

## ON THE LIMITING BEHAVIOR OF A HARMONIC OSCILLATOR WITH RANDOM EXTERNAL DISTURBANCE

G.L. KULINICH

*Kiev University  
Department of Mathematics  
64 Volodymyrska St.  
Kiev 252017, Ukraine*

(Received October, 1994; Revised March, 1995)

### ABSTRACT

This paper deals with the limiting behavior of a harmonic oscillator under the external random disturbance that is a process of the white noise type. Influence of noises is investigated in resonance and non-resonance cases.

**Key words:** Harmonic Oscillator, Instantaneous Energy, Differential Equation of the Second Order, Itô Stochastic Differential Equation.

**AMS (MOS) subject classifications:** 60H10.

### 1. Introduction

We investigate the harmonic oscillator as a system of motion described by a linear differential equation of the second order

$$m\ddot{u}(t) + ku(t) = q(t) \text{ while } m > 0 \text{ and } k > 0,$$

where  $q(t)$  is an external disturbance force. In the case, where  $q(t)$  is a nonrandom periodic function, the instantaneous energy of the oscillator  $\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{2}[ku^2(t) + m\dot{u}^2(t)]$  is bounded if the period of the function  $q(t)$  is not equal to  $2\pi\sqrt{m/k}$  and  $\varepsilon(t) \sim t^2$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  if period of function  $q(t)$  is equal to  $2\pi\sqrt{m/k}$  (resonance).

A model of the random harmonic oscillator with  $\varepsilon(t) \sim t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  was considered by Papanicolaou [8] for the case when  $q(t)$  is a stationary random process; a model in which  $\ln \varepsilon(t) \sim t \rightarrow \infty$  was considered by Bendersky and Pastur [1] for the case when  $q(t) = 0$  and  $k = k(t)$  is a stationary random process; a model in which  $\varepsilon(t) \sim \sqrt{t}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  was considered by Kulinich [7] for the case when  $q(t) = g(w(t))\dot{w}(t)$ , with  $\dot{w}(t)$  as a "white" noise,  $g(x)$  a nonrandom function and  $g^2(x)$  integrable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In the present paper, we consider the external random disturbance of the type  $q(t) = f(t)g(w(t))\dot{w}(t)$ , where  $f(t)$  and  $g(x)$  are nonrandom functions and  $f^2(t)$  is a periodic function with the period  $2L$ .

The limiting behavior (for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) of the joint distribution of the random variables  $(u(t), \dot{u}(t))$  the distribution of the random variable  $\varepsilon(t)$  is investigated in the following cases:

- 1)  $2L \neq 2\pi\sqrt{m/k}$ ; 2)  $2L = 2\pi\sqrt{m/k}$ .

It is shown in particular that  $\varepsilon(t) \sim t$  if  $g^2(x) \sim b \neq 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  (Theorem 1) and

$E\varepsilon(t) \sim t^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}$  if  $g^2(x) \sim b(x)|x|^{\alpha-1}$ , while  $\alpha > 0$  and  $b(x) = b_1$  for  $x > 0$ , and  $b(x) = b_2$  for  $x < 0$  (corollary of Theorem 2).

Let  $u(t)$  be the distance of a particle from its equilibrium position. We assume that the particle has mass  $m$  and that it is fastened to an immobile support by a spring with the coefficient of stiffness  $k$ . Then  $u(t)$  satisfies the following equation:

$$m\ddot{u}(t) + ku(t) = q(t) \text{ while } u(0) = u_0 \text{ and } \dot{u}(0) = \dot{u}_0 \left( \dot{u} \equiv \frac{d}{dt}u \right). \tag{1}$$

Here  $q(t)$  is an external force,  $u_0$  is an initial position and  $\dot{u}_0$  is an initial velocity of the particle. We assume, then, that  $u_0 = 0, \dot{u}_0 = 0$  and  $q(t) = f(t)g(w(t))\dot{w}(t)$ , where  $w(t)$  is a Wiener process. In this case, equation (1) can be considered as a system of stochastic Itô equations:

$$\begin{cases} m d\dot{u}(t) = -ku(t)dt + f(t)g(w(t))dw(t) \\ du(t) = \dot{u}(t)dt \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

**Lemma:** Let function  $f(t)$  satisfy the condition,  $|\int_0^t f(s)ds| \leq C$ , for every finite  $t$ , and let  $g(x)$  have the second derivative  $g''(x)$  almost everywhere while  $\int_0^x |g''(v)|dv = o(|x|^\alpha)$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\alpha > 0$ . Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} E \left| \int_0^t f(s)g(w(s))ds \right| = 0,$$

where  $w(t)$  is a Wiener process.

