|| \right) = 0.$$
 (3.16)

If  $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|x_{n_j} - x^*\| = 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is relatively sequentially compact. If  $\lim_{j\to\infty} (\psi(\|x_{n_j} - x^*\|) - \|x_{n_j} - x^*\|) = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|x_{n_j} - x^*\| = \lim_{j\to\infty} \psi(\|x_{n_j} - x^*\|)$ . Since  $\psi$  is continuous,  $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|x_{n_j} - x^*\| = \psi(\lim_{j\to\infty} \|x_{n_j} - x^*\|)$ . By the definition of  $\psi$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|x_{n_j} - x^*\| = 0$ , which implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is relatively sequentially compact.

Next, we prove that  $x^*$  is the solution to (3.2). Indeed, for any  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$||x_{n} - x||^{2} = \langle \alpha_{n}(f(x_{n}) - x_{n} + x_{n} - x), j(x_{n} - x) \rangle + \frac{1 - \alpha_{n}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle y_{in} - x, j(x_{n} - x) \rangle$$

$$= \alpha_{n} \langle f(x_{n}) - x_{n}, j(x_{n} - x) \rangle + \alpha_{n} \langle x_{n} - x, j(x_{n} - x) \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \alpha_{n}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \beta_{n} \langle x_{n} - x, j(x_{n} - x) \rangle + (1 - \beta_{n}) \langle T_{i}(t_{n}) x_{n} - x, j(x_{n} - x^{*}) \rangle \right]$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n} \langle f(x_{n}) - x_{n}, j(x_{n} - x) \rangle + \alpha_{n} ||x_{n} - x||^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \alpha_{n}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \beta_{n} ||x_{n} - x||^{2} + (1 - \beta_{n}) ||T_{i}(t_{n}) x_{n} - x|| ||x_{n} - x||^{2} \right]$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n} \langle f(x_{n}) - x_{n}, j(x_{n} - x) \rangle + \alpha_{n} ||x_{n} - x||^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \alpha_{n}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \beta_{n} ||x_{n} - x||^{2} + (1 - \beta_{n}) ||x_{n} - x||^{2} \right]$$

$$= \alpha_{n} \langle f(x_{n}) - x_{n}, j(x_{n} - x) \rangle + ||x_{n} - x||^{2}.$$
(3.17)

Therefore,

$$\langle f(x_n) - x_{n,j} j(x - x_n) \rangle \le 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Since  $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x^*$  and j is weakly sequentially continuous, we have

$$\left\langle f(x^*) - x^*, j(x - x^*) \right\rangle = \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\langle f\left(x_{n_j}\right) - x_{n_j}, j\left(x - x_{n_j}\right) \right\rangle \le 0. \tag{3.19}$$

This shows that  $x^*$  is the solution of the variational inequality (3.2).

Finally, we prove that  $x^*$  is the unique solution of the variational inequality (3.2). Assume that  $\widehat{x} \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\widehat{x} \neq x^*$  is another solution of (3.2). Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|\widehat{x} - x^*\| > \epsilon$ . By Lemma 2.3 there exists  $r \in (0,1)$  such that  $\|f(\widehat{x}) - f(x^*)\| \le r\|\widehat{x} - x^*\|$ . Since both  $\widehat{x}$  and  $x^*$  are the solution of (3.2), we have

$$\langle f(x^*) - x^*, j(\widehat{x} - x^*) \rangle \le 0, \qquad \langle f(\widehat{x}) - \widehat{x}, j(x^* - \widehat{x}) \rangle \le 0.$$
 (3.20)

Adding the above inequalities, we get

$$0 < (1 - r)e^{2} < (1 - r)\|\hat{x} - x^{*}\|^{2} \le \langle (I - f)x^{*} - (I - f)\hat{x} \rangle, j(x^{*} - \hat{x}) \le 0, \tag{3.21}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have  $\hat{x} = x^*$ , which implies that  $x^*$  is the unique solution of (3.2).

In a similar way it can be shown that each cluster point of sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is equal to  $x^*$ . Therefore, the entire sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$ . This completes the proof.

