Finite vertex primitive 2-arc regular graphs

X. G. Fang · C. H. Li · J. Wang

Received: 14 September 2005 / Accepted: 5 June 2006 / Published online: 11 July 2006 © Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract A classification is given of finite graphs that are vertex primitive and 2arc regular. The classification involves various new constructions of interesting 2-arc transitive graphs.

Keywords 2-arc regular graphs · Vertex primitive

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a finite undirected simple graph, and let $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$. The graph Γ is called *G*-vertex primitive if *G* acts primitively on the vertex set $V\Gamma$. An *s*-arc is a sequence $(\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_s)$ of s + 1 vertices such that, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, α_{i-1} is adjacent to α_i , and for all $1 \leq i < s$, $\alpha_{i-1} \neq \alpha_{i+1}$. A graph Γ is said to be (G, s)-arc transitive if $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ is transitive on the set of *t*-arcs for each $t \leq s$. A (G, s)-arc transitive graph Γ is called (G, s)-arc regular if *G* acts regularly on the set of *s*-arcs of Γ , that is, no non-identity element of *G* fixes an *s*-arc. The purpose of this paper is to classify graphs which are vertex primitive and 2-arc regular.

The study of *s*-arc transitive graphs was initiated by a celebrated result of Tutte [19] in 1949 when he proved that there exists no 6-arc transitive cubic graph. Since then, this class of graphs has received lots of attention. For example, Weiss in [21]

X. G. Fang (🖂) · J. Wang

J. Wang e-mail: wangj@pku.edu.cn

C. H. Li

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming 650031, P. R. China School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia e-mail: li@maths.uwa.edu.au

LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China e-mail: xgfang@math.pku.edu.cn

proved that there exists no 8-arc transitive graphs of valency at least 3; Praeger in [16] started a general analysis of automorphism groups of 2-arc transitive graphs. Refer to [2, 6, 8, 16] for more references.

Theorem 1.1. Let Γ be a graph of valency d such that a group $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ is vertex primitive and 2-arc regular. Then one of the following holds: (1) Γ is a complete graph K_{d+1} , and G is a sharply 3-transitive permutation group

- (1) Γ is a complete graph K_{d+1} , and G is a sharply 3-transitive permutation group of degree d + 1;
- (2) $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$: *H*, where *H* is a sharply 2-transitive group of odd degree;
- (3) *G* is almost simple, $G \triangleleft A := \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, and exactly one row of Table 1 appears, where α is a vertex, and n(A) is the number of non-isomorphic *G*-vertex primitive 2-arc transitive graphs with full automorphism group equal to *A*.

Remark on Theorem 1.1. Although for the four groups $G = Fi_{24}$, M, ${}^{2}D_{4}(3).2$ or ${}^{2}F_{4}(8).3$, the precise number of corresponding graphs is not determined, the existence for all groups is known. This solves the existence problem for several primitive permutation groups that have a sharply 2-transitive subconstituent, which was unsettled in [20]. In particular, it excludes part (3) of the Main Theorem of [20] regarding the Baby Monster simple group and the Monster simple group.

Remark on Table 1. In the 9th row for $G = S_p$, k is the number of prime divisors of p - 1. The entries under the "ref." column refer to the lemmas where more detailed information about the graphs is given.

One of the main motivations for studying 2-arc regular graphs is that they are closely related to polygonal graphs, defined as follows: A graph Γ is called a *near-polygonal* graph if there exists a number m and a collection C of cycles of length m in Γ such that each 2-arc of Γ is contained in exactly one cycle in C. If m is the girth $g(\Gamma)$ of Γ then the graph is called *polygonal*. Polygonal graphs are intriguing and hard to construct, refer to [14, 15] for references. By Corollary 1.2 of [10], a connected 2-arc regular graph Γ is near-polygonal provided that for an arc (α, β) there exists an involution $g \in G$ such that $(\alpha, \beta)^g = (\beta, \alpha)$, leading to the constructions of several families of new polygonal graphs in [10]. A relation between 2-arc regular graphs and near-polygonal graphs was also found by Zhou [22]. We believe that with the 2-arc regular graphs constructed in this paper, more polygonal graphs can be produced.

