



Minimal Covers of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ by $(n - 1)$ -Dimensional Subspaces

J. EISFELD*

Mathematisches Institut, Arndtstr. 2, D-35392 Gießen, Germany

joerg@jeisfeld.de

L. STORME

Ghent University, Department of Pure Maths and Computer Algebra, Krijgslaan 281, 9000 Gent, Belgium

ls@cage.rug.ac.be (<http://cage.rug.ac.be/~ls>)

P. SZIKLAI†

Technical University Budapest, Pázmány P. sétány 1/d, Budapest, Hungary H-1117

sziklai@cs.bme.hu

Received October 28, 1999; Revised July 3, 2001; Accepted September 25, 2001

Abstract. A t -cover of a quadric Q is a set C of t -dimensional subspaces contained in Q such that every point of Q is contained in at least one element of C .

We consider $(n - 1)$ -covers of the hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$. We show that such a cover must have at least $q^{n+1} + 2q + 1$ elements, give an example of this size for even q and describe what covers of this size should look like.

Keywords: covers, partial spreads, quadrics

1. Introduction

Let Q be a quadric. A *spread* in Q is a set S of generators of Q such that each point of Q is contained in exactly one element of S .

If $Q = Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ is the hyperbolic quadric in $PG(2n + 1, q)$, then it is known that $Q^+(4n + 1, q)$ does not have a spread, while $Q^+(4n + 3, q)$, q even, does have a spread. The existence of a spread in $Q^+(4n + 3, q)$, q odd, is still open [8].

If no spreads exist, the natural question arises what are the sets of generators on Q being closest to a spread. This leads more generally to the following definitions:

Definition 1.1

- (a) A t -cover of a quadric Q is a set C of t -dimensional subspaces contained in Q such that each point of Q is contained in at least one element of C . If $t = 1$, we speak also of a *line cover*; if $t = 2$, we speak of a *plane cover*.

*Supported by the FWO Research Network WO.011.96N.

†Research was partially supported by OTKA F030737, T029255, D32817 and Eötvös grants. The third author is also grateful for the hospitality of the Ghent University where this work was done.

- (b) A *partial t -spread* is a set \mathcal{S} of t -dimensional subspaces contained in \mathcal{Q} such that each point of \mathcal{Q} is contained in at most one element of \mathcal{S} .

In [4], the authors determined the 2-covers of the Klein quadric $Q^+(5, q)$ having minimum size. A lower bound for the size of a 1-cover of the Klein quadric was given, as well as examples reaching that bound. Similarly, large partial 1-spreads on the Klein quadric were constructed.

In this article, we continue the study started in [4] by studying the smallest $(n-1)$ -covers of $Q^+(2n+1, q)$. We show that the smallest possible cardinality for a minimal $(n-1)$ -cover of $Q^+(2n+1, q)$ is $q^{n+1} + 2q + 1$, and give examples of that size for q even.

We prove a theorem stating what an $(n-1)$ -cover of $Q^+(2n+1, q)$ of that size $q^{n+1} + 2q + 1$ should *look like*. To achieve this, we need results on *minihypers in projective spaces* [6].

Definition 1.2 Let \mathcal{F} be a set of points of $\text{PG}(t, q)$ and let w be a mapping from \mathcal{F} into \mathbf{Z}^+ , where $t \geq 2$ and where \mathbf{Z}^+ denotes the set of all non-negative integers. Let \mathcal{H} denote the set of all hyperplanes of $\text{PG}(t, q)$.

If \mathcal{F} and w satisfy the conditions

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{F}} w(P) = f \quad \text{and} \quad \min \left\{ \sum_{P \in \mathcal{F} \cap H} w(P) \mid H \in \mathcal{H} \right\} = m,$$

for given integers $f \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$, then (\mathcal{F}, w) is called an $\{f, m; t, q\}$ -*minihyper*. In the special case $w(P) = 1$ for all $P \in \mathcal{F}$, we denote the minihyper simply by \mathcal{F} .

The article concludes with an upper bound for the size of a maximal partial $(n-1)$ -spread of $Q^+(2n+1, q)$. For q even, partial $(n-1)$ -spreads of $Q^+(2n+1, q)$ of size $q^{2n+1} + 1$ are constructed.

These results contribute to the study of blocking sets, spreads and covers in polar spaces, as discussed by Metsch [10]. For a table containing the known results on the existence and non-existence of spreads in polar spaces, we refer to [10, Table 2]. We would like to mention the following recent results on line covers of $H(3, q^2)$ and $Q(4, q)$.

