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#### Abstract

Nathanson constructed asymptotic bases for the integers with prescribed representation functions, then asked how dense they can be. We can easily obtain an upper bound using a simple argument. In this paper, we will see this is indeed the best bound when we prescribe an arbitrary representation function.


## 1. Introduction

We will use the following notation and terminology. For sets of integers $A$ and $B$, any integer $t$ and a positive integer $h$, we define the sumset $A+B=\{a+b: a \in A, b \in$ $B\}$, the translation $A+t=\{a+t: a \in A\}$, and the dilation $h * A=\{h a: a \in A\}$. In particular, $2 A=A+A$. Then we define the representation function of $A$ as

$$
r_{A}(n)=\#\{(a, b): a, b \in A, a \leq b, a+b=n\}
$$

where $n$ is an integer. A set of integers $A$ is called an additive basis for the integers if $r_{A}(n) \geq 1$ for every integer $n$. If all but finitely many integers can be written as a sum of two elements from $A$, then $A$ is called an asymptotic basis for the integers. A set of integers $A$ is called a unique representation basis for the integers if $r_{A}(n)=1$ for every integer $n$. Also, the counting function for the set $A$ is

$$
A(y, x)=\#\{a \in A: y \leq a \leq x\}
$$

for real numbers $x$ and $y$.
For bases of the integers, Nathanson obtained the following:

[^0]Theorem 1. ([7, 6]) Let $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ be the set of nonnegative integers. Let $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0} \cup\{\infty\}$ be any function such that the set $f^{-1}(0)$ is a finite set.
(i) Let $\phi: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any nonnegative function such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x)=$ $\infty$. Then there exist uncountably many asymptotic bases $A$ of the integers such that $r_{A}(n)=f(n)$ for every integer $n$ and $A(-x, x) \leq \phi(x)$ for every $x \geq 0$.
(ii) There exist uncountably many asymptotic bases $A$ of the integers such that $r_{A}(n)=f(n)$ for every integer $n$ and $A(-x, x) \gg x^{1 / 3}$ for every sufficiently large $x$.

Note that, under our assumption, a finite set $f^{-1}(0)$ just means $A$ is an asymptotic basis. Cilleruelo and Nathanson [2] later improved the exponent $1 / 3$ in the second statement of Theorem 1 to $\sqrt{2}-1+o(1)$. Also, Luczak and Schoen [4] proved the second statement of Theorem 1 by showing that a set with a condition of Sidon type can be extended to a unique representation basis.

When a representation function is arbitrarily given, the first statement of Theorem 1 means an asymptotic basis for the integers can be as sparse as we want, and the second statement of Theorem 1 means we can achieve a certain thickness. Therefore, we want to consider the following general question: Given an arbitrary representation function, what are the possible thicknesses for asymptotic bases for the integers? This question was posed by Nathanson [6].

We can obtain an upper bound quite easily, as shown in [5]. Let $A$ be any set of integers with a bounded representation function $r_{A}(n) \leq r$ for some $r>0$ for every integer $n$. Take $k=A(-x, x)$. Then there are $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ ways to make $a_{i}+a_{j}$, where $a_{i}, a_{j}$ are in $A$ with $\left|a_{i}\right|,\left|a_{j}\right| \leq x$. All of these sums belong to the interval $[-2 x, 2 x]$ and each number in that interval is represented by $a_{i}+a_{j}$ at most $r$ times. Therefore,

$$
\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \leq r(4 x+1)
$$

and solving this for $k=A(-x, x)$ gives us $A(-x, x) \ll x^{1 / 2}$ for all $x>0$.
Since we are considering possible thicknesses for asymptotic bases with an arbitrary representation function given, the above argument provides us with an upper bound $x^{1 / 2}$ for possible thicknesses. Then the next question we might ask is, can we find a better upper bound, or is $x^{1 / 2}$ the best upper bound we can get? One way to find a better upper bound is to find a representation function which requires a better upper bound, just as above.

