## Research Article

# Functional Inequalities Associated with Jordan-von Neumann-Type Additive Functional Equations 

Choonkil Park, Young Sun Cho, and Mi-Hyen Han

Received 27 September 2006; Accepted 1 November 2006
Recommended by Sever S. Dragomir

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following functional inequalities: $\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\| \leq\|2 f((x+y+z) / 2)\|,\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\| \leq\|f(x+y+z)\|$, $\|f(x)+f(y)+2 f(z)\| \leq\|2 f((x+y) / 2+z)\|$ in the spirit of the Rassias stability approach for approximately homomorphisms.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Ulam [1] gave a talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of unsolved problems. Among these was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms.

We are given a group $G$ and a metric group $G^{\prime}$ with metric $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon>0$, does there exist a $\delta>0$ such that if $f: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ satisfies $\rho(f(x y), f(x) f(y))<\delta$ for all $x, y \in G$, then a homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ exists with $\rho(f(x), h(x))<\epsilon$ for all $x \in G$ ?

Hyers [2] considered the case of approximately additive mappings $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$, where $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are Banach spaces and $f$ satisfies Hyers inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \epsilon \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E$. It was shown that the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{n}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and that $L: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is the unique additive mapping satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \epsilon \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rassias [3] provided a generalization of Hyers' theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded.

Theorem 1.1 (Rassias). Let $f: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be a mapping from a normed vector space $E$ into a Banach space $E^{\prime}$ subject to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \epsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where $\epsilon$ and $p$ are constants with $\epsilon>0$ and $p<1$. Then the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{n}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{2 \epsilon}{2-2^{p}}\|x\|^{p} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p<0$, then inequality (1.4) holds for $x, y \neq 0$ and (1.6) for $x \neq 0$.
Rassias [4] during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. Gajda [5], following the same approach as in Rassias [3], gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p>1$. It was shown by Gajda [5] as well as by Rassias and Šemrl [6] that one cannot prove a Rassias-type theorem when $p=1$. The inequality (1.4) that was introduced for the first time by Rassias [3] provided a lot of influence in the development of a generalization of the Hyers-Ulam stability concept. This new concept of stability is known as generalized Hyers-Ulam stability or Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations (cf. the books of Czerwik [7], Hyers et al. [8]).

Rassias [9] followed the innovative approach of Rassias' theorem [3] in which he replaced the factor $\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}$ by $\|x\|^{p} \cdot\|y\|^{q}$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p+q \neq 1$.

Găvruța [10] provided a further generalization of Rassias' theorem. During the last two decades, a number of papers and research monographs have been published on various generalizations and applications of the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability to a number of functional equations and mappings (see [11-14]).

Throughout this paper, let $G$ be a 2-divisible abelian group. Assume that $X$ is a normed space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and that $Y$ is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$.

In [15], Gilányi showed that if $f$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2 f(x)+2 f(y)-f\left(x y^{-1}\right)\right\| \leq\|f(x y)\| \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ satisfies the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f(x)+2 f(y)=f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

see also [16]. Gilányi [17] and Fechner [18] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality (1.7).

In Section 2, we prove that if $f$ satisfies one of the inequalities $\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\| \leq$ $\|2 f((x+y+z) / 2)\|,\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\| \leq\|f(x+y+z)\|$, and $\|f(x)+f(y)+2 f(z)\| \leq$ $\|2 f((x+y) / 2+z)\|$ then $f$ is Cauchy additive.

In Section 3, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality $\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\| \leq\|2 f(x+y+z / 2)\|$.

In Section 4, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality $\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\| \leq\|f(x+y+z)\|$.

In Section 5, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality $\|f(x)+f(y)+2 f(z)\| \leq\|2 f(x+y / 2+z)\|$.

## 2. Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations

Proposition 2.1. Let $f: G \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\left\|2 f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then $f$ is Cauchy additive.
Proof. Letting $x=y=z=0$ in (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|3 f(0)\|_{Y} \leq\|2 f(0)\|_{Y} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $f(0)=0$.
Letting $z=0$ and $y=-x$ in (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(-x)\|_{Y} \leq\|2 f(0)\|_{Y}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Hence $f(-x)=-f(x)$ for all $x \in G$.
Letting $z=-x-y$ in (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)-f(x+y)\|_{Y}=\|f(x)+f(y)+f(-x-y)\|_{Y} \leq\|2 f(0)\|_{Y}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, as desired.
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Proposition 2.2. Let $f: G \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\|f(x+y+z)\|_{Y} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then $f$ is Cauchy additive.
Proof. Letting $x=y=z=0$ in (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|3 f(0)\|_{Y} \leq\|f(0)\|_{Y} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $f(0)=0$.
Letting $z=0$ and $y=-x$ in (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(-x)\|_{Y} \leq\|f(0)\|_{Y}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Hence $f(-x)=-f(x)$ for all $x \in G$.
Letting $z=-x-y$ in (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)-f(x+y)\|_{Y}=\|f(x)+f(y)+f(-x-y)\|_{Y} \leq\|f(0)\|_{Y}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, as desired.
Proposition 2.3. Let $f: G \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+2 f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\left\|2 f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)\right\|_{Y} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then $f$ is Cauchy additive.
Proof. Letting $x=y=z=0$ in (2.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|4 f(0)\|_{Y} \leq\|2 f(0)\|_{Y} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $f(0)=0$.
Letting $z=0$ and $y=-x$ in (2.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(-x)\|_{Y} \leq\|f(0)\|_{Y}=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Hence $f(-x)=-f(x)$ for all $x \in G$.
Replacing $x$ by $-2 z$ and letting $y=0$ in (2.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|-f(2 z)+2 f(z)\|_{Y}=\|f(-2 z)+2 f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\|f(0)\|_{Y}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in G$. Thus $f(2 z)=2 f(z)$ for all $z \in G$.

