J. of Inequal. & Appl., 2000, Vol. 5, pp. 381-395 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

Perturbed Iterative Algorithms with Errors for Completely Generalized Strongly Nonlinear Implicit Quasivariational Inclusions

S.H. SHIM^a, S.M. KANG^a, N.J. HUANG^b and Y.J. CHO^{a,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, South Korea; ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, P.R. China

(Received 4 May 1999; Revised 27 July 1999)

In this paper, we introduce a new class of completely generalized strongly nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusions and prove its equivalence with a class of fixed point problems by using some properties of maximal monotone mappings. We also prove the existence of solutions for the completely generalized strongly nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusions and the convergence of iterative sequences generated by the perturbed algorithms with errors.

Keywords: Completely generalized strongly nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusion; Mann type iterative sequence with errors; Ishikawa type iterative sequence with errors

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49J40, 47H06

1 INTRODUCTION

It is well known that variational inequality theory and complementarity problem theory are very powerful tool of the current mathematical technology. In recent years, classical variational inequality and complementarity problem have been extended and generalized to study a

^{*} Corresponding author.

wide class of problems arising in mechanics, physics, optimization and control, nonlinear programming, economics and transportation equilibrium and engineering sciences, etc. Various quasi-(implicit)variational inequalities, generalized quasi-(implicit)variational inequalities, quasi-(implicit)complementarity problem and generalized quasi-(implicit)-complementarity problem are very important generalizations of these classical problems. For details we refer to [1,3–18,20–29] and the references therein.

Recently, Huang [15,16] introduced and studied the Mann and Ishikawa type perturbed iterative sequences with errors for the generalized implicit quasivariational inequalities and inclusions. Inspired and motivated by recent research works in this field, in this paper, we introduce a new class of completely generalized strongly nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusions and prove its equivalence with a class of fixed point problems by using some properties of maximal monotone mappings. We also show the existence of solutions for this completely generalized strongly nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusions and the convergence of iterative sequences generated by the perturbed algorithms with errors.

2 PRELIMINARIES

Let *H* be a real Hilbert space endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $f, p, g, m: H \to H$ and $N: H \times H \to H$ be single-valued mappings. Suppose that $M: H \times H \to 2^H$ is a set-valued mapping such that, for each fixed $y \in H, M(\cdot, y): H \to 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping and Range $(g - m) \cap \text{dom}(M(\cdot, y)) \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in H$. We consider the following problem:

Find $u \in H$ such that

$$(g-m)(u) \cap \operatorname{dom}(M(\cdot, u)) \neq \emptyset, 0 \in N(f(u), p(u)) + M((g-m)(u), u),$$

$$(2.1)$$

where g - m is defined as

$$(g-m)(u) = g(u) - m(u)$$

for each $u \in H$. The problem (2.1) is called the *completely generalized* strongly nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusion.

Now, we give some special cases of the problem (2.1) as follows:

(I) If $M(\cdot, y) = \partial \varphi(\cdot, y)$ for each $y \in H$, where $\varphi: H \times H \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that for each fixed $y \in H$, $\varphi(\cdot, y): H \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a proper convex lower semicontinuous function on H and Range(g-m) \cap dom $(\partial \varphi(\cdot, y)) \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in H$ and $\partial \varphi(\cdot, y)$ denotes the subdifferential of function $\varphi(\cdot, y)$, then the problem (2.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H$ such that

$$(g-m)(u) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\partial \varphi(\cdot, u)) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\langle N(f(u), p(u)), v - (g-m)(u) \rangle \ge \varphi((g-m)(u), u) - \varphi(v, u)$$
(2.2)

for all $v \in H$, which is called the generalized strongly nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusion.

(II) If N(u, v) = u - v for all $u, v \in H$, then the problem (2.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H$ such that

$$(g-m)(u) \cap \operatorname{dom}(M(\cdot, u)) \neq \emptyset, 0 \in f(u) - p(u) + M((g-m)(u), u),$$
(2.3)

which is called the generalized nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusion, which was considered by Huang [16].

