Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Inequalities and Applications Volume 2007, Article ID 81028, 8 pages doi:10.1155/2007/81028

Research Article

Some Geometric Properties of Sequence Spaces Involving Lacunary Sequence

Vatan Karakaya

Received 27 August 2007; Accepted 30 October 2007

Recommended by Narendra Kumar K. Govil

We introduce new sequence space involving lacunary sequence connected with Cesaro sequence space and examine some geometric properties of this space equipped with Luxemburg norm.

Copyright © 2007 Vatan Karakaya. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ be a real Banach space and let B(X) (resp., S(X)) be the closed unit ball (resp., the unit sphere) of X. A point $x \in S(X)$ is an H-point of B(X) if for any sequence (x_n) in X such that $\|x_n\| \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, weak convergence of (x_n) to x (write $x_n \overset{w}{\to} x$) implies that $\|x_n - x\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. If every point in S(X) is an H-point of B(X), then X is said to have the property (H). A point $x \in S(X)$ is an extreme point of B(X) if for any $y, z \in S(X)$ the equality x = (y + z)/2 implies y = z. A point $x \in S(X)$ is a locally uniformly rotund point of B(X) (LUR-point) if for any sequence (x_n) in B(X) such that $\|x_n + x\| \to 2$ as $n \to \infty$, there holds $\|x_n - x\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. A Banach space X is said to be rotund (R) if every point of S(X) is an extreme point of B(X). If every point of S(X) is an LUR-point of S(X), then X is said to be locally uniformly rotund S(X). If S(X) is an S(X) is an extreme point of S(X). If S(X) is an extreme point of S(X) is an extreme point of S(X) is an extreme point of S(X). If every point of S(X) is an S(X) is an extreme point of S(X) is an extreme point of S(X). If every point of S(X) is an extreme point of S(X) is an extreme point of S(X). If S(X) is an extreme point of S(X). If every point of S(X) is an extreme point of S(X) is an extreme

By a lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$ where $k_0 = 0$, we will mean an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers with $k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$. We write $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$. The ratio k_r/k_{r-1} will be denoted by q_r . The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences N_θ was defined by Freedman et al. [12] as

$$N_{\theta} = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - \ell| = 0, \text{ for some } \ell \right\}.$$
 (1.1)

It is well known that there exists very close connection between the space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences and the space of strongly Cesaro summability sequences. One can find this connection in [11–16]. Because of these connections, a lot of geometric property of Cesaro sequence spaces can generalize the lacunary sequence spaces.

Let w be the space of all real sequences. Let $p = (p_r)$ be a bounded sequence of the positive real numbers. We introduce the new sequence space $l(p,\theta)$ involving lacunary sequence as follows:

$$l(p,\theta) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k| \right)^{p_r} < \infty \right\}.$$
 (1.2)

Paranorm on $l(p, \theta)$ is given by

$$||x||_{l(p,\theta)} = \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k|\right)^{p_r}\right)^{1/H},\tag{1.3}$$

where $H = \sup_r p_r$. If $p_r = p$ for all r, we will use the notation $l_p(\theta)$ in place of $l(p,\theta)$. The norm on $l_p(\theta)$ is given by

$$||x||_{l_p(\theta)} = \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k|\right)^p\right)^{1/p}.$$
 (1.4)

It is easy to see that the space $l(p,\theta)$ with (1.3) is a complete paranormed space.

By using the properties of lacunary sequence in the space $l(p,\theta)$, we get the following sequences. If $\theta = (2^r)$, then $l(p,\theta) = \cos(p)$. If $\theta = (2^r)$ and $p_r = p$ for all r, then $l(p,\theta) = \cos_p$.