Letting $z=-(x+y) / 2$ in (2.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)-f(x+y)\|_{Y}=\left\|f(x)+f(y)+2 f\left(-\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq\|f(0)\|_{Y}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, as desired.

## 3. Stability of a functional inequality associated with a 3-variable Jensen additive functional equation

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a functional inequality associated with a Jordan-von Neumann-type 3-variable Jensen additive functional equation.

Theorem 3.1. Letr $>1$ and $\theta$ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\left\|2 f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y}+\theta\left(\|x\|_{X}^{r}+\|y\|_{X}^{r}+\|z\|_{X}^{r}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r}+2}{2^{r}-2} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Letting $y=x$ and $z=-2 x$ in (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(x)+f(-2 x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(2+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing $x$ by $-x$ in (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(-x)+f(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(2+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g(x):=(f(x)-f(-x)) / 2$. It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 g(x)-g(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(2+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-2 g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2+2^{r}}{2^{r}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2^{l} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{l}}\right)-2^{m} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{m}}\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1}\left\|2^{j} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right)-2^{j+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2+2^{r}}{2^{r}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{j}}{2^{r j}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $m$ and $l$ with $m>l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.7) that the sequence $\left\{2^{n} g\left(x / 2^{n}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since $Y$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{2^{n} g\left(x / 2^{n}\right)\right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l=0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.7), we get (3.2).
It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|h(x)+h(y)+h(z)\|_{Y}= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n}\left\|g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)+g\left(\frac{y}{2^{n}}\right)+g\left(\frac{z}{2^{n}}\right)\right\|_{Y} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n}}{2}\left\|f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)+f\left(\frac{y}{2^{n}}\right)+\left(\frac{z}{2^{n}}\right)-f\left(\frac{-x}{2^{n}}\right)-f\left(\frac{-y}{2^{n}}\right)-\left(\frac{-z}{2^{n}}\right)\right\|_{Y} \\
\leq & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n}}{2}\left\|2 f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^{n+1}}\right)-2 f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{-2^{n+1}}\right)\right\|_{Y} \\
& +\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n} \theta}{2^{n r}}\left(\|x\|_{X}^{r}+\|y\|_{X}^{r}+\|z\|_{X}^{r}\right) \\
= & \left\|2 h\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(x)+h(y)+h(z)\|_{Y} \leq\left\|2 h\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By Proposition 2.1, the mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is Cauchy additive.
Now, let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be another Cauchy additive mapping satisfying (3.2). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|h(x)-T(x)\|_{Y} & =2^{n}\left\|h\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-T\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right\|_{Y} \\
& \leq 2^{n}\left(\left\|h\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right\|_{Y}+\left\|T\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right\|_{Y}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& \leq \frac{2\left(2^{r}+2\right) 2^{n}}{\left(2^{r}-2\right) 2^{n r}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r}
\end{align*}
$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $h(x)=T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of $h$. Thus the mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique Cauchy additive mapping satisfying (3.2).
Theorem 3.2. Let $r<1$ and $\theta$ be positive real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (3.1). Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2+2^{r}}{2-2^{r}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-\frac{1}{2} g(2 x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2+2^{r}}{2} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{l}} g\left(2^{l} x\right)-\frac{1}{2^{m}} g\left(2^{m} x\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1}\left\|\frac{1}{2^{j}} g\left(2^{j} x\right)-\frac{1}{2^{j+1}} g\left(2^{j+1} x\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2+2^{r}}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{r j}}{2^{j}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $m$ and $l$ with $m>l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.14) that the sequence $\left\{\left(1 / 2^{n}\right) g\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since $Y$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{\left(1 / 2^{n}\right) g\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} g\left(2^{n} x\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l=0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.14), we get (3.12).
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 3.3. Let $r>1 / 3$ and $\theta$ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\left\|2 f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y}+\theta \cdot\|x\|_{X}^{r} \cdot\|y\|_{X}^{r} \cdot\|z\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r} \theta}{8^{r}-2}\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Letting $y=x$ and $z=-2 x$ in (3.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(x)+f(-2 x)\|_{Y} \leq 2^{r} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing $x$ by $-x$ in (3.18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(-x)+f(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq 2^{r} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g(x):=(f(x)-f(-x)) / 2$. It follows from (3.18) and (3.19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 g(x)-g(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq 2^{r} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-2 g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r}}{8^{r}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2^{l} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{l}}\right)-2^{m} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{m}}\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1}\left\|2^{j} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right)-2^{j+1} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r}}{8^{r}} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{j}}{8^{r j}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $m$ and $l$ with $m>l$ and all $x \in X$.
It follows from (3.22) that the sequence $\left\{2^{n} g\left(x / 2^{n}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since $Y$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{2^{n} g\left(x / 2^{n}\right)\right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n} g\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l=0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.22), we get (3.17).
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 3.4. Let $r<1 / 3$ and $\theta$ be positive real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (3.16). Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r} \theta}{2-8^{r}}\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. It follows from (3.20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-\frac{1}{2} g(2 x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r}}{2} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{l}} g\left(2^{l} x\right)-\frac{1}{2^{m}} g\left(2^{m} x\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1}\left\|\frac{1}{2^{j}} g\left(2^{j} x\right)-\frac{1}{2^{j+1}} g\left(2^{j+1} x\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r}}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{8^{r j}}{2^{j}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $m$ and $l$ with $m>l$ and all $x \in X$.
It follows from (3.26) that the sequence $\left\{\left(1 / 2^{n}\right) g\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since $Y$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{\left(1 / 2^{n}\right) g\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} g\left(2^{n} x\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l=0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.26), we get (3.24).
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 4. Stability of a functional inequality associated with a 3-variable <br> Cauchy additive functional equation