(III) If N(u, v) = u - v for all $u, v \in H$, m = 0 and M(x, v) = M(x) for all $y \in H$, where $M: H \to 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping, then the problem (2.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H$ such that

$$g(u) \cap \operatorname{dom}(M(u)) \neq \emptyset, 0 \in f(u) - p(u) + M(g(u)),$$
(2.4)

which was studied by Adly [1].

(IV) If N(u, v) = u - v for all $u, v \in H$ and $M(\cdot, y) = \partial \varphi(\cdot, y)$ for each $y \in H$, where $\varphi: H \times H \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that, for each fixed $y \in H$, $\varphi(\cdot, y): H \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a proper convex lower semicontinuous function on H and Range $(g-m) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\partial \varphi(\cdot, y)) \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in H$ and $\partial \varphi(\cdot, y)$ denotes the subdifferential of function $\varphi(\cdot, y)$, then the problem (2.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H$ such that

$$(g-m)(u) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\partial \varphi(\cdot, u)) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\langle f(u) - p(u), v - (g-m)(u) \rangle \ge \varphi((g-m)(u), u) - \varphi(v, u)$$
(2.5)

for all $v \in H$, which is called the *generalized quasivariational inclusion*, which was considered by Ding [10].

(V) If N(u, v) = u - v for all $u, v \in H$ and $M(\cdot, y) = \partial \varphi$ for all $y \in H$, where $\partial \varphi$ denotes the subdifferential of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function $\varphi: H \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$, then the problem (2.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H$ such that

$$(g-m)(u) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\partial \varphi) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\langle f(u) - p(u), v - (g-m)(u) \rangle \ge \varphi((g-m)(u)) - \varphi(v)$$
(2.6)

for all $v \in H$, which was studied by Kazmi [18].

(VI) If $K: H \to 2^H$ is a set-valued mapping such that, for each $x \in H$, K(x) is a closed convex subset of H and, for each fixed $y \in H$, $M(\cdot, y) = \partial \varphi(\cdot, y), \varphi(\cdot, y) = I_{K(y)}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function of K(y) defined by

$$I_{K(y)}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in K(y), \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin K(y), \end{cases}$$

then the problem (2.1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H$ such that

$$g(u) - m(u) \in K(u),$$

$$\langle N(f(u), p(u)), v - (g - m)(u) \rangle \ge 0,$$

(2.7)

for all $v \in K(u)$.

It is clear that the completely generalized strongly nonlinear implicit quasivariational inclusion problem (2.1) includes many kinds of variational inequalities, quasivariational inequalities, complementarity problems and quasi-(implicit)complementarity problems of [1,6,13-16,25-27] as special cases.

3 PERTURBED ITERATIVE ALGORITHMS

LEMMA 3.1 $u \in H$ is a solution of the problem (2.1) if and only if there exists $u \in H$ such that

$$u = F(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u - (g - m)(u) + J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot, u)}((g - m)(u) - \alpha N(f(u), p(u))),$$
(3.1)

where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant and $J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}(I + \alpha M(\cdot,u))^{-1}$ is the so-called proximal mapping on H.

Proof Let $u \in H$ be a solution of the problem (3.1). From the definition of the proximal mapping $J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}$, it follows that

$$(g-m)(u) - \alpha N(f(u), p(u)) \in (g-m)(u) + \alpha M((g-m)(u), u)$$

and so

$$N(p(u), f(u)) \in M((g-m)(u), u).$$

Thus $u \in H$ is a solution of the problem (2.1).

Conversely, if $u \in H$ is a solution of (2.1), we have $u \in H$ such that

$$(g-m)(u)\cap \operatorname{dom}(M(\cdot,u))\neq \emptyset$$

and

$$0 \in N(f(u), p(u)) + M((g-m)(u), u)$$

Hence we have

$$(g-m)(u) - \alpha N(f(u), p(u)) \in (g-m)(u) + \alpha M((g-m)(u), u).$$

From the definition of the proximal mapping $J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}$, we know that $u \in H$ is a solution of the problem (3.1). This completes the proof.

