



**ON THE  $\beta$ -EXPANSION OF AN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER  
IN AN ALGEBRAIC BASE  $\beta$**

**Yann Bugeaud**

*Université Louis Pasteur, U. F. R. de mathématiques, Strasbourg, France*  
bugeaud@math.u-strasbg.fr

*Received: 7/1/08, Accepted: 1/26/09*

**Abstract**

Let  $\alpha$  in  $(0, 1]$  and  $\beta > 1$  be algebraic numbers. We study the asymptotic behaviour of the function that counts the number of digit changes in the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $\alpha$ .

**1. Introduction**

Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real number. The  $\beta$ -transformation  $T_\beta$  is defined on  $[0, 1]$  by  $T_\beta : x \mapsto \beta x \bmod 1$ . In 1957, Rényi [12] introduced the  $\beta$ -expansion of a real  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ , denoted by  $d_\beta(x)$  and defined by

$$d_\beta(x) = 0.x_1x_2\dots x_k\dots,$$

where  $x_k = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{k-1}(x) \rfloor$  for  $k \geq 1$ , except when  $\beta$  is an integer and  $x = 1$ , in which case  $d_\beta(1) := 0.(\beta - 1)\dots(\beta - 1)\dots$ . Here and throughout the present paper,  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  denotes the integer part function. Clearly, we have

$$x = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{x_k}{\beta^k}.$$

For  $x < 1$ , this expansion coincides with the representation of  $x$  computed by the ‘greedy algorithm’. If  $\beta$  is an integer  $b$ , then the digits  $x_i$  of  $x$  lie in the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, b - 1\}$  and, if  $x < 1$ , then  $d_b(x)$  corresponds to the  $b$ -ary expansion of  $x$ . If  $\beta$  is not an integer, then the digits  $x_i$  lie in the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$ . We direct the reader to [2] and to the references quoted therein for more on  $\beta$ -expansions. Throughout this note, we say that  $d_\beta(x)$  is finite (resp. infinite) if there are only finitely many (resp. there are infinitely many) non-zero digits in the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $x$ .

We stress that the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 has been extensively studied, for it yields a lot of information on the  $\beta$ -shift. In particular, Blanchard [5] proposed a classification of the  $\beta$ -shifts according to the properties of the (finite or infinite) word given by  $d_\beta(1)$ , see Section 4 of [2]. The occurrences of consecutive 0’s in  $d_\beta(1)$  play a crucial role in Blanchard’s classification of the  $\beta$ -shifts. This motivates the following problem first investigated in [17].

Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real number such that  $d_\beta(1)$  is infinite and let  $(a_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1. Assume that there exist a sequence of positive integers  $(r_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and an increasing sequence of positive integers  $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$a_{s_n+1} = a_{s_n+2} = \dots = a_{s_n+r_n} = 0, \quad a_{s_n+r_n+1} \neq 0,$$

and  $s_{n+1} > s_n + r_n$  for every positive integer  $n$ . The problem is then to estimate the gaps between two consecutive non-zero digits in  $d_\beta(1)$ , that is, to estimate the asymptotic behaviour of the ratio  $r_n/s_n$ .

The main result of [17], quoted as Theorem VG below, mainly shows that  $d_\beta(1)$  cannot be ‘too lacunary’ when  $\beta$  is an algebraic number. Recall that the Mahler measure of a real algebraic number  $\theta$ , denoted by  $M(\theta)$ , is, by definition, equal to the product

$$M(\theta) := a \prod_{i=1}^d \max\{1, |\theta_i|\},$$

where  $\theta = \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d$  are the complex conjugates of  $\theta$  and  $a$  is the leading coefficient of the minimal defining polynomial of  $\theta$  over the integers.

**Theorem VG.** *Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real algebraic number. Then, with the above notation, we have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n}{s_n} \leq \frac{\log M(\beta)}{\log \beta} - 1.$$

Theorem VG was extended in [2], where, roughly speaking, repetitions of arbitrary (finite) blocks in the  $\beta$ -expansion of an algebraic number (where  $\beta > 1$  is algebraic) are studied, see Theorem 2 from [2] for a precise statement.

