

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF MINIMAL ZERO-SEQUENCES OF INDEX ONE IN FINITE CYCLIC GROUPS

**Scott T. Chapman**<sup>1</sup>

*Trinity University, Department of Mathematics, One Trinity Place, San Antonio, TX 78212-7200, USA*  
 schapman@trinity.edu

**William W. Smith**

*The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Department of Mathematics, Phillips Hall, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA*  
 wwsmith@email.unc.edu

*Received: 4/27/05, Revised: 10/26/05, Accepted: 11/16/05, Published: 11/29/05*

### Abstract

Let  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$  where  $n$  is a positive integer. A finite sequence  $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  of not necessarily distinct elements from  $G$  for which  $\sum_{i=1}^k g_i = 0$  is called a zero-sequence. If a zero-sequence  $S$  contains no proper subzero-sequence, then it is called a *minimal zero-sequence*. The notion of the *index* of a minimal zero-sequence (see Definition 1) in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has been recently addressed in the mathematical literature. In this note, we offer a characterization of minimal zero-sequences in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with index 1.

Let  $G$  be an additive abelian group and  $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  a finite sequence of not necessarily distinct elements from  $G$ . Denote by  $|S| = k$  the number of elements in  $S$  (or the *length* of  $S$ ) and let  $\text{supp}(S) = \{g \mid g \in G \text{ with } g = g_i \text{ for some } i\}$  be the *support* of  $S$ . Various properties of the sequence  $S$  have been considered over the last several years in the mathematical literature. Some of these properties are among the following.

1.  $S$  is *zero-free* if  $\sum_{i \in J} g_i \neq 0$  for any nonempty subset  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .
2.  $S$  is a *zero-sequence* if  $\sum_{i=1}^k g_i = 0$ .
3. A zero-sequence  $S$  is a *minimal zero-sequence* (or *MZS*) if for every nonempty  $J \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , the sequence  $\{g_i\}_{i \in J}$  is zero-free.

---

<sup>1</sup>Part of this work was completed while the first author was on an Academic Leave granted by the Trinity University Faculty Development Committee.

4. A zero-sequence  $S$  which is not an MZS is an *almost minimal zero-sequence* (or *AMZS*) if for every nonempty  $J \subsetneq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  where the sequence  $\{g_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a zero-sequence, then  $\{g_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a minimal zero-sequence.

In this article, we will consider a property of minimal zero-sequences in finite cyclic groups which was introduced in the literature in [2] and consequently considered in greater detail in [4] and [7]. Some notation will be necessary before giving a formal statement describing this property. Since the ordering of the elements in a sequence  $S$  does not matter, we will view sequences as elements of  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ , the free abelian monoid on  $G$ . Hence, we write

$$S = \prod_{g \in G} g^{n_g}$$

where only finitely many of the  $n_g$  are not zero.

Our goal is to offer a characterization of index 1 minimal zero-sequences in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . This will be done in terms of almost minimal zero-sequences (see [3, Chapter 5] for more information on AMZSs). We will find the language of block monoids useful for expressing and applying some of our arguments. For a finite abelian group  $G$ , let  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  represent the set of elements in  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  which are zero-sequences. Further, let  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  consisting of the minimal zero-sequences of  $G$ . If  $S_1 = \prod_{g \in G} g^{m_g}$  and  $S_2 = \prod_{g \in G} g^{s_g}$  are in  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  can be considered as a commutative cancellative monoid under the operation

$$S_1 * S_2 = \prod_{g \in G} g^{m_g + s_g}$$

and is commonly called a *block monoid* (more information on block monoids can be found in [6]). The irreducible elements of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  are merely the elements of  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  and the *empty block* (i.e.,  $S = \emptyset$ ) acts as the identity of  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ . An interpretation of an almost minimal zero-sequence in terms of block monoids can be stated as follows:  $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$  is an almost minimal zero-sequence if and only if  $B = B_1 \cdots B_t$  with each  $B_i$  in  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  implies that  $t = 2$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $G$  be an abelian group.