Based on Lemma 3.1, we now suggest and analyze the following new general and unified algorithms for the problem (2.1).

(A) Mann Type Perturbed Iterative Algorithm with Errors (MTPIAE)

Let $f, p, g, m: H \to H$ and $N: H \times H \to H$ be mappings. Given $u_0 \in H$, the iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ are defined by

$$u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)u_n + \alpha_n [u_n - (g - m)(u_n) + J_{\alpha}^{M^n(\cdot, u_n)}((g - m)(u_n) - \alpha N(f(u_n), p(u_n)))] + \gamma_n e_n$$
(3.2)

for n=0, 1, 2, ..., where $M^n: H \times H \to 2^H$ is a set-valued mapping such that, for each $y \in H$, $M^n(\cdot, y): H \to 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping for $n=0, 1, 2, ..., \alpha > 0$ is a constant, $\{e_n\}$ is a bounded sequence of the element of H introduced to take into account possible inexact computation and the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ in [0, 1] satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\alpha_n + \gamma_n \leq 1$ for n = 0, 1, 2, ...,
- (2) $\alpha_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$,
- (3) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty.$

(B) Ishikawa Type Perturbed Iterative Algorithm with Errors (ITPIAE)

Let $f, p, g, m: H \to H$ and $N: H \times H \to H$ be mappings. Given $u_0 \in H$, the iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ are defined by

$$u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)u_n + \alpha_n [v_n - (g - m)(v_n) + J_{\alpha}^{M^n(\cdot,v_n)}((g - m)(v_n) - \alpha N(f(v_n), p(v_n)))] + \gamma_n e_n, v_n = (1 - \beta_n - \delta_n)u_n + \beta_n [u_n - (g - m)(u_n) + J_{\alpha}^{M^n(\cdot,u_n)}((g - m)(u_n) - \alpha N(f(u_n), p(u_n)))] + \delta_n f_n$$
(3.3)

for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., where $M^n : H \times H \to 2^H$ is a set-valued mapping such that, for each $y \in H$, $M^n(\cdot, y) : H \to 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping for $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \alpha > 0$ is a constant, $\{e_n\}, \{f_n\}$ are two bounded sequences in H introduced to take into account possible in exact computation and the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}$ in [0, 1] satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\alpha_n + \gamma_n \leq 1, \beta_n + \delta_n \leq 1$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,
- (2) $\alpha_n \to 0, \, \delta_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$
- (3) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty.$

(C) Mann Type Perturbed Iterative Algorithm (MTPIA)

Let $f, p, g, m: H \to H$ and $N: H \times H \to H$ be mappings. Given $u_0 \in H$, the iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ are defined by

$$u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n [u_n - (g - m)(u_n) + J_{\alpha}^{M^n(\cdot, u_n)}((g - m)(u_n) - \alpha N(f(u_n), p(u_n)))]$$
(3.4)

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $M^n: H \times H \to 2^H$ is a set-valued mapping such that, for each $y \in H$, $M^n(\cdot, y): H \to 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping for $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \alpha > 0$ is a constant, the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in [0, 1]satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$, (2) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$.

(D) Ishikawa Type Perturbed Iterative Algorithm (ITPIA)

Let $f, p, g, m: H \to H$ and $N: H \times H \to H$ be mappings. Given $u_0 \in H$, the iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ are defined by

$$u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n [v_n - (g - m)(v_n) + J_{\alpha}^{M^n(\cdot,v_n)}((g - m)(v_n) - \alpha N(f(v_n), p(v_n)))],$$

$$v_n = (1 - \beta_n)u_n + \beta_n [u_n - (g - m)(u_n) + J_{\alpha}^{M^n(\cdot,u_n)}((g - m)(u_n) - \alpha N(f(u_n), p(u_n)))]$$
(3.5)

for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., where $M^n : H \times H \to 2^H$ is a set-valued mapping such that, for each $y \in H$, $M^n(\cdot, y) : H \to 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping for $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., \alpha > 0$ is a constant, the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\gamma_n$ $\{\delta_n\}$ in [0, 1] satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, (2) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$.