The purpose of the present note is to study the  $\beta$ -expansion of an algebraic number  $\alpha$  from another point of view, introduced in [8]. We aim at estimating the asymptotic behaviour of the number of digit changes in  $d_\beta(\alpha)$ . For  $\alpha$  in  $(0, 1]$ , write

$$d_\beta(\alpha) = 0.a_1a_2\dots,$$

and define the function  $\text{nbdc}_\beta$ , ‘number of digit changes in the  $\beta$ -expansion’, by

$$\text{nbdc}_\beta(n, \alpha) = \text{Card}\{1 \leq k \leq n : a_k \neq a_{k+1}\},$$

for any positive integer  $n$ . This function was first studied in [8] when  $\beta$  is an integer, see also [9] for an improvement of the main result of [8]. The short Section 6 of [8] is devoted to the study of  $\text{nbdc}_\beta$  for  $\beta$  algebraic, but it contains some little mistakes (see below) and its main result can be strengthened (see Theorem 2 below).

The present note is organized as follows. Our results on the behaviour of the function  $\text{nbdc}_\beta$  when  $\beta$  is an algebraic number are stated in Section 2 and proved in Section 4. New results on values of lacunary series at algebraic points are discussed in Section 3.

**2. Results**

We begin by stating a consequence of Theorem 2 from [2], that can also be obtained with the tools used in [17].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\beta > 1$  be a real algebraic number. Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number in  $(0, 1]$ . If  $d_\beta(\alpha)$  is infinite, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\text{nbd}_\beta(n, \alpha)}{\log n} \geq \left( \log \left( \frac{\log M(\beta)}{\log \beta} \right) \right)^{-1}. \tag{1}$$

For the sake of completeness, Theorem 1 is established in Section 4 along with the proof of Theorem 2.

A Pisot (resp. Salem) number is an algebraic integer greater than 1 whose conjugates are of modulus less than 1 (resp. less than or equal to 1, with at least one conjugate on the unit circle). In particular, an algebraic number  $\beta > 1$  is a Pisot or a Salem number if, and only if,  $M(\beta) = \beta$ . In that case, Theorem 1 implies that

$$\frac{\text{nbd}_\beta(n, \alpha)}{\log n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} +\infty. \tag{2}$$

The main purpose of the present note is to show how the use of a suitable version of the Quantitative Subspace Theorem allows us to strengthen (2.2).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\beta$  be a Pisot or a Salem number. Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number in  $(0, 1]$  such that  $d_\beta(\alpha)$  is infinite and write*

$$d_\beta(\alpha) = 0.a_1a_2 \dots a_k \dots$$

*Then, there exists an effectively computable constant  $c(\alpha, \beta)$ , depending only on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , such that*

$$\text{nbd}_\beta(n, \alpha) \geq c(\alpha, \beta) (\log n)^{3/2} \cdot (\log \log n)^{-1/2}, \tag{3}$$

*for every positive integer  $n$ .*

We stress that the exponent of  $(\log n)$  in (3) is independent of  $\beta$ , unlike in Theorem 3 of [8]. This is a consequence of the use of the Parametric Subspace Theorem, exactly as in Theorem 3.1 of [9]. Note that Theorem 3 of [8] is not correctly stated: indeed, it claims a result valid for all expansions, whereas in the proof we are led to construct good algebraic approximations to  $\alpha$  and to use one property of the  $\beta$ -expansion (see (4.14) below) to ensure that, roughly speaking, all these approximations are different.

We display two immediate corollaries of Theorem 2. A first one is concerned with the number of non-zero digits in the  $\beta$ -expansion of an algebraic number for  $\beta$  being a Pisot or a Salem number.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive real number. Let  $\beta$  be a Pisot or a Salem number. Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number in  $(0, 1]$  whose  $\beta$ -expansion is infinite. Then, for  $n$  large enough, there are at least*

$$(\log n)^{3/2} \cdot (\log \log n)^{-1/2-\varepsilon}$$

*non-zero digits among the first  $n$  digits of the  $\beta$ -ary expansion of  $\alpha$ .*

For  $\beta = 2$ , Corollary 3 gives a much weaker result than the one obtained by Bailey, Borwein, Crandall, and Pomerance [3], who proved that, among the first  $n$  digits of the binary expansion of a real irrational algebraic number  $\xi$  of degree  $d$ , there are at least  $c(\xi)n^{1/d}$  occurrences of the digit 1, where  $c(\xi)$  is a suitable positive constant (see also Rivoal [14]).