This paper is organised as follows: Section 2 collects the notation and some preliminary results; in particular, it contains a reduction for proving Theorem 1.1 to the almost simple group case. In Section 3, all the candidates for the groups G are given (in Table 2), and a series of technical lemmas are established. Finally, in Section 4, a proof of Theorem 1.1 is presented.

2. Preliminaries

The notation used in this paper is standard (see, for example, [1] and [4]). For two groups *K* and *H*, *K*.*H* is an arbitrary extension of *K* by *H*, while *K*:*H* stands for a split one. Moreover, the notation $K \circ H$ stands for the central product of the two groups. Sometimes, using the notation of the Atlas [1], by p^a with *p* prime we mean $\bigotimes Springer$

G	G_{lpha}	d	$A={\rm Aut}\Gamma$	n(A)	Conditions	ref.
J_1	7:6	7	J_1	1		
	11:10	11	J_1	1		
<i>J</i> ₃ .2	19:18	19	$J_{3.2}$	1		
ON.2	31:30	31	ON.2	3		4.1
J_4	29:28	29	J_4	1		
Fi ₂₄	29:28	29	Fi_{24}	1 or 3		
М	41:40	41	М	≥ 1		
A_5	S_3	3	S_5	1		
$A_6.2 (\cong M_{10})$	5:4	5	$\operatorname{Aut}(A_6)$	1		
				$2^{k-1} - 1^*$	$p\equiv 3({\rm mod}4)$	4.2
$S_p \ (p > 5)$	$Z_p:Z_{p-1}$	р	S_p	$2^k - 1^*$	$p\equiv 5(\mathrm{mod}8)$	
p is prime				$2^{k+1} - 1^*$	$p\equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}8)$	
<i>Sz</i> (8)	5:4	5	<i>Sz</i> (8)	1		
<i>Sz</i> (8).3	7:6	7	Sz(8).3	1		4.1
${}^{3}D_{4}(2).3$	13:12	13	$^{3}D_{4}(2).3$	1 or 3		
${}^{2}F_{4}(2)$	13:12	13	${}^{2}F_{4}(2)$	1		
$^{2}F_{4}(8).3$	37:36	37	${}^{2}F_{4}(8).3$	≥ 1		4.1
L ₃ (4)	$3^2:Q_8$	9	$L_3(4).D_{12}$	1		
$S_4(4).4$	17:16	17	$S_4(4).4$	1		4.3
$U_3(4).4$	13:12	13	$U_3(4).4$	1		
$L_2(q)$			$PGL_2(q)$	1	$\varepsilon = \pm 1$	
q is prime,	A_4	4	$L_2(q)$	$(q-\varepsilon)/12$	$q\equiv \varepsilon({\rm mod}4)$	[9]
$q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8},$			$PGL_2(q)$	1	$\varepsilon = \pm 1$	
$\not\equiv \pm 1 \;(\text{mod }10)$			$L_2(q)$	$(q-\varepsilon-2)/6$	$q\not\equiv \varepsilon ({\rm mod} 4)$	
$U_{3}(2^{r})$	$3^2:Q_8$	9	$U_3(2^r).S_3$	$(2^{r-1} - 1)/r$		4.4
r > 3 is prime						
$L_3(q)$			$L_3(q)$	1	$q\equiv 3(\mathrm{mod}4)$	
q is prime,	$3^2:Q_8$	9	$L_3(q)$	2	$q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	4.5
$q \equiv 4, 7 \pmod{9}$			$L_3(q).S_3$	1	-	
$U_3(q)$			$U_{3}(q).2$	1	$q\equiv 3(\mathrm{mod}4)$	
q is prime,	$3^2:Q_8$	9	$U_3(q).2$	2	$q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	4.6
$q \equiv 2, 5 \pmod{9}$			$U_{3}(q).6$	1	- · ·	

 Table 1
 The almost simple case

an elementary abelian group of order p^a , by [n] for an integer n we mean an arbitrary group of order n, and simply by n denote a cyclic group of order n.