Since the generalized quadrangle $H(3, q^2)$ arising from the non-singular Hermitian variety in $\text{PG}(3, q^2)$ is the dual of the quadrangle arising from the non-singular elliptic quadric in $\text{PG}(5, q)$, a cover of $H(3, q^2)$ is the dual of a blocking set in $Q^-(5, q)$, that is, a set of points of $Q^-(5, q)$ intersecting every line of $Q^-(5, q)$. In [9], Metsch proved that the smallest blocking sets of $Q^-(5, q)$ are equal to the set of points of $Q^-(5, q)$ in a tangent cone of $Q^-(5, q)$, different from the vertex of the tangent cone. Hence, dualizing this result, the smallest covers of $H(3, q^2)$ are equal to the set of lines of $H(3, q^2)$ intersecting a given line of $H(3, q^2)$ in exactly one point.

For covers of the parabolic quadric $Q(4, q)$ in $\text{PG}(4, q)$, Eisfeld et al. [5] proved that a cover \mathcal{C} of $Q(4, q)$, q odd, contains more than $q^2 + 1 + (q-1)/3$ lines, and a cover of $Q(4, q)$, q even, $q \geq 32$, of cardinality $q^2 + 1 + r$, where $0 < r \leq \sqrt{q}$, always contains a spread of $Q(4, q)$.

The analogous question for covers of projective spaces has already been answered (cf. [1, 3]). The lower bound on the size of a cover of a given projective space was found by Beutelspacher [1]; the description of the covers of minimal size was given by Eisfeld [3].

Theorem 1.3 *Let \mathcal{S} be a t -cover of $\mathcal{P} = \text{PG}(d, q)$, where $d \geq t \geq 0$. Let $d = k(t + 1) + r$ with $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \leq t$.*

- (a) $|\mathcal{S}| \geq q^{r+1} \cdot \frac{q^{k(t+1)} - 1}{q^{t+1} - 1} + 1$.
- (b) *If equality holds in (a), then there is a subspace U of dimension $t - r - 1$, such that every point of $\mathcal{P} \setminus U$ is contained in exactly one element of \mathcal{S} , whereas every point of U is contained in exactly $q^{r+1} + 1$ elements of \mathcal{S} .*

In this article, let $\theta_i = (q^{i+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$.

2. The lower bound

Let $\mathcal{Q} = Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ be embedded in $\mathcal{P} = \text{PG}(2n + 1, q)$. If we have an $(n - 1)$ -cover \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{Q} , we define the *excess* of a point $P \in \mathcal{Q}$ to be the number of elements of \mathcal{C} through P minus one. The excess of a point of $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ is defined as zero. Since \mathcal{C} is a cover, all excesses are non-negative.

The *excess* of any point set of \mathcal{P} is defined as the sum of the excesses of its points. A point with positive excess is called an *excess point*.

Theorem 2.1 *Let \mathcal{C} be an $(n - 1)$ -cover of $\mathcal{Q} = Q^+(2n + 1, q)$. Then $|\mathcal{C}| \geq q^{n+1} + 2q + 1$.*

Proof: Since \mathcal{Q} has exactly $(q^{n+1} - 1)(q^n + 1)/(q - 1)$ points (see e.g. [7]), the $(n - 1)$ -cover \mathcal{C} must have at least $\frac{(q^{n+1} - 1)(q^n + 1)}{q^n - 1} = q^{n+1} + 2q - 1 + 2\frac{q-1}{q^n-1}$ elements.

Suppose that \mathcal{C} has $q^{n+1} + 2q + \varepsilon$ elements. Then the total excess of \mathcal{Q} is

$$(q^{n+1} + 2q + \varepsilon) \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} - \frac{(q^{n+1} - 1)(q^n + 1)}{q - 1} = (\varepsilon + 1) \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} - 2.$$

Consider a subspace U of \mathcal{P} that has dimension $n + 2$. The subspace U intersects each element of \mathcal{C} in a non-empty subspace, that is, in $1 \pmod{q}$ points. Furthermore, it intersects \mathcal{Q} in a quadric, and so in $1 \pmod{q}$ points. Hence the excess of U is $q^{n+1} + 2q + \varepsilon - 1 \equiv \varepsilon - 1 \pmod{q}$.

