# Higher Power Residue Codes and the Leech Lattice

MEHRDAD AHMADZADEH RAJI

m.raji@ex.ac.uk

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QE, UK Received August 30, 2001; Revised January 5, 2004; Accepted January 27, 2004

**Abstract.** We shall consider higher power residue codes over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . We will briefly introduce these codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  and then we will find a new construction for the Leech lattice. A similar construction is used to construct some of the other lattices of rank 24.

Keywords: self-dual code, even unimodular lattice, Hensel lifting

## 1. Introduction

Let p, l be prime numbers. Let  $\mathbf{F}_l$  be a finite field of order l and  $\mathbf{F}_{l^2}$  be a finite extension of degree 2 over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_l$ . Chapman introduced higher power residue codes W over the Galois field  $\mathbf{F}_{l^2}$  [3]. These are codes over  $\mathbf{F}_{l^2}$  but linear only over  $\mathbf{F}_l$ , not  $\mathbf{F}_{l^2}$ , and they satisfy  $W \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_l} \mathbf{F}_{l^2} = (\mathbf{F}_{l^2})^{p+1}$ . They depend on characters  $\chi : \mathbf{F}_p^* \to \mathbf{F}_{l^2}^*$  where for a given field  $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}^* = \mathbf{F} - \{0\}$ .

Here we begin the task of generalizing this construction to Galois rings. We confine ourselves here to the Galois ring  $\mathbf{Z}_4[\omega]$  where  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ . The characters we consider here have orders 3 or 6, so we call the corresponding codes cubic or sextic residue codes.

When  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$  we can combine sextic residue codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  with quadratic residue codes to form self-dual codes of length 3(p + 1) over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . These yield unimodular lattices. The first case is p = 7 which we deal with in detail.

#### 2. Higher power residue codes over Z<sub>4</sub>

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega] = \{a + b\omega : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$  where  $\omega$  is a primitive cube root of unity. So  $\omega$  satisfies  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ . We define the following automorphism on  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$ .

$$T: \mathbf{Z}_4[\omega] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_4[\omega]$$
$$a + b\omega \longmapsto a + b\omega^2$$

This is an automorphism of order two.

Let p be a prime number with  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . Now consider

$$\Omega = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}_p\} - \{(0, 0)\}$$

Define  $\mathbf{Z}_4[\omega]^* = \mathbf{Z}_4[\omega] - \{0, 2, 2\omega, 2\omega^2\}$  the group of units of  $\mathbf{Z}_4[\omega]$ . Let  $\chi : \mathbf{Z}_p^* \to \mathbf{Z}_4[\omega]^*$  be a character of order 3 or 6 such that  $\chi(\alpha) \in \{\pm \omega^j\}$  where  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $\chi(\alpha)^{-1} = \overline{\chi(\alpha)}$ . We define the  $\mathbf{Z}_4[\omega]$ -module *M* with generators  $e_v$  where  $v \in \Omega$  and relations  $e_{\alpha v} = \chi(\alpha)e_v$ . Let

$$\Delta = \{e_{\infty}, e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{p-1}\}$$
(1)

where  $e_{\infty} = e_{(1,0)}$  and  $e_{\alpha} = e_{(\alpha,1)}$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We suppose that there is no non-trivial linear relation among the elements of  $\Delta$ . So the  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$ -module M can be generated as a finitely generated module of rank p + 1 by linear combinations of the elements of  $\Delta$ .

We denote the general linear group of degree 2 over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  by  $\mathbb{GL}(2, p)$ . Define the action of  $\mathbb{GL}(2, p)$  on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as

$$v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \frac{v\alpha + \gamma}{v\beta + \delta}$$

and

$$\infty \cdot \begin{pmatrix} lpha & eta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = rac{lpha}{eta}.$$

Therefore if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ , we have

$$e_v A = \chi (v\beta + \delta) e_{v \cdot A}$$

for  $v \neq \infty$  and

$$e_{\infty}A = \chi(\beta)e_{\infty \cdot A}.$$

One can easily verify that

$$e_{\alpha v}A = e_{\alpha vA} = \chi(\alpha)e_{vA} = \chi(\alpha)e_{v}A.$$
(2)

**Definition 2.1** Let  $f : M_1 \to M_2$  be a map between  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$ -modules  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . We say f is semi-linear if  $f(\lambda m) = \overline{\lambda} f(m)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega], m \in M$ .

We aim to find a  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -submodule W of M with the property that the natural map  $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_4} \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega] \to M$  is an isomorphism. This is equivalent to  $M = W \oplus \omega W$ .

**Lemma 2.1** Let W be a  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -submodule of M with  $M = W \oplus \omega W$ . Then

$$\tau: M \to M$$
$$r + \omega s \mapsto r + \bar{\omega} s$$

where  $r, s \in W$ , is a semi-linear involution.

