Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Inequalities and Applications Volume 2007, Article ID 71616, 8 pages doi:10.1155/2007/71616

Research Article

Some Subordination Results of Multivalent Functions Defined by Integral Operator

Öznur Özkan

Received 29 August 2006; Accepted 1 March 2007

Recommended by Andrei Ronto

The object of the present paper is to give some subordination properties of integral operator \mathcal{P}^{α} which was studied by Jung in 1993.

Copyright © 2007 Öznur Özkan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and definitions

Let $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$, let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ be the set of all functions analytic in \mathbb{U} , and let

$$\mathcal{A}_{p} = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U}) : f(z) = z^{p} + a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + \cdots \}$$
 (1.1)

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ with $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_1$.

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U}) : f(z) = p + b_p z^p + \cdots \}$$
 (1.2)

with $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_1$.

Given two functions f and g, which are analytic in \mathbb{U} , then we say that the function f is *subordinate* to g and write $f \prec g$ or (more precisely)

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$
 (1.3)

if there exists a Schwarz function w(z), analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1 (z \in \mathbb{U})$$
 (1.4)

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{1.5}$$

2 Journal of Inequalities and Applications

In particular, if g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we write the following equivalence:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0), \qquad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$
 (1.6)

For analytic functions f_i (i = 1,2) given by

$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (j = 1, 2),$$
 (1.7)

let $f_1 * f_2$ denote the *Hadamard* product (or convolution) of f_1 and f_2 , defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k =: (f_2 * f_1)(z).$$
(1.8)

Next, for real parameters A and B such that $-1 \le B < A \le 1$, we define the function

$$\varphi_{A,B}(z) := \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{1.9}$$

It is obvious that $\varphi_{A,B}(z)$ for $-1 \le B \le 1$ is the conformal map of the unit disk \mathbb{U} onto the disk symmetrical with respect to the real axis having the center

$$\frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \quad (B \neq \mp 1) \tag{1.10}$$

and the radius

$$\frac{A-B}{1-B^2}$$
 $(B \neq \mp 1)$. (1.11)

Furthermore, the boundary circle of this disk intersects the real axis at the points

$$\frac{1-A}{1-B}$$
, $\frac{1+A}{1+B}$ $(B \neq \mp 1)$. (1.12)

Let (a), denote the *Pochhammer* symbol (or the shifted factorial), since

$$(1)_n = n!$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$ (1.13)

defined (for $a, v \in \mathbb{C}$ and in terms of the Gamma function) by

$$(a)_{\nu} := \frac{\Gamma(a+\nu)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\nu=0, \ a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1) & (\nu=n \in \mathbb{N}; \ a \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$
(1.14)

Recently, the following integral operator was studied by Jung et al. [1] for p = 1 and Shams et al. [2]:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha} f = \mathcal{P}^{\alpha} f(z) := \frac{(p+1)^{\alpha}}{z \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{z} \left(\log \frac{z}{t} \right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt = z^{p} + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+1}{n+1} \right)^{\alpha} a_{n} z^{n}$$
 (1.15)

for $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and $\alpha > 0$. Moreover, Jung et al. [1] have shown that

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n+1}\right)^{\alpha} a_n z^n \tag{1.16}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Therefore, Shams et al. [2] showed the following equality:

$$z(\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z))' = (p+1)\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}f(z) - \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z)$$
(1.17)

using (1.15).

Let $\mathcal{P}(y)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions f with the following condition:

$$\Re \{f'(z)\} > \gamma \tag{1.18}$$

for $0 \le y < 1$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

2. Main results

In proving our main results, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1 [3, page 71]. Let h be analytic, univalent, convex in \mathbb{U} with h(0) = 1. Also let p be analytic in \mathbb{U} with p(0) = h(0). If

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \ \gamma \neq 0), \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$p(z) < q(z) < h(z), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$q(z) = \frac{\gamma}{z^{\gamma}} \int_0^z t^{\gamma - 1} h(t) dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \Re \mathfrak{e}(\gamma) \ge 0; \gamma \ne 0).$$
 (2.3)

LEMMA 2.2 [4]. If $f \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma)$ for $0 \le \gamma < 1$, then

$$\Re\{f(z)\} \ge 2\gamma - 1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+|z|} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
 (2.4)

Lemma 2.3 [3, page 132]. Let q be univalent in \mathbb{U} and let θ and ϕ be analytic in a domain \mathfrak{D} containing $q(\mathbb{U})$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(\mathbb{U})$. Set

$$Q(z) = zq'(z) \cdot \phi[q(z)], \qquad h(z) = \theta[q(z)] + Q(z), \tag{2.5}$$

and suppose that either

- (i) Q is starlike, or
- (ii) h is convex.

