Linear Point Sets and Rédei Type k-blocking Sets in PG(n, q)

L. STORME* ls@cage.rug.ac.be Dept. of Pure Maths and Computer Algebra, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281, 9000 Gent, Belgium

P. SZIKLAI[†]

Technical University Budapest, Pázmány P. sétany 1/d, Budapest, Hungary, H-1117

sziklai@cs.bme.hu

.

Received June 21, 2000; Revised May 16, 2001

Abstract. In this paper, *k*-blocking sets in PG(n, q), being of Rédei type, are investigated. A standard method to construct Rédei type *k*-blocking sets in PG(n, q) is to construct a cone having as base a Rédei type *k*'-blocking set in a subspace of PG(n, q). But also other Rédei type *k*-blocking sets in PG(n, q), which are not cones, exist. We give in this article a condition on the parameters of a Rédei type *k*-blocking set of $PG(n, q = p^h)$, *p* a prime power, which guarantees that the Rédei type *k*-blocking set is a cone. This condition is sharp. We also show that small Rédei type *k*-blocking sets are linear.

Keywords: Rédei type k-blocking sets, directions of functions, linear point sets

1. Introduction

There is a continuously growing theory on Rédei type blocking sets and their applications, also on the set of directions determined by the graph of a function or (as over a finite field every function is) a polynomial; the intimate connection of these two topics is obvious.

Throughout this paper AG(n, q) and PG(n, q) denote the affine and the projective space of *n* dimensions over the Galois field GF(q) where $q = p^h$, *p* a prime power. We consider PG(n, q) as the union of AG(n, q) and the 'hyperplane at infinity' H_{∞} . A point set in PG(n, q) is called *affine* if it lies in AG(n, q), while a subspace of PG(n, q) is called *affine* if it is not contained in H_{∞} . So in this sense an affine line has one infinite point on it. Let $\theta_n = |PG(n, q)|$.

A *k*-blocking set $B \subset PG(n, q)$ is a set of points intersecting every (n - k)-dimensional subspace, it is called *trivial* if it contains a *k*-dimensional subspace. A point $b \in B$ is *essential* if $B \setminus \{b\}$ is no longer a *k*-blocking set (so there is an (n - k)-subspace *L* intersecting *B* in *b* only, such an (n - k)-subspace can be called a *tangent*); *B* is *minimal* if all its points are essential. Note that for n = 2 and k = 1 we get the classical planar blocking sets.

^{*}http://cage.rug.ac.be/~ls

[†]Research was partially supported by OTKA F030737, T 029255, D 32817 and Eötvös grants. The second author is also grateful for the hospitality of the Ghent University where this work was done.

Definition 1 We say that a set of points $U \subset AG(n, q)$ determines the direction $d \in H_{\infty}$, if there is an affine line through *d* meeting *U* in at least two points. Denote by *D* the set of determined directions. Finally, let N = |D|, the number of determined directions.

We will always suppose that $|U| = q^k$. Now we show the connection between directions and blocking sets:

Proposition 2 If $U \subseteq AG(n, q)$, $|U| = q^k$, then U together with the infinite points corresponding to directions in D form a k-blocking set in PG(n, q). If the set D does not form a k-blocking set in H_{∞} then all the points of U are essential.

Proof: Any infinite (n - k)-subspace $H_{n-k} \subset H_{\infty}$ is blocked by D: there are q^{k-1} (disjoint) affine (n - k + 1)-spaces through H_{n-k} , and in any of them, which has at least two points in U, a determined direction of $D \cap H_{n-k}$ is found.

Let $H_{n-k-1} \subset H_{\infty}$ and consider the affine (n-k)-subspaces through it. If $D \cap H_{n-k-1} \neq \emptyset$ then they are all blocked. If H_{n-k-1} does not contain any point of D, then every affine (n-k)-subspace through it must contain exactly one point of U (as if one contained at least two then the direction determined by them would fall into $D \cap H_{n-k-1}$), so they are blocked again. So $U \cup D$ blocks all affine (n-k)-subspaces and all the points of U are essential when D does not form a k-blocking set in H_{∞} .