Suppose that $\varepsilon = 0$. Then the excess of any $(n + 2)$ -dimensional subspace is congruent to $q - 1 \pmod{q}$, hence it is at least $q - 1$. In particular, the set of excess points must intersect each $(n + 2)$ -dimensional subspace. By the Theorem of Bose and Burton [2], this means that there are at least $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ excess points with equality if and only if the excess points are just the points of an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{P} . This contradicts the fact that the total excess is $\frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} - 2$.

Hence $\varepsilon \geq 1$, and the theorem is proved. □

Example 2.2 Suppose that q is even. Then there exists a spread \mathcal{S} of the parabolic quadric $Q(2n + 2, q)$ (see e.g. [10, Section 6]).

Let $\mathcal{Q} = Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ be a hyperplane section of $Q(2n + 2, q)$. Then a counting argument shows that \mathcal{Q} contains exactly two elements of \mathcal{S} and intersects the other elements of \mathcal{S} in $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces. These form a partial spread \mathcal{C}_0 of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ covering all points except the points of two disjoint n -dimensional subspaces U_1, U_2 . Let W_i be an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional subspace of U_i ($i = 1, 2$). If we add to \mathcal{C}_0 the $q + 1$ $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces in U_i through W_i , we get an $(n - 1)$ -cover \mathcal{C} . The excess points of \mathcal{C} are the points of W_1 and W_2 , each having excess q . From this we see that $|\mathcal{C}| = q^{n+1} + 2q + 1$. In the following section we shall see that all covers of this size *look like* this example.

3. A characterization

In [3], the structure of excess points of minimum covers of projective spaces was determined (See Introduction). In this section, we do the same for minimum $(n - 1)$ -covers of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$, using similar methods.

From now on, let $\mathcal{Q} = Q^+(2n + 1, q)$, $q \geq 3$, be embedded into $\mathcal{P} = \text{PG}(2n + 1, q)$, and let \mathcal{C} be an $(n - 1)$ -cover of \mathcal{Q} with $|\mathcal{C}| = q^{n+1} + 2q + 1$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let U, V be subspaces of \mathcal{P} such that U is a hyperplane of V . Then there exist integers a, a', b, b' with $\dim V - n - 2 \leq b \leq a \leq n - 1$ and $a - 1 \leq a' \leq a$ and $b - 1 \leq b' \leq b$ such that*

- (a) *the excess of V is $q^{\frac{a-1}{q-1}} + q^{\frac{b-1}{q-1}}$.*
- (b) *the excess of U is $q^{\frac{a'-1}{q-1}} + q^{\frac{b'-1}{q-1}}$.*

Proof: The proof is by backward induction on $\dim V$.

At first we consider the case $\dim V = 2n + 1$, that is, $V = \mathcal{P}$. In this case, the excess of V is the total excess of \mathcal{Q} , that is, $2q^{\frac{n-1}{q-1}}$, which yields (a).

Let U be a hyperplane of \mathcal{P} . Then U intersects \mathcal{Q} either in a parabolic quadric with $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$ points or in a cone over a hyperbolic quadric, containing $1 + q(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} + 1)/(q - 1) = (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1) + q^n$ points. Hence U contains $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1) \pmod{q^n}$ points of \mathcal{Q} . On the other hand, U contains $(q^{n-1} - 1)/(q - 1) \pmod{q^{n-1}}$ points of any element of \mathcal{C} . Hence the excess of a hyperplane U is congruent to

$$(q^{n+1} + 2q + 1) \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{q - 1} \equiv 2q \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} \pmod{q^{n-1}}.$$

As the excess must be a non-negative number being at most equal to the total excess, it must be either $2q^{\frac{n-1}{q-1}}$ or $q^{\frac{n-1}{q-1}} + q^{\frac{n-2}{q-1}}$ or $2q^{\frac{n-2}{q-1}}$, from which (b) follows.

Now let $\dim V < 2n + 1$, and we assume that the assertion holds for bigger values of $\dim V$. In particular, the induction hypothesis yields (a). Furthermore, for any subspace $W \supseteq V$ with $\dim W = \dim V + 1$ we know from the induction hypothesis that the excess of W is $q^{\frac{a-1}{q-1}} + q^{\frac{b-1}{q-1}}$ with $a \leq \tilde{a} \leq a + 1$ and $b \leq \tilde{b} \leq b + 1$.