If letting  $\beta_n = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  in Theorem 3.1, then we get the following.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J from E into  $E^*$ . For every  $i=1,\ldots,N$  ( $N\geq 1$ ), let  $\mathcal{T}_i=\{T_i(t):t\geq 0\}$  be a semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on C such that  $\mathcal{F}=\cap_{i=1}^N\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{T}_i)\neq\emptyset$  and  $f:C\to C$  be a generalized contraction on C. Let  $\{\alpha_n\}\subset[0,1)$  and  $\{t_n\}\subset(0,\infty)$  be sequences satisfying  $\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\alpha_n/t_n)=0$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated by

$$x_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N T_i(t_n) x_n.$$
 (3.22)

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality:

$$\langle (f-I)x^*, j(x-x^*) \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{F}.$$
 (3.23)

**Theorem 3.3.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space E which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J from E into  $E^*$ . For every  $i=1,\cdots,N(N\geq 1)$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_i=\{T_i(t):t\geq 0\}$  be a semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on C such that  $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^N\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{T}_i)\neq\emptyset$  and  $f:C\to C$  be a generalized contraction on C. Let  $\{\alpha_n\},\{\beta_n\}\subset[0,1)$  and  $\{t_n\}\subset(0,\infty)$  be the sequences satisfying  $\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\beta_n/t_n)=0$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated

$$y_{in} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_i(t_n) x_n, \quad i = 1, ..., N,$$

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n f(x_n) + \frac{1 - \beta_n}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{in}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.24)

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is the unique solution of variational inequality (3.2).

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $M = \max\{\|x_1 - p\|, \eta^{-1}(\|f(p) - p\|)\}$ . Now we show by induction that

$$||x_n - p|| \le M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.25}$$

It is obvious that (3.25) holds for n = 1. Suppose that (3.25) holds for some n = k, where k > 1. Observe that

$$||y_{ik} - p|| = ||\alpha_k(x_k - p) + (1 - \alpha_k)(T_i(t_k)x_k - p)||$$

$$\leq \alpha_k ||x_k - p|| + (1 - \alpha_k)||T_i(t_k)x_k - p|| \leq ||x_k - p||.$$
(3.26)

Now, by using (3.24) and (3.26), we have

$$||x_{k+1} - p|| = \left\| \beta_{k}(f(x_{k}) - p) + \frac{1 - \beta_{k}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_{ik} - p) \right\|$$

$$\leq \beta_{k} ||f(x_{k}) - f(p)|| + \beta_{k} ||f(p) - p|| + \frac{1 - \beta_{k}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||y_{ik} - p||$$

$$\leq \beta_{k} \psi(||x_{k} - p||) + \beta_{k} ||f(p) - p|| + \frac{1 - \beta_{k}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||x_{k} - p||$$

$$= \beta_{k} \psi(||x_{k} - p||) + \beta_{k} ||f(p) - p|| + (1 - \beta_{k}) ||x_{k} - p||$$

$$= \beta_{k} \psi(||x_{k} - p||) + \beta_{k} \eta(\eta^{-1} ||f(p) - p||) + (1 - \beta_{k}) ||x_{k} - p||$$

$$\leq \beta_{k} \psi(M) + \beta_{k} \eta(M) + (1 - \beta_{k}) M$$

$$= \beta_{k} \psi(M) + \beta_{k} (M - \psi(M)) + (1 - \beta_{k}) M = M.$$
(3.27)

By induction we conclude that (3.25) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded and so are  $\{f(x_n)\}, \{y_{in}\}, \{T_i(t_n)x_n\}$ .

For each i = 1, ..., N and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the mapping  $U(t_n) = (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i(t_n)$ , where  $S_i(t_n) = \alpha_n I + (1 - \alpha_n) T_i(t_n)$ . Then we rewrite the sequence (3.24) to

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n f(x_n) + (1 - \beta_n) U(t_n) x_n.$$
(3.28)

Obviously, each  $U(t_n)$  is nonexpansive. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded and E is reflexive, we may assume that some subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to p. Next we show that  $p \in \mathcal{F}$ . Put  $x_j = x_{n_j}$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta_{n_j}$ , and  $t_j = t_{n_j}$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix t > 0. By (3.28) we have

$$||x_{j} - U(t)p|| = \sum_{k=0}^{[t/t_{j}]-1} ||U((k+1)t_{j})x_{j} - U(kt_{j})x_{j}||$$

$$+ ||U(\left[\frac{t}{t_{j}}\right]t_{j})x_{j} - U(\left[\frac{t}{t_{j}}\right]t_{j})p|| + ||U(\left[\frac{t}{t_{j}}\right]t_{j})p - U(t)p||$$

$$\leq \left[\frac{t}{t_{j}}\right] \|U(t_{j})x_{j} - x_{j+1}\| + \|x_{j+1} - p\| + \left\|U\left(t - \left[\frac{t}{t_{j}}\right]t_{j}\right)p - p\right\| \\
= \left[\frac{t}{t_{j}}\right] \beta_{j} \|U(t_{j})x_{j} - f(x_{j})\| + \|x_{j+1} - p\| + \left\|U\left(t - \left[\frac{t}{t_{j}}\right]t_{j}\right)p - p\right\| \\
\leq \frac{t\beta_{j}}{t_{j}} \|U(t_{j})x_{j} - f(x_{j})\| + \|x_{j+1} - p\| + \max\{\|U(s)p - p\| : 0 \leq s \leq t_{j}\}. \tag{3.29}$$