Unfortunately in general it may happen that some points of *D* are non-essential. If *D* is not too big (i.e. $|D| \le q^k$, similarly to planar blocking sets) then it is never the case.

Proposition 3 If $|D| < \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q^{n-k-1}-1}$, then all the points of D are essential.

Proof: Take any point $P \in D$. The number of (n - k - 1)-subspaces through P in H_{∞} is $\frac{\theta_{n-2}\theta_{n-3}...\theta_k}{\theta_{n-k-2}\theta_{n-k-3}...\theta_1\cdot 1}$. Any other $Q \in D \setminus \{P\}$ blocks at most $\frac{\theta_{n-3}...\theta_k}{\theta_{n-k-3}...\theta_1\cdot 1}$ of them. So some affine (n - k)-subspace through one of those infinite (n - k - 1)-subspaces containing P only, will be a tangent at P.

The k-blocking set B arising in this way has the property that it meets a hyperplane in $|B| - q^k$ points. On the other hand, if a minimal k-blocking set of size $\leq 2q^k$ meets a hyperplane in $|B| - q^k$ points then, after deleting this hyperplane, we find a set of points in the affine space determining these $|B| - q^k$ directions, so the following notion is more or less equivalent to a point set plus its directions: a k-blocking set B is of Rédei type if it meets a hyperplane in $|B| - q^k$ points. We remark that the theory developed by Rédei in his book [4] is highly related to these blocking sets. Minimal k-blocking sets of Rédei type are in a sense extremal examples, as for any (non-trivial) minimal k-blocking set B and hyperplane H, where H intersects B in a set $H \cap B$ which is not a k-blocking set in $H, |B \setminus H| \geq q^k$ holds.

Since the arising k-blocking set has size $q^k + |D|$, in order to find small k-blocking sets we will have to look for sets determining a small number of directions.

Hence the main problem is to classify sets determining few directions, which is equivalent to classifying small *k*-blocking sets of Rédei type. A strong motivation for the investigations

222

is, that in the planar case, A. Blokhuis, S. Ball, A. Brouwer, L. Storme and T. Szőnyi classified blocking sets of Rédei type, with size $< q + \frac{q+3}{2}$, almost completely:

Result 4[1] Let $U \cup D$ be a minimal blocking set of Rédei type in PG(2, q), $q = p^h$, $U \subset AG(2, q)$, |U| = q, D is the set of directions determined by U, N = |D|. Let e (with $0 \le e \le h$) be the largest integer such that each line with slope in D meets U in a multiple of p^e points. Then we have one of the following:

(i) e = 0 and $(q + 3)/2 \le N \le q + 1$,

(ii) $e = 1, p = 2, and (q + 5)/3 \le N \le q - 1,$ (iii) $p^e > 2, e \mid h, and q/p^e + 1 \le N \le (q - 1)/(p^e - 1),$ (iv) e = h and N = 1.

Moreover, if $p^e > 3$ or $(p^e = 3 \text{ and } N = q/3 + 1)$, then U is a $GF(p^e)$ -linear subspace, and all possibilities for N can be determined explicitly.

We call a *Rédei* k-blocking set B of PG(n, q) small when $|B| \le q^k + \frac{q+3}{2}q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + q^{k-3} + \cdots + q$. These small Rédei k-blocking sets will be studied in detail in the next sections.

It is our goal to study the following problem. A small Rédei *k*-blocking set in PG(n, q) can be obtained by constructing a cone with vertex a (k - 2)-dimensional subspace $\prod_{k=2}$ in PG(n, q) and with base a small Rédei blocking set in a plane $\prod_{k=2}^{\prime}$ skew to $\prod_{k=2}$.

However, these are not the only examples of small k-blocking sets in PG(n, q). For instance, the subgeometry PG(2k, q) of $PG(n = 2k, q^2)$ is a small k-blocking set of $PG(2k, q^2)$, and this is not a cone.