4 Journal of Inequalities and Applications

In addition, assume that

(iii)

$$\Re \operatorname{e} \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} = \Re \operatorname{e} \left[\frac{\theta' \left[q(z) \right]}{\phi \left[q(z) \right]} + \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} \right] > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

If P *is analytic in* \mathbb{U} , *with* P(0) = q(0), $P(\mathbb{U}) \subset \mathfrak{D}$, and

$$\theta[P(z)] + zP'(z) \cdot \phi[P[z]] < \theta[q(z)] + zq'(z) \cdot \phi[q[z]] = h(z), \tag{2.7}$$

then $P \prec q$, and q is the best dominant.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $\alpha > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, and $-1 \le B_j < A_j \le 1$ (j = 1, 2). If each of the functions $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ (j = 1, 2) satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1} f_j(z)}{z^p} + (1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha} f_j(z)}{z^p} \prec \varphi_{A_j,B_j}(z), \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$\lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}\Omega(z)}{z^p} + (1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}\Omega(z)}{z^p} \prec \varphi_{1-2\beta,-1}(z), \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\Omega(z) := \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(f_1 * f_2)(z), \tag{2.10}$$

$$\beta := 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left(1 - \frac{p+1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1}}{1 + u} du\right). \tag{2.11}$$

The result is sharp for $B_1 = B_2 = -1$.

Proof. We assume that each of the functions $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ (j = 1,2) satisfies the subordination condition (2.8). If we take

$$\varphi_j(z) := \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha - 1} f_j(z)}{z^p} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha} f_j(z)}{z^p}, \tag{2.12}$$

then we write

$$\varphi_i(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma_i) \tag{2.13}$$

for $\gamma_j = (1 - A_j)/(1 - B_j)$ and j = 1, 2. Using (1.17) and (2.12), we obtain the equality

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha} f_j(z) = \frac{p+1}{\lambda} z^{p-(p+1)/\lambda} \int_0^z t^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1} \varphi_j(t) dt$$
 (2.14)

for j = 1, 2. Then, from definition (2.10) we write

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}\Omega(z) = \frac{p+1}{\lambda} z^{p-(p+1)/\lambda} \int_0^z t^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1} \varphi_0(t) dt, \qquad (2.15)$$

where, for convenience,

$$\varphi_0(z) = \lambda \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}\Omega(z)}{z^p} + (1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}\Omega(z)}{z^p} = \frac{p+1}{\lambda} z^{-(p+1)/\lambda} \int_0^z t^{(p+1)/\lambda-1} (\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(t) dt.$$
(2.16)

Since $\varphi_1(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma_1)$ and

$$\varphi_3(z) := \frac{\varphi_2(z) - \gamma_2}{2(1 - \gamma_2)} + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),\tag{2.17}$$

we see that $(\varphi_1 * \varphi_3)(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma_1)$ by applying the well-known Herglotz formula. Thus,

$$(h_1 * h_2)(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma_3)$$
 for $\gamma_3 := 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2)$. (2.18)

If we change variable t = uz and take real part in (2.16), then we obtain the following inequality:

$$\Re\{h_0(z)\} = \frac{p+1}{\lambda} \int_0^z u^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1} \Re\{(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(uz)\} du. \tag{2.19}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 in last equality, we obtain

$$\Re \{\varphi_0(z)\} \ge \frac{p+1}{\lambda} \int_0^z u^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1} \left[2\gamma_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma_3)}{1+u|z|} \right] du$$

$$> \frac{p+1}{\lambda} \int_0^z u^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1} \left[2\gamma_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma_3)}{1+u} \right] du$$

$$= 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left(1 - \frac{p+1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1}}{1+u} du \right) = \beta.$$
(2.20)

Thus, we have obtained (2.11).