So, for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} ||x_j - U(t)p|| \le \limsup_{j \to \infty} ||x_{j+1} - p|| = \limsup_{j \to \infty} ||x_j - p||.$$
 (3.30)

Since E has a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping satisfying Opials' condition, this implies p = U(t)p. By Lemma 2.4, we have  $\operatorname{Fix}(U(t)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{Fix}(T_i(t))$  for each t > 0. Therefore,  $p \in \mathcal{F}$ . In view of the variational inequality (3.2) and the assumption that duality mapping J is weakly sequentially continuous, we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \langle (f-I)q, j(x_{n+1}-q) \rangle = \lim_{j\to\infty} \langle (f-I)q, j(x_{n_j+1}-q) \rangle = \langle (I-f)q, j(p-q) \rangle \leq 0.$$
(3.31)

Finally, we prove that  $x_n \to q$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Suppose that  $\|x_n - q\| \to 0$ . Then there exists e > 0 and subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\|x_{n_j} - q\| \ge e$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $x_j = x_{n_j}$ ,  $\beta_j = \beta_{n_j}$  and  $t_j = t_{n_j}$ . By Lemma 2.3 one has  $\|f(x_j) - f(q)\| \le r\|x_j - q\|$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, from (2.2) and (3.28) we have

$$||x_{j+1} - q||^{2} = ||(1 - \beta_{n})(U(t_{j})x_{j} - q) + \beta_{n}(f(x_{j}) - q)||^{2}$$

$$\leq (1 - \beta_{j})^{2}||U(t_{j})x_{j} - q||^{2} + 2\beta_{j}\langle f(x_{j}) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q)\rangle$$

$$\leq (1 - \beta_{j})^{2}||x_{j} - q||^{2} + 2\beta_{n}\langle f(x_{j}) - f(q), j(x_{j+1} - q)\rangle + 2\beta_{j}\langle f(q) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q)\rangle$$

$$\leq (1 - \beta_{j})^{2}||x_{j} - q||^{2} + 2\beta_{j}r||x_{j} - q||||x_{j+1} - q|| + 2\beta_{n}\langle f(q) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q)\rangle$$

$$\leq (1 - \beta_{j})^{2}||x_{j} - q||^{2} + \beta_{j}r(||x_{j} - q||^{2} + ||x_{j+1} - q||^{2}) + 2\beta_{j}\langle f(q) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q)\rangle$$

$$= ((1 - \beta_{j})^{2} + \beta_{j}r)||x_{j} - q||^{2} + \beta_{j}r||x_{j+1} - q||^{2} + 2\beta_{j}\langle f(q) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q)\rangle.$$
(3.32)

It follows that

$$||x_{j+1}|| \leq \frac{1 - (2 - r)\beta_{j} + \beta_{j}^{2}}{1 - \beta_{j}r} ||x_{j} - q||^{2} + \frac{2\beta_{j}}{1 - \beta_{j}r} \langle f(q) - q, \ j(x_{j+1} - q) \rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1 - \beta_{j}r - 2(1 - r)\beta_{j}}{1 - \beta_{j}r} ||x_{j} - q||^{2} + \frac{2\beta_{j}}{1 - \beta_{j}r} \langle f(q) - q, \ j(x_{j+1} - q) \rangle + \beta_{j}^{2}M$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{2(1 - r)\beta_{j}}{1 - \beta_{j}r}\right) ||x_{j} - q||^{2} + \frac{2\beta_{j}}{1 - \beta_{j}r} \langle f(q) - q, \ j(x_{j+1} - q) \rangle + \beta_{j}^{2}M$$

$$\leq (1 - 2(1 - r)\beta_{j}) ||x_{j} - q||^{2} + \beta_{j} \left(\frac{2}{1 - r} \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q) \rangle + \beta_{j}M\right),$$
(3.33)

where M is a constant.

Let  $\gamma_j = 2(1-r)\beta_j$  and  $\delta_j = \beta_j((2/(1-r))\langle f(q) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q)\rangle + \beta_j M)$ . It follows from (3.33) that

$$||x_{j+1} - q|| \le (1 - \gamma_j) ||x_j - q|| + \delta_j. \tag{3.34}$$

It is easy to see that  $\gamma_j \to 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j = \infty$  and (noting (3.28))

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \frac{\delta_{j}}{\gamma_{j}} = \limsup_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{(1-r)^{2}} \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q) \rangle + \frac{M}{2(1-r)} \beta_{j},$$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(1-r)^{2}} \langle f(q) - q, j(x_{j+1} - q) \rangle \leq 0.$$
(3.35)

Using Lemma 2.5, we conclude that  $||x_j - q|| \to 0$  as  $j \to \infty$ . It is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x_n \to q$  as  $n \to \infty$ . This completes the proof.