We might want to start with unique representation bases. Nathanson in [5] asked the following: Does there exist a number $\theta<1 / 2$ such that $A(-x, x) \leq x^{\theta}$
for every unique representation basis $A$ and for every sufficiently large $x$ ? This question was answered negatively by Chen [1].

Theorem 2. ([1]) For any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a unique representation basis $A$ for the integers such that for infinitely many positive integers $x$, we have

$$
A(-x, x) \geq x^{1 / 2-\epsilon}
$$

In this paper, we show that it is impossible to find a better upper bound for any representation function. Therefore, if we consider $A(-x, x)$ for infinitely many integers $x$ instead of all large $x, x^{1 / 2}$ is indeed the best upper bound.

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

From now on, $f$ will denote a function $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0} \cup\{\infty\}$ such that the set $f^{-1}(0)$ is a finite set. Then there exists a positive integer $d_{0}$ such that $f(n) \geq 1$ for every integer $n$ with $|n| \geq d_{0}$. Nathanson proved the following:

Lemma 3. ([7]) Given a function $f$ as above, there exists a sequence $U=\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of integers such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n)=\#\left\{k \geq 1: u_{k}=n\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. Let $A$ be a finite set of integers with $r_{A}(n) \leq f(n)$ for every integer $n$. Also assume that $0 \notin A$, and for every integer $n$,

$$
r_{A}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

for some integer $m$ which depends only on the set $A$. Then there exists a finite set of integers $B$ such that $A \subseteq B, 0 \notin B, r_{B}(n) \leq f(n)$ for every integer $n$, and

$$
r_{B}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

for every integer $n$.
Proof. If $r_{A}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=n\right\}$ for all $n$, then take $B=A$ and we are done. Otherwise, note that

$$
\#\left\{i \leq m: u_{i}=n\right\}=\#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

for all $n \neq u_{m+1}$ and

$$
\#\left\{i \leq m: u_{i}=u_{m+1}\right\}+1=\#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=u_{m+1}\right\} .
$$

If

$$
r_{A}(n)<\#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

for some $n$ as we are assuming now, since

$$
r_{A}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

for all $n$, we must have

$$
r_{A}\left(u_{m+1}\right)<\#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=u_{m+1}\right\} \leq f\left(u_{m+1}\right) .
$$

Let $d=\max \left\{d_{0},\left|u_{m+1}\right|,|a|\right.$ where $\left.a \in A\right\}$. Choose an integer $c>4 d$ if $u_{m+1} \geq 0$ and $c<-4 d$ if $u_{m+1}<0$. Note that $|c|>4 d$.

Let $B=A \cup\left\{-c, c+u_{m+1}\right\}$. Then $2 B$ has three parts:

$$
2 A, \quad A+\left\{-c, c+u_{m+1}\right\}, \quad\left\{-2 c, u_{m+1}, 2 c+2 u_{m+1}\right\}
$$

If $b \in 2 A$, then $-2 d \leq b \leq 2 d$. Let $a \in A$. Then if $u_{m+1} \geq 0$, we have $c>0$, and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
a-c & \leq d-4 d=-3 d \\
a+c+u_{m+1} & \geq-d+4 d+u_{m+1} \geq 3 d+u_{m+1} \geq 3 d
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $u_{m+1}<0$, we have $c<0$, and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
a-c & \geq-d+4 d=3 d \\
a+c+u_{m+1} & \leq d-4 d+u_{m+1}=-3 d+u_{m+1} \leq-3 d
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
2 A \cap A+\left\{-c, c+u_{m+1}\right\}=\emptyset
$$

