

# ON THE LEAST COMMON MULTIPLE OF Q-BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

Victor J. W. Guo<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Received: 1/11/10, Accepted: 3/16/10, Published: 7/19/10

## Abstract

We first prove the following identity

$$\operatorname{lcm}\left(\begin{bmatrix}n\\0\end{bmatrix}_q,\begin{bmatrix}n\\1\end{bmatrix}_q,\ldots,\begin{bmatrix}n\\n\end{bmatrix}_q\right) = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}([1]_q,[2]_q,\ldots,[n+1]_q)}{[n+1]_q},$$

where  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$  denotes the q-binomial coefficient and  $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ . Then we show that this identity is indeed a q-analogue of that of B. Farhi.

### 1. Introduction

An equivalent form of the prime number theorem states that  $\log \operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \ldots, n) \sim n$ as  $n \to \infty$  (see, for example, [4]). Nair [7] gave a nice proof for the well-known estimate  $\operatorname{lcm}\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \geq 2^{n-1}$ , while Hanson [3] already obtained  $\operatorname{lcm}\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \leq 3^n$ . Recently, Farhi [1] established the following interesting result.

**Theorem 1** (Farhi) For any positive integer n, there holds

$$\operatorname{lcm}\left(\binom{n}{0}, \binom{n}{1}, \dots, \binom{n}{n}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, n+1)}{n+1}.$$
(1)

As an application, Farhi shows that the inequality  $lcm\{1, 2, ..., n\} \ge 2^{n-1}$  follows immediately from (1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work was partially supported by Shanghai Educational Development Foundation under the Chenguang Project (#2007CG29), Shanghai Rising-Star Program (#09QA1401700), Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (#B407), and the National Science Foundation of China (#10801054).

The purpose of this note is to give a q-analogue of (1) by using cyclotomic polynomials. Recall that a natural q-analogue of the nonnegative integer n is given by  $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ . The corresponding q-factorial is  $[n]_q! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q$  and the q-binomial coefficient  $\begin{bmatrix} M \\ N \end{bmatrix}_q$  is defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} M\\ N \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{[M]_q!}{[N]_q![M-N]_q!}, & \text{if } 0 \le N \le M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let lcm also denote the least common multiple of a sequence of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ . Our main results can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 2** For any positive integer n, there holds

$$\operatorname{lcm}\left( \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{q}, \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{q}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{q} \right) = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}([1]_{q}, [2]_{q}, \dots, [n+1]_{q})}{[n+1]_{q}}.$$
 (2)

**Theorem 3** The identity (2) is a q-analogue of Farhi's identity (1), i.e.,

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \operatorname{lcm}\left( \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q, \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q \right) = \operatorname{lcm}\left( \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} n \\ n \end{pmatrix} \right), \tag{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{\operatorname{lcm}([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n+1]_q)}{[n+1]_q} = \frac{\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, n+1)}{n+1}.$$
(4)

Although it is clear that

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \binom{n}{k},$$

the identities (3) and (4) are not trivial. For example, we have

$$4 = \lim_{q \to 1} \operatorname{lcm} \left( 1 + q, 1 + q^2 \right) \neq \operatorname{lcm} \left( \lim_{q \to 1} (1 + q), \lim_{q \to 1} (1 + q^2) \right) = 2.$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $\Phi_n(x)$  be the *n*-th cyclotomic polynomial. The following easily proved result can be found in [5, (10)] and [2].

INTEGERS: 10 (2010)

**Lemma 4** The q-binomial coefficient  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$  can be factorized into

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_d \Phi_d(q),$$

where the product is over all positive integers  $d \le n$  such that  $\lfloor k/d \rfloor + \lfloor (n-k)/d \rfloor < \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ .

**Lemma 5** Let n and d be two positive integers with  $n \ge d$ . Then there exists at least one positive integer k such that

$$\lfloor k/d \rfloor + \lfloor (n-k)/d \rfloor < \lfloor n/d \rfloor \tag{5}$$

if and only if d does not divide n + 1.

*Proof.* Suppose that (5) holds for some positive integer k. Let

$$k \equiv a \pmod{d}, \qquad (n-k) \equiv b \pmod{d}$$

for some  $1 \le a, b \le d-1$ . Then  $n \equiv a+b \pmod{d}$  and  $d \le a+b \le 2d-2$ . Namely,  $n+1 \equiv a+b+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $n+1 \equiv c \pmod{d}$  for some  $1 \le c \le d-1$ . Then k = c satisfies (5). This completes the proof.  $\Box$ *Proof of Theorem 2.* By Lemma 4, we have

$$\operatorname{lcm}\left(\begin{bmatrix}n\\0\end{bmatrix}_{q}, \begin{bmatrix}n\\1\end{bmatrix}_{q}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix}n\\n\end{bmatrix}_{q}\right) = \prod_{d} \Phi_{d}(q), \tag{6}$$

where the product is over all positive integers  $d \le n$  such that for some k  $(1 \le k \le n)$  there holds  $\lfloor k/d \rfloor + \lfloor (n-k)/d \rfloor < \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ . On the other hand, since

$$[k]_q = \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1} = \prod_{d|k, d > 1} \Phi_d(q),$$

we have

$$\frac{\operatorname{lcm}([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n+1]_q)}{[n+1]_q} = \prod_{d \le n, \ d \nmid (n+1)} \Phi_d(q).$$
(7)

By Lemma 5, one sees that the right-hand sides of (6) and (7) are equal. This proves the theorem.  $\hfill \Box$ 

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 3

We need the following property.