**Proof:** Since the functions  $f(t)$  and  $g''(x)$  are integrable over every bounded domain, because of Krylov [5], we can apply Itô's formula to the process  $\Phi(t, w(t))$ , where  $\Phi(t, x) = \int_0^t f(s)ds g(x)$ , and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t f(s)g(w(s))ds &= \int_0^t f(s)ds g(w(t)) - \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^s f(s_1)ds_1 \right] g'(w(s))dw(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^s f(s_1)ds_1 \right] g''(w(s))ds = I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the following inequalities hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} E |I_1(t)| &\leq Ct^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} E |g(w(t))| \\ E(t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} I_2(t))^2 &= t^{-(\alpha+1)} E \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^s f(s_1)ds_1 g'(w(s)) \right]^2 ds \\ &\leq C^2 t^{-(\alpha+1)} E \int_0^t [g'(w(s))]^2 ds \\ t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} E |I_3(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} Ct^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} E \int_0^t |g''(w(s))| ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Next, applying the Itô formula to the processes  $\Phi(w(t))$  and  $\Phi_1(w(t))$ , where

$$\Phi(x) = 2 \int_0^x \left[ \int_0^z (g'(v))^2 dv \right] dz \text{ and } \Phi_1(x) = 2 \int_0^x \left[ \int_0^z |g''(v)| dv \right] dz,$$

we obtain the equations

$$t^{-(\alpha+1)} E \int_0^t [g'(w(s))]^2 ds = t^{-(\alpha+1)} E \Phi(w(t))$$

and

$$t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} E \int_0^t |g''(w(s))| ds = t^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} E \Phi_1(w(t)). \tag{4}$$

The conditions of the Lemma require that  $g(x) = o(|x|^{\alpha+1})$ ,  $\Phi(x) = o(|x|^{2\alpha+1})$  and  $\Phi_1(x) = o(|x|^{\alpha+1})$ . When we take into account that  $w(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for every  $t > 0$  is standard normal it is easy to ensure that  $E \frac{|g(w(t))|}{t^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $E \frac{\Phi(w(t))}{t^{(\alpha+1)}} \rightarrow 0$  and  $E \frac{\Phi_1(w(t))}{t^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . These con-

vergences along with (3) and (4) yield the Lemma. □

In what follows, we assume that  $f(t)$  in the equations is a continuously differentiable function and that  $f^2(t)$  has period  $2L$ . Let us denote

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{4L} \int_0^{2L} f^2(t) dt, \quad c_0 = \frac{1}{4L} \int_0^{2L} f^2(t) \cos(2\sqrt{k/m} t) dt,$$

$$a_1 = a_0 + c_0, \quad a_2 = a_0 - c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{4L} \int_0^{2L} f^2(t) \sin(2\sqrt{k/m} t) dt.$$

**Theorem 1:** *Let the function  $g(x)$  in equation (2) have a second derivative with*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x g^2(v) dv = b \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x |g'(v) + g(v)g''(v)| dv = 0.$$

1. *Suppose  $2L \neq n\pi\sqrt{m/k}$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  or  $2L = n_0\pi\sqrt{m/k}$  and at the same time,  $c_0 = 0$  and  $a_3 = 0$ . Then the following hold:*

a) *The joint distribution of the random variables  $(u(t)/\sqrt{t}, \dot{u}(t)/\sqrt{t})$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , converges to the distribution of  $(\sqrt{\frac{a_0 b}{km}} \zeta_1, \sqrt{\frac{a_0 b}{m}} \zeta_2)$ , where  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  are independent standard normal random variables.*

b) *The distribution of the random variable  $t^{-1}\varepsilon(t)$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , converges to the exponential distribution with the parameter  $m(a_0 b)^{-1}$ .*