Recall that  $\beta$  is called a *Parry number* if  $d_\beta(1)$  is finite or eventually periodic. Every Pisot number is a Parry number [15, 4] and K. Schmidt [15] conjectured that all Salem numbers are Parry numbers. This was proved for all Salem numbers of degree 4 by Boyd [6], who gave in [7] a heuristic suggesting the existence of Salem numbers of degree 8 that are not Parry numbers.

We highlight the special case of the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 in a base  $\beta$  that is a Salem number.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive real number. Let  $\beta$  be a Salem number. Assume that  $d_\beta(1)$  is infinite and write*

$$d_\beta(1) = 0.a_1a_2 \dots$$

*For any sufficiently large integer  $n$ , we have*

$$a_1 + \dots + a_n > (\log n)^{3/2} \cdot (\log \log n)^{-1/2-\varepsilon},$$

*and there are at least  $(\log n)^{3/2} (\log \log n)^{-1/2-\varepsilon}$  indices  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $a_j \neq 0$ .*

In view of Theorem 2, our Corollaries 3 and 4 can be (very) slightly improved.

### 3. On Values of Lacunary Series at Algebraic Points

The following problem was posed in Section 7 of [8].

**Problem 5.** Let  $\mathbf{n} = (n_j)_{j \geq 1}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and set

$$f_{\mathbf{n}}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} z^{n_j}. \tag{4}$$

If the sequence  $\mathbf{n}$  increases sufficiently rapidly, then the function  $f_{\mathbf{n}}$  takes transcendental values at every non-zero algebraic point in the open unit disc.

By a clever use of the Schmidt Subspace Theorem, Corvaja and Zannier [10] proved that the conclusion of Problem 5 holds for  $f_{\mathbf{n}}$  given by (3.1) when the strictly increasing sequence  $\mathbf{n}$  is lacunary, that is, satisfies

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n_{j+1}}{n_j} > 1.$$

Under the weaker assumption that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n_{j+1}}{n_j} > 1,$$

it follows from the Ridout Theorem that the function  $f_{\mathbf{n}}$  given by (3.1) takes transcendental values at every point  $1/b$ , where  $b \geq 2$  is an integer (see, e.g., Satz 7 from Schneider’s monograph [16]), and even at every point  $1/\beta$ , where  $\beta$  is a Pisot or a Salem number [1] (see also Theorem 3 of [10]).

The latter result can be improved with the methods of the present paper. Namely, we extend Corollary 4 of [8] and Corollary 3.2 of [9] as follows.

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\beta$  be a Pisot or a Salem number. For any real number  $\eta > 2/3$ , the sum of the series*

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \beta^{-n_j}, \quad \text{where } n_j = 2^{\lfloor j^\eta \rfloor} \text{ for } j \geq 1, \tag{5}$$

*is transcendental.*

The growth of the sequence  $(n_j)_{j \geq 1}$  defined in (5) shows that our Corollary 6 is not a consequence of the results of [10].

To establish Corollary 6, it is enough to check that, for any positive integer  $N$ , the number of positive integers  $j$  such that  $2^{\lfloor j^\eta \rfloor} \leq N$  is less than some absolute constant times  $(\log N)^{1/\eta}$ , and to apply Theorem 2.

To be precise, to establish Corollary 3, we prove that any real number  $\alpha$  having an expansion in base  $\beta$  given by (5) is transcendental. We do not need to assume (or to prove) that (5) is the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $\alpha$ . Namely, this assumption is used in the proof to guarantee that the approximants  $\alpha_j$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 are (essentially) all different. Under the assumption of Corollary 3, this condition is automatically satisfied.

#### 4. Proofs

The proof of Theorem 2 follows the same lines as that of Theorem 1 of [8]. For convenience, we first explain the case where  $\beta$  is an integer  $b \geq 2$ . Then, we point out which changes have to be made to treat the case of a real algebraic number  $\beta > 1$ .

The key point for our argument is the following result of Ridout [13].

For a prime number  $\ell$  and a non-zero rational number  $x$ , we set  $|x|_\ell := \ell^{-u}$ , where  $u$  is the exponent of  $\ell$  in the prime decomposition of  $x$ . Furthermore, we set  $|0|_\ell = 0$ . With this notation, the main result of [13] reads as follows.