Also, each element of $A+\left\{-c, c+u_{m+1}\right\}$ has a unique representation in the form of $a+\left\{-c, c+u_{m+1}\right\}, a \in A$, and the same is true for $\left\{-2 c, u_{m+1}, 2 c+2 u_{m+1}\right\}$ in the form of $\left\{-c, c+u_{m+1}\right\}+\left\{-c, c+u_{m+1}\right\}$. And

$$
\begin{aligned}
|-2 c| & =2|c|>8 d \\
\left|2 c+2 u_{m+1}\right| & =2\left|c+u_{m+1}\right| \geq 2|c| \geq 8 d
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $2 A \cap\left\{-2 c, 2 c+2 u_{m+1}\right\}=\emptyset$ (recall that $c$ and $u_{m+1}$ have the same sign). Also note that $A+\left\{-c, c+u_{m+1}\right\}$ and $\left\{-2 c, u_{m+1}, 2 c+2 u_{m+1}\right\}$ are disjoint. To see this, for example, if $a-c=2 c+2 u_{m+1}$ for some $a \in A$, then $a=3 c+2 u_{m+1}$ so $|a|=\left|3 c+2 u_{m+1}\right| \geq|3 c|>12 d$, giving us a contradiction. Other cases are similar.

Thus, we have

$$
r_{B}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
r_{A}(n)+1 & \text { if } n=u_{m+1} \\
r_{A}(n) & \text { if } n \in 2 A \backslash\left\{u_{m+1}\right\} \\
1 & \text { if } n \in 2 B \backslash\left\{2 A \cup\left\{u_{m+1}\right\}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, we have $r_{A}\left(u_{m+1}\right)<f\left(u_{m+1}\right)$, so

$$
r_{B}\left(u_{m+1}\right)=r_{A}\left(u_{m+1}\right)+1 \leq f\left(u_{m+1}\right)
$$

If $n \in 2 B \backslash\left\{2 A \cup\left\{u_{m+1}\right\}\right\}$, then $|n| \geq d_{0}$, so $f(n) \geq 1=r_{B}(n)$. Thus, $r_{B}(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n$.

Now, $r_{A}\left(u_{m+1}\right) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m: u_{i}=u_{m+1}\right\}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{A}\left(u_{m+1}\right)+1 & \geq \#\left\{i \leq m: u_{i}=u_{m+1}\right\}+1 \\
& =\#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=u_{m+1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore,

$$
r_{B}\left(u_{m+1}\right) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=u_{m+1}\right\}
$$

If $n \in 2 A \backslash\left\{u_{m+1}\right\}$, then

$$
r_{B}(n)=r_{A}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m: u_{i}=n\right\}=\#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

If $n \in 2 B \backslash\left\{2 A \cup\left\{u_{m+1}\right\}\right\}$, then

$$
0=r_{A}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m: u_{i}=n\right\}=\#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

So

$$
0=\#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=n\right\} \leq 1=r_{B}(n)
$$

Thus,

$$
r_{B}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq m+1: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

for all $n$.
Lemma 5. Let $A$ be a finite set of integers with $r_{A}(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n$, and $0 \notin A$. Let $\phi(x): \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative function such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x)=\infty$. Then for any $M>0$, there exists an integer $x>M$ and a finite set of integers $B$ with $0 \notin B, A \subseteq B, r_{B}(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n$, and $B(-x, x)>\sqrt{x} / \phi(x)$.

Proof. It is well-known that there exists a Sidon set $D \subseteq[1, n]$ such that $|D|=$ $n^{1 / 2}+o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$ (see, for example, [3, section 2.3]). Choose an integer $x$ which satisfies the following:

1. $\phi(x)>M+\sqrt{20 T}$ where $T=\max \left\{d_{0},|a|\right.$ where $\left.a \in A\right\}$,
2. $x$ is a multiple of $5 T$,
3. $x>M$,
4. If $n$ is large enough, $|D|=\sqrt{n}+o(\sqrt{n})>\sqrt{n} / 2$. Let $x$ be large enough so that $n=x / 5 T$ would be large enough to satisfy the above.