**Proof:** Let  $r, s \in W$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$ . Thus  $\lambda = a + b\omega$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ . Then

$$\tau(\lambda(r+\omega s)) = \tau(ar + br\omega + as\omega + bs(-1-\omega))$$
$$= ar - bs + (br + as - bs)\bar{\omega}$$
$$= \bar{\lambda}(r + \bar{\omega}s)$$
$$= \bar{\lambda}(\tau(r+\omega s)).$$

Hence  $\tau$  is semi-linear. Finally

$$\tau^2(r+\omega s) = \tau(r+\bar{\omega}s) = r+\omega s$$

The converse of Lemma 2.1 is also true.

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $\tau : M \to M$  be a semi-linear involution and  $W = \{m \in M : \tau(m) = m\}$ . Then W is a  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -submodule and  $M = W \oplus \omega W$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\tau : M \to M$  is a semi-linear involution. Since  $\bar{a} = a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ , the set W is a  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -submodule of M. Since  $\omega \neq \omega^2$ ,  $\lambda = \omega - \bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]^*$ .

It is clear that  $\overline{\lambda} = -\lambda$ . We shall show that for all  $m \in M$  there exist  $r, s \in W$  such that  $m = r + \omega s$ . For this set

$$r = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\omega \tau(m) - \bar{\omega}m)$$
  
$$s = \frac{1}{\lambda} (m - \tau(m)).$$

Therefore

$$\tau(r) = \frac{\bar{\omega}}{\bar{\lambda}}m - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\omega\tau(m) = \frac{-1}{\lambda}(\bar{\omega}m - \omega\tau(m)) = r$$

and

 $\tau(s) = s.$ 

So we have proved that  $M = W + \omega W$ . Now suppose  $\hat{r} \in W \cap \omega W$ . Since  $\hat{r} \in W$ , we have  $\tau(\hat{r}) = \hat{r}$ . On the other hand,  $\hat{r} \in \omega W$  so  $\hat{r} = \omega \hat{s}$  for some  $\hat{s} \in W$ . Hence  $\hat{s} = \omega^{-1}\hat{r}$  and

$$\tau(\hat{r}) = \tau(\omega\hat{s}) = \bar{\omega}\hat{s} = \bar{\omega}\omega^{-1}\hat{r} = \omega^2\omega^{-1}\hat{r} = \omega\hat{r}.$$

Since  $1 - \omega \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]^*$ , we have  $\hat{r} = 0$ . Hence

$$M = W \oplus \omega W.$$

Denote by SL(2, p) the set of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices A with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that det A = 1. Then SL(2, p) is called the 2-dimensional special linear group over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We aim to find such a W invariant under the action of SL(2, p).

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $\tau : M \to M$  be a semi-linear involution, and  $W = \{m \in M : m = \tau(m)\}$ . Then W is invariant under the action of **SL**(2, p) if and only if  $\tau(mA) = \tau(m)A$  for all  $A \in$ **SL**(2, p) and  $m \in M$ .

**Proof:** Suppose *W* is invariant under SL(2, p) and  $r, s \in W$ . Then

$$\tau((r + \omega s)A) = \tau(rA + \omega sA) = \tau(rA) + \tau(\omega sA)$$
  
=  $rA + \bar{\omega}sA = (r + \bar{\omega}s)A$   
=  $\tau(r + \omega s)A$ .  
Conversely if  $\tau(mA) = \tau(m)A$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $A \in SL(2, p)$ , then for  $m \in W$ 

 $\tau(mA) = \tau(m)A = mA.$ 

Hence W is invariant under SL(2, p).

Quaternary quadratic residue codes are invariant under the corresponding action of **SL**(2, *p*) defined from the quadratic character  $\chi(a) = (\frac{a}{p})$  (see [2]).

**Definition 2.2** We consider such a submodule *W* which is invariant under the action of SL(2, *p*) according to Lemma 2.3. We call *W* a higher power residue code. In particular when  $\chi$  is a character of order 3 we call *W* a cubic residue code and when  $\chi$  has order 6 we call *W* a sextic residue code.

Now we are going to define a hermitian structure on M.

**Definition 2.3** A  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ - bilinear form  $\Phi: M \times M \to \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  satisfying

(1)  $\Phi(\lambda_1 m_1 + \lambda_2 m_2, \hat{m}_1) = \bar{\lambda}_1 \Phi(m_1, \hat{m}_1) + \bar{\lambda}_2 \Phi(m_2, \hat{m}_1)$ (2)  $\Phi(m_1, \lambda_1 \hat{m}_1 + \lambda_2 \hat{m}_2) = \lambda_1 \Phi(m_1, \hat{m}_1) + \lambda_2 \Phi(m_1, \hat{m}_2)$ 

for all  $m_1, m_2, \hat{m}_1, \hat{m}_2 \in M, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  is called a sesquilinear form on M.