2. *Suppose  $2L = n_0\pi\sqrt{m/k}$  and that  $c_0 \neq 0$  or  $a_3 \neq 0$ . Then the following hold:*

a)  *$P\{\frac{u(t)}{\sqrt{t}} < x_1, \frac{\dot{u}(t)}{\sqrt{t}} < x_2\} - F_t(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow 0$ , where for each  $t > 0$ ,  $F_t(x_1, x_2)$  is bivariate normal with the density:*

$$\rho_t(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-r^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-r^2)}[Ax_1^2 - 2Bx_1x_2 + Cx_2^2]\right\}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$A = \frac{\sin^2 \alpha}{\sigma_1^2} + 2r \frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} + \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sigma_2^2}, \quad B = -\frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{\sigma_1^2} + r \frac{\sin^2 \alpha - \cos^2 \alpha}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} + \frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{\sigma_2^2},$$

$$C = \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sigma_1^2} - 2r \frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} + \frac{\sin^2 \alpha}{\sigma_2^2}, \quad r = \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}, \quad \sigma_1^2 = a_1 b, \quad \sigma_2^2 = a_2 b \quad \text{and}$$

$$\alpha = \sqrt{k/m} t.$$

b) The distribution of the random variable  $t^{-1} \varepsilon(t)$  converges to the distribution with the density:

$$\rho(x) = \frac{m}{b \sqrt{a_1 a_2 - a_3^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{xm(a_1 + a_2)}{2b(a_1 a_2 - a_3^2)}\right\} \otimes$$

$$I_0\left(\frac{xm}{b(a_1 a_2 - a_3^2)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(a_1 - a_2)^2 + a_3^2}\right), \quad x > 0, \quad (6)$$

where  $I_0(x)$  is the modified Bessel function of the first kind with zero index and  $\rho(x) = 0$ , when  $x < 0$ .

**Proof:** We can write the solution of equation (2) in explicit form [2]:

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{km}} \int_0^t f(s)g(w(s))\sin(\sqrt{k/m}(t-s))dw(s)$$

$$\dot{u}(t) = \frac{1}{m} \int_0^t f(s)g(w(s))\cos(\sqrt{k/m}(t-s))dw(s).$$

Let us introduce the parameter  $T \geq T_0 > 0$  and denote

$$u_T(t) = u(tT)/\sqrt{T}, \quad \dot{u}_T(t) = \dot{u}(tT)/\sqrt{T} \quad \text{and} \quad w_T(t) = w(tT)/\sqrt{T}.$$

Then,

$$u_T(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{km}} \left[ \gamma_T^{(1)}(t) \sin(\sqrt{k/m}tT) - \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \cos(\sqrt{k/m}tT) \right]$$

and

$$\dot{u}_T(t) = \frac{1}{m} \left[ \gamma_T^{(1)}(t) \cos(\sqrt{k/m}tT) + \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \sin(\sqrt{k/m}tT) \right], \quad (7)$$

where

$$\gamma_T^{(1)}(t) = \int_0^t g(w_T(s))\sqrt{T}f(sT)\cos(\sqrt{k/m}sT)dw_T(s)$$

and

$$\gamma_T^{(2)}(t) = \int_0^t g(w_T(s))\sqrt{T}f(sT)\sin(\sqrt{k/m}sT)dw_T(s).$$

Since each process  $\gamma_T^{(i)}(t)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  is a martingale with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\sigma(w_T(s))$ ,

$s \leq t$ ), and since each satisfies the Skorohod condition of compactness of random processes [9], we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $\gamma_T^{(i)}(t) \rightarrow \gamma^{(i)}(t)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $w_T(t) \rightarrow w(t)$  in probability as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  at every point  $t > 0$ , where  $w(t)$  is a Wiener process and each  $\gamma^{(i)}(t)$  is a martingale with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(w(s), s \leq t)$ .