Consider the $q + 1$ subspaces V_i with $U \leq V_i \leq W$ and $\dim V_i = \dim V$ (one of them being V). By the induction hypothesis, each V_i has an excess $q^{\frac{a_i-1}{q-1}} + q^{\frac{b_i-1}{q-1}}$ with $\tilde{a} - 1 \leq a_i \leq \tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b} - 1 \leq b_i \leq \tilde{b}$. Let α be the number of a_i equal to \tilde{a} , and let β be the number of b_i equal to \tilde{b} . Let x be the excess of U . Counting the sum of the excesses in two

ways, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (q + 1) \left(q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}-1} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}-1} - 1}{q - 1} \right) + \alpha q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}} - q^{\tilde{a}-1}}{q - 1} + \beta q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}} - q^{\tilde{b}-1}}{q - 1} \\ = q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}} - 1}{q - 1} + qx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$x = q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}-2} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}-2} - 1}{q - 1} + \alpha q^{\tilde{a}-1} + \beta q^{\tilde{b}-1}. \quad (*)$$

Clearly, x cannot be bigger than the minimum of the excesses of the V_i . We discuss the possible values of α and β .

- $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Then all a_i , including a , are equal to $\tilde{a} - 1$. That is, $a = \tilde{a} - 1$ and similarly $b = \tilde{b} - 1$. By (*), (b) is fulfilled with $a' = \tilde{a} - 2$ and $b' = \tilde{b} - 2$.
- $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$. Then $a \in \{\tilde{a} - 1, \tilde{a}\}$ and $b = \tilde{b} - 1$. By (*), $a' = \tilde{a} - 1$ and $b' = \tilde{b} - 2$, and (b) holds.
- $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$. This case works as the previous case.
- $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Then $a \in \{\tilde{a} - 1, \tilde{a}\}$ and $b \in \{\tilde{b} - 1, \tilde{b}\}$. By (*), (b) holds with $a' = \tilde{a} - 1$ and $b' = \tilde{b} - 1$.
- $\alpha = 2, \beta = 0, \tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$. This case is identical with the previous case.
- $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}, \alpha + \beta > 2$. By (*), the excess x of U is bigger than $2q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}-1} - 1}{q - 1}$. Hence also the excesses of the V_i are bigger than this value. Hence the excess of V_i is $q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}_i} - 1}{q - 1}$, that is, we can assume that $\alpha = q + 1$. This yields

$$x = q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}-2} - 1}{q - 1} + \beta q^{\tilde{b}-1}.$$

- If $\beta = 0$, then $b = \tilde{b} - 1$, and (b) holds with $b' = \tilde{b} - 2$.
- If $\beta = 1$, then $b \in \{\tilde{b} - 1, \tilde{b}\}$, and (b) holds with $b' = \tilde{b} - 1$.
- If $\beta \geq 2$, then the excess of U is bigger than $q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}-1} - 1}{q - 1}$, which means that also the excesses of the V_i must be bigger than this value. Consequently, $b_i = \tilde{b}, \beta = q + 1$, and (b) holds with $b' = b = \tilde{b}$.
- $\tilde{a} > \tilde{b}, \alpha \geq 2$. Then the excess of each V_i must be at least $x > q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}-1} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}-1} - 1}{q - 1}$, whence $\alpha + \beta \geq q + 1$.
 - $\alpha \geq 3$. Then $\alpha q^{\tilde{a}-1} > q^{\tilde{a}-1} + (q + 1)q^{\tilde{b}-1}$, that is, the excess of U (and hence of V_i) is bigger than $q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}-1} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}-1} - 1}{q - 1}$. Hence $\alpha = q + 1$, and so $x = q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}} - 1}{q - 1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}-2} - 1}{q - 1} + \beta q^{\tilde{b}-1}$. Now (b) follows as in the previous case, distinguishing between the cases $\beta = 0, \beta = 1$ and $\beta \geq 2 \Rightarrow \beta = q + 1$.
 - $\alpha = 2, \tilde{a} > \tilde{b} + 1$. Then again $\alpha q^{\tilde{a}-1} > q^{\tilde{a}-1} + (q + 1)q^{\tilde{b}-1}$, from which we get $\alpha = q + 1$ as above, which is a contradiction.

– $\alpha = 2, \tilde{a} = \tilde{b} + 1$. Because of $\beta \geq q - 1$ and $q \geq 3$, we have $\alpha q^{\tilde{a}-1} + \beta q^{\tilde{b}-1} > q^{\tilde{a}-1} + (q + 1)q^{\tilde{b}-1}$, which again gives $\alpha = q + 1$, being a contradiction.