**Lemma 2.4** Define a sesquilinear form  $\Phi$  on M by

$$\Phi(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha = \beta \\ 0 & \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases}$$
(3)

for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Then  $\Phi$  has the following properties: (i)  $\Phi(e_v, e_w) = \overline{\Phi(e_w, e_v)}$  for all  $v, w \in \Omega$ (ii)  $\Phi(e_vA, e_wA) = \Phi(e_v, e_w)$  for all  $v, w \in \Omega$ 

#### **Proof:**

(i) First of all suppose v and w are linearly independent. In this case both sides of (i) are zero. Now suppose  $w = \alpha v$ . Therefore:

$$\overline{\Phi(e_w, e_v)} = \overline{\chi(\alpha)}.$$
(4)

On the other hand  $v = \alpha^{-1} w$  and

$$\Phi(e_w, e_v) = \chi(\alpha^{-1}). \tag{5}$$

The result follows from (4), (5) and the fact that  $\chi(\alpha^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(\alpha)}$ .

(ii) One can achieve the result by using the same process as (i) and definition of  $e_v A$  and applying (2).

**Corollary 2.1** Let  $\Phi$  be as in Lemma 2.4. Then for all  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $A \in SL(2, p)$  we have

- (i)  $\Phi(m_2, m_1) = \overline{\Phi(m_1, m_2)}$
- (ii)  $\Phi(m_1A, m_2A) = \Phi(m_1, m_2).$

#### **Proof:**

- (i) is clear by sesquilinearity of  $\Phi$ .
- (ii) follows from (ii) of Lemma 2.4 and the linearity of  $\Phi$ .

Recall  $\Omega = (\mathbf{Z}_p \times \mathbf{Z}_p) - \{(0, 0)\}.$ 

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $\tau$  be a semi-linear involution  $\tau : M \to M$  such that  $\tau(mA) = \tau(m)A$ for all  $A \in \mathbf{SL}(2, p)$  and  $m \in M$ , and  $\chi : \mathbf{Z}_p^* \to \mathbf{Z}_4[\omega]^*$  be a character of order s > 2. Define  $\Psi : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbf{Z}_4[\omega]^*$  by  $\Psi(v, w) = \Phi(\tau(e_v), (e_w))$ . Then  $\Psi$  satisfies the following.

- (i)  $\Psi(\alpha v, \beta w) = \chi(\alpha \beta) \Psi(v, w)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$
- (ii)  $\Psi(vA, wA) = \Psi(v, w)$
- (iii)  $\Psi(v, w) = 0$  whenever v and w are linearly dependent.

### **Proof:**

(i)

$$\Psi(\alpha v, \beta w) = \Phi(\tau(e_{\alpha v}), e_{\beta w}) = \Phi(\tau(\chi(\alpha)e_v), \chi(\beta)e_w)$$
  
=  $\Phi(\overline{\chi(\alpha)}\tau(e_v), \chi(\beta)e_w) = \chi(\alpha)\chi(\beta)\Phi(\tau(e_v), e_w)$   
=  $\chi(\alpha\beta)\Psi(v, w).$  (6)

(ii)

$$\Psi(vA, wA) = \Phi(\tau(e_{vA}), e_{wA}) = \Phi(\tau(e_vA), e_wA)$$
  
=  $\Phi(\tau(e_v)A, e_wA)$   
=  $\Phi(\tau(e_v), e_w)$   
=  $\Psi(v, w).$  (7)

(iii) Suppose  $\alpha$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  such that  $\chi(\alpha) \neq \pm 1$ . Such an element exists, since otherwise, the order of  $\chi$  does not exceed two. Now we can find a matrix  $A \in \mathbf{SL}(2, p)$  such that  $vA = \alpha v$ , and then also  $wA = \alpha w$ . Therefore,  $\Psi(v, w) = \Psi(vA, wA) = \Psi(\alpha v, \alpha w) = \chi(\alpha)^2 \Psi(v, w)$ . Hence,  $\Psi(v, w) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $\Psi$  be as in Lemma 2.5 and  $v = (\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $w = (\gamma, \delta)$  be linearly independent elements of  $\Omega$ ,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\hat{x} = \det A$ .

- (i) There exists some  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  such that  $\Psi(v, w) = \zeta \chi(\hat{x})$
- (ii)  $\Psi(w, v) = \chi(-1)\Psi(v, w)$ .

#### **Proof:**

(i) Let  $v_0 = (1, 0), w_0 = (0, 1)$ . Hence  $v = v_0 A', w = \hat{x} w_0 A'$  where

$$A' = (\underset{\hat{x}^{-1}\gamma}{\alpha} \underset{\hat{x}^{-1}\delta}{\beta}) \in \mathbf{SL}(2, p).$$

Then

$$\Psi(v, w) = \Psi(v_0 A', \hat{x} w_0 A') = \chi(\hat{x}) \Psi(v_0, w_0).$$
(8)  
Taking  $\zeta$  as  $\Psi(v_0, w_0)$ , completes the proof.