Thus, (7) implies the convergencies

$$u_T(t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{km}} \left[ \gamma^{(1)}(t) \sin(\sqrt{k/m}tT) - \gamma^{(2)}(t) \cos(\sqrt{k/m}tT) \right] \rightarrow 0$$

and (8)

$$i_T(t) - \frac{1}{m} \left[ \gamma^{(1)}(t) \cos(\sqrt{k/m}tT) + \gamma^{(2)}(t) \sin(\sqrt{k/m}tT) \right] \rightarrow 0,$$

in probability, as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

Consider now characteristics of martingales:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_T^{(1)}(t) \rangle &= \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) f^2(sT) \cos^2(\sqrt{k/m}Ts) ds \\ \langle \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \rangle &= \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) f^2(sT) \sin^2(\sqrt{k/m}Ts) ds \\ \langle \gamma_T^{(1)}(t), \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) f^2(sT) \sin(2\sqrt{k/m}Ts) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that for the function  $f^2(t)$  the first assumption of Theorem 1 is satisfied. It is easy to verify that, in this case,

$$f^2(t) \cos^2(\sqrt{k/m}t) = a_0 + \alpha_1(t), f^2(t) \sin^2(\sqrt{k/m}t) = a_0 + \alpha_2(t),$$

and (9)

$$\frac{1}{2} f^2(t) \sin(2\sqrt{k/m}t) = \alpha_3(t),$$

where  $a_0 = \frac{1}{4L} \int_0^{2L} f^2(s) ds$ , and there is a constant  $C > 0$  that for all  $t \geq 0$  satisfies the inequality,

$$\left| \int_0^t \alpha_i(s) ds \right| \leq C, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then  $\langle \gamma_T^{(i)}(t) \rangle = a_0 \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) ds + \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) \alpha_i(sT) ds = I_T(t) + J_T(t)$ .

Kulinich [6] implies  $I_T(t) \rightarrow \beta(t)$  in probability as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\beta(t) = a_0 bt$ , and due to the Lemma,  $E | J_T(t) | \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $\langle \gamma_T^{(i)}(t) \rangle \rightarrow a_0 bt$  in probability as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . And for the joint characteristic of martingales  $\gamma_T^{(1)}$  and  $\gamma_T^{(2)}$ ( $t$ ), we have the equality,

$$\langle \gamma_T^{(1)}(t), \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \rangle = \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) \alpha_3(sT) ds,$$

which, due to the Lemma, implies the convergence,

$$E | \langle \gamma_T^{(1)}(t), \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \rangle | \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, for characteristics of the limit martingales we have

$$\langle \gamma^{(i)}(t) \rangle = a_0 b t, \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \langle \gamma^{(1)}(t), \gamma^{(2)}(t) \rangle = 0. \quad (10)$$

It is easy to see that martingales  $\gamma^{(1)}(t)$  and  $\gamma^{(2)}(t)$  are continuous with probability 1. Therefore, due to [3], there are independent Wiener processes  $w^{(1)}(t)$  and  $w^{(2)}(t)$  such that

$$\gamma^{(1)}(t) = \sqrt{a_0 b} w^{(1)}(t) \text{ and } \gamma^{(2)}(t) = \sqrt{a_0 b} w^{(2)}(t).$$

Thus, taking into consideration convergencies (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P\{u_T(1) < x_1, \dot{u}_T(1) < x_2\} \\ & - P\left\{\sqrt{\frac{a_0 b}{km}} \zeta_T^{(1)} < x_1, \frac{\sqrt{a_0 b}}{km} \zeta_T^{(2)} < x_2\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\zeta_T^{(1)} = w^{(1)}(1) \sin(\sqrt{k/m} T) - w^{(2)}(1) \cos(\sqrt{k/m} T)$$

and

$$\zeta_T^{(2)} = w^{(1)}(1) \cos(\sqrt{k/m} T) + w^{(2)}(1) \sin(\sqrt{k/m} T).$$

Independence of the normally distributed random variables  $w^{(1)}(1)$  and  $w^{(2)}(1)$  implies that they have a bivariate normal distribution. Hence, due to [4],  $\zeta_T^{(1)}$  and  $\zeta_T^{(2)}$  are also bivariate normal for every  $T$ .

It is easy to verify, that for every  $T$ ,

$$E \zeta_T^{(i)} = 0, \quad D \zeta_T^{(i)} = 1 \text{ and } E \zeta_T^{(1)} \zeta_T^{(2)} = 0.$$

Therefore, the random variables,  $\zeta_T^{(1)}$  and  $\zeta_T^{(2)}$ , are independent standard normal. Convergence (11) yields the proof of statement 1a) of Theorem 1.