For $x \in l(p, \theta)$, let

$$\sigma(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k| \right)^{p_r}$$

$$\tag{1.5}$$

and define the Luxemburg norm on $l(p, \theta)$ by

$$||x|| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sigma\left(\frac{x}{\rho}\right) \le 1 \right\}.$$
 (1.6)

The Luxemburg norm on $l_p(\theta)$ can be reduced to a usual norm on $l_p(\theta)$, that is, $||x||_{l_p(\theta)} = ||x||$. To do this, we have

$$||x|| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sigma\left(\frac{x}{\rho}\right) \le 1 \right\}$$

$$= \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{x_k}{\rho} \right| \right)^p \le 1 \right\}$$

$$= \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k| \right)^p \le \rho^p \right\}$$

$$= \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k| \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \le \rho \right\}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k| \right)^p \right)^{1/p} = ||x||_{I_p(\theta)}.$$

$$(1.7)$$

The main purpose of this work is to show that the space $l(p,\theta)$ equipped with Luxemburg norm is a modular space and to investigate the geometric structure of this space.

2. Main results

In this section, first we give some theorems which show the connection between $l(p,\theta)$ and ces(p).

Theorem 2.1. *If* $\lim\inf q_r > 1$, then $\cos(p) \subset l(p,\theta)$.

Proof. If $\liminf q_r > 1$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r > 1 + \delta$ for all $r \ge 2$. Then for $x \in \text{ces}(p)$, we have

$$\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |x_i| - \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} \\
\leq C \left[\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} \right] \\
= C \left[\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_r}{h_r} \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} \right], \tag{2.1}$$

where $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$. Since $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$, we have

$$\frac{k_r}{h_r} < \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}, \qquad \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} < \frac{1}{\delta}. \tag{2.2}$$

By using (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} \le C \left[\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} \right]. \tag{2.3}$$

4 Journal of Inequalities and Applications

Since $x \in ces(p)$, we get that

$$\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} < \infty,$$

$$\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |x_i| \right)^{p_r} < \infty.$$
(2.4)

П

So we obtain that $x \in l(p, \theta)$.

Theorem 2.2. If $1 < \limsup q_r < \infty$, then $l(p, \theta) \subset \cos(p)$.

Proof. We suppose that $1 < \limsup q_r < \infty$, then there exists positive number K such that $1 < q_r < K$ for all $r \ge 2$. Then if m is any integer with $k_{r-1} < m \le k_r$ and $x \in l(p, \theta)$, we can write

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}|x_{i}|\right)^{p_{r}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1}}\sum_{i=1}^{k_{r}}|x_{i}|\right)^{p_{r}},$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}|x_{i}|\right)^{p_{m}} \leq C\left[\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1}}\sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}}|x_{i}|\right)^{p_{r}} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1}}\sum_{i\in I_{r}}|x_{i}|\right)^{p_{r}}\right]$$

$$\leq C\left[\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1}}\sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}}|x_{i}|\right)^{p_{r}} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{h_{r}}{k_{r-1}}\frac{1}{h_{r}}\sum_{i\in I_{r}}|x_{i}|\right)^{p_{r}}\right].$$
(2.5)

Since
$$h_r/k_{r-1} = (k_r - k_{r-1})/k_{r-1} = q_r - 1 < K - 1$$
, we get $l(p, \theta) \subset ces(p)$.

Now we give some lemmas about convex modular on $l(p,\theta)$

LEMMA 2.3. The functional σ is a convex modular on $l(p,\theta)$

Proof. It is clear that $\sigma(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ and $\sigma(\alpha x) = \sigma(x)$ for all scalars α with $|\alpha| = 1$. Let $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\beta \ge 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. By the convexity of $|t| \to |t|^{p_r}$ for every $r \in N$, we have

$$\sigma(\alpha x + \beta y) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |\alpha x(i) + \beta y(i)| \right)^{p_r}$$

$$\leq \alpha \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x(i)| \right)^{p_r} + \beta \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |y(i)| \right)^{p_r}$$

$$= \alpha \sigma(x) + \beta \sigma(y).$$

$$(2.6)$$

Lemma 2.4. For $x \in l(p,\theta)$, the modular σ on $l(p,\theta)$ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) if 0 < a < 1, then $a^H \sigma(x/a) \le \sigma(x)$ and $\sigma(ax) \le a\sigma(x)$;
- (ii) if a > 1, then $\sigma(x) \le a^H \sigma(x/a)$;
- (iii) if $a \ge 1$, then $\sigma(x) \le a\sigma(x/a) \le \sigma(ax)$.