(ii)  $\Psi(w, v) = \zeta \chi(-\hat{x}) = \zeta \chi(-1)\chi(\hat{x}) = \chi(-1)\Psi(v, w).$ 

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $\zeta$  be an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.6 and  $\tau : M \to M$  be a semi-linear involution which satisfies  $\tau(mA) = \tau(m)A$ , for all  $A \in \mathbf{SL}(2, p)$  and  $m \in M$ . Then

$$\tau(e_v) = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)} \overline{\zeta U_{vw}} e_w \tag{9}$$

where

$$U_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} \chi(\alpha - \beta) & \text{if } \beta \neq \infty, \, \alpha \neq \infty \\ \chi(-1) & \text{if } \beta = \infty, \, \alpha \neq \infty \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \infty, \, \beta \neq \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = \infty, \, \beta = \infty \end{cases}$$
(10)

and  $\zeta$  satisfies the equation

$$p\chi(-1)\zeta\bar{\zeta} = 1. \tag{11}$$

Proof: Using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(e_v) &= \sum_{w \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)} \Phi(e_w, \tau(e_v)) e_w = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)} \overline{\Phi(\tau(e_v), e_w)} e_w \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)} \overline{\Psi(v, w)} e_w = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}_p)} \overline{\zeta U_{vw}} e_w, \end{aligned}$$

where U is the matrix defined by (10). Therefore,

$$\tau(e_{\infty}) = \overline{\zeta} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} e_i,$$

and so

$$1 = \Phi(e_{\infty}, e_{\infty}) = \Phi(\tau(e_{\infty})^{2}, e_{\infty})$$
  
=  $\Phi(\tau(\tau(e_{\infty})), e_{\infty}) = \Phi\left(\tau\left(\bar{\zeta}\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} e_{i}\right), e_{\infty}\right)$   
=  $\Phi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta\tau(e_{i}), e_{\infty}\right) = \bar{\zeta}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \Phi(\tau(e_{i}), e_{\infty}\right)$   
=  $\bar{\zeta}\zeta p\chi(-1).$ 

Therefore

$$p\chi(-1)\zeta\bar{\zeta} = 1. \tag{12}$$

**Remark 2.1** If  $\zeta$  satisfies (12) and  $\tau$  is defined by (9) then by Lemma 2.4,

$$\Phi(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}) = \Phi(\tau^2(e_{\alpha}), e_{\beta}).$$
(13)

Therefore,  $\tau^2(m) = m$  for all  $m \in M$ . This shows that  $\tau$  is a semi-linear involution. Moreover,

$$\Phi(\tau(e_v A), w) = \Phi(\tau(e_{vA}), w) = \Psi(vA, w) = \Psi(v, wA^{-1})$$
  
=  $\Phi(\tau(e_v), wA^{-1}) = \Phi(\tau(e_v)A, w).$  (14)

Hence  $\tau(mA) = \tau(m)A$ , for all  $m \in M$ . So by Lemma 2.3,  $W = \{m \in M : \tau(m) = m\}$  is invariant under the action of **SL**(2, *p*).

Remark 2.1 shows the existence of a semi-linear involution  $\tau$  such that W is invariant under the action of **SL**(2, p). In fact any semi-linear involution  $\tau$  on M gives a Higher power residue code W and semi-linear involutions are correspond to the solutions of (12) via (9).

One of the prominent questions is : how many different higher power residue codes are there in each case. We need to prove the following lemma

**Lemma 2.7** Let W be a higher power residue code over  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  then  $\lambda W$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]^*$  is a higher power residue code.

**Proof:** What we shall do is to find a semi-linear involution  $\dot{\tau}$  such that  $\dot{\tau}(\lambda W) = \lambda W$ . Let  $\dot{\tau} = \varepsilon \tau$ . So we have

$$\dot{\tau}(\lambda m) = \varepsilon \tau(\lambda m) = \varepsilon \bar{\lambda} \tau(m) = \varepsilon \bar{\lambda} m$$

for  $m \in W$ . So it suffices to choose  $\varepsilon = \lambda/\overline{\lambda}$ . Obviously  $\varepsilon\overline{\varepsilon} = 1$  and this proves that  $\dot{\tau}$  is a semi-linear involution.

**Proposition 2.2** The higher power residue code is unique up to multiplication by an element of  $\mathbf{Z}_{4}[\omega]^{*}$ .

**Proof:** Let *W* and  $\hat{W}$  be higher power residue codes. Then they are respectively the fixed sets of semi-linear involutions  $\tau$  and  $\hat{\tau}$ , and they are invariant under the action of **SL**(2, *p*). By (9) and (12)  $\hat{\tau} = \epsilon \tau$  where  $\epsilon \overline{\epsilon} = 1$ . Such an  $\epsilon$  has the form  $\lambda/\overline{\lambda}$  and so  $\hat{W} = \lambda W$  by the argument of Lemma 2.7.