Since for instantaneous energy  $\varepsilon(t)$  in system (2) we have the equality,

$$T^{-1} \varepsilon(T) = \frac{1}{2m} ([\gamma_T^{(1)}(1)]^2 + [\gamma_T^{(2)}(1)]^2), \quad (12)$$

then, for all  $x > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} P\{T^{-1} \varepsilon(T) < x\} = P\left\{\frac{a_0 b}{2m} ([w^{(1)}(1)]^2 + [w^{(2)}(1)]^2) < x\right\}.$$

According to Gnedenko [4], the random variable  $[w^{(1)}(1)]^2 + [w^{(2)}(1)]^2$  has a  $\chi^2$  distribution with two degrees of freedom and it coincides with the exponential distribution with parameter 1/2. Hence, the distribution of the random variable  $T^{-1} \varepsilon(T)$ , as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  converges to the exponential distribution with parameter  $m[a_0 b]^{-1}$ . This proves statement 1b) of Theorem 1.

Next, suppose that  $2L = n_0 \pi \sqrt{m/k}$  and that at least one of the constants,  $c_0$  or  $a_3$ , is not equal to zero. Then (9) can be represented in the form:

$$f^2(t) \cos^2(\sqrt{k/mt}) = a_1 + \alpha_1(t), \quad f^2(t) \sin^2(\sqrt{k/mt}) = a_2 + \alpha_2(t)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} f^2(t) \sin(2\sqrt{k/mt}) = a_3 + \alpha_3(t).$$

Therefore, in this case we have

$$\langle \gamma_T^{(i)}(t) \rangle = a_i \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T})ds + \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T})\alpha_i(sT)ds, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$\langle \gamma_T^{(1)}(t), \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \rangle = a_3 \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T})ds + \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T})\alpha_3(sT)ds.$$

As in the proof of statement 1 of Theorem 1, we obtain characteristics of the limit martingales:

$$\langle \gamma^{(1)}(t) \rangle = a_1bt, \quad \langle \gamma^{(2)}(t) \rangle = a_2bt \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \gamma^{(1)}(t), \gamma^{(2)}(t) \rangle = a_3bt.$$

Also,

$$\gamma^{(i)}(t) = \sqrt{b}[b_{i1}w^{(1)}(t) + b_{i2}w^{(2)}(t)], \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $w^{(1)}(t)$  and  $w^{(2)}(t)$  are independent Wiener processes and  $(b_{i1}, b_{i2})$  is the  $i$ -th row of the matrix  $B^{1/2}$ , where  $B = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . The independence of the Wiener processes,  $w^{(1)}(t)$  and  $w^{(2)}(t)$ , implies that random variables  $\gamma^{(1)}(1)$  and  $\gamma^{(2)}(1)$  have normal distributions with parameters  $(0, \sigma_i^2)$ , where  $\sigma_1^2 = a_1b$  and  $\sigma_2^2 = a_2b$  are bivariate normal with the coefficient of correlation  $r = a_3(a_1a_2)^{-1}$ . Hence, according to Gnedenko [4], the joint density of the random variables,

$$\gamma^{(1)}(1) \sin(\sqrt{k/mT}) - \gamma^{(2)}(1) \cos(\sqrt{k/mT})$$

and

$$\gamma^{(1)}(1) \cos(\sqrt{k/mT}) + \gamma^{(2)}(1) \sin \sqrt{k/mT},$$

is of the form (5) with  $t = T$ . To complete the proof of statement 2a) of Theorem 1, we use convergencies (8). Equality (12) implies that the limit distribution of the random variable  $T^{-1}\varepsilon(T)$  coincides with the distribution of the absolute value of a bivariate normal random vector.  $\square$