We give a condition (Theorem 16) on the parameters of the small Rédei *k*-blocking set in PG(n, q) which guarantees that this small Rédei *k*-blocking set is a cone; so that the exact description of this *k*-blocking set is reduced to that of the base of the cone.

This condition is also sharp since the k-blocking set PG(2k, q) in $PG(2k, q^2)$ can be used to show that the conditions imposed on n, k and h in Theorem 16 cannot be weakened.

To obtain this result, we first of all prove that small Rédei *k*-blocking sets *B* of PG(n, q) are linear (Corollary 12). In this way, our results also contribute to the study of *linear k*-blocking sets in PG(n, q) discussed by Lunardon [3].

Warning In the remaining part of this paper we always suppose that the conditions of the "moreover" part of Result 4 are fulfilled.

2. *k*-blocking sets of Rédei type

Proposition 5 Let $U \subset AG(n, q)$, $|U| = q^k$, and let $D \subseteq H_\infty$ be the set of directions determined by U. Then for any point $d \in D$ one can find an (n - 2)-dimensional subspace $W \subseteq H_\infty$, $d \in W$, such that $D \cap W$ blocks all the (n - k - 1)-dimensional subspaces of W.

The proposition can be formulated equivalently in this way: D is a union of some B_1, \ldots, B_t , each one of them being a (k-1)-blocking set of a projective subspace W_1, \ldots, W_t resp., of dimension n-2, all contained in H_{∞} .

Proof: The proof goes by induction; for any point $d \in D$ we find a series of subspaces $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_{n-1} \subset AG(n, q)$, $\dim(S_r) = r$ such that $s_r = |S_r \cap U| \ge q^{k-n+r} + 1$ and *d* is the direction determined by S_1 . Then, using the pigeon hole principle, after the *r*-th step we know that all the (n - k - 1)-dimensional subspaces of $S_r \cap H_\infty$ are blocked by the directions determined by points in S_r , as there are q^{k-n+r} disjoint affine (n - k)-subspaces through any of them in S_r , so at least one of them contains 2 points of $U \cap S_r$.

For r = 1 it is obvious as d is determined by at least $2 = q^0 + 1 \ge q^{k-n+1} + 1$ points of some line S_1 . Then for r + 1 consider the $\frac{q^{n-r}-1}{q-1}$ subspaces of dimension r + 1 through S_r , then at least one of them contains at least

$$s_r + \frac{q^k - s_r}{\frac{q^{n-r} - 1}{q - 1}} = q^{k+1-n+r} + \frac{(s_r - q^{k-n+r})(q^{n-r} - q)}{q^{n-r} - 1} > q^{k+1-n+r}$$

points of U.

Corollary 6 For k = n - 1 it follows that D is the union of some (n - 2)-dimensional subspaces of H_{∞} .

Observation 7 A projective triangle in PG(2, q), q odd, is a blocking set of size 3(q+1)/2 projectively equivalent to the set of points {(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), $(0, 1, a_0)$, $(1, 0, a_1)$, $(-a_2, 1, 0)$ }, where a_0, a_1, a_2 are non-zero squares [2, Lemma 13.6]. The sides of the triangle defined by (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1) all contain (q+3)/2 points of the projective triangle, so it is a Rédei blocking set.

A cone, with a (k-2)-dimensional vertex at H_{∞} and with the q points of a planar projective triangle, not lying on one of those sides of the triangle, as a base, has q^k affine points and it determines $\frac{q+3}{2}q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + q^{k-3} + \cdots + q + 1$ directions.

Lemma 8 Let $U \subset AG(n, q)$, $|U| = q^{n-1}$, and let $D \subseteq H_{\infty}$ be the set of directions determined by U. If $H_k \subseteq H_{\infty}$ is a k-dimensional subspace not completely contained in D then each of the affine (k + 1)-dimensional subspaces through it intersects U in exactly q^k points.