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $\tau$  be the unique semi-linear involution  $\tau : M \to M$  which is defined by (9) and S be the set of  $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]^*$  such that  $\eta + \bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]^*$ . Define

$$h: M \to M$$
$$m \mapsto \eta m + \bar{\eta} \tau(m)$$

for some  $\eta \in S$ . Then W is the image of h.

**Proof:** If  $m \in W$  and  $\eta \in S$  then  $\eta m + \tau(\eta m) = (\eta + \overline{\eta})m$ . Set  $n = (\eta + \overline{\eta})^{-1}m$ . Therefore h(n) = m.

**Definition 2.4** Define a  $Z_4$ -bilinear map

 $[ , ]: M \times M \to \mathbb{Z}_4$  $(m_1, m_2) \longmapsto \Phi(m_1, m_2) + \overline{\Phi(m_1, m_2)}.$ 

We denote the dual space of W by

$$W' = \{m_2 \in M : [m_1, m_2] = 0 \quad \text{for all } m_1 \in W\}.$$
(15)

**Proposition 2.4** Suppose  $\chi(-1) = -1$ . Then W is self dual under [ , ].

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.6

$$\Phi(\tau(m_1), m_2) = -\Phi(\tau(m_2), m_1), \tag{16}$$

for all  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . So for all  $m_1, m_2 \in W$  we have

$$\Phi(m_1, m_2) = \Phi(\tau(m_1), m_2) = -\Phi(\tau(m_2), m_1) = -\Phi(m_2, m_1) = -\overline{\Phi(m_1, m_2)}.$$
(17)

We know that  $|M| = |W| \times |W'|$  and  $|W| = \sqrt{|M|}$ , so W = W'. This completes the proof.

Suppose p = 7 and in Proposition 2.1 define  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_7^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]^*$  such that  $\chi(5) = -\omega$  and choose  $\zeta = 1$  which is one of the solutions of (12). In this case by calculation, the space which is spanned by the rows of the following matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  has rank 8 over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . Therefore *W* is spanned over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  by the rows of this matrix.

Γω	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$	$\omega^2$ -
$\left -\omega\right $	$\omega^2$	$-\omega^2$	$-\omega$	1	-1	ω	$\omega^2$
$ -\omega $	$\omega^2 \omega^2$	ω	$-\omega^2$	$-\omega$	1	-1	ω
$ -\omega $	<sup>2</sup> 1	$\omega^2$	ω	$-\omega^2$	$-\omega$	1	-1
$ -\omega $	$^{2}$ -1	1	$\omega^2$	ω	$-\omega^2$	$-\omega$	1
$ -\omega $	<sup>2</sup> 1	-1	1	$\omega^2$	ω	$-\omega^2$	-1
$ -\omega $	$^2 -\omega$	1	-1	1	$\omega^2$	ω	$-\omega^2$
$\lfloor -\omega \rfloor$	$^{2} -\omega^{2}$	$-\omega$	1	-1	1	$\omega^2$	ω

This W is a sextic residue code. This construction generalizes that of Chapman[3].

The symmetrized weight enumerator of a code W over  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  is defined as follows.

Consider a specific codeword  $r \in W$ . Now, let  $n_0(r)$  be the number of zeroes in the codeword,  $n_1(r)$  be the number of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]^*$ ,  $n_2(r)$  be the number of elements of  $2\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega] - \{0\}$  in the codeword. The symmetrized weight enumerator of W is

$$swe_W(x, y, z) = \sum_{r \in W} x^{n_0(r)} y^{n_1(r)} z^{n_2(r)}.$$
(19)

The symmetrized weight enumerator of W, the sextic residue code of length 8 is as follows.

$$swe_W(x, y, z) = x^8 + 42 x^4 z^4 + 672 x^3 y^4 z + 2688 x^2 y^6 + 2016 x^2 y^4 z^2 + 168 x^2 z^6 + 16128 x y^6 z + 4704 x y^4 z^3 + 11520 y^8 + 24192 y^6 z^2 + 3360 y^4 z^4 + 45 z^8.$$

# 3. The Leech lattice

Now we are going to construct the Leech lattice and one of the Niemeier lattices by using a higher power residue code of length 8 over  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$ .

We are going to use the same action of **SL**(2, 7) on the code. Under this action for each  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{SL}(2, 7)$ 

$$e_v A = \vartheta(A, v) e_w \tag{20}$$

where  $w = \frac{\alpha v + \gamma}{\beta v + \delta}$  and  $\vartheta(A, v) = \sigma(A, v)\omega^{j(A,v)}$ , where  $\sigma(A, v)$  is either 1 or -1 and j(A, v) is 0, 1 or -1. We regard j(A, v) are lying in the integers modulo 3. It is also apparent that for each  $A \in \mathbf{SL}(2, 7)$  there exists an invertible  $8 \times 8$  matrix  $\hat{A}$  such that

$$e_v A = e_v \hat{A}.\tag{21}$$

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $\vartheta(A, v)$  be defined by (20). Then

$$\vartheta(AB, v) = \vartheta(A, v)\vartheta(B, v \cdot A)$$

**Proof:** 

$$(e_{v}A)B = \vartheta(A, v)e_{v \cdot A}B$$
  
=  $\vartheta(A, v)\vartheta(B, v \cdot A)e_{(v \cdot A) \cdot B}.$ 

On the other hand,

$$e_v(AB) = \vartheta(AB, v)e_{v \cdot AB}.$$

Since the left hand sides are equal, the proof is complete.