Let Γ be a graph of valency d, and let $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ be vertex primitive and 2-arc regular on Γ . Then for a vertex α , G_{α} acts sharply 2-transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Hence Γ is an orbital graph of G acting on $V\Gamma$, and Γ corresponds to a sharply 2-transitive subconstituent. By a result of the third author [20], we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Using the notation defined above, one of the following holds:

(i) *G* acts 2-transitively on $V\Gamma$, and Γ is a complete graph,

(ii) G is affine, that is, $Z_p^n \triangleleft G \triangleleft AGL(n, p)$, where p is a prime;

(iii) G is an almost simple group.

Next we treat parts (i) and (ii).

Lemma 2.2. If Γ is a complete graph or G is of affine type, then Theorem 1.1 holds.

Proof: Suppose first that Γ is a complete graph. Since *G* is transitive on the set of 2-arcs of Γ , it follows that *G* is transitive on the set of all triples of vertices of $V\Gamma$. Hence *G* is 3-transitive on $V\Gamma$. Further, since *G* is regular on the set of 2-arcs of Γ , it follows that *G* is regular on the set of triples of vertices of $V\Gamma$, so *G* is sharply 3-transitive on $V\Gamma$, as in part (1) of Theorem 1.1.

Assume next that *G* is affine, that is, $Z_p^n \triangleleft G \triangleleft AGL(n, p)$, where *p* is a prime. Then G = N:H, where $N = Z_p^n$, and $H = G_\alpha$ is irreducible on *N*. Since *G* is regular on the set of 2-arcs, G_α is a sharply 2-transitive permutation group on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Because *N* is regular on $V\Gamma$, vertices of Γ may be identified with elements of *N*. Further, let α be the vertex corresponding to the identity of *N*. Then the subset $S := \Gamma(\alpha)$ is such that two vertices *x*, *y* are adjacent if and only if $yx^{-1} \in S$. In this identification, *H* acts on $V\Gamma = N$ by conjugation. Since *H* is 2-transitive on *S*, it follows that p = 2and *N* is an elementary abelian 2-group, as in part (2) of Theorem 1.1.

This reduces the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the case where G is an almost simple group. To investigate this case, we need more notation.

For a group *G* and a core free subgroup H < G, *G* has a faithful transitive permutation representation on the set of right cosets $[G : H] := \{Hx \mid x \in G\}$ by right multiplication. A *coset graph*

$$\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, HgH), \text{ where } g \in G \text{ with } g^2 \in H$$

is defined as the graph with vertex set [G : H] such that Hx is adjacent to Hy if and only if $yx^{-1} \in HgH$, denoted by Cos(G, H, HgH).

It follows from the definition that if $\langle H, g \rangle = G$ then Γ is connected. Label the vertices corresponding to H and Hg to be α , β , respectively. Then the vertex stabilisers $G_{\alpha} = H$ and $G_{\beta} = H^g$, and the arc stabiliser $G_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta} = H \cap H^g$. It is easily shown that Γ is (*G*, 2)-arc transitive if and only if G_{α} is 2-transitive on the neighborhood $\Gamma(\alpha)$. The following simple lemma then follows, refer to [2].