- $\tilde{a} > \tilde{b}, \alpha = 0, \beta \geq 2$. We show that this case can be avoided choosing W in an intelligent way.

Count the incidences (s, W^*) , where s is an excess point outside of V and $W^* = \langle s, V \rangle$. Starting from s , we see that there are $q \frac{q^{n-1}-q^a}{q-1} + q \frac{q^{n-1}-q^b}{q-1}$ such incidences. Let $d = \dim V$. The number of $(d + 1)$ -dimensional subspaces W^* containing V is $\frac{q^{2n+1-d}-1}{q-1}$. Each of these W^* contributes $0, q \cdot q^a, q \cdot q^b$ or $q \cdot (q^a + q^b)$ incidences. The average contribution of a W^* is $q^{a+1} \frac{q^{n-1-a}-1}{q^{2n+1-d}-1} + q^{b+1} \frac{q^{n-1-b}-1}{q^{2n+1-d}-1}$.

– Suppose that $a > b$. Because of $b \geq d - n - 2$, we have $n - 1 - a < 2n + 1 - d$. Hence the average contribution of W^* is smaller than $q^a + q^{b+1}$. This means that there must exist a W^* contributing either 0 or $q \cdot q^b$, which means that $\tilde{a} = a$. This avoids the current case.

– If $a = b$, then there exists a choice of W^* with $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$, avoiding the current case. For otherwise all W^* would contribute $q \cdot q^a$ to the number of incidences (s, W^*) , whence $2q^{a+1} \frac{q^{n-1-a}-1}{q^{2n+1-d}-1} = q^{a+1}$, which gives a contradiction.

- $\tilde{a} > \tilde{b}, \alpha = 1, \beta \geq 2$. As in the case $\alpha \geq 3$, we see that $\alpha + \beta \geq q + 1$, that is, $\beta \in \{q, q + 1\}$.

– $\beta = q + 1$. Then (b) holds with $a' = \tilde{a} - 1$ and $b' = b = \tilde{b}$.

– $\beta = q$. Then $x = q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}-1}-1}{q-1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}-1}-1}{q-1} - q^{\tilde{b}-1}$. This is a value that cannot be written in the form $q \frac{q^{a'}-1}{q-1} + q \frac{q^{b'}-1}{q-1}$.

Let V' be one of the V_i with an excess of $q \frac{q^{\tilde{a}-1}-1}{q-1} + q \frac{q^{\tilde{b}-1}-1}{q-1}$. Doing the same argument with V' in place of V , we must get the same (exceptional) value of x , that is, we must fall again into the case $\tilde{a} > \tilde{b}, \alpha = 1$ with the same parameters. However, as in the case $\alpha = 0$, we see that it is possible to choose W^* such that $\tilde{a}^* = \tilde{b}^*$ (leading immediately to a different case) or $\tilde{a}^* = a = \tilde{a} - 1$ (leading possibly to the same case, but with a different parameter \tilde{a}). This yields a contradiction.

This discussion concludes the proof. □

In the case $\dim V = 0$, Lemma 3.1 shows that the excess points of the cover have excess congruent to 0 (mod q). If we now divide the excess of every excess point by q , then we remain with a set of $2(q^{n-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ points intersecting every hyperplane in at least $2(q^{n-2} - 1)/(q - 1)$ points. Hence, the excess points form a weighted $\{2(q^{n-1} - 1)/(q - 1), 2(q^{n-2} - 1)/(q - 1); 2n + 1, q\}$ -minihyper \mathcal{F} .

For $n = 2$, this means that \mathcal{F} is either a point with multiplicity two or two points with multiplicity one (see also [4, Theorem 3.1]). Assume $n \geq 3$.

If all the points in this minihyper have weight one, then Hamada has proved that this set is the union of two disjoint subspaces $\text{PG}(n - 2, q)$ [6, Theorem 4.1]. It is however possible that some of the points have weight bigger than one. We will now show that, in general, this set is the union of two subspaces Π_1 and Π_2 of dimension $n - 2$, where the points of $\Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2$ have weight two and where the remaining points of $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$ have weight one.