Remark 3.1

- (1) If $\beta_n = \delta_n = 0$ for all n = 0, 1, 2, ..., then ITPIAE reduces to MTPIAE.
- (2) If $\gamma_n = \delta_n = 0$ for all n = 0, 1, 2, ..., then ITPIAE reduces to ITPIA.
- (3) If $\gamma_n = 0$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then MTPIAE reduces to MTPIA.
- (4) Our ITPIAE includes several known algorithms of [1,6,10,15,16,18] as special cases.

EXISTENCE AND CONVERGENCE THEOREMS 4

In this section, we show the existence of a solution of the problem (2.1)and the convergence of iterative sequence generated by ITPIAE.

DEFINITION 4.1 Let $N: H \times H \rightarrow H$ and $g: H \rightarrow H$.

(1) g is said to be strongly monotone if there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\langle g(u_1) - g(u_2), u_1 - u_2 \rangle \ge \delta ||u_1 - u_2||^2$$

for all $u_i \in H$, i = 1, 2;

(2) g is said to be Lipschitz continuous if there exists some $\sigma > 0$ such that

 $||g(u_1) - g(u_2)|| \le \sigma ||u_1 - u_2||$

for all $u_i \in H$, i = 1, 2;

(3) g is said to be strongly monotone with respect to the first argument of N if there exists some $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle N(g(u_1), \cdot) - N(g(u_2), \cdot), u_1 - u_2 \rangle \ge \mu ||u_1 - u_2||^2$$

for all $u_i \in H$, i = 1, 2;

(4) g is said to be Lipschitz continuous with respect to the first argument of N if there exists some $\xi > 0$ such that

$$||N(g(u_1), \cdot) - N(g(u_2), \cdot)|| \le \xi ||u_1 - u_2||$$

for all $u_i \in H$, i = 1, 2.

In a similar way, we can define strong monotonicity and Lipschitz continuity of g with respect to the second argument of N.

DEFINITION 4.2 Let $\{S^n\}$ and S be maximal monotone mappings for n = 1, 2, ... The sequence $\{S^n\}$ is said to be graph-convergence to S (write $S^n \xrightarrow{G} S$) if, for every $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(S)$, there exists a sequence $(x_n, y_n) \in \text{Graph}(S^n)$ such that $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ in H.

LEMMA 4.1 [2] Let $\{S^n\}$ and S be maximal monotone mappings for $n=0,1,2,\ldots$ Then $S^n \xrightarrow{G} S$ if and only if $J^{S^n}_{\lambda}(x) \to J^S_{\lambda}(x)$ for every $x \in H$ and $\lambda > 0$.

LEMMA 4.2 [19] Let $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ be three nonnegative real sequences such that, for all n = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1-t_n)a_n + b_n + c_n$$

with $0 \le t_n \le 1$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n = \infty$, $b_n = o(t_n)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$.

THEOREM 4.1 Let $N: H \times H \rightarrow H$ be a mapping, $g, m: H \rightarrow H$ be Lipschitz continuous with constants σ and μ , respectively, $f, p: H \rightarrow H$ be Lipschitz continuous with constants η and ϵ with respect to the first and second arguments of N, respectively, f be strongly monotone with constant β with respect to the first argument of N and g - m be strongly monotone with constant δ . Assume that

$$\langle m(v) - m(u), g(u) - g(v) \rangle \le \lambda ||u - v||^2$$
(4.1)

for all $u, v \in H$ and for some constant λ such that $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq \sigma \mu$, where

$$\lambda_0 = \inf\{s: \langle m(v) - m(u), g(u) - g(v) \rangle \leq s ||u - v||^2 \text{ for all } u, v \in H\}.$$