Proof: There are q^{n-1-k} mutually disjoint affine (k + 1)-dimensional subspaces through H_k . If one contained less than q^k points from U then some other would contain more than q^k points (as the average is just q^k), which would imply by the pigeon hole principle that $H_k \subseteq D$, contradiction.

Theorem 9 Let $U \subset AG(n,q)$, $|U| = q^{n-1}$, and let $D \subseteq H_{\infty}$ be the set of directions determined by U. Suppose $|D| \le \frac{q+3}{2}q^{n-2} + q^{n-3} + q^{n-4} + \cdots + q^2 + q$. Then for any affine line ℓ either

(i) $|U \cap \ell| = 1$ (iff $\ell \cap H_{\infty} \notin D$), or

(ii) $|U \cap \ell| \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$ for some $e = e_{\ell}|h$.

(iii) Moreover, in the second case the point set $U \cap \ell$ is $GF(p^e)$ -linear, so if we consider the point at infinity p_{∞} of ℓ ; two other affine points p_0 and p_1 of $U \cap \ell$, with $p_1 = p_0 + p_{\infty}$, then all points $p_0 + xp_{\infty}$, with $x \in GF(p^e)$, belong to $U \cap \ell$.

Proof: (i) A direction is not determined iff each affine line through it contains exactly one point of U. (ii) Let $|U \cap \ell| \ge 2$, $d = \ell \cap H_{\infty}$. Then, from Corollary 6, there exists an (n-2)-dimensional subspace $H \subset D$, $d \in H$. There are q^{n-2} lines through d in $H_{\infty} \setminus H$, so at least one of them has at most

$$\leq \frac{|D| - |H|}{q^{n-2}} \leq \frac{\frac{q+1}{2}q^{n-2} - 1}{q^{n-2}} = \frac{q+1}{2} - \frac{1}{q^{n-2}}$$

points of *D*, different from *d*. In the plane spanned by this line and ℓ we have exactly *q* points of *U*, determining less than $\frac{q+3}{2}$ directions. So we can use Result 4 for (ii) and (iii).

Corollary 10 Under the hypothesis of the previous theorem, U is a $GF(p^e)$ -linear set for some $e \mid h$.

Proof: Take the greatest common divisor of the values e_{ℓ} appearing in the theorem for each affine line ℓ with more than one point in U.

The preceding result also means that for any set of affine points ('vectors') $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_t\}$ in U, and $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_t \in GF(p^e)$, $\sum_{i=1}^t c_i = 1$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^t c_i a_i \in U$ as well. This is true for t = 2 by the corollary, and for t > 2 we can combine them two by two, using induction, like

$$c_{1}a_{1} + \dots + c_{t}a_{t}$$

= $(c_{1} + \dots + c_{t-1})\left(\frac{c_{1}}{c_{1} + \dots + c_{t-1}}a_{1} + \dots + \frac{c_{t-1}}{c_{1} + \dots + c_{t-1}}a_{t-1}\right) + c_{t}a_{t},$

where $c_1 + \dots + c_t = 1$.

Theorem 11 Let $U \subset AG(n, q)$, $|U| = q^k$, and let $D \subseteq H_\infty$ be the set of directions determined by U. If $|D| \leq \frac{q+3}{2}q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + \cdots + q^2 + q$, then any line ℓ intersects U either in one point, or $|U \cap \ell| \equiv 0 \pmod{p^e}$, for some $e = e_{\ell}|h$. Moreover, the set $U \cap \ell$ is $GF(p^e)$ -linear.