Lemma 2.3. A coset graph $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, HgH)$ is (G, 2)-arc transitive if and only if H acts 2-transitively on the set of cosets $[H : H \cap H^g]$. 2 Springer

G	H = K:L	d	Remark
J_1	11:10	11	
	7:6	7	
J ₃ .2	19:18	19	
ON.2	31:30	31	
J_4	29:28	29	
Fi_{24}	29:28	29	
М	41:40	41	
M_{10}	5:4	5	
S_p	$Z_p:Z_{p-1}$	р	<i>p</i> is prime
Sz(8)	5:4	5	
Sz(8).3	7:6	7	
$^{3}D_{4}(2).3$	13:12	13	
${}^{2}F_{4}(2)$	13:12	13	
${}^{2}F_{4}(8).3$	37:36	37	
${}^{2}G_{2}(27).3$	19:18	19	
$L_{3}(4)$	$3^2:Q_8$	32	
$S_4(4).4$	17:16	17	
$U_{3}(4).4$	13:12	13	
$U_3(2^r)$	$3^2:Q_8$	9	r > 3 is prime
$L_3(q)$	$3^2:Q_8$	9	$q \equiv 4, 7 \pmod{9}$ is prime
$U_3(q)$	$3^2:Q_8$	9	$(5 <) q \equiv 2, 5 \pmod{9}$ is prime

 Table 2
 The candidates for G

3. Some technical lemmas

Let *G* be an almost simple group that acts on a graph Γ vertex primitively and 2-arc regularly. We give a series of lemmas regarding the group *G* and its action. The first lemma lists the candidates of *G* and G_{α} , where α is a vertex.

Lemma 3.1. Let Γ be a non-complete graph of valency d. Assume that $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ acts on $V\Gamma$ primitively and on the set of 2-arcs regularly. Assume further that G is almost simple. Then one of the following holds:

- (i) d = 3, $G = A_5$, and Γ is the Peterson graph;
- (ii) d = 4, G = PSL(2, p) with p prime such that $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, and $5 \neq p \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$; in this case there are exactly $[(p + \varepsilon)/12]$ non-isomorphic graphs, where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ such that 3 divides $p \pm \varepsilon$;
- (iii) $d \ge 5$, and (G, H, d) is one of the entries listed in Table 2; furthermore, for each *G* in the list, the choice of *H* (up to *G*-conjugacy) is unique, where $H = G_{\alpha}$ is the vertex stabiliser.

Proof: If $d \le 4$, this case is classified in [9] from which we have parts (i) and (ii).

Assume next $d \ge 5$. Since G is regular on the 2-arcs of Γ , the stabiliser H is faithful and sharply 2-transitive on $\Gamma(\alpha)$. By the result of [20], we have one of the following:

- (i) G = M or BM, and H is a maximal 2-local subgroup of G,
- (ii) $G = U_3(8).6$ or $S_4(8).S_3$, and H = 19:18 or $(D_{14} \times D_{14}).2.S_3$, respectively;
- (iii) or G is a group in Table 2.

In case (i), we must have $H = Z_2^t:L$ for some integer $t \ge 3$. We claim that Z_2^t is a Sylow 2-subgroup of *G*. If this is not the case then there is a Sylow 2-subgroup *P* such that $Z_2^t < P$. Clearly, $Z_2^t < \mathbf{N}_P(Z_2^t)$. Set $T := \langle \mathbf{N}_P(Z_2^t), H \rangle$. Then *H* is a proper normal subgroup of *T*. Note that *G* is simple. Thus T < G, which contradicts the fact that *H* is a maximal subgroup of *G*. On the other hand, by [1] we know that a Sylow 2-subgroup of *G* is not elementary abelian, which implies that *G* is neither *M* nor *BM*.

If $G = U_3(8).6$, then H = K:L = 19:18. Computation shows that $|\mathbf{N}_G(L)| = 54$. It follows that there is no 2-element g satisfying Lemma 2.3, and hence no graph occurs in this case.

If $G = S_4(8).S_3$, then $H = K:L = (D_{14} \times D_{14}).2.S_3$ and $K = 7^2$. It is clear that there exists an involution in *L* which commutes with one of the two direct factors of *K*. However, this contradicts the fact that *L* is regular on *K*. Hence no graph occurs in this case. So (G, H, d) is one of the triples given in Table 2.

The next lemma regards all 2-elements g that, together with (G, H) given in Table 2, give rise to required graphs.