Suppose that there exists a constant $\xi > 0$ such that, for each $x, y, z \in H$,

$$\|J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,x)}(z) - J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,y)}(z)\| \le \xi \|x - y\|.$$
(4.2)

If the following conditions hold:

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{\beta + \epsilon(k-1)}{\eta^2 - \epsilon^2}\right| < \frac{\sqrt{(\beta + (k-1)\epsilon)^2 - (\eta^2 - \epsilon^2)k(2-k)}}{\eta^2 - \epsilon^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\beta > (1-k)\epsilon + \sqrt{(\eta^2 - \epsilon^2)k(2-k)}, \quad \eta > \epsilon, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\alpha \epsilon < 1 - k, \quad k = \xi + 2\sqrt{1 - 2\delta + \sigma^2 + \mu^2 + 2\lambda}, \quad k < 1,$$
 (4.5)

then the problem (2.1) has a unique solution $u^* \in H$. Moreover, suppose that $M^n: H \times H \to 2^H$ is a set-valued mapping such that, for each $y \in H$, $M^n(\cdot, y): H \to 2^H$ is a maximal monotone mapping for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., $M^n(\cdot, y) \xrightarrow{G} M(\cdot, y)$ and, for each $x, y, z \in H$,

$$\|J_{\alpha}^{M^{n}(\cdot,x)}(z) - J_{\alpha}^{M^{n}(\cdot,y)}(z)\| \le \xi \|x - y\|.$$
(4.6)

Then $\{u_n\}$ strongly convergences to it u^* , where $\{u_n\}$ is defined by ITPIAE.

Proof First we prove that there exists $u^* \in H$ which is a unique solution of the problem (2.1). By Lemma 3.1, it is enough to show that the mapping $F: H \to H$ defined by (3.1) has a unique fixed point $u^* \in H$. In fact, for any $u, v \in H$, we have

$$F(u) = u - (g - m)(u) + J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}((g - m)(u) - \alpha N(f(u), p(u)))$$

and

$$F(v) = v - (g - m)(v) + J^{M(\cdot,v)}_{\alpha}((g - m)(v) - \alpha N(f(v), p(v))).$$

From the definition of $J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}$ and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{split} \|F(u) - F(v)\| \\ &= \|u - (g - m)(u) + J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}((g - m)(u) - \alpha N(f(u), p(u))) \\ &- [v - (g - m)(v) + J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,v)}((g - m)(v) - \alpha N(f(v), p(v)))]\| \\ &\leq \|u - v - ((g - m)(u) - (g - m)(v))\| \\ &+ \|J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}((g - m)(u) - \alpha N(f(u), p(u))) \\ &- J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}((g - m)(v) - \alpha N(f(v), p(v)))]\| \\ &+ \|J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u)}((g - m)(v) - \alpha N(f(v), p(v)))\| \\ &+ \|J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,v)}((g - m)(v) - \alpha N(f(v), p(v)))\| \\ &+ \|J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,v)}((g - m)(v) - \alpha N(f(v), p(v)))\| \\ &+ \|J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,v)}((g - m)(v) - \alpha N(f(v), p(v)))\| \\ &+ \|u - v - \alpha (N(f(u), p(u)) - N(f(v), p(u)))\| \\ &+ \alpha \|N(f(v), p(u)) - N(f(v), p(v))\| + \xi \|u - v\|. \end{split}$$
(4.7)

By (4.1), the Lipschitz continuity of f, g, m and strong monotonicity of g - m and f, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v - ((g - m)(u) - (g - m)(v))\|^2 \\ &= \|u - v\|^2 - 2\langle u - v, (g - m)(u) - (g - m)(v)\rangle \\ &+ \|m(u) - m(v)\|^2 + \|g(u) - g(v)\|^2 \\ &+ 2\langle m(v) - m(u), g(u) - g(v)\rangle \\ &\leq (1 - 2\delta + \sigma^2 + \mu^2 + 2\lambda)\|u - v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$
(4.8)

and

$$\|u - v - \alpha (N(f(u), p(u)) - N(f(v), p(u)))\|^{2} \le (1 - 2\alpha\beta + \alpha^{2}\eta^{2})\|u - v\|^{2}.$$
(4.9)