Lemma 3.2 *Let \mathcal{F} be the $\{2(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1), 2(q^{n-2}-1)/(q-1); 2n+1, q\}$ -minihyper of excess points of \mathcal{C} . Then the points of \mathcal{F} have weight one or two.*

Proof: Consider a subspace Π of dimension $n+2$ skew to \mathcal{F} . There are θ_{n-2} spaces Ω of dimension $n+3$ passing through Π . By Lemma 3.1, each one of them must have at least two points in common with \mathcal{F} ; so must have exactly two points in common with \mathcal{F} . This shows that no points of \mathcal{F} have excess bigger than two. \square

Lemma 3.3 *Let \mathcal{F} be the $\{2(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1), 2(q^{n-2}-1)/(q-1); 2n+1, q\}$ -minihyper of excess points of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{F} contains a point P with weight two, then \mathcal{F} consists of a union of lines through P .*

Proof: Suppose that a line l through P contains $x \geq 3$ points of \mathcal{F} . Then there are $2\theta_{n-2} - x$ points left. Suppose that there is a point $R \in l \setminus \mathcal{F}$. Then R lies in q^{2n} hyperplanes not containing l .

A point $S \in (\mathcal{F} \setminus l)$ lies in q^{2n-1} hyperplanes through RS not containing l . This shows that the average number of points of \mathcal{F} in these hyperplanes is $(2\theta_{n-2} - x)q^{2n-1}/q^{2n} = 2\theta_{n-3} + (2-x)/q < 2\theta_{n-3}$. This means that there is a hyperplane through R containing less than $2\theta_{n-3}$ points of \mathcal{F} .

This is false; so $l \subset \mathcal{F}$. \square

The following lemma follows from Lemma 3.1 if we now use the known fact that every point of \mathcal{F} has an excess which is a multiple of q .

Lemma 3.4 *Let \mathcal{F} be the $\{2(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1), 2(q^{n-2}-1)/(q-1); 2n+1, q\}$ -minihyper of excess points of \mathcal{C} . Then a t -dimensional subspace, $n+4 \leq t \leq 2n$, intersects \mathcal{F} in a $\{(q^a-1)/(q-1) + (q^b-1)/(q-1), (q^{a-1}-1)/(q-1) + (q^{b-1}-1)/(q-1); t, q\}$ -minihyper, with $t-n-2 \leq b \leq a \leq n-1$.*

Lemma 3.5 *Let \mathcal{F} be the $\{2(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1), 2(q^{n-2}-1)/(q-1); 2n+1, q\}$ -minihyper of excess points of \mathcal{C} . Then the set of points of \mathcal{F} with weight two is a subspace of PG $(2n+1, q)$.*

Proof: Let l be a line containing two points P_1 and P_2 of \mathcal{F} having weight two. Let P_3 be a point of \mathcal{F} on l with weight one. By induction, we will find a subspace Π_{n+4} of dimension $n+4$ through l intersecting \mathcal{F} in a $\{2(q+1), 2; n+4, q\}$ -minihyper.

Let x be the sum of the weights of the points of $l \cap \mathcal{F}$. Then counting the incidences of the points of $\mathcal{F} \setminus l$ with the hyperplanes through l , we get as sum of these incidences, the number

$$(2\theta_{n-2} - x)\theta_{2n-2}.$$

This implies that the average of the incidences over all hyperplanes through l is equal to $x + (2\theta_{n-2} - x)\theta_{2n-2}/\theta_{2n-1}$, which is equal to

$$x + \frac{2}{q-1} \left(q^{n-2} - \frac{q^{2n-1} + q^{n-1} - 1 - q^{n-2}}{q^{2n} - 1} \right) - x \left(\frac{q^{2n-1} - 1}{q^{2n} - 1} \right).$$

Since $x \leq 2q + 1$, there is a hyperplane through l having at most

$$\frac{2}{q-1} \left(q^{n-2} - \frac{q^{2n-1} + q^{n-1} - 1 - q^{n-2}}{q^{2n} - 1} \right) - 2 + 2q + 1$$

points of \mathcal{F} . Since each hyperplane must have at least $2\theta_{n-3}$ points of \mathcal{F} , by using Lemma 3.4, there must be a hyperplane through l intersecting \mathcal{F} in a $\{2\theta_{n-3}, 2\theta_{n-4}; 2n, q\}$ -minihyper.