Lemma 6 For any positive integer n, there holds

$$\Phi_n(1) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } n = p^r \text{ is a prime power,} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. See for example [6, p. 160].

In view of (6), we have

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \operatorname{lcm}\left( \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q, \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q \right) = \prod_d \Phi_d(1), \tag{8}$$

where the product is over all positive integers  $d \le n$  such that for some k  $(1 \le k \le n)$  there holds  $\lfloor k/d \rfloor + \lfloor (n-k)/d \rfloor < \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ . By Lemma 6, the right-hand side of (8) can be written as

$$\prod_{\text{primes } p \leq n} p^{\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \{\lfloor n/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor k/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k)/p^r \rfloor\}}.$$
(9)

We now claim that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \le k \le n} \left\{ \lfloor n/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor k/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k)/p^r \rfloor \right\}$$
$$= \max_{0 \le k \le n} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( \lfloor n/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor k/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k)/p^r \rfloor \right).$$
(10)

Let  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{M} a_i p^i$ , where  $0 \le a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_M \le p-1$  and  $a_M \ne 0$ . By Lemma 5, the left-hand side of (10) (denoted LHS(10)) is equal to the number of r's such that  $p^r \le n$  and  $p^r \nmid n+1$ . It follows that

$$LHS(10) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = p^{M+1} - 1, \\ M - \min\{i \colon a_i \neq p - 1\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the right-hand side of (10) is less than or equal to LHS(10). If  $n = p^{M+1} - 1$ , then both sides of (10) are equal to 0. Assume that  $n \neq p^{M+1} - 1$  and  $i_0 = \min\{i: a_i \neq p - 1\}$ . Taking  $k = p^M - 1$ , we have

$$\lfloor n/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor k/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k)/p^r \rfloor = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r = 1, \dots, i_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } r = i_0 + 1, \dots, M, \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( \lfloor n/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor k/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k)/p^r \rfloor \right) = M - i_0.$$

Thus (10) holds. Namely, the expression (9) is equal to

$$\prod_{\text{primes } p \leq n} p^{\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (\lfloor n/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor k/p^r \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k)/p^r \rfloor)} = \operatorname{lcm}\left(\binom{n}{0}, \binom{n}{1}, \dots, \binom{n}{n}\right).$$

This proves (3). To prove (4), we apply (7) to get

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{\operatorname{lcm}([1]_q, [2]_q, \dots, [n+1]_q)}{[n+1]_q} = \prod_{d \le n, \ d \nmid (n+1)} \Phi_d(1),$$

which, by Lemma 6, is clearly equal to

$$\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(1,2,\ldots,n+1)}{n+1}.$$

Finally, we mention that (10) has the following interesting conclusion.

**Corollary 7** Let p be a prime number and let  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m \leq n, r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_m$  be positive integers such that

$$\lfloor n/p^{r_i} \rfloor - \lfloor k_i/p^{r_i} \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k_i)/p^{r_i} \rfloor = 1 \quad for \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Then there exists a positive integer  $k \leq n$  such that

$$\lfloor n/p^{r_i} \rfloor - \lfloor k/p^{r_i} \rfloor - \lfloor (n-k)/p^{r_i} \rfloor = 1 \quad for \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

## References

- [1] B. Farhi, An identity involving the least common multiple of binomial coefficients and its application, Amer. Math. Monthly **116** (2009), 836–839.
- [2] V.J.W. Guo and J. Zeng, Some arithmetic properties of the q-Euler numbers and q-Salié numbers, European J. Combin. 27 (2006), 884–895.
- [3] D. Hanson, On the product of primes, Canad. Math. Bull. 15 (1972), 33-37.
- [4] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, The Theory of Numbers, 5th Ed., Oxford University Press, London, 1979.

- [5] D. Knuth and H. Wilf, The power of a prime that divides a generalized binomial coefficient, J. Reine Angew. Math. 396 (1989), 212–219.
- [6] T. Nagell, Introduction to Number Theory, Wiley, New York, 1951.
- [7] M. Nair, On Chebyshev-type inequalities for primes, Amer. Math. Monthly 89 (1982), 126– 129.