**Corollary:** *Under the conditions of Theorem 1,*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (Et^{-1}\varepsilon(t)) &= \frac{b}{2m}(a_1 + a_2); \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Dt^{-1}\varepsilon(t) &= \frac{b^2}{2m^2}(a_1^2 + a_2^2), \quad \text{while } a_3 = 0; \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Dt^{-1}\varepsilon(t) &= \frac{b^2}{2m^2}(a_0^2 + \frac{3}{2}a_3^2), \quad \text{while } a_3 \neq 0 \text{ and } c_0 = 0; \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Dt^{-1}\varepsilon(t) &= \frac{b^2}{2m^2}(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + 3a_3^2 + \beta), \quad \text{while } a_3 \neq 0 \quad c_0 \neq 0 \text{ and} \\ \beta &= \frac{2a_3^2}{(a_1 - a_2)^4} \{ 4a_3^2[a_1^4 - a_1^2\sqrt{a_1^4 - (a_1 - a_2)^2} - \frac{1}{2}(a_1 - a_2)^2] \\ &\quad - [a_1^4 - (a_1^2 - a_2^2)\sqrt{a_1^4 - (a_1 - a_2)^2}] \} + 2(a_1a_2a_3)^2 + a_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

In this case we can change the order of limit and expectation (variance). We use the latter, the explicit form of the limit value  $\gamma^{(i)}(1)$  for every  $i$  and equality (12) to prove the statement.  $\square$

**Theorem 2:** Let the function  $g(x)$  in equation (2) have a second derivative almost everywhere and for some  $\alpha > 0$  satisfy the conditions:

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} \int_0^x g^2(v) dv - b(x) \right) = 0, \text{ with } b(x) = \begin{cases} b_1, & x > 0 \\ b_2, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} \int_0^x |g'(v) + g(v)g''(v)| dv = 0.$$

Then

$$P \left\{ \frac{u(t)}{t^{(\alpha+1)/4}} < x_1, \frac{\dot{u}(t)}{t^{(\alpha+1)/4}} < x_2 \right\} - P\{v(t) < x_1, \dot{v}(t) < x_2\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $v(t)$  is the position and  $\dot{v}(t)$  is the velocity of the homogeneous harmonic oscillator

$$m\ddot{v}(t) + kv(t) = 0, \quad t > 0 \quad (13)$$

with the initial condition

$$v(0) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{km}}\gamma^{(2)}(1) \text{ and } \dot{v}(0) = \frac{1}{m}\gamma^{(1)}(1).$$

Here each  $\gamma^{(i)}(t)$  is a martingale with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(w(s), s \leq t)$  with characteristics:

$$\langle \gamma^{(i)}(t) \rangle = a_i \beta(t), \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \langle \gamma^{(1)}(t), \gamma^{(2)}(t) \rangle = a_3 \beta(t),$$

$$\text{while } \beta(t) = \alpha \int_0^t |w(s)|^{\alpha-1} b(w(s)) \text{sign } w(s) ds.$$

**Proof:** The proof is similar to that of (8) in Theorem 1, with the difference that, in this case,

$$u_T(t) = T^{-(\alpha+1)/4} u(tT), \quad \dot{u}_T(t) = T^{-(\alpha+1)/4} \dot{u}(tT),$$

$$\gamma_T^{(1)}(t) = T^{(1-\alpha)/4} \int_0^t g(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) f(sT) \cos(\sqrt{k/m} sT) dw_T(s),$$

and

$$\gamma_T^{(2)}(t) = T^{(1-\alpha)/4} \int_0^t g(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) f(sT) \sin(\sqrt{k/m} sT) dw_T(s)$$

with characteristics

$$\langle \gamma_T^{(i)}(t) \rangle = a_i T^{(1-\alpha)/2} \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) ds + T^{(1-\alpha)/2} \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) \alpha_i(sT) ds, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$\langle \gamma_T^{(1)}(t), \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \rangle = a_3 T^{(1-\alpha)/2} \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) ds + T^{(1-\alpha)/2} \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) \alpha_3(sT) ds.$$

Due to the Lemma,



$$\langle \gamma_T^{(i)}(t) \rangle = a_i T^{(1-\alpha)/2} \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) ds + o(1)$$

and

$$\langle \gamma_T^{(1)}(t), \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \rangle = a_3 T^{(1-\alpha)/2} \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) ds + o(1),$$

where  $o(1)$  is such that  $E | o(1) | \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . Next, Kulinich [6] established that

$$T^{(1-\alpha)/2} \int_0^t g^2(w_T(s)\sqrt{T}) ds \rightarrow \beta(t)$$

in probability as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$\beta(t) = 2 \left[ \int_0^{w(t)} |v|^\alpha b(v) dv - \int_0^t |w(s)|^\alpha b(w(s)) dw(s) \right].$$