By induction, there is a subspace $\text{PG}(n+4, q)$ through l intersecting \mathcal{F} in a $\{2(q+1), 2; n+4, q\}$ -minihyper. For, suppose there is a $(2n+1-i)$ -dimensional subspace Π_{2n+1-i} through l , $n-i-2 \geq 2$, intersecting \mathcal{F} into a $\{2(q^{n-1-i}-1)/(q-1), 2(q^{n-i-2}-1)/(q-1); 2n+1-i, q\}$ -minihyper. Now the average number of points of \mathcal{F} in a hyperplane of Π_{2n+1-i} through l is equal to $x + (2(q^{n-1-i}-1)/(q-1) - x)(q^{2n-i-1}-1)/(q^{2n-i}-1)$. According to Lemma 3.4, any hyperplane not intersecting \mathcal{F} in a minihyper with the desired parameters must intersect \mathcal{F} in at least $(q^{n-i-1}-1)/(q-1) + 1 \geq 2(q^{n-i-2}-1)/(q-1) + 2q$ points. The average number given is smaller than this number, hence there must be a hyperplane in Π_{2n+1-i} intersecting \mathcal{F} as desired.

By induction, this implies that l lies in an $(n+4)$ -dimensional subspace H sharing a $\{2q+2, 2; n+4, q\}$ -minihyper with \mathcal{F} .

Now, by assumption, l contains at most $2q+1$ points of \mathcal{F} , so there is a point R of \mathcal{F} lying in this subspace, but not lying on l . Then the three lines P_1P_2, RP_1, RP_2 are all contained in \mathcal{F} ; but then \mathcal{F} shares more than $2q+2$ elements with H .

So, all points of P_1P_2 have weight two.

This argument now implies that the points of \mathcal{F} of weight two form a subspace. □

Theorem 3.6 *Let \mathcal{F} be the $\{2(q^{n-1}-1)/(q-1), 2(q^{n-2}-1)/(q-1); 2n+1, q\}$ -minihyper of excess points of \mathcal{C} , where \mathcal{F} has a u -dimensional subspace Π of points having weight two. Then \mathcal{F} consists of two $(n-2)$ -dimensional subspaces intersecting in this subspace Π .*

Proof: If $u = -1$, then the theorem follows from [6, Theorem 4.1]. So, assume $u \geq 0$. Consider the quotient geometry of Π represented by an $(2n-u)$ -dimensional space Π' skew to Π .

The minihyper \mathcal{F} consists of subspaces of dimension $u+1$ passing through Π , so in Π' , \mathcal{F} defines a set \mathcal{F}' of size $2\theta_{n-u-3}$.

Consider a hyperplane Π'' of Π' and suppose it shares x points with \mathcal{F}' . Then $\langle \Pi, \Pi'' \rangle$ shares $xq^{u+1} + 2\theta_u$ points with \mathcal{F} . Since every hyperplane shares at least $2\theta_{n-3}$ points with \mathcal{F} , necessarily $x \geq 2\theta_{n-u-4}$.

So, \mathcal{F}' is a $\{2\theta_{n-u-3}, 2\theta_{n-u-4}; 2n-u, q\}$ -minihyper only having points of weight one. So, by [6, Theorem 4.1], \mathcal{F}' is the union of two disjoint subspaces of dimension $n-u-3$. This proves the theorem. □

The preceding results now imply the following description of the set of excess points of an $(n-1)$ -cover, of size $q^{n+1} + 2q + 1$, of $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^+(2n+1, q)$, $q \geq 3$. This corollary is also valid for $n = 2$ [4].

Corollary 3.7 *Let \mathcal{C} be an $(n-1)$ -cover of $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^+(2n+1, q)$, $q \geq 3$, with $|\mathcal{C}| = q^{n+1} + 2q + 1$. Then there are two $(n-2)$ -dimensional subspaces U_1, U_2 (possibly*

coinciding) on \mathcal{Q} such that all points of $U_1 \cap U_2$ have excess $2q$, all points of $(U_1 \cup U_2) \setminus (U_1 \cap U_2)$ have excess q , and all points of $\mathcal{Q} \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2)$ have excess 0.

Remark 3.8 Theorem 3.6 is also valid for arbitrary $\{2(q^{n-1} - 1)/(q - 1), 2(q^{n-2} - 1)/(q - 1); 2n + 1, q\}$ -minihypers of $\text{PG}(2n + 1, q)$, $q \geq 3$. The proof that the points of such a minihyper have weight one or two follows from using [6, Theorem 2.5] in combination with the proof of Lemma 3.2.

4. Partial $(n - 1)$ -Spreads of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$

The construction made in Example 2.2 also shows that the hyperbolic quadric $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$, q even, has partial $(n - 1)$ -spreads of size $q^{n+1} + 1$. The question is whether larger partial $(n - 1)$ -spreads are possible. This question is studied in the following theorem. In this theorem, a *hole* of \mathcal{S} is a point of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ not lying on an element of \mathcal{S} .