**Theorem** (Ridout, 1957) *Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be disjoint finite sets of prime numbers. Let  $\theta$  be a real algebraic number. Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive real number. Then there are only finitely many rational numbers  $p/q$  with  $q \geq 1$  such that*

$$0 < \left| \theta - \frac{p}{q} \right| \cdot \prod_{\ell \in S_1} |p|_\ell \cdot \prod_{\ell \in S_2} |q|_\ell < \frac{1}{q^{2+\varepsilon}}. \tag{6}$$

More precisely, we need a quantitative version of Ridout’s theorem, namely an explicit upper bound for the number of solutions to (6). In this direction, Locher [11] proved that, if  $\varepsilon < 1/4$ , the degree of  $\theta$  is at most  $d$  and its Mahler measure at most  $H$ , then (6) has at most

$$\mathcal{N}_1(\varepsilon) := c_1(d) e^{7s} \varepsilon^{-s-4} \log(\varepsilon^{-1}) \tag{7}$$

solutions  $p/q$  with  $q \geq \max\{H, 4^{4/\varepsilon}\}$ , where  $s$  denotes the cardinality of the set  $S_1 \cup S_2$ , and  $c_1(d)$  depends only on  $d$ .

Actually, as will be apparent below, in the present application of the quantitative Ridout’s theorem,  $S_1$  is the empty set and we have actually to estimate the total number of solutions to the system of inequalities

$$0 < \left| \theta - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{c}{q^{1+\varepsilon}}, \quad \prod_{\ell \in S_2} |q|_\ell < \frac{c}{q}, \tag{8}$$

where  $c$  is a positive integer. Every solution to (8) with  $q$  large is a solution to (6), with  $\varepsilon$  replaced by  $2\varepsilon$ , but the converse does not hold. Furthermore, the best known upper bound for the total number of large solutions to (8) does not depend on the set  $S_2$ . Namely, if  $\varepsilon < 1/4$ , then there exists an explicit number  $c_2(d)$ , depending only on the degree  $d$  of  $\theta$ , such that (8) has at most

$$\mathcal{N}_2(\varepsilon) := c_2(d) \varepsilon^{-3} \log(\varepsilon^{-1}) \tag{9}$$

solutions  $p/q$  with  $q \geq \max\{2H, 4^{4/\varepsilon}\}$ ; see Corollary 5.2 of [9]. Since there is no dependence on  $s$  in (9), unlike in (4.2), this explains the improvement obtained in [9] on the result from [8].

After these preliminary remarks, let us explain the method of the proof. Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational (otherwise, the result is clearly true) real number in  $(0, 1)$  and write

$$\alpha = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a_k}{b^k} = 0.a_1 a_2 \dots$$

Define the increasing sequence of positive integers  $(n_j)_{j \geq 1}$  by  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n_1}$ ,  $a_{n_1} \neq a_{n_1+1}$  and  $a_{n_j+1} = \dots = a_{n_{j+1}}$ ,  $a_{n_{j+1}} \neq a_{n_{j+1}+1}$  for every  $j \geq 1$ . Observe that

$$\text{nbd}_b(n, \alpha) = \max\{j : n_j \leq n\} \tag{10}$$

for  $n \geq n_1$ , and that  $n_j \geq j$  for  $j \geq 1$ . To construct good rational approximations to  $\alpha$ , we simply truncate its  $b$ -ary expansion at rank  $a_{n_j+1}$  and then complete with repeating the digit  $a_{n_j+1}$ . Precisely, for  $j \geq 1$ , we define the rational number

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{a_k}{b^k} + \sum_{k=n_j+1}^{+\infty} \frac{a_{n_j+1}}{b^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{a_k}{b^k} + \frac{a_{n_j+1}}{b^{n_j}(b-1)} =: \frac{p_j}{b^{n_j}(b-1)}.$$

Set  $q_j := b^{n_j}(b-1)$  and take for  $S_2$  the set of prime divisors of  $b$ . Observe that

$$0 < |\alpha - \alpha_j| < \frac{1}{b^{n_{j+1}}}, \quad \prod_{\ell \in S_2} |q_j|_\ell = \frac{b-1}{q_j}. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, the Liouville inequality as stated by Waldschmidt [18], p. 84, asserts that there exists a positive constant  $c$ , depending only on  $\alpha$ , such that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{c}{q^d}, \quad \text{for all positive integers } p, q,$$

where  $d$  is the degree of  $\alpha$ . Consequently, we have

$$n_{j+1} \leq 2dn_j, \tag{12}$$

for every sufficiently large integer  $j$ , say for  $j \geq j_0$ .