П

Proof. (i) Let 0 < a < 1. Then we have

$$\sigma(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x(i)| \right)^{p_r} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} a \sum_{i \in I_r} \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{p_r}$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a^{p_r} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{p_r} \ge a^H \sigma\left(\frac{x}{a}\right).$$

$$(2.7)$$

The property $\sigma(ax) \leq a\sigma(x)$ follows from the convexity of σ

(ii) Let a > 1. Then we have

$$\sigma(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x(i)| \right)^{p_r} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} a \sum_{i \in I_r} \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{p_r}$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a^{p_r} \left(\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} \left| \frac{x(i)}{a} \right| \right)^{p_r} \le a^H \sigma\left(\frac{x}{a}\right).$$

$$(2.8)$$

(iii) follows from the convexity of σ .

By the following lemma, we give some connections between the modular σ and the Luxemburg norm on $l(p,\theta)$.

LEMMA 2.5. For any $x \in l(p, \theta)$,

- (i) if ||x|| < 1, then $\sigma(x) \le ||x||$;
- (ii) if ||x|| > 1, then $\sigma(x) \ge ||x||$;
- (iii) ||x|| = 1 if and only if $\sigma(x) = 1$;
- (iv) ||x|| < 1 if and only if $\sigma(x) < 1$;
- (v) ||x|| > 1 if and only if $\sigma(x) > 1$.

Proof. (i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - ||x||$. Then we obtain that $\varepsilon + ||x|| < 1$. By definition of norm, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\varepsilon + ||x|| > \rho$ and $\sigma(x/\rho) \le 1$. By (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\sigma(x) \le \sigma\left(\frac{\left(\varepsilon + \|x\|\right)x}{\rho}\right) = \sigma\left(\left(\varepsilon + \|x\|\right)\frac{x}{\rho}\right)$$

$$\le \left(\varepsilon + \|x\|\right)\sigma\left(\frac{x}{\rho}\right) \le \varepsilon + \|x\|.$$
(2.9)

Hence, we obtain that $\sigma(x) \le ||x||$, and (i) is satisfied.

(ii) If ||x|| > 1, then 0 > 1 - ||x|| and 0 > (1 - ||x||)/||x||. Hence, we get that (||x|| - 1)/||x|| > 0. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $0 < \varepsilon < (||x|| - 1)/||x||$. Since (||x|| - 1)/||x|| > 0 and $||x||(\varepsilon - 1) < -1$, we can write $-1/(||x||(\varepsilon - 1)) < 1 < 1/(||x||(\varepsilon - 1))$. By definition of ||.|| and Lemma 2.4(i), we have

$$1 < \sigma\left(\frac{x}{(1-\varepsilon)\|x\|}\right) \le \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)\|x\|}\sigma(x). \tag{2.10}$$

So $(1-\varepsilon)\|x\| \le \sigma(x)$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0,(\|x\|-1)/\|x\|)$, which implies that $\|x\| \le \sigma(x)$.

(iii) Assume that ||x|| = 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $1 + \varepsilon > \rho > ||x||$ and $\sigma(x/\rho) \le 1$. By Lemma 2.4(i), we have $\sigma(x) \le \rho^H \sigma(x/\rho) \le \sigma(x/\rho) \le \rho^H < (1 + \varepsilon)^H$, so $(\sigma(x))^{1/H} \le 1 + \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ which implies that $\sigma(x) \le 1$. If $\sigma(x) < 1$, let $a \in (0,1)$ such that $\sigma(x) < a^H < 1$. From Lemma 2.4(i), we have $\sigma(x/a) \le (1/a^H)\sigma(x) \le 1$, hence $||x|| \le a < 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we have $\sigma(x) = 1$.

Conversely, assume that $\sigma(x) = 1$, by the definition of $\|.\|$ we get that $\|x\| \le 1$. If $\|x\| \le 1$, then by (i), we have that $\sigma(x) < \|x\|$, which contradicts to our assumption, so we obtain that $\|x\| = 1$.

- (iv) follows from (i) and (iii).
- (v) follows from (iii) and (iv).