Theorem 4.1 *Let \mathcal{S} be a partial $(n - 1)$ -spread of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$. Then $|\mathcal{S}| \leq q^3 + q$ for $n = 2$ and $|\mathcal{S}| \leq q^{n+1} + q - 1$ for $n > 2$.*

Proof: Let \mathcal{S} be a partial $(n - 1)$ -spread of size $q^{n+1} + q$ of $\mathcal{Q} = Q^+(2n + 1, q)$. For $n = 2$, [4, Theorem 3.6] shows that this is the maximal possible cardinality of a line spread of $Q^+(5, q)$. Assume now that $n > 2$. A partial $(n - 1)$ -spread of $Q^+(2n + 1, q)$ of size $q^{n+1} + q$ has $q^n + 1$ holes.

Let U be a hyperplane of \mathcal{P} . Then U intersects \mathcal{Q} either in a parabolic quadric with $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$ points or in a cone over a hyperbolic quadric, containing $1 + q(q^n - 1)$ $(q^{n-1} + 1)/(q - 1) = (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1) + q^n$ points. Suppose every element of \mathcal{S} intersects U in an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional subspace. Since \mathcal{S} has size $q^{n+1} + q$, then U would have $q^n + 1$ holes in the first case and $2q^n + 1$ holes in the second case. If however an element of \mathcal{S} is completely contained in U , the number of holes reduces by q^{n-1} . So, the number of holes in a hyperplane is always $1 \pmod{q^{n-1}}$.

Suppose that x_i is the number of holes in the hyperplane π_i , $i = 1, \dots, \theta_{2n+1}$, of $\text{PG}(2n + 1, q)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} 1 &= \frac{q^{2n+2} - 1}{q - 1}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} x_i &= (q^n + 1) \frac{q^{2n+1} - 1}{q - 1}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} x_i(x_i - 1) &= (q^n + 1) q^n \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{q - 1}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} x_i(x_i - 1)(x_i - 2) &\geq (q^n + 1) q^n (q^n - 1) \frac{q^{2n-1} - 1}{q - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} (x_i - 1)(x_i - q^{n-1} - 1)(x_i - q^n - 1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} x_i(x_i - 1)(x_i - 2) - (q^{n-1} + q^n) \sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} x_i(x_i - 1) \\
 &\quad + (q^{n-1} + 1)(q^n + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} (x_i - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now replacing $\sum_{i=1}^{\theta_{2n+1}} x_i(x_i - 1)(x_i - 2)$ by the lower bound stated above gives the inequality $0 > q^{2n+1}(q^n + 1)(q^{n-2} - 1)$. This is false. \square

References

1. A. Beutelspacher, "On t -covers in finite projective spaces," *J. Geometry* **12/1** (1979), 10–16.
2. R.C. Bose and R.C. Burton, "A characterization of flat spaces in a finite geometry and the uniqueness of the Hamming and McDonald codes," *J. Combin. Theory* **1** (1966), 96–104.
3. J. Einfeld, "On smallest covers of finite projective spaces," *Arch. Math.* **68** (1997), 77–80.
4. J. Einfeld, L. Storme, and P. Sziklai, "Minimal covers of the Klein quadric," *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **95** (2001), 145–157.
5. J. Einfeld, L. Storme, T. Szőnyi, and P. Sziklai, "Covers and blocking sets of classical generalized quadrangles," in *Proceedings of the Third International Shanghai Conference on Designs, Codes and Finite Geometries* (Shanghai, China, May 14–18, 1999). *Discrete Math.* **238** (2001), 35–51.
6. N. Hamada, "A characterization of some $[n, k, d; q]$ -codes meeting the Griesmer bound using a minihyper in a finite projective geometry," *Discrete Math.* **116** (1993), 229–268.
7. J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective Geometries over Finite Fields*, 2nd edn., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
8. J.W.P. Hirschfeld and J.A. Thas, *General Galois Geometries*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.
9. K. Metsch, "The sets closest to ovoids in $Q^-(2n + 1, q)$," *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **5** (1998), 389–392.
10. K. Metsch, "Bose-Burton type theorems for finite projective, affine and polar spaces," *Surveys in Combinatorics, 1999 (Canterbury)*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 267, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1999, pp. 137–166.