If letting  $\alpha_n = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  in Theorem 3.3, then we get the following.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space E which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J from E into  $E^*$ . For every  $i=1,\ldots,N(N\geq 1)$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_i=\{T_i(t):t\geq 0\}$  be a semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on C such that  $\mathcal{F}=\bigcap_{i=1}^N\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{T}_i)\neq\emptyset$  and  $f:C\to C$  be a generalized contraction on C. Let  $\{\beta_n\}\subset[0,1)$  and  $\{t_n\}\subset(0,\infty)$  be sequences satisfying  $\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\beta_n/t_n)=0$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence generated

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n f(x_n) + \frac{1 - \beta_n}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_i(t_n) x_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (3.36)

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a point  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is the unique solution of variational inequality (3.2).

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 extend the corresponding ones of Suzuki [2], Xu [3], and Chen and He [5] from one nonexpansive semigroup to a finite family of nonexpansive semigroups. But Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 are not the extension of Theorem 3.2 of Chen and He [5] since Banach space in Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 is required to be strictly convex. But if letting N=1 in Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4, we can remove the restriction on strict convexity and hence they extend Theorem 3.2 of Chen and He [5] from a contraction to a generalized contraction.

*Remark 3.6.* Our Theorem 3.1 extends and improves Theorems 3.2 and 4.2 of Song and Xu [4] from a nonexpansive semigroup to a finite family of nonexpansive semigroups and a contraction to a generalized contraction. Our conditions on the control sequences are different with ones of Song and Xu [4].

### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology (Grant Number: 2011-0021821).

#### References

- [1] N. Shioji and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive semi-groups in Hilbert spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 87–99, 1998.
- [2] T. Suzuki, "On strong convergence to common fixed poitns of nonexpansive semigroups in HIlbert spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 131, pp. 2133–2136, 2002.
- [3] H. K. Xu, "A strong convergence theorem for contraction semigroups in banach spaces," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 371–379, 2005.
- [4] Y. Song and S. Xu, "Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive semigroup in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 338, no. 1, pp. 152–161, 2008.
- [5] R. D. Chen and H. M. He, "Viscosity approximation of common fixed points of nonexpansive semi-groups in Banach space," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 751–757, 2007.
- [6] R. D. Chen, H. M. He, and M. A. Noor, "Modified mann iterations for nonexpansive semigroups in Banach space," *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 193–202, 2010.
- [7] I. K. Argyros, Y. J. Cho, and X. Qin, "On the implicit iterative process for strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Banach spaces," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 233, no. 2, pp. 208–216, 2009.
- [8] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho, H. W. Joseph Lee, and C. K. Chan, "Strong convergence theorems for Lipschitzian demi-contraction semigroups in Banach spaces," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2011, Article ID 583423, 10 pages, 2011.
- [9] Y. J. Cho, L. B. Ćirić, and S. H. Wang, "Convergence theorems for nonexpansive semigroups in CAT (0) spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 74, no. 17, pp. 6050–6059, 2011.
- [10] Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang, and X. Qin, "Strong convergence of an implicit iterative process for an infinite family of strict pseudocontractions," Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 1259– 1268, 2010.
- [11] W. Guo and Y. J. Cho, "On the strong convergence of the implicit iterative processes with errors for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 1046–1052, 2008.
- [12] H. He, S. Liu, and Y. J. Cho, "An explicit method for systems of equilibrium problems and fixed points of infinite family of nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 235, no. 14, pp. 4128–4139, 2011.
- [13] X. Qin, Y. J. Cho, and M. Shang, "Convergence analysis of implicit iterative algorithms for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 210, no. 2, pp. 542–550, 2009.

- [14] J. P. Gossez and E. L. Dozo, "Some geometric properties related to the fixed point theoryfor nonexpansive mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 40, pp. 565–573, 1972.
- [15] J. S. Jung, "Iterative approaches to common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 302, no. 2, pp. 509–520, 2005.
- [16] J. Schu, "Approximation of fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 112, pp. 143–151, 1991.
- [17] Z. Opial, "Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73, pp. 591–597, 1967.
- [18] T. C. Lim, "On characterizations of Meir-Keeler contractive maps," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 113–120, 2001.
- [19] A. Petrusel and J. C. Yao, "Viscosity approximation to common fixed points of families of nonexpansive mappings with generalized contractions mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 1100–1111, 2008.
- [20] T. Suzuki, "Moudafi's viscosity approximations with Meir-Keeler contractions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 342–352, 2007.
- [21] R. E. Bruck, "Properties of fixed-point sets of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 179, pp. 251–262, 1973.
- [22] H. K. Xu, "An iterative approach to quadratic optimization," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 659–678, 2003.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com











Journal of Discrete Mathematics