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a functional inequality associated with a Jordan-von Neumann-type 3-variable Cauchy additive functional equation.

Theorem 4.1. Let $r>1$ and $\theta$ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\|f(x+y+z)\|_{Y}+\theta\left(\|x\|_{X}^{r}+\|y\|_{X}^{r}+\|z\|_{X}^{r}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r}+2}{2^{r}-2} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Letting $y=x$ and $z=-2 x$ in (4.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(x)+f(-2 x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(2+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing $x$ by $-x$ in (4.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(-x)+f(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(2+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g(x):=(f(x)-f(-x)) / 2$. It follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 g(x)-g(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(2+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 4.2. Let $r<1$ and $\theta$ be positive real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (4.1). Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2+2^{r}}{2-2^{r}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. It follows from (4.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-\frac{1}{2} g(2 x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2+2^{r}}{2} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is the same as in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.
Theorem 4.3. Let $r>1 / 3$ and $\theta$ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\|f(x+y+z)\|_{Y}+\theta \cdot\|x\|_{X}^{r} \cdot\|y\|_{X}^{r} \cdot\|z\|_{X}^{r} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r} \theta}{8^{r}-2}\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Letting $y=x$ and $z=-2 x$ in (4.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(x)+f(-2 x)\|_{Y} \leq 2^{r} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing $x$ by $-x$ in (4.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(-x)+f(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq 2^{r} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g(x):=(f(x)-f(-x)) / 2$. It follows from (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 g(x)-g(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq 2^{r} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is the same as in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3.
Theorem 4.4. Let $r<1 / 3$ and $\theta$ be positive real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (4.8). Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r} \theta}{2-8^{r}}\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. It follows from (4.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-\frac{1}{2} g(2 x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r}}{2} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{3 r} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is the same as in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.4.

## 5. Stability of a functional inequality associated with the <br> Cauchy-Jensen functional equation

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a functional inequality associated with a Jordan-von Neumann-type Cauchy-Jensen functional equation.

Theorem 5.1. Letr $>1$ and $\theta$ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+2 f(z)\|_{Y} \leq\left\|2 f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)\right\|_{Y}+\theta\left(\|x\|_{X}^{r}+\|y\|_{X}^{r}+\|z\|_{X}^{r}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{2^{r}+1}{2^{r}-2} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Replacing $x$ by $2 x$ and letting $y=0$ and $z=-x$ in (5.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(2 x)+2 f(-x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(1+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing $x$ by $-x$ in (5.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(-2 x)+2 f(x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(1+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $g(x):=(f(x)-f(-x)) / 2$. It follows from (5.3) and (5.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 g(x)-g(2 x)\|_{Y} \leq\left(1+2^{r}\right) \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-2 g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1+2^{r}}{2^{r}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 5.2. Let $r<1$ and $\theta$ be positive real numbers, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (5.1). Then there exists a unique Cauchy additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1+2^{r}}{2-2^{r}} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (5.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-\frac{1}{2} g(2 x)\right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1+2^{r}}{2} \theta\|x\|_{X}^{r} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.
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