- (1) Let  $g \in G$  be a non-zero element with  $\text{ord}(g) = n > 1$ . For a sequence  $S = (n_1g) \cdots (n_lg)$ , where  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_l \in [1, n]$ , we define

$$\|S\|_g = \frac{n_1 + \dots + n_l}{n}$$

to be the  $g$ -norm of  $S$ . If  $S = \emptyset$ , then set  $\|S\|_g = 0$ .

- (2) Let  $S$  be a zero-sum sequence for which  $\langle \text{supp}(S) \rangle \subset G$  is a nontrivial finite cyclic group. Then we call

$$\text{index}(S) = \min\{ \|S\|_g \mid g \in G \text{ with } \langle \text{supp}(S) \rangle = \langle g \rangle \} \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

the *index* of  $S$ .

Notice that the index of a sequence  $S$  depends only on  $S$  and not the choice of the cyclic group  $G$  which contains  $\text{supp}(S)$ . Theorem 2 of [2] indicates that as  $n$  increases, there exist minimal zero-sequences of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  of arbitrarily high index. The papers [7] and [4] have both shown that for a fixed value of  $n$ , “long” minimal zero-sequences must have index 1. In particular, [4, Section 2] shows for  $n \geq 10$  that a minimal zero-sequence  $S$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $|S| > \frac{2n}{3}$  must have index 1.

When restricting our attention to cyclic groups, the  $g$ -norm of an zero-sequence can be used to draw some helpful conclusions. We determine some basic properties of the  $g$ -norm in the next proposition.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group,  $g \in G$  a nonzero element and  $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(\langle g \rangle)$ .*

- (1)  $\|\cdot\|_g : \mathcal{B}(\langle g \rangle) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  is a monoid homomorphism (i.e.,  $\|S * T\|_g = \|S\|_g + \|T\|_g$ ).
- (2)  $\|S\|_g = 0$  if and only if  $S = \emptyset$ .
- (3)  $\|0\|_g = 1$ .
- (4) If  $\|S\|_g = 1$ , then  $S$  is a MZS.
- (5) If  $\|S\|_g = 2$ , then  $S$  is an AMZS.

*Proof.* The proofs of (1)-(3) are clear. For (4), if  $S = S_1 * S_2$  with  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\langle g \rangle)$ , then  $1 = \|S\|_g = \|S_1\|_g + \|S_2\|_g \geq 2$ , a contradiction. For (5), if  $S$  is neither an MZS or an AMZS, then  $S = S_1 * S_2 * S_3$  for  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S_3$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\langle g \rangle)$ . The argument now follows as in (4).  $\square$

We note that index one MZSs satisfy several interesting properties. Two of these properties follow. Recall that if  $S = \prod_{g \in G} g^{n_g}$  is an MZS in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , then the *cross number* of  $S$  is defined as  $\mathbb{k}(S) = \sum_{g \in G} \frac{n_g}{\text{ord}(g)}$  where  $\text{ord}(g)$  represents the order of  $g$  in  $G$  (more information on the cross number can be found in [1]). For  $S \in \mathcal{B}(G)$  consider these properties.

**(P1)**  $S * S$  is an AMZS in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

**(P2)**  $\mathbb{k}(S) \leq 1$ .

It follows directly from Proposition 2 that  $S = \prod_{g \in G} g^{n_g}$  an MZS in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $\|S\|_g = 1$  satisfies **(P1)**. That  $\|S\|_g = 1$  implies  $\mathbb{k}(S) \leq 1$  can be seen as follows. Suppose  $S = (n_1g) \cdots (n_lg)$  is written as in Definition 1 with  $n = \text{ord}(g)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{k}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\text{ord}(n_i g)} = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\frac{n}{\text{gcd}(n_i, n)}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{n} = \|S\|_g = 1.$$

Hence we have the following.