LEMMA 2.6. For $x \in l(p, \theta)$,

- (i) if 0 < a < 1 and ||x|| > a, then $\sigma(x) > a^H$;
- (ii) if $a \ge 1$ and ||x|| < a, then $\sigma(x) < a^H$.

Proof. (i) We suppose that 0 < a < 1 and ||x|| > a. Then ||x/a|| > 1. By Lemma 2.5(ii), we have $\sigma(x/a) > ||x/a|| > 1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4(i), we get that $\sigma(x/a) \ge a^H \sigma(x/a) > a^H$.

(ii) We suppose that a > 1 and ||x|| < a. Then ||x/a|| < 1. By Lemma 2.5(i), $\sigma(x/a) < ||x/a|| < 1$. If a = 1, we have $\sigma(x) < 1$, by Lemma 2.4(ii), we obtain that $\sigma(x) < a^H \sigma(x/a) < a^H$.

LEMMA 2.7. Let (x_n) be a sequence in $l(p,\theta)$,

- (i) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n|| = 1$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma(x_n) = 1$;
- (ii) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma(x_n) = 0$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n|| = 0$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\| = 1$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Then there exists n_0 such that $1-\varepsilon < \|x_n\| < 1+\varepsilon$ for all $n \ge n_0$. By Lemma 2.6, $(1-\varepsilon)^H < \|x_n\| < (1+\varepsilon)^H$ for all $n \ge n_0$, which implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma(x_n) = 1$.

(ii) Suppose that $||x_n|| \to 0$. Then there is an $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) such that $||x_{n_k}|| > \varepsilon$ for all $k \in N$. By Lemma 2.6(i), we obtain that $\sigma(x_{n_k}) > \varepsilon^H$ for all $k \in N$. This implies $\sigma(x_{n_k}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

LEMMA 2.8. Let (x_n) be a sequence in $l(p,\theta)$. If $\sigma(x_n) \to \sigma(x)$ as $n \to \infty$ and $x_n(i) \to x(i)$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $i \in N$, then $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We put that

$$\sigma_{0}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{r_{0}} \left(\frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} |x(i)| \right)^{p_{r}},$$

$$\sigma_{1}(x) = \sum_{r=r_{0}+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{h_{r}} \sum_{i \in I_{r}} |x(i)| \right)^{p_{r}}.$$
(2.11)

Since $\sigma(x) < \infty$, there exists $r_0 \in N$ such that

$$\sigma_1(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^{H+1}}.\tag{2.12}$$

Again, since $\sigma(x_n) - \sigma_0(x_n) \rightarrow \sigma(x) - \sigma_0(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that

$$\sigma_1(x_n) = \sigma(x_n) - \sigma_0(x_n) \le \sigma(x) - \sigma_0(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^{H+1}}.$$
 (2.13)

Also since $x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in N$, we can take

$$\sigma_0(x_n - x) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \tag{2.14}$$

for all $n \ge n_0$. It follows from (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14) for all $n \ge n_0$,

$$\sigma(x_{n}-x) = \sigma_{0}(x_{n}-x) + \sigma_{1}(x_{n}-x) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2^{H}(\sigma_{1}(x_{n}) + \sigma_{1}(x))$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2^{H}(\sigma(x) - \sigma_{0}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^{H}} + \sigma_{1}(x))$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2^{H}(2\sigma_{1}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^{H}})$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2^{H}(\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{2}{2^{H+1}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{1}{2^{H}}) = \varepsilon.$$

$$(2.15)$$

This show that $\sigma(x_n - x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence, by Lemma 2.7(ii), we get that $||x_n - x|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

THEOREM 2.9. The space $l(p,\theta)$ has the property (H).

Proof. Let $x \in S(l(p,\theta))$ and $x_n(i) \subseteq l(p,\theta)$ such that $||x_n(i)|| \to 1$ and $x_n(i) \stackrel{w}{\to} x(i)$ as $n \to \infty$. From Lemma 2.5(iii), we get $\sigma(x) = 1$. So from Lemma 2.6(i), it follows that $\sigma(x_n) \rightarrow \sigma(x)$ as $n \to \infty$. Since mapping $\pi_i : l(p,\theta) \to R$ defined by $\pi_i(y_i) = y(i)$ is a continuous linear functional on $l(p,\theta)$. It follows that $x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i \in N$.