Proof: If k = n - 1, then the previous theorem does the job, so suppose $k \le n - 2$. Take a line ℓ intersecting U in at least 2 points. There are at most $q^k - 2$ planes joining ℓ to the other points of U not on ℓ ; and their infinite points together with D cover at most $q^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}q^k + \cdots$ points of H_∞ , so they do not form a (k + 1)-blocking set in H_∞ . Take any (n - k - 2)-dimensional space H_{n-k-2} not meeting any of them, then the projection π of $U \cup D$ from H_{n-k-2} to any 'affine' (k + 1)-subspace S_{k+1} is one-to-one between Uand $\pi(U)$; $\pi(D)$ is the set of directions determined by $\pi(U)$, and the line $\pi(\ell)$ contains the images of $U \cap \ell$ only (as H_{n-k-2} is disjoint from the planes spanned by ℓ and the other points of U not on ℓ). The projection is a small Rédei k-blocking set in S_{k+1} , so, using the previous theorem, $\pi(U \cap \ell)$ is $GF(p^e)$ -linear for some e|h. But then, as the projection preserves the cross-ratios of quadruples of points, the same is true for $U \cap \ell$. **Corollary 12** Under the hypothesis of the previous theorem, U is a $GF(p^e)$ -linear set for some e|h.

Proof: Let *e* be the greatest common divisor of the values e_{ℓ} appearing in the preceding theorem for each affine line with more than one point in *U*.

3. Linear point sets in AG(n, q)

First we generalize Lemma 8.

Proposition 13 Let $U \subset AG(n, q)$, $|U| = q^k$, and let $D \subseteq H_\infty$ be the set of directions determined by U. If $H_r \subseteq H_\infty$ is an r-dimensional subspace, and $H_r \cap D$ does not block every (n - k - 1)-subspace of H_r then each of the affine (r + 1)-dimensional subspaces through H_r intersects U in exactly $q^{r+k+1-n}$ points.

Proof: There are q^{n-1-r} mutually disjoint affine (r + 1)-dimensional subspaces through H_r . If one contained less than $q^{r+k+1-n}$ points from U then some other would contain more than $q^{r+k+1-n}$ points (as the average is just $q^{r+k+1-n}$), which would imply by the pigeon hole principle that $H_r \cap D$ would block all the (n - k - 1)-dimensional subspaces of H_r , contradiction.

Lemma 14 Let $U \subseteq AG(n, p^h)$, p > 2, be a GF(p)-linear set of points. If U contains a complete affine line ℓ with infinite point v, then U is the union of complete affine lines through v (so it is a cone with infinite vertex, hence a cylinder).

Proof: Take any line ℓ' joining v and a point $Q' \in U \setminus \ell$, we prove that any $R' \in \ell'$ is in U. Take any point $Q \in \ell$, let m be the line Q'Q, and take a point $Q_0 \in U \cap m$ (any affine combination of Q and Q' over GF(p); see paragraph after the proof of Corollary 10). Now the cross-ratio of Q_0, Q', Q (and the infinite point of m) is in GF(p). Let $R := \ell \cap Q_0 R'$, so $R \in U$. As the cross-ratio of Q_0, R', R , and the point at infinity of the line R'R, is still in GF(p), it follows that $R' \in U$. Hence $\ell' \subset U$.

Lemma 15 Let $U \subseteq AG(n, p^h)$ be a GF(p)-linear set of points. If $|U| > p^{n(h-1)}$ then U contains a line.

Proof: The proof goes by double induction (the 'outer' for *n*, the 'inner' for *r*). The statement is true for n = 1. First we prove that for every $0 \le r \le n-1$, there exists an affine subspace S_r , dim $S_r = r$, such that it contains at least $|S_r \cap U| = s_r \ge p^{hr-n+2}$ points. For r = 0, let S_0 be any point of U. For any $r \ge 1$, suppose that each *r*-dimensional affine subspace through S_{r-1} contains at most p^{hr-n+1} points of U, then

$$p^{hn-n+1} \le |U| \le \frac{p^{hn} - p^{h(r-1)}}{p^{hr} - p^{h(r-1)}} (p^{hr-n+1} - s_{r-1}) + s_{r-1}$$

$$\le \frac{p^{hn} - p^{h(r-1)}}{p^{hr} - p^{h(r-1)}} (p^{hr-n+1} - p^{h(r-1)-n+2}) + p^{h(r-1)-n+2}$$

But this is false, contradiction.