Since  $\alpha > 0$ , using Itô's formula, we have

$$\beta(t) = \alpha \int_0^t |w(s)|^{\alpha-1} b(w(s)) \text{sign } w(s) ds. \tag{14}$$

Hence,

$$\langle \gamma_T^{(i)}(t) \rangle \rightarrow a_i \beta(t), \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \langle \gamma_T^{(1)}(t), \gamma_T^{(2)}(t) \rangle \rightarrow a_3 \beta(t)$$

in probability as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, we obtain convergence (8), where each  $\gamma^{(i)}(t)$  is a continuous, with probability 1, martingale with respect to  $\sigma(w(s), s \leq t)$ , with characteristics:

$$\langle \gamma^{(i)}(t) \rangle = a_i \beta(t), \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \langle \gamma^{(1)}(t), \gamma^{(2)}(t) \rangle = a_3 \beta(t),$$

where  $\beta(t)$  has the form (14). Using convergence (8) for  $t = 1$  and an explicit form of the solution of problem (13), we complete the proof of Theorem 2. □

**Corollary:** Under the conditions of Theorem 2,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E t^{-(\alpha+1)/2} \varepsilon(t) = \frac{\alpha(a_1 + a_2)}{2m} \int_0^1 E |w(s)|^{\alpha-1} b(w(s)) \text{sign } w(s) ds.$$

This equality is a consequence of the following statements:

- 1) the equality (12);
- 2) the equality  $E[\gamma_T^{(i)}(t)]^2 = E\langle \gamma_T^{(i)}(t) \rangle$
- 3) the possibility to change the order of limit and expectation.

**Remark:** Let  $q(x_1, x_2)$  be a joint density of the distribution of  $\gamma^{(1)}(1)$  and  $\gamma^{(2)}(1)$  and  $\rho_t(x_1, x_2)$  be a joint density of the distribution of the position  $v(t)$  and the velocity  $\dot{v}(t)$  at the moment  $t$ , described by (13). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t(x_1, x_3) = & q[x_1 \sqrt{km} \sin(\sqrt{k/mt}) + x_2 m \cos(\sqrt{k/mt}), \\ & - x_1 \sqrt{km} \cos(\sqrt{k/mt}) + x_2 m \sin(\sqrt{k/mt})] m \sqrt{km}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Using the explicit form of the solution to equation (13) we get

$$\gamma^{(1)}(1) = v(t)\sqrt{km} \sin(\sqrt{k/mt}) + \dot{v}(t)m \cos(\sqrt{k/mt})$$

and

$$\gamma^{(2)}(1) = -v(t)\sqrt{km} \cos(\sqrt{k/mt}) + \dot{v}(t)m \sin(\sqrt{k/mt})$$

which yields (15). □

## References

- [1] Bendersky, M.M. and Pastur, L.A., On asymptotics of solutions of the second order equation with random coefficients, *Theor. Func., Functional. Analiz i Primeneniya* **22** (1975), 3-14.
- [2] Dyvnych, N.T., On limit behavior of solutions of the Cauchy problem for equation of heat conductivity disturbed by white noise process, *Ukrain. Math. J.* **29:5** (1977), 646-650.
- [3] Gihman, I.I. and Skorokhod, A.V., *The Theory of Stochastic Processes*, **III**, Nauka, Moscow 1975. English transl. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1978.
- [4] Gnedenko, B.V., *Probability Theory*, Nauka, Moscow 1977.
- [5] Krylov, N.V., *Controlled Diffusion Process*, Nauka, Moscow 1977.
- [6] Kulinich, G.L., On asymptotic behavior of distributions  $\int_0^t g(w(s))ds$ -type functionals for diffusion processes, *Theor. Veroyatnost. i Mat. Statist.* **8** (1975), 95-101.
- [7] Kulinich, G.L., On limit behavior of random harmonic oscillator, *Vestnik Kiev. Univ. (Math. & Mech.)* **25** (1983), 108-112.
- [8] Papanicolau, G.C., *Stochastic Equations and Their Applications*, American Math., Mont. **80:5** (1973), 526-545.
- [9] Skorokhod, A.V., *The Study on Theory of Random Processes*, Izdatelstvo Kiev. Univ. 1961. English Transl. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA 1965.