ON THE PROPERTY P_{-1}

TAO Liqun

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China and Department of Mathematics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland lqtao99@tom.com

Received: 4/23/07, Revised: 8/30/07, Accepted: 10/22/07, Published: 11/3/07

Abstract

Mohanty and Ramasamy recently proved an interesting result that says that no other integers can be added to the set $\{1, 5, 10\}$ such that the product of any two numbers from the new set minus one is a perfect square. We give an alternative proof of this result by considering two simultaneous diophantine equations which are equivalent to those considered in [1]. It turns out our method avoids carefully investigating relations between the solutions of Pell's equations. What we do is just solve some simple diophantine equations.

In [1], S. P. Mohanty and A. M. S. Ramasamy defined an interesting concept. Given an integer k, they say two integers α and β have the property P_k if $\alpha\beta + k$ is a perfect square. They found that 1, 5, 10 share the property P_{-1} , and showed that no other integers can be added to share the property with the three numbers.

Suppose n is another number satisfying the property. Then

$$n - 1 = x^2 \tag{1}$$

$$5n - 1 = y^2 \tag{2}$$

 $10n - 1 = z^2. (3)$

Together, these yield

$$5x^2 + 4z^2 = 9y^2. (4)$$

Eliminating n between (2) and (3), we have

$$z^2 - 2y^2 = 1. (5)$$

We shall consider in the sequel the nonnegative integer solutions of the simultaneous diophantine equations (4) and (5).

Remark. The authors of [1] considered $5x^2 - y^2 = -4$ and (5) by eliminating *n* from (1), (2), (3). It seems that these simultaneous equations are a little complicated to treat.

From (5), we see z and y are relatively prime, and z is odd, hence y is even since $z^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. From (4), we have

$$(3y - 2z)(3y + 2z) = 5x^2.$$
(6)

Let d = (3x - 2y, 3x + 2y) be the greatest common divisor. Then $d \mid (6y, 4z)$. So we have the following two cases depending on whether z is divisible by 3 or not: (1) if $3 \nmid z$, then d = 2 or 4; (2) if $3 \mid z$, then d = 6 or 12.

Now from (6), we have
$$\frac{3y+2z}{d} \cdot \frac{3y-2z}{d} = 5\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^2$$
. So
$$\begin{cases} \frac{3y+2z}{d} = 5s^2\\ \frac{3y-2z}{d} = t^2 \end{cases}$$
(7)

or

$$\begin{cases} \frac{3y+2z}{d} = t^2\\ \frac{3y-2z}{d} = 5s^2 \end{cases},$$
(8)

where $\frac{x}{d} = st$.

From (7) and (8), we have

$$\pm 4z = d(5s^2 - t^2). \tag{9}$$

So when d = 2 or 6, we see $s \equiv t \pmod{2}$, therefore $\pm 2z = \frac{d}{2}(5s^2 - t^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. So $2 \mid z$, which is impossible. Therefore d = 4 or 12.

Also from (7) and (8), we have

$$6y = d(5s^2 + t^2). (10)$$

Substituting (9) and (10) into (5) yields $9d^2(5s^2-t^2)^2 - 8d^2(5s^2+t^2)^2 = 144$ or, equivalently,

$$25s^4 - 170s^2t^2 + t^4 = \frac{144}{d^2},\tag{11}$$

i.e.,

$$(5s^2 - 17t^2)^2 - 288t^4 = \frac{144}{d^2}.$$
(12)

Now let

$$w = \frac{d}{12}(5s^2 - 17t^2). \tag{13}$$

Then we get

$$w^2 - 2d^2t^4 = 1 \tag{14}$$

So, when d = 4, we have

$$w^2 - 32t^4 = 1, (15)$$

where $w = \frac{1}{3}(5s^2 - 17t^2)$; while, when d = 12, we have

$$w^2 - 288t^4 = 1, (16)$$

where $w = 5s^2 - 17t^2$.

Note. We must be aware that w above is not necessarily nonnegative.

Before solving equations (15) and (16), we recall the following well-known facts (see Mordell [2], pp. 18, 207).

Lemma 1. The equation $x^4 - 2y^4 = 1$ has only one nonnegative integer solution (x, y) = (1, 0).

Lemma 2. The equation $2x^4 - y^4 = 1$ has only one positive integer solution (x, y) = (1, 1).

Now we start to give a complete answer to equations (15) and (16).

Theorem 1. $w^2 - 32t^4 = 1$ has only one nonnegative integer solution (w, t) = (1, 0).

Remark. So, from (15), we have $5s^2 = 3$, which is impossible. Therefore, when d = 4, there is no integer *n* satisfying (1), (2), and (3) simultaneously.