Now, we must prove that this result is sharp when $B_1 = B_2 = -1$. Let $B_1 = B_2 = -1$. From (2.14), we can write

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha} f_{j}(z) = \frac{p+1}{\lambda} z^{p-(p+1)/\lambda} \int_{0}^{z} t^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1} \frac{1 + A_{j}t}{1 - t} dt$$
 (2.21)

for j = 1, 2. Since

$$\left(\frac{1+A_1z}{1-z}\right) * \left(\frac{1+A_2z}{1-z}\right) = 1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) + \frac{(1+A_1)(1+A_2)}{1-z}$$
 (2.22)

and with change of variable t = uz in (2.16),

$$\varphi_0(z) = \frac{p+1}{\lambda} z^{-(p+1)/\lambda} \int_0^z t^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1} \left[1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) + \frac{(1+A_1)(1+A_2)}{1 - uz} \right] du.$$
(2.23)

If we choose z on the real axis and let $z \rightarrow -1$, we obtain

$$h_0(z) \longrightarrow 1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2)\left(1 - \frac{p+1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{(p+1)/\lambda - 1}}{1+u} du\right).$$
 (2.24)

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.5. Let $\alpha > 0$ and the function $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ (j = 1, 2). If the condition

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}f_j(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{2.25}$$

is satisfied, then

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha - 1}\Omega(z)}{z} < \frac{1 + (16\ln 2 - 9)z}{1 - z},\tag{2.26}$$

where

$$\Omega := \mathcal{P}^{\alpha}(f_1 * f_2)(z), \qquad \beta = 5 - 8 \ln 2.$$
(2.27)

Proof. By putting p = 1, $\lambda = 1$, A = 1, B = -1 in Theorem 2.4, we obtain Corollary 2.5.

Theorem 2.6. Let $0 \le \rho < 1$. For $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$, if

$$\Re\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z)}\right) > \rho,\tag{2.28}$$

then

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\gamma} \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2\gamma(1-\rho^{2})}} = q(z), \tag{2.29}$$

where q(z) is the best dominant.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ and

$$p(z) := \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\gamma}.$$
 (2.30)

Taking logarithmic derivative and multiplying by z, we find that

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = (p+1)\gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z)} - 1\right),\tag{2.31}$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{(p+1)\gamma} \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z)}.$$
 (2.32)

Therefore, from (2.28) we write

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z)} < \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)z}{1 - z}.\tag{2.33}$$

Thus, using (2.33) in (2.32), we obtain

$$1 + \frac{1}{(p+1)\gamma} \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} < \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)z}{1 - z}.$$
 (2.34)

Now, define the functions θ and ϕ by

$$\theta(w) := 1, \qquad \phi(w) := \frac{1}{(p+1)\gamma w}, \qquad \mathfrak{D} = \{w : w \neq 0\}$$
 (2.35)

in Lemma 2.3. If we take

$$q(z) := \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2\gamma(1-\rho^2)}},\tag{2.36}$$

then q satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.3. Thus, the following functions:

$$Q(z) = zq'(z) \cdot \phi[q(z)] = \frac{1}{(p+1)\gamma} \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{2(1-\rho)z}{1-z},$$

$$h(z) = \theta[q(z)] + Q(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{(p+1)\gamma} \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{1 + (1-2\rho)z}{1-z}.$$
(2.37)

Since h is convex, preconditions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied. Consequently, from Lemma 2.3 we write p < q, and q is the best dominant.

Corollary 2.7. Let $0 \le \rho < 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies (2.28), then

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha-1}f_j(z)}{z^p}\right\}^{\gamma/2\left(1-\rho^2\right)} > 2^{-1/\gamma} \tag{2.38}$$

and $2^{-1/\gamma}$ is the best possible.

Proof. From (2.29), there exists a Schwarz function w(z) analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1 (z \in \mathbb{U})$$
 (2.39)

such that

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - w(z)\right)^{2\gamma\left(1 - \rho^{2}\right)}},\tag{2.40}$$

that is,

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}^{\alpha}f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\gamma/2(1-\rho^{2})} = \left(1 - w(z)\right)^{-\gamma}.$$
(2.41)

In the last equality, if we take a real part and use the following inequality:

$$\Re \epsilon(w^{1/m}) \ge \left[\Re \epsilon(w)\right]^{1/m} \quad (\Re \epsilon(w) > 0),$$
 (2.42)

then
$$(2.38)$$
 is obtained.

8 Journal of Inequalities and Applications

Acknowledgment

The present investigation was supported by Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey.

References

- [1] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, and H. M. Srivastava, "The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 176, no. 1, pp. 138–147, 1993.
- [2] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni, and J. M. Jahangiri, "Subordination properties of *p*-valent functions defined by integral operators," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2006, Article ID 94572, 3 pages, 2006.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications, vol. 225 of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2000.
- [4] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, "Certain properties of the Dziok-Srivastava operator," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 159, no. 2, pp. 485–493, 2004.

Öznur Özkan: Department of Statistics and Computer Sciences, Başkent University, Baglıca, 06530 Ankara, Turkey

Email address: oznur@baskent.edu.tr