Let *W* be the sextic residue code of length 8 over  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  with character  $\chi$  where  $\chi(-1) = -1$ and  $\chi(5) = -\omega$ . Each  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  can be written uniquely as  $\zeta = a_0 + a_1\omega + a_2\omega^2$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ where  $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = 0$ . Let  $\hat{M}$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -module generated by  $\{f_{\alpha,j} : \alpha \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Z}_7), 0 \le j \le 2\}$ . So we define

$$\hat{\phi}: M \to \hat{M}$$
$$\zeta e_{\alpha} \mapsto a_0 f_{\alpha,0} + a_1 f_{\alpha,1} + a_2 f_{\alpha,2}$$

The map (22) can be easily extended to the map  $\phi: W \to \hat{M}$  which takes  $r \in W$  to

 $(a_{\infty,0}, a_{\infty,1}, a_{\infty,2}, a_{0,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{0,2}, \dots, a_{6,0}, a_{6,1}, a_{6,2})$ 

where

 $a_{\alpha,0} + a_{\alpha,1} + a_{\alpha,2} \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}_7).$ 

We denote the code  $\phi(W)$  by T.

We consider the matrix (18) and we replace each array by its three coordinates as above. So we have a generator matrix for the code T over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  as follows.

Γ1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	27
3	3	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	2
3	3	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	3	3	1	2	1
3	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	3	3
3	3	2	2	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	1	1
3	3	2	2	1	1	2	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	3	2	3
3	3	2	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	3	2
_3	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1_

We consider the inner product on  $\hat{M}$  with respect to the inner product with the  $f_{\alpha,i}$  orthonormal and we set the *weight* of 0, 1, 2, 3 in  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  as 0, 1, 4, 1 respectively. So the *Euclidean weight* of a codeword r is the sum of the weights of its coordinates. It can be easily seen that the Euclidean weight of each codeword in T is divisible by 8. This shows that the code T is self-orthogonal.

We shall define an action of SL(2, 7) on  $\hat{M}$ . Define,

 $f_{v,i}A = \sigma(A, v)f_{v \cdot A, i+j(A,v)}$ 

where the suffix i + j(A, v) read modulo 3. We can show that this action is

well-defined.

50

$$(f_{v,i}A)B = \sigma(A, v)f_{v \cdot A, i+j(A,v)}B = \sigma(A, v)\sigma(B, v \cdot A)f_{(v \cdot A) \cdot B, i+j(A,v)+j(B, v \cdot A)}$$

On the other hand,

$$f_{v,i}AB = \sigma(AB, v)f_{v \cdot AB, i+j(AB, v)}$$

and by Lemma 3.1  $\sigma(A, v)\sigma(B, v \cdot A) = \sigma(AB, v)$  and  $j(A, v) + j(B, v \cdot A) = j(AB, v)$  which completes the proof.

Now it is easy to see that  $\phi$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear and  $\phi(rA) = \phi(r)A$  for all  $r \in W$  and  $A \in SL(2, 7)$ .

**Proposition 3.1** Suppose  $\overline{W}$  is a code of length 8 over  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$  with generator matrix  $\overline{G}$  and  $\widehat{A}$  is the matrix which is defined by (21). If  $\overline{G}\widehat{A} = \widehat{A}\overline{G}$  then  $\overline{W}$  is invariant under the action of SL(2, 7).

**Proof:** Let  $\bar{\zeta} \in \bar{W}$ . Therefore, there exists  $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_4^8$  such that  $\bar{\zeta} = \bar{a}\bar{G}$ , hence

$$\bar{\zeta}\hat{A} = \bar{a}\bar{G}\hat{A} = \bar{\zeta}\hat{A}\bar{G} = \bar{\eta}\bar{G} \in \bar{W},$$

for some  $\bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{Z}_4^8$ . This completes the proof.