Let $B=A \cup\{5 T d: d \in D\}$ where $D \subseteq[1, n]$ with $n=x / 5 T$ as above. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(-x, x) & \geq B(0, x) \geq D(1, n)=|D| \\
& >\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{x}{5 T}}=\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{20 T}}>\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\phi(x)-M}>\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\phi(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, note that $2 B$ has three parts:

$$
2 A, A+5 T d \text { for } d \in D, \text { and } 5 T\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) \text { for } d_{1}, d_{2} \in D
$$

As earlier, we have $2 A \cap(A+5 T * D)=\emptyset$ and $2 A \cap\left\{5 T\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right): d_{1}, d_{2} \in D\right\}=\emptyset$. Now, if $a+5 T d_{1}=5 T\left(d_{2}+d_{3}\right)$ for $a \in A, d_{i} \in D$, then $|a|=5 T\left|d_{2}+d_{3}-d_{1}\right|$. If $\left|d_{2}+d_{3}-d_{1}\right|=0$, then $a=0 \in A$, a contradiction. And if $\left|d_{2}+d_{3}-d_{1}\right| \geq 1$, then $|a| \geq 5 T$, a contradiction. Thus

$$
(A+5 T * D) \cap\left\{5 T\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right): d_{1}, d_{2} \in D\right\}=\emptyset
$$

If $a_{1}+5 T d_{1}=a_{2}+5 T d_{2}$ for $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A, d_{1}, d_{2} \in D$, then $\left|a_{1}-a_{2}\right|=5 T\left|d_{1}-d_{2}\right|$. As above, this cannot happen unless $a_{1}=a_{2}$ and $d_{1}=d_{2}$. And if $5 T\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)=$ $5 T\left(d_{3}+d_{4}\right)$ for $d_{i} \in D$ with $d_{1} \leq d_{2}, d_{3} \leq d_{4}$, then $d_{1}+d_{2}=d_{3}+d_{4}$. Since $D$ is a Sidon set, we have $d_{1}=d_{3}, d_{2}=d_{4}$. Thus we have

$$
r_{B}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
r_{A}(n) & \text { if } n \in 2 A \\
1 & \text { if } n \in 2 B \backslash 2 A
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $n \in 2 B \backslash 2 A, \quad n \geq T \geq d_{0}$, so $f(n) \geq 1=r_{B}(n)$. Thus, $r_{B}(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n$.

## 3. Main Result

Theorem 6. Let $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0} \cup\{\infty\}$ be a function where $f^{-1}(0)$ is a finite set. Let $\phi: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any nonnegative function with $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x)=\infty$. Then there exists an asymptotic basis $A$ for the integers such that $r_{A}(n)=f(n)$ for all $n$, and for infinitely many positive integers $x$, we have $A(-x, x)>\sqrt{x} / \phi(x)$.

Proof. Recall that given such a function $f$, we have $d_{0}$ and $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ as defined in Section 2. We use induction to get an infinite sequence of finite sets of integers $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq \cdots$ and a sequence of positive integers $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with $x_{i+1}>x_{i}$ such that, for every $j$,
(i) $r_{A_{j}}(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n$,
(ii) $r_{A_{2 j-2}}(n), r_{A_{2 j-1}}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq j: u_{i}=n\right\}$ for all $n$,
(iii) $A_{2 j-1}\left(-x_{j}, x_{j}\right)>\sqrt{x_{j}} / \phi\left(x_{j}\right)$,
(iv) $0 \notin A_{j}$.

If $u_{1} \geq 0$, take $c=4 d_{0}>0$. If $u_{1}<0$, take $c=-4 d_{0}<0$. Let $\alpha=\left|2 c+2 u_{1}\right|>$ 0 (thus $\left.\alpha>|c|,\left|u_{1}\right|, d_{0}\right)$. As before, if $n$ is large enough, there exists a Sidon set $D \subseteq[1, n]$ such that $|D|>\sqrt{n} / 2$. Take such an integer $n$ which also satisfies $\phi(3 \alpha n)>2 \sqrt{3 \alpha}$.