226

So in particular for r = n - 1, there exists an affine subspace S_r containing at least $|S_r \cap U| \ge p^{h(n-1)-n+2}$ points of U. But then, from the (n-1)-st ('outer') case we know that $S_{n-1} \cap U$ contains a line.

Now we state the main theorem of this paper. We assume p > 3 to be sure that Result 4 can be applied.

Theorem 16 Let $U \subset AG(n, q)$, $n \ge 3$, $|U| = q^k$. Suppose U determines $|D| \le \frac{q+3}{2}q^{k-1} + q^{k-2} + q^{k-3} + \dots + q^2 + q$ directions and suppose that U is a GF(p)-linear set of points, where $q = p^h$, p > 3.

If $n-1 \ge (n-k)h$, then U is a cone with an (n-1-h(n-k))-dimensional vertex at H_{∞} and with base a GF(p)-linear point set $U_{(n-k)h}$ of size $q^{(n-k)(h-1)}$, contained in some affine (n-k)h-dimensional subspace of AG(n, q).

Proof: It follows from the previous lemma (as in this case $|U| = p^{hk} \ge p^{n(h-1)+1}$) that $U = U_n$ is a cone with some vertex $V_0 = v_0 \in H_\infty$. The base U_{n-1} of the cone, which is the intersection with any hyperplane disjoint from the vertex V_0 , is also a GF(p)-linear set, of size q^{k-1} . Since U is a cone with vertex $V_0 \in H_\infty$, the set of directions determined by U is also a cone with vertex V_0 in H_∞ . Thus, if U determines N directions, then U_{n-1} determines at most $(N-1)/q \le \frac{q+3}{2}q^{k-2} + q^{k-3} + q^{k-4} + \cdots + q^2 + q$ directions. So if $h \le \frac{(n-1)-1}{(n-1)-(k-1)}$ then U_{n-1} is also a cone with some vertex $v_1 \in H_\infty$ and with some GF(p)-linear base U_{n-2} , so in fact U is a cone with a one-dimensional vertex $V_1 = \langle v_0, v_1 \rangle \subset H_\infty$ and an (n-2)-dimensional base U_{n-2} , and so on; before the r-th step we have V_{r-1} as vertex and U_{n-r} , a base in an (n-r)-dimensional space, of the current cone (we started "with the 0-th step"). Then if $h \le \frac{(n-r)-1}{(n-r)-(k-r)}$, then we can find a line in U_{n-r} and its infinite point with V_{r-1} will generate V_r and a U_{n-1-r} can be chosen as well. When there is equality in $h \le \frac{(n-r)-1}{(n-r)-(k-r)}$, so when r = n - (n-k)h - 1, then the final step results in $U_{(n-k)h}$ and $V_{n-1-h(n-k)}$.

The previous result is sharp as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 17 In $AG(n, q = p^h)$, for $n \le (n - k)h$, there exist GF(p)-linear sets U of size q^k containing no affine line.

Proof: For instance, AG(2k, p) in $AG(2k, p^2)$ for which n = 2k = (n - k)h = (2k - k)2. More generally, write $hk = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n$, $1 \le d_i \le h - 1$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ in any way. Let U_i be a GF(p)-linear set contained in the *i*-th coordinate axis, $O \in U_i, |U_i| = p^{d_i}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$. Then $U = U_1 \times U_2 \times \dots \times U_n$ is a proper choice for U.

References

A. Blokhuis, S. Ball, A. Brouwer, L. Storme, and T. Szőnyi, "On the number of slopes determined by a function on a finite field," *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 86 (1999), 187–196.

STORME AND SZIKLAI

- 2. J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields (Second Edition)*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- 3. G. Lunardon, "Linear k-blocking sets in PG(n, q)," Combinatorica, to appear.
- L. Rédei, Lückenhafte Polynome über endlichen Körpern, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1970. (English translation: Lacunary Polynomials over Finite Fields, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973).

228