Further, since p is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the second argument of N, we get

$$\|N(f(v), p(u)) - N(f(v), p(v))\| \le \epsilon \|u - v\|.$$
(4.10)

From (4.7)–(4.10), it follows that

$$||F(u) - F(v)|| \le h ||u - v||, \qquad (4.11)$$

where

$$h = 2\sqrt{1 - 2\delta + \sigma^2 + \mu^2 + 2\lambda} + \xi + \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2\eta^2} + \alpha\epsilon.$$

From (4.3)–(4.5), we know that 0 < h < 1 and so F has a unique fixed point $u^* \in H$. By Lemma 3.1 and (4.11), we know that u^* is a unique solution of the problem (2.1).

Next we prove that the iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ defined by ITPIAE strongly converges to u^* . Since u^* is a solution of the problem (2.1), we have

$$F(u^*) = u^* - (g - m)(u^*) + J^{M(\cdot,u^*)}_{\alpha}((g - m)(u^*) - \alpha N(f(u^*), p(u^*))).$$
(4.12)

It follows from (3.3), (4.12) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - u^*\| \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)u_n + \alpha_n[v_n - (g - m)(v_n) + J_{\alpha}^{M^n(\cdot,v_n)}((g - m)(v_n) \\ &- \alpha N(f(v_n), p(v_n)))] + \gamma_n e_n - (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)u^* \\ &- \alpha_n[u^* - (g - m)(u^*) + J_{\alpha}^{M^n(\cdot,u^*)}((g - m)(u^*) \\ &- \alpha N(f(u^*), p(u^*)))] + \gamma_n u^*\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_{n} - \gamma_{n}) \|u_{n} - u^{*}\| + \alpha_{n} \|v_{n} - (g - m)(v_{n}) \\ - (u^{*} - (g - m)(u^{*}))\| + \gamma_{n} \|e_{n} - u^{*}\| + \alpha_{n} \|J_{\alpha}^{M^{(\cdot,v_{n})}}((g - m)(v_{n}) \\ - \alpha N(f(v_{n}), p(v_{n}))) - J_{\alpha}^{M^{(\cdot,u^{*})}}((g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*})))\| \\ \leq (1 - \alpha_{n} - \gamma_{n}) \|u_{n} - u^{*}\| + \alpha_{n} \|v_{n} - u^{*} - ((g - m)(v_{n}) \\ - (g - m)(u^{*}))\| + \gamma_{n} \|e_{n} - u^{*}\| + \alpha_{n} \|J_{\alpha}^{M^{(\cdot,v_{n})}}((g - m)(v_{n}) \\ - \alpha N(f(v_{n}), p(v_{n}))) - J_{\alpha}^{M^{(\cdot,v_{n})}}((g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*})))\| \\ + \alpha_{n} \|J_{\alpha}^{M^{(\cdot,v_{n})}}((g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*})))\| \\ + \alpha_{n} \|J_{\alpha}^{M^{(\cdot,u^{*})}}((g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*})))\| \\ \leq (1 - \alpha_{n} - \gamma_{n}) \|u_{n} - u^{*}\| + 2\alpha_{n} \|v_{n} - u^{*} - ((g - m)(v_{n}) \\ - (g - m)(u^{*}))\| + \xi\alpha_{n} \|v_{n} - u^{*}\| + \gamma_{n} \|e_{n} - u^{*}\| \\ + \alpha_{n} \|v_{n} - u^{*} - \alpha (N(f(v_{n}), p(v_{n})) - N(f(u^{*}), p(v_{n})))\| \\ + \alpha\alpha_{n} \|N(f(u^{*}), p(v_{n})) - N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*}))\| \\ + \alpha_{n} \|J_{\alpha}^{M^{(\cdot,u^{*})}}((g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*})))\| \\ + \alpha_{n} \|J_{\alpha}^{M^{(\cdot,u^{*})}}((g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*})))\| \\ + \alpha(g - m)(u^{*}) - N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*}))\| \\ + \alpha(g - m)(u^{*}) - N(f(u^{*}), p(u^{*}))\| \\ + \alpha(g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha(g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha(g - m)(g^{*}) - \alpha(g - m)(g^{*}))\| \\ + \alpha(g - m)(u^{*}) - \alpha(g - m)(g^{*}) - \alpha(g - m)(g^{*}) - \alpha(g - m)(g^{*}))\| \\ + \alpha(g - m)(g^{*}) - \alpha(g - m)(g^{*}) - \alpha(g - m)(g^{*}) - \alpha(g^{*}) - \alpha$$