Now consider the construction of the extended quaternary quadratic residue codes. Let H be the matrix defined by (10) with  $\chi(\alpha) = (\frac{\alpha}{7})$ . Set  $\tilde{G} = 5I_{8\times 8} - Y$ . The matrix H is a skew symmetric matrix and  $\hat{A}\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}\hat{A}$ , so by Proposition 3.1,  $\tilde{G}$  generates a code over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  which is invariant under the action of SL(2, 7). Suppose row(G, i) is the *i*th row of the matrix G. Now set

$$\Theta = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\pm \operatorname{row}(\widetilde{G}, i) \pm \operatorname{row}(\widetilde{G}, j)) : 1 \le i, j \le 8 \right\}.$$

The code  $Q_4$  which is generated by  $\Theta$  is the extended quadratic residue code over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  obtained by Hensel lifting (see [4]). The code  $Q_4$  is invariant under the action of SL(2, 7) (see [2]). The number of linearly independent vectors in this set is at most 8. So suffices it to consider 8 vectors as follows. Set the matrix  $\hat{G}$  as a matrix where

$$row(\hat{G}, i) = (row(\widetilde{G}, 1) + row(\widetilde{G}, i))/2.$$

That is

The code over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  which is spanned by the rows of the matrix  $\hat{G}$  is the same as the code generated by

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

which is the extended quadratic residue code over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  obtained by Hensel lifting [10, Chapter 11].

Define

$$\psi : \mathbf{Z}_{4}^{8} \to \mathbf{Z}_{4}^{24}$$
$$\sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \mapsto \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{2} a_{\alpha} f_{\alpha,j}.$$
(23)

Since  $Q_4$  is a self-dual code then  $Q^{(4)} = \psi(Q_4)$  is self-orthogonal. One can easily see that  $Q^{(4)}$  is orthogonal to T. Moreover,  $Q^{(4)} \cap T = 0$ . So the code  $Q^{(4)} + T$  is a self-orthogonal of dimension 12. So it is self-dual. We denote the code  $Q^{(4)} + T$  by  $\Gamma$ . A code over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  which is self-dual and the Euclidean weight of each codeword is divisible by 8 is called a code of type II. Computer calculation shows that the symmetric weight enumerator of  $\Gamma$  is

$$\begin{split} swe_{\Gamma} &= x^{24} + 759\,x^{16}z^8 + 12144\,x^{14}y^8z^2 + 170016\,x^{12}y^8z^4 + 2576\,x^{12}z^{12} \\ &+ 61824\,x^{11}y^{12}z + 765072\,x^{10}y^8z^6 + 1133440\,x^9y^{12}z^3 + 24288\,x^8y^{16} \\ &+ 1214400\,x^8y^8z^8 + 759\,x^8z^{16} + 4080384\,x^7y^{12}z^5 + 680064\,x^6y^{16}z^2 \\ &+ 765072\,x^6y^8z^{10} + 4080384\,x^5y^{12}z^7 + 1700160\,x^4y^{16}z^4 \\ &+ 170016\,x^4y^8z^{12} + 1133440\,x^3y^{12}z^9 + 680064\,x^2y^{16}z^6 \\ &+ 12144\,x^2y^8z^{14} + 61824\,xy^{12}z^{11} + 4096\,y^{24} + 24288\,y^{16}z^8 + z^{24}. \end{split}$$

Now we consider the lattice in  $\mathbf{R}^{24}$  associated with  $\Gamma$  which is

$$L_{\Gamma} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (g+4z) : g \in \Gamma, z \in \mathbf{Z}^{24} \right\},\tag{24}$$

where g is regarded as *n*-tuples with integers 0, 1, 2, 3 as components. This construction is called construction  $A_4$ . Since the code  $\Gamma$  is of type II then the lattice  $L_{\Gamma}$  is an even unimodular lattice. As we see the number of the vectors of norm 2 is zero,  $L_{\Gamma}$  is isomorphic to the Leech lattice ([6] chapter 18).

Bonnecaze et al. [2] have constructed the Leech lattice by using a different code over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ , but they have found the same symmetrized weight enumerator. We show that these codes are not isomorphic.

Let  $\overline{Q}$  be the code described in [2]. We show that  $7 \nmid |Aut(\overline{Q})|$ . Since we have shown that the automorphism group of  $\Gamma$  contains **SL**(2, 7), the conclusion would be apparent.

**Theorem 3.1** The code  $\Gamma$  is inequivalent to the code  $\overline{Q}$ .

**Proof:** The code is actually a lifting of the binary Golay code. Define

 $\rho: Aut(\bar{Q}) \to Aut(\mathcal{G})$ 

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the Golay code and the image of an element is the element modulo 2. The image of  $\rho$  is a group of automorphisms of the Golay code and  $Aut(\bar{Q}) \supseteq SL(2, 23)$  but  $\rho(SL(2, 23)) = PSL(2, 23)$ , so  $PSL(2, 23) \subseteq Im \rho$ . We know that  $M_{24}$  is the full automorphism group of the Golay code  $\mathcal{G}_{24}$ . So we have