COROLLARY 2.10. For $1 \le p < \infty$, the space $l_p(\theta)$ with respect to Luxemburg norm has Hproperty.

Proof. The proof is obtained directly form Theorem 2.9.

Remark 2.11. For a bounded sequence of positive real numbers $p = (p_r)$ with $p_r \ge 1$ for all $r \in N$, the space $l(p,\theta)$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm is not rotund, so it is not LUR. In [9], it is shown that the space ces(p) equipped with the Luxemburg norm is not rotund nor LUR. Since $ces(p) \subset l(p,\theta)$ from Theorem 2.1, we obtain that the space $l(p,\theta)$ has neither R-property nor LUR property. Furthermore, if we take lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r) = \{2^{r-1}, r \text{ even}; 2^r, r \text{ odd}\}\ \text{and } x = \{0,0,0,0,0,2,3,0,0,0,\ldots\},\$ $y = \{1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots\}$, we get that the space $l(p, \theta)$ is not rotund.

Indeed, we take $x, y \in S(l(p, \theta))$ such that $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) = 1$. Since $\sigma((x + y)/2) \neq 1$, we have $\|(x+y)/2\| \neq 1$ by Lemma 2.5(iii). This shows that $l(p,\theta)$ is not rotund, so it is not LUR.

References

- [1] B.-L. Lin, P.-K Lin, and S. L. Troyanski, "Some geometric and topological properties of the unit sphere in Banach spaces," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 274, no. 4, pp. 613–616, 1986.
- [2] S. T. Chen, "Geometry of Orlicz spaces," Dissertationes Mathematicae, vol. 356, pp. 204, 1996.
- [3] Y. A. Cui and H. Hudzik, "On the Banach-Saks and weak Banach-Saks properties of some Banach sequence spaces," *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, vol. 65, no. 1-2, pp. 179–187, 1999.
- [4] Y. Cui, H. Hudzik, M. Nowak, and R. Płuciennik, "Some geometric properties in Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with Orlicz norm," *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 91–113, 1999.
- [5] J. Diestel, Geometry of Banach Spaces-Selected Topics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1984.
- [6] M. A. Japón, "Some geometric properties in modular spaces and application to fixed point theory," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 295, no. 2, pp. 576–594, 2004.
- [7] J. Musielak, Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces, vol. 1034 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1983.
- [8] N. Petrot and S. Suantai, "On uniform Kadec-Klee properties and rotundity in generalized Cesàro sequence spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2004, no. 1–4, pp. 91–97, 2004.
- [9] W. Sanhan and S. Suantai, "Some geometric properties of Cesaro sequence space," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 191–197, 2003.
- [10] W. Sanhan, A. Kananthai, M. Musarleen, and S. Suantai, "On property (H) and rotundity of difference sequence spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 401–409, 2002.
- [11] G. Das and B. K. Patel, "Lacunary distribution of sequences," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 64–74, 1989.
- [12] A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember, and M. Raphael, "Some Cesàro-type summability spaces," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 508–520, 1978.
- [13] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, "Lacunary statistical summability," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 497–504, 1993.
- [14] V. Karakaya, "On lacunary σ-statistical convergence," *Information Sciences*, vol. 166, no. 1–4, pp. 271–280, 2004.
- [15] M. Mursaleen and T. A. Chishti, "Some spaces of lacunary sequences defined by the modulus," *Journal of Analysis*, vol. 4, pp. 153–159, 1996.
- [16] S. Pehlivan and B. Fisher, "Lacunary strong convergence with respect to a sequence of modulus functions," Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 69–76, 1995

Vatan Karakaya: Department of Mathematics, Education Faculty, Adıyaman University,

3002 Adıyaman, Turkey

Email addresses: vkkaya@yahoo.com; vkarakaya@adiyaman.edu.tr