By (4.1), the Lipschitz continuity of f, g, m and strong monotonicity of g - m and f, we obtain

$$\|v_n - u^* - ((g - m)(v_n) - (g - m)(u^*))\|^2 \le (1 - 2\delta + \sigma^2 + \mu^2 + 2\lambda) \|v_n - u^*\|^2$$
(4.14)

and

$$\|v_n - u^* - \alpha (N(f(v_n), p(v_n)) - N(f(u^*), p(v_n)))\|^2$$

$$\leq (1 - 2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2 \eta^2) \|v_n - u^*\|^2.$$
(4.15)

Further since p is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the second argument of N, we get

$$||N(f(u^*), p(v_n)) - N(f(u^*), p(u^*))|| \le \epsilon ||v_n - u^*||.$$
(4.16)

From (4.13)–(4.16), it follows that

$$\|u_{n+1} - u^*\| \le (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n) \|u_n - u^*\| + h\alpha_n \|v_n - u^*\| + \alpha_n b_n + \gamma_n \|e_n - u^*\|,$$
(4.17)

where

$$b_n = \|J_{\alpha}^{M^{n}(\cdot,u^*)}((g-m)(u^*) - \alpha N(f(u^*), p(u^*))) - J_{\alpha}^{M(\cdot,u^*)}((g-m)(u^*) - \alpha N(f(u^*), p(u^*)))\|.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|v_n - u^*\| \le (1 - \beta_n - \delta_n) \|u_n - u^*\| + h\beta_n \|u_n - u^*\| + \beta_n b_n + \delta_n \|f_n - u^*\|.$$
(4.18)

It follows from (4.17) and (4.18) that

$$\|u_{n+1} - u^*\| \le (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n + h\alpha_n(1 - \beta_n - \delta_n + h\beta_n))\|u_n - u^*\| + h\alpha_n(\beta_n b_n + \delta_n \|f_n - u^*\|) + \alpha_n b_n + \gamma_n \|e_n - u^*\|.$$

Let $M_1 = \sup\{||f_n - u^*||: n \ge 0\}$ and $M_2 = \sup\{||e_n - u^*||: n \ge 0\}$. Since 0 < h < 1, we have

$$\|u_{n+1} - u^*\| \le (1 - \alpha_n (1 - h)) \|u_n - u^*\| + \alpha_n (h\delta_n M_1 + (h\beta_n + 1)b_n) + \gamma_n M_2.$$
(4.19)

Using Lemma 4.1, we know that $b_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. If follows from (4.19) and Lemma 4.2 that $u_n \to u^*$ as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof. Remark 4.1

If β_n = δ_n = 0 for all n = 0, 1, 2, ..., then Theorem 4.1 gives the conditions under which the sequence {u_n} defined by MTPIAE strongly converges to u^{*}.

- (2) If γ_n = δ_n = 0 for all n = 0, 1, 2, ..., then Theorem 4.1 gives the conditions under which the sequence {u_n} defined by ITPIA strongly converges to u^{*}.
- (3) If γ_n = β_n = δ_n = 0 for all n = 0, 1, 2, ..., then Theorem 4.1 gives the conditions under which the sequence {u_n} defined by MTPIA strongly converges to u^{*}.