**PSL** $(2, 23) \subseteq \text{Im } \rho \subseteq M_{24}.$ 

But **PSL**(2, 23) is maximal in  $M_{24}$  [7], hence either Im  $\rho =$ **PSL**(2, 23) or Im  $\rho = M_{24}$ . We show that Im  $\rho \neq M_{24}$ . Suppose Im  $\rho = M_{24}$ . Now consider a word  $\varpi$  of shape  $((\pm 1)^8 \ 2^2 \ 0^{14})$  in  $\overline{Q}$ . Let *O* be an 8 element set (octad) formed by the positions of the  $\pm 1$ s in the word  $\varpi$ . The stabilizer of an octad is one of the maximal subgroups of  $M_{24}$  and it acts 2-transitively on the remaining points. That means for *i*, *j*, *k*, *l* which are not in the *O* and  $i \neq j, k \neq l$ , we can find *g* in the stabilizer of *O* such that g(i, j) = (k, l). There are 759 octads and by acting on  $\pm \varpi$  by the octad stabilizer we get at least  $2(\frac{16}{2})$  words of shape  $((\pm 1)^8 2^2 0^{14})$  in  $\overline{Q}$  with  $\pm 1$ s forming the octad *O*. So in total there are at least  $2 \times 759 \times (\frac{16}{2}) = 759 \times 16 \times 15$  elements of shape  $((\pm 1)^8 2^2 0^{14})$  in  $\overline{Q}$ . But it is not possible due to  $swe_{\Gamma}$ . Therefore, **PSL**(2, 23) = Im $\rho$ .

Any element of ker $\rho$  is a diagonal matrix with  $\pm 1$ s on diagonal, so has order 1 or 2. Since ker $\rho$  is a 2-group then 7 does not divide the  $|Aut(\bar{Q})|$ . This completes the proof. (I am indebted to Robin Chapman for this argument).

Now suppose  $\lambda$  is a unit of  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$ . We know that W is a  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear code but not a  $\mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]$ linear code. Moreover,  $\tau(\lambda r) = \overline{\lambda}\tau(r)$  for each  $r \in W$  and  $\overline{\lambda}\tau(r) = \lambda r$  if and only if  $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$ . Therefore if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_4[\omega]^*$  and  $\lambda\overline{\lambda} \neq 1$ ,  $\hat{W} = \lambda W$  is a different code from W but

 $swe_W = swe_{\hat{W}}$ . Replacing W by  $\lambda W$  in the above construction of  $\Gamma$  gives a type II code  $\hat{\Gamma}$ . By applying the same process which is described in Section 3 we will find a different lattice. In fact, computer calculation shows that  $swe_{\hat{\Gamma}}$  is as follows

$$\begin{split} swe_{\hat{\Gamma}} &= x^{24} + 48\,x^{16}y^8 + 759\,x^{16}z^8 + 11760\,x^{14}y^8z^2 + 171360\,x^{12}y^8z^4 \\ &\quad + 2576\,x^{12}z^{12} + 61824\,x^{11}y^{12}z + 762384\,x^{10}y^8z^6 + 1133440\,x^9y^{12}z^3 \\ &\quad + 24288\,x^8y^{16} + 1217760\,x^8y^8z^8 + 759\,x^8z^{16} + 4080384\,x^7y^{12}z^5 \\ &\quad + 680064\,x^6y^{16}z^2 + 762384\,x^6y^8z^{10} + 4080384\,x^5y^{12}z^7 \\ &\quad + 1700160\,x^4y^{16}z^4 + 171360\,x^4y^8z^{12} + 1133440\,x^3y^{12}z^9 \\ &\quad + 680064\,x^2y^{16}z^6 + 11760\,x^2y^8z^{14} + 61824\,xy^{12}z^{11} + 4096\,y^{24} \\ &\quad + 24288\,y^{16}z^8 + 48\,y^8z^{16} + z^{24}. \end{split}$$

As we see the number of the words with minimum weight is 48. If  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a root lattice and *R* is its set of roots then the number  $h = \frac{|R|}{n}$  is called the Coxeter number. Therefore the Coxeter number in this case is 2 and this lattice is equivalent to the Niemeier lattice  $A_1^{24}$ . See [6, Chapter 16] for the classification of Niemeier lattices.

#### References

- 1. W. A. Adkins and S.H. Weintraub, Algebra, Springer Verlag, New York, 1992.
- A. Bonnecaze, P. Solé, and A.R. Calderbank, "Quaternary quadratic residue codes and unimodular lattices," *IEEE Trans. Inform. theory* 41(2) (1995).
- 3. R. Chapman, "Higher power residue codes," Finite Fields Appl. 3 (1997), 353-369.
- 4. R. Chapman, "Conference matrices and unimodular lattices," European J. Combin. 22 (2001), 1033–1045.
- 5. R. Chapman, Personal communication.
- J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups, 1st edn., Springer Verlag, New York, 1988.
- 7. R. T. Curtis, "The maximal subgroups of M24," Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 81 (1977), 185–192.
- F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1991.
- 9. J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, A Course in Combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992.
- 10. Zhe-Xian Wan, Quaternary Codes, World Scientific Publishing, London, 1997.