It then follows from (11) and (12) that

$$0 < \left| \alpha - \frac{p_j}{q_j} \right| < \frac{(b-1)^{2d}}{q_j^{n_{j+1}/n_j}}, \quad \prod_{\ell \in S_2} |q_j|_\ell = \frac{b-1}{q_j}. \tag{13}$$

Note that all the  $p_j/q_j$ 's are different. We are in position to apply the quantitative form of the Ridout Theorem to (4.8). Let  $\varepsilon$  be a real number with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$ . Let  $J > j_0$  be a large positive integer. It follows from Corollary 5.2 of [9] that there exist at most  $\mathcal{N}_2(\varepsilon)$  positive integers  $j > J$  such that  $n_{j+1} \geq (1 + \varepsilon)n_j$ . Consequently, we infer from (9) and (12) that

$$\frac{n_J}{n_{j_0}} = \frac{n_J}{n_{J-1}} \times \dots \times \frac{n_{j_0+1}}{n_{j_0}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^J (2d)^{\mathcal{N}_2(\varepsilon)},$$

and

$$\log n_J \ll J\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-4},$$

where the numerical constant implied in  $\ll$  depends only on  $\alpha$ . Selecting  $\varepsilon = J^{-1/5}$ , we get that

$$\log n_J \ll J^{4/5}. \tag{14}$$

By (10), this implies a lower bound for  $\text{nbd}_b(n, \alpha)$ . Here, to get (14), we have used a rather crude upper bound for  $\mathcal{N}_2(\varepsilon)$ . A further refinement can be obtained by means of the trick that allowed us to prove Theorem 3.1 of [9], which is similar to Theorem 2 for  $\beta = b$ .

Replacing  $b$  by an algebraic number  $\beta > 1$ , everything goes along the same lines, except that we have to apply a suitable extension of Ridout’s theorem, and several technical difficulties arise.

We proceed exactly as above, keep the same notation, and set

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{a_k}{\beta^k} + \sum_{k=n_j+1}^{+\infty} \frac{a_{n_j+1}}{\beta^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \frac{a_k}{\beta^k} + \frac{a_{n_j+1}}{\beta^{n_j}(\beta-1)} =: \frac{p_j}{\beta^{n_j}(\beta-1)}. \tag{15}$$

Here,  $p_j$  is an element of the number field generated by  $\beta$ . We have to prove that  $\alpha_j$  is distinct from  $\alpha$ : unlike when  $\beta$  is an integer, this is not straightforward.

Recall that  $a_{n_j+1} = \dots = a_{n_{j+1}}$  and  $a_{n_{j+1}} \neq a_{n_{j+1}+1}$ . Assume first that

$$a_{n_j+1} > a_{n_{j+1}+1}. \tag{16}$$

Then, using (15), we have

$$\alpha_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j+1}} \frac{a_k}{\beta^k} \geq \frac{a_{n_j+1}}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+1}} + \frac{a_{n_j+1}}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+2}}, \tag{17}$$

while

$$\alpha - \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j+1}} \frac{a_k}{\beta^k} \leq \frac{a_{n_{j+1}+1}}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+1}} + \frac{1}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+1}} \leq \frac{a_{n_j+1}}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+1}}, \tag{18}$$

since, by the property of the  $\beta$ -expansion,

$$\sum_{k \geq r+1} \frac{a_k}{\beta^k} \leq \frac{1}{\beta^r}, \quad \text{for every } r \geq 0. \tag{19}$$

Note that  $a_{n_j+1} \geq 1$ , by (16). Combining this with (17) and (18), we get that

$$\alpha_j - \alpha \geq \frac{1}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+2}}. \tag{20}$$

Assume now that

$$a_{n_j+1} < a_{n_{j+1}+1}. \tag{21}$$



Then, we have

$$\alpha_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j+1}} \frac{a_k}{\beta^k} = \frac{a_{n_{j+1}}}{\beta^{n_{j+1}}(\beta - 1)}, \tag{22}$$

while

$$\alpha - \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j+1}} \frac{a_k}{\beta^k} > \frac{a_{n_{j+1}+1}}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+1}} \geq \frac{a_{n_j+1} + 1}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+1}}, \tag{23}$$

by (21). Since  $a_{n_{j+1}} < \beta - 1$ , we infer from (22) that

$$\alpha_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n_{j+1}} \frac{a_k}{\beta^k} < \frac{1}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+1}},$$

and then from (23) that

$$\alpha - \alpha_j > 0.$$

Note also that, by (23), at least one of the following statements holds:

$$n_{j+2} = n_{j+1} + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = a_{n_{j+2}+1} < a_{n_{j+1}+1} \tag{24}$$

or

$$\alpha - \alpha_j > \frac{1}{\beta^{n_{j+1}+2}}. \tag{25}$$

On the other hand, we check that

$$|\alpha - \alpha_j| \ll \frac{1}{\beta^{n_{j+1}}}, \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \tag{26}$$