Take $A_{1}=3 \alpha * D \cup\left\{-c, c+u_{1}\right\}$ and $x_{1}=3 \alpha n$. Then $2 A_{1}$ has three parts:

$$
2(3 \alpha * D), \quad 3 \alpha * D+\left\{-c, c+u_{1}\right\}, \quad\left\{-2 c, u_{1}, 2 c+2 u_{1}\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that these are pairwise disjoint(for example, if $3 \alpha d-c=2 c+2 u_{1}$, then $3 \alpha d=3 c+2 u_{1}$, so $3 \alpha \leq\left|3 c+2 u_{1}\right|<\left|4 c+4 u_{1}\right|=2 \alpha$, a contradiction). If $3 \alpha d_{1}-c=3 \alpha d_{2}+c+u_{1}$, then $2 c+u_{1}=3 \alpha\left(d_{1}-d_{2}\right)$. If $d_{1} \neq d_{2}$, then $\left|2 c+u_{1}\right| \geq 3 \alpha$ but $\left|2 c+u_{1}\right|<\left|2 c+2 u_{1}\right|=\alpha$. So $d_{1}=d_{2}$. Then $-c=c+u_{1}$, so $-2 c=u_{1}$, a contradiction. Thus,

$$
r_{A_{1}}(n)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n \in 2 A_{1} \\ 0 & \text { if } n \notin 2 A_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Now, $3 \alpha d-c \geq 3 \alpha-\alpha=2 \alpha$, and also $3 \alpha d+c+u_{1} \geq 3 \alpha-\alpha-\alpha=\alpha$. So if $n \in 2 A_{1} \backslash\left\{u_{1}\right\}$ then $|n| \geq d_{0}$, so $f(n) \geq 1$. And if $n=u_{1}$, then by the definition of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$, we have $f\left(u_{1}\right)=\#\left\{k: u_{k}=u_{1}\right\} \geq 1$. Thus, for all $n \in 2 A_{1}$, $r_{A_{1}}(n)=1 \leq f(n)$. If $n \notin 2 A_{1}, \quad r_{A_{1}}(n)=0 \leq f(n)$. Therefore, for all $n$, $r_{A_{1}}(n) \leq f(n)$. Now, we have $1=r_{A_{1}}\left(u_{1}\right) \geq \#\left\{i \leq 1: u_{i}=u_{1}\right\}$. For other $n \neq u_{1}$, $r_{A_{1}}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq 1: u_{i}=n\right\}=0$. Thus, $r_{A_{1}}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq 1: u_{i}=n\right\}$ for all $n$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}\left(-x_{1}, x_{1}\right) & \geq A_{1}(1,3 \alpha n) \geq D(1, n)=|D| \\
& >\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3 \alpha n}}{2 \sqrt{3 \alpha}}>\frac{\sqrt{3 \alpha n}}{\phi(3 \alpha n)}=\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}}}{\phi\left(x_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $A_{1}$ satisfies all of the Conditions (i) through (iv) above.

Now, suppose we have $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_{2 l-1}$ and $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{l}$. By Lemma 4, there exists $A_{2 l}$ such that $A_{2 l-1} \subseteq A_{2 l}$, with $r_{A_{2 l}}(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n$ and

$$
r_{A_{2 l}}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq l+1: u_{i}=n\right\}
$$

for all $n$, and $0 \notin A_{2 l}$. Thus, $A_{2 l}$ satisfies Conditions (i), (ii), and (iv). Now, by Lemma 5, there exists an integer $x_{l+1}>x_{l}$ and $A_{2 l+1}$ with $0 \notin A_{2 l+1}, A_{2 l} \subseteq A_{2 l+1}$, $r_{A_{2 l+1}}(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n$, and

$$
A_{2 l+1}\left(-x_{l+1}, x_{l+1}\right)>\frac{\sqrt{x_{l+1}}}{\phi\left(x_{l+1}\right)} .
$$

Also, $r_{A_{2 l+1}}(n) \geq r_{A_{2 l}}(n) \geq \#\left\{i \leq l+1: u_{i}=n\right\}$ for all $n$. Thus, $A_{2 l+1}$ satisfies Conditions 3 through 3 .

Now, let $A=\cup_{l=1}^{\infty} A_{l}$. By Conditions (i) and (ii), $r_{A}(n)=f(n)$ for all $n$ and

$$
A\left(-x_{k}, x_{k}\right) \geq A_{2 k-1}\left(-x_{k}, x_{k}\right)>\frac{\sqrt{x_{k}}}{\phi\left(x_{k}\right)}
$$

for all $k$.
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