Proof. From $w^2 - 32t^4 = 1$, we have $\frac{w+1}{2}\frac{w-1}{2} = 8t^4$. Hence

$$\begin{cases} \frac{w+1}{2} = 8u^4 \\ \frac{w-1}{2} = v^4 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{w+1}{2} = v^4 \\ \frac{w-1}{2} = 8u^4 \end{cases}$$

So $8u^4 - v^4 = \pm 1$. Since v is odd, hence $v^4 + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, we see $8u^4 - v^4 = 1$ is impossible. Now $8u^4 - v^4 = -1$, so $\frac{v^2 + 1}{2} \frac{v^2 - 1}{2} = 2u^4$. Since $v^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $\frac{v^2 - 1}{2}$ is even, and we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{v^2+1}{2} = \alpha^4 \\ \frac{v^2-1}{2} = 2\beta^4 \end{cases},$$

where $u = \alpha \beta$.

Thus, $\alpha^4 - 2\beta^4 = 1$. By Lemma 1, $\beta = 0$, so that u = t = 0. Hence, (w, t) = (1, 0) is the only nonnegative integer solution of (15).

Theorem 2. $w^2 - 288t^4 = 1$ has two nonnegative integer solutions: (w, t) = (1, 0) and (17, 1).

Remark. So from (16) and (13), we get only one nonnegative solution (s,t) = (0,1) with (w,t) = (-17,1). Then from (9) and (10), we see y = 2, z = 3, hence n = 1 in (1).

Proof. From $w^2 - 288t^4 = 1$, we have $\frac{w+1}{2}\frac{w-1}{2} = 72t^4$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{w+1}{2} = 8u^4 \\ \frac{w-1}{2} = 9v^4 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{w+1}{2} = 9v^4 \\ \frac{w-1}{2} = 8u^4 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{w+1}{2} = 72u^4 \\ \frac{w-1}{2} = v^4 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{w+1}{2} = v^4 \\ \frac{w-1}{2} = 72u^4 \end{cases},$$

where uv = t.

So $8u^4 - 9v^4 = \pm 1$ or $72u^4 - v^4 = \pm 1$. Since v is odd, hence $v^4 + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, we see $8u^4 - 9v^4 = 1$ and $72u^4 - v^4 = 1$ are impossible. We solve the remaining two equations $8u^4 - 9v^4 = -1$ or $72u^4 - v^4 = -1$ in the following separately.

First we consider the equation $8u^4 - 9v^4 = -1$. Since $\frac{3v^2+1}{2}\frac{3v^2-1}{2} = 2u^4$, observing that $\frac{3v^2+1}{2}$ is even, we have $\begin{cases} \frac{3v^2+1}{2} = 2\alpha^4\\ \frac{3v^2-1}{2} = \beta^4 \end{cases}$. So $2\alpha^4 - \beta^4 = 1$. By Lemma 2, we get $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$. Thus (u, v) = (1, 1). Hence (w, t) = (17, 1).

Now we consider the nonnegative integer solutions of the equation $72u^4 - v^4 = -1$. Suppose $uv \neq 0$. Then u, v > 0. So v > 1. Let (u, v) be the solution of the equation such that u (hence v, since $72u^4 + 1 = v^4$) is the smallest positive integer. Since $\frac{v^2+1}{2}\frac{v^2-1}{2} = 18u^4$, noticing that $v^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ (since $v^4 = 72u^4 + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, v is prime to 6), we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{v^2+1}{2} = \alpha^4\\ \frac{v^2-1}{2} = 18\beta^4 \end{cases},$$
(17)

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

So
$$\alpha^4 - 18\beta^4 = 1$$
. Then from $\frac{\alpha^2 + 1}{2} \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{2} = 72(\frac{\beta}{2})^4$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^2 + 1}{2} = \gamma^4 \\ \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{2} = 72\delta^4 \end{cases}$$
(18)

Therefore $\gamma^4 - 72\delta^4 = 1$. But since v > 1, from (17) we have $\alpha > 1$, $v^2 = 2\alpha^4 - 1 > \alpha^4$, hence $v > \alpha > 1$. Similarly from (18), we get $\alpha > \gamma > 1$. So $v > \gamma$, and $\delta, \gamma > 0$. This contradicts the minimality of (u, v). So uv = 0. Thus (u, v) = (0, 1). Hence (w, t) = (1, 0). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful suggestions.

References

[1] S. P. Mohanty, A. M. S. Ramasamy, The Simultaneous Diophantine Equations $5Y^2 - 20 = X^2$ and $2Y^2 + 1 = Z^2$, J. Number Theory, 18 (1984), 356-359.

[2] L. J. Mordell, Diophantine Equations, Academic Press, London, 1969.