Acknowledgements

The third author was supported in part by '98 APEC Post-Doctor Fellowship (KOSEF) while he visited Gyeongsang National University, and the second and fourth authors wish to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of 1998, Project No. 1998-015-D00020.

References

- S. Adly, Perturbed algorithm and sensitivity analysis for a general class of variational inclusions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 201 (1996), 609-630.
- [2] H. Attouch, Variational convergence for functions and operators, in *Appl. Math. Ser.*, Pitman, London, 1974.
- [3] C. Baiocchi and A. Capelo, Variational and Quasivariational Inequalities, Application to Free Boundary Problems, Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [4] A. Bensoussan, Stochastic Control by Functional Analysis Method, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [5] A. Bensoussan and J.L. Lions, Impulse Control and Quasivariational Inequalities, Gauthiers-Villers, Bordas, Paris, 1984.
- [6] S.S. Chang, Variational Inequality and Complementarity Problem Theory with Applications, Shanghai Scientific and Tech. Literature Publishing House, Shanghai, 1991.
- [7] R.W. Cottle, J.P. Pang and R.E. Stone, The Linear Complementarity Problem, Academic Press, London, 1992.
- [8] J. Crank, Free and Moving Boundary Problems, Clarendon, Oxford, 1984.
- [9] V.F. Demyanov, G.E. Stavroulakis, L.N. Polyakova and P.D. Panagiotopoulos, Quasidifferentiability and Nonsmooth Modeling in Mechanics, Engineering and Economics, Kluwer Academic, Holland, 1996.
- [10] X.P. Ding, Perturbed proximal point for generalized quasivariational Inclusions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 210 (1997), 88-101.
- [11] G. Duvaut and J.L. Lions, *Inequalities in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [12] F. Giannessi and A. Maugeri, Variational Inequalities and Network Equilibrium Problems, Plenum, New York, 1995.
- [13] P.T. Harker and J.S. Pang, Finite-dimensional variational inequality and nonlinear complementarity problems: A survey of theory, algorithms and applications, *Math. Programming* 48 (1990), 161-220.
- [14] A. Hassouni and A. Moudafi, A perturbed algorithm for variational inclusions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 185 (1994), 706-712.

- [15] N.J. Huang, On the generalized implicit quasivariational inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 216 (1997), 197-210.
- [16] N.J. Huang, Mann and Ishikawa type perturbed iterative algorithms for generalized nonlinear implicit quasi-variational inclusions, Computers Math. Appl. 35(10) (1998), 1 - 7.
- [17] G. Isac, Complementarity problems, Lecture Notes in Math. 1528, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [18] K.R. Kazmi, Mann and Ishikawa and perturbed iterative algorithms for generalized guasi-variational inclusions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 209 (1997), 572-584.
- [19] L.S. Liu, Ishikawa and Mann iterative process with errors for nonlinear strongly accretive mappings in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 194 (1995), 114-125.
- [20] U. Mosco, Implicit variational problems and quasi-variational inequalities, Lecture Notes in Math. 543, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [21] M.A. Noor, Some recent advances in variational inequalities (I), New Zealand J. Math. 26 (1997), 53-80.
- [22] M.A. Noor, Some recent advances in variational inequalities (II), New Zealand J. Math. 26 (1997), 229-255.
- [23] M.A. Noor, Generalized set-valued variational inclusions and resolvent equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 228 (1998), 206-220.
- [24] M.A. Noor, An implicit method for mixed variational inequalities, Appl. Math. Lett. 11(4) (1998), 109-113.
- [25] A.H. Siddiqi and Q.H. Ansari, Strongly nonlinear quasivariational inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (1990), 444-340.
- [26] A.H. Siddigi and Q.H. Ansari, General strongly nonlinear variational inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 166 (1992), 386-392.
- [27] L.U. Uko, Strongly nonlinear generalized equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 220 (1998), 65-76.
- [28] J.C. Yao, The generalized quasivariational inequality problem with applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 158 (1991), 139-160.
- [29] George Xian-Zhi Yuan, KKM Theory and Applications in Nonlinear Analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1999.