**Proposition 3.** *If  $S$  is a MZS of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  with  $\text{index}(S) = 1$ , then  $S$  satisfies properties **(P1)** and **(P2)**.*

**Example 4.** Properties **(P1)** and **(P2)** do not characterize MZSs of index 1. Notice that all of the index 2 MZSs in [2] do not satisfy **(P1)** (see in particular the proof of [2, Theorem 2]). A slight modification of the construction used in [2] yields the following example. Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{23}$  and set  $S = 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ . It is a routine calculation to check the 22 possible values of  $\|S\|_g$  and determine that  $\text{index}(S) = 2$ . Since  $\mathbb{k}(S) \leq 1$ ,  $S$  satisfies **(P2)**. For considering property **(P1)**, note that  $\|S\|_1 = 2$  and so  $\|S * S\|_1 = 4$ . To establish that  $S * S$  is an AMZS, one needs only observe that if it were not, then  $S * S = A * B * C$  for some zero sequences  $A, B$ , and  $C$ . It follows that this has to be done (with the proper choice of  $g$ ) so that  $\|A\|_g = \|B\|_g = 1$  and  $\|C\|_g = 2$ . The key then to observing such a decomposition is impossible is to note that  $7^2 \cdot 9$  is the only subsequence of  $S * S$  that sums to 23.

While **(P1)** and **(P2)** do not offer the characterization of index 1 MZSs we desire, a relatively simple condition involving the AMZS's which contain an MZS  $S$  does provide a characterization.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $S$  a minimal zero-sequence over  $G$  such that  $\text{supp}(S)$  generates a cyclic group  $H$  of order  $n \geq 2$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exists some AMZS  $A \in \mathcal{F}(H)$  of length  $|A| = |S| + n$  where  $S$  divides  $A$  in  $\mathcal{B}(G)$ .*
- (b) *There exists some  $g \in H$  such that  $g^n S$  is an AMZS.*
- (c)  $\text{index}(S) = 1$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Let  $A = ST$  be an AMZS of length  $|S| + n$  for some  $T \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ . Then  $T$  is a minimal zero-sum sequence of length  $n$ . Thus, for example by [5, Lemma 13], there exists some  $g \in H$  such that  $T = g^n$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Let  $g \in H$  and  $A = g^n S$  an AMZS. Then there are  $m_1, \dots, m_l \in [1, n - 1]$  with  $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_l$  such that  $S = \prod_{i=1}^l (m_i g)$ . We assert that  $\|S\|_g = 1$ . Assume to the contrary that

$$\|S\|_g = \frac{m_1 + \dots + m_l}{n} = k \text{ with } k \geq 2.$$

Since  $S$  is a minimal zero-sum sequence, there exist  $u, v \in [1, l - 1]$  such that

$$(k - 2)n < m_1 + \dots + m_u < (k - 1)n < m_1 + \dots + m_u + m_{u+1}$$

and

$$m_{u+1} + \dots + m_v < n < m_{u+1} + \dots + m_v + m_{v+1}.$$

We set

$$r = (k - 1)n - (m_1 + \dots + m_u),$$

$$s = n - (m_{u+1} + \dots + m_v)$$

and we define

$$N_1 = g^r \prod_{i=1}^u (m_i g), \quad N_2 = g^s \prod_{i=u+1}^v (m_i g) \quad \text{and} \quad N_3 = g^{n-(r+s)} \prod_{i=v+1}^l (m_i g).$$

By construction,  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $N_3$  are zero-sum sequences with  $A = N_1 N_2 N_3$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $A$  is an AMZS.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Let  $g \in H$  such that  $\|S\|_g = 1$ . We set  $A = g^n S$ , and since  $\|A\|_g = 2$ , it follows that  $A$  is an AMZS.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] S. T. Chapman, On the Davenport constant, the cross number, and their application in factorization theory, *Zero-dimensional commutative rings (Knoxville, TN, 1994)*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997, 167–190.
- [2] S. T. Chapman, M. Freeze, and W. W. Smith, Minimal zero-sequences and the strong Davenport constant, *Discrete Math.* **203**(1999), 271–277.
- [3] M. Freeze, Lengths of Factorizations in Dedekind domains, Ph. D. Dissertation, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1999.
- [4] W. D. Gao, Zero sums in finite cyclic groups, *Integers*, (electronic) **0**(2000) A12, 7 pp.
- [5] A. Geroldinger, Systeme von Längenmengen, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **60**(1990), 115–130.
- [6] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, Nonunique factorizations in block semigroups and their arithmetical applications, *Math. Slovaca* **42**(1992), 641–661.
- [7] V. Ponomarenko, Minimal zero sequences of finite Abelian groups, *Integers*, (electronic) **4**(2004) A24, 6 pp.