Here, and throughout the end of the paper, the constants implied by  $\ll$  depend only on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Disregarding the indices  $j$  for which we are in case (24) (and this concerns at most one index in every pair  $(j, j + 1)$ ), we infer from (20), (25), and (26) that the number of occurrences of a given element in the sequence  $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$  is bounded by an absolute constant.

Now, we apply the extension to number fields of the aforementioned results of Ridout and Locher. We keep the notation from Section 6 of [2], noticing that the  $r_n$  (resp.  $s_n$ ) in that paper corresponds to our  $n_j$  (resp. to 1). In particular, the height function  $H$  is defined as in [2].

Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the number field generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and denote by  $D$  its degree. We consider the following linear forms, in two variables and with algebraic coefficients. For the place  $v_0$  corresponding to the embedding of  $\mathbf{K}$  defined by  $\beta \mapsto \beta$ , set  $L_{1,v_0}(x, y) = x$  and  $L_{2,v_0}(x, y) = \alpha(\beta - 1)x + y$ . It follows from (15) and (26) that

$$|L_{2,v_0}(\beta^{n_j}, -p_j)|_{v_0} \ll \frac{1}{\beta^{(n_{j+1}-n_j)/D}},$$

where we have chosen the continuation of  $|\cdot|_{v_0}$  to  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  defined by  $|x|_{v_0} = |x|^{1/D}$ .

Denote by  $S'_\infty$  the set of all other infinite places on  $\mathbf{K}$  and by  $S_0$  the set of all finite places  $v$  on  $\mathbf{K}$  for which  $|\beta|_v \neq 1$ . For any  $v$  in  $S_0 \cup S'_\infty$ , set  $L_{1,v}(x, y) = x$  and  $L_{2,v}(x, y) = y$ . Denote by  $S$  the union of  $S_0$  and the infinite places on  $\mathbf{K}$ . Clearly, for any  $v$  in  $S$ , the linear forms  $L_{1,v}$  and  $L_{2,v}$  are linearly independent.

To simplify the exposition, set

$$\mathbf{x}_j = (\beta^{n_j}, -p_j).$$

We wish to estimate the product

$$\Pi_j := \prod_{v \in S} \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{|L_{i,v}(\mathbf{x}_j)|_v}{|\mathbf{x}_j|_v}$$

from above. Arguing exactly as in [2], we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_j &\ll n_j^D \beta^{-n_{j+1}/D} M(\beta)^{n_j/D} \prod_{v \in S} |\mathbf{x}_j|_v^{-2} \\ &\ll n_j^D \beta^{-n_{j+1}/D} M(\beta)^{n_j/D} H(\mathbf{x}_j)^{-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

since  $|\mathbf{x}_j|_v = 1$  if  $v$  does not belong to  $S$ .

Note that

$$\beta^{n_j} \ll H(\mathbf{x}_j) \ll n_j^D M(\beta)^{n_j}. \tag{28}$$

Let  $\rho$  denote a positive real number that is strictly smaller than the right-hand side of (2.1). Assume that there are arbitrarily large integers  $n$  such that

$$\text{nbd}_\beta(n, \alpha) \leq \rho \log n.$$

Consequently, there must be infinitely many indices  $j$  with

$$n_{j+1} \geq \exp\{\rho^{-1}\}n_j.$$

It then follows from (4.22) and (4.23) that there are a positive real number  $\varepsilon$  and arbitrarily large integers  $j$  such that

$$\Pi_j \ll H(\mathbf{x}_j)^{-2-\varepsilon}.$$

We then get infinitely many indices  $j$  such that  $p_j/\beta^{n_j}$  takes the same value. This contradicts the fact that the number of occurrences of a given element in the sequence  $(\alpha_j)_{j \geq 1}$  is bounded by an absolute constant, and proves Theorem 1.

From now on, we assume that  $M(\beta) = \beta$ . We infer from (4.22) and (4.23) that

$$\Pi_j \ll H(\mathbf{x}_j)^{-2-(n_{j+1}/n_j-1)/(2D)}$$

as soon as  $j$  is sufficiently large.

We need a suitable extension of Corollary 5.2 from [9] to conclude (unfortunately, the notations used in [9] differ from ours). Exactly as in the case when  $\beta$  is an integer, we do not have to consider a product of linear forms, but rather a system

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{1,v_0}(\mathbf{x}_j)|_{v_0} &\leq \kappa H(\mathbf{x}_j), & |L_{2,v_0}(\mathbf{x}_j)|_{v_0} &\leq H(\mathbf{x}_j)^{-\delta}, \\ |L_{1,v}(\mathbf{x}_j)|_v &\leq \kappa H(\mathbf{x}_j)^{-c_v}, & |L_{2,v}(\mathbf{x}_j)|_v &\leq H(\mathbf{x}_j)^\eta \quad (v \in S'_\infty), \\ |L_{1,v}(\mathbf{x}_j)|_v &\leq \kappa H(\mathbf{x}_j)^{-c_v}, & |L_{2,v}(\mathbf{x}_j)|_v &\leq 1 \quad (v \in S_0). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\kappa$  is a positive real number, the  $c_v$  are defined by  $|\beta|_v = \beta^{-c_v/D}$  and  $\delta = (s' + 1)\eta$ , where  $s'$  is the cardinality of  $S'_\infty$ . Observe that  $\sum_{v \in S'_\infty \cup S_0} c_v = 1$ . We do not work out the technical details. Everything goes along the same lines as in [9]. It remains to note that, by the general form of the Liouville inequality (as in [18], p. 83), we get that

$$|\alpha - \alpha_j| \gg \beta^{-Dn_j}.$$

This provides us with the needed extension of (12) and completes the sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.

## References

- [1] B. Adamczewski, *Transcendance « à la Liouville » de certains nombres réels*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **338** (2004), 511–514.
- [2] B. Adamczewski and Y. Bugeaud, *Dynamics for  $\beta$ -shifts and Diophantine approximation*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **27** (2007), 1695–1711.
- [3] D. H. Bailey, J. M. Borwein, R. E. Crandall and C. Pomerance, *On the binary expansions of algebraic numbers*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **16** (2004), 487–518.
- [4] A. Bertrand-Mathis, *Développements en base  $\theta$ , répartition modulo un de la suite  $(x\theta^n)_{n \geq 0}$ , langages codés et  $\theta$ -shift*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **114** (1986), 271–323.
- [5] F. Blanchard,  *$\beta$ -expansions and symbolic dynamics*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **65** (1989), 131–141.
- [6] D. Boyd, *Salem numbers of degree four have periodic expansions*. In: Théorie des nombres (Quebec, PQ, 1987), pp. 57–64, de Gruyter, 1989.
- [7] D. Boyd, *On the beta expansion for Salem numbers of degree 6*, Math. Comp. **65** (1996), 861–875.
- [8] Y. Bugeaud, *On the  $b$ -ary expansion of an algebraic number*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **118** (2007), 217–233.
- [9] Y. Bugeaud and J.-H. Evertse, *On two notions of complexity of algebraic numbers*, Acta Arith. **133** (2008), 221–250.
- [10] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, *Some new applications of the subspace theorem*, Compositio Math. **131** (2002), 319–340.

- [11] H. Locher, *On the number of good approximations of algebraic numbers by algebraic numbers of bounded degree*, Acta Arith. **89** (1999), 97–122.
- [12] A. Rényi, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **8** (1957), 477–493.
- [13] D. Ridout, *Rational approximations to algebraic numbers*, Mathematika **4** (1957), 125–131.
- [14] T. Rivoal, *On the bits counting function of real numbers*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **85** (2008), 95–111.
- [15] K. Schmidt, *On periodic expansions of Pisot and Salem numbers*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 269–278.
- [16] Th. Schneider, *Einführung in die transzendenten Zahlen*. Springer–Verlag, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg, 1957.
- [17] J.-L. Verger-Gaugry, *On gaps in Rényi  $\beta$ -expansions of unity and  $\beta$ -integers for  $\beta > 1$  an algebraic number*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), 2565–2579.
- [18] M. Waldschmidt, *Diophantine Approximation on Linear Algebraic Groups. Transcendence properties of the exponential function in several variables*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **326**. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2000.