Lemma 3.2. Let (G, H) be one of the pairs in Table 2. Assume that the set $I(G, L) = \{x \in \mathbf{N}_G(L) \setminus L \mid x \text{ is a 2-element, and } x^2 \in L\}$

is not empty. Then Cos(G, H, HgH) is G-vertex primitive and 2-arc regular if and only if $g \in I(G, L)$.

Proof: Let $g \in I(G, L)$, and $\Gamma = Cos(G, H, HgH)$. Since $N_H(L) = L$ and $g \notin L$, we have $g \notin H$. Thus $\langle H, g \rangle = G$ since H is a maximal subgroup of G, and further, since H is sharply 2-transitive on [H : L], Γ is (G, 2)-arc regular. Conversely, if $\Gamma = Cos(G, H, HgH)$ is G-vertex primitive and 2-arc regular, then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $g \in I(G, L)$.

The next lemma is crucial for determining the full automorphism group $Aut\Gamma$.

G	$G_{\alpha} = H$	F	F_{α}	$ V\Gamma $	$ \Gamma(\alpha) $
A ₆ .2	5:4	<i>S</i> ₆ wr 2	S ₅ wr 2	36	5
S_7	7:6	A_9	$L_2(8):3$	120	7
S_p	$Z_p:Z_{p-1}$	S_{p+1}	$L_2(p):2$	(p-2)!	p
$L_{3}(4)$	$3^2:Q_8$	$U_4(3)$	$3^{1+4}_+:2S_4$	280	9
Sz(8).3	7:6	A_{65}	S ₆₃	2080	7
Sz(8).3	7:6	$Sp_{4}(8)$	$O_4^+(8)$	2080	7

Lemma 3.3. Let (G, H, L) be a triple given in Table 2, and let $\Gamma = Cos(G, H, HgH)$ for some $g \in I(G, L)$, where I(G, L) is as defined in Lemma 3.2. Then G is normal in Aut Γ , and Aut $\Gamma \leq Aut(G)$. Moreover, if $G_1 \leq Aut\Gamma$ and $G_1 \cong G$, then $G_1 = G$.

Proof: Let $A = \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, and let $T = \operatorname{soc}(G)$, the socle of G. Then $T \leq G \leq A \leq$ Sym $(V\Gamma)$. Suppose that G and A have different socles. It follows that there exist groups F_1 and F such that $G \leq F_1 < F \leq \operatorname{Sym}(V\Gamma)$, $\operatorname{soc}(G) = \operatorname{soc}(F_1) \neq \operatorname{soc}(F)$, and F_1 maximal in F. Noticing that G is almost simple and primitive on $V\Gamma$, all the possibilities for F_1 , F are listed in [11, Tables 2–6]. It then follows that one of the entries in the following table holds, where α is a vertex:

Notice that, since $G \leq F \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, the overgroup *F* has a 2-transitive subconstituent $F_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ of degree $|\Gamma(\alpha)|$. It is then easily shown that only in row 4, F_{α} could have a transitive permutation representation of degree $|\Gamma(\alpha)|$. In this case, $F_{\alpha} = 3^{1+4}_+:2S_4$ and $F_{\alpha}^{[1]} = [3^3]$. Now $(F_{\alpha}^{[1]})^{\Gamma(\beta)} \triangleleft F_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma(\beta)} \cong 2S_4$, which is not possible. Thus in all the cases, we get a contradiction, so $\operatorname{soc}(A) = \operatorname{soc}(G)$. Checking the groups listed in Table 2, it is easily shown that $G \trianglelefteq \operatorname{Aut}(T)$, so $\operatorname{Aut}(T) = \operatorname{Aut}(G)$.

The following lemma deals with the isomorphism problem for our graphs.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that (G, H, L) is a triple given in Table 2. Then, for any $g, g' \in I(G, L)$, $Cos(G, H, HgH) \cong Cos(G, H, Hg'H)$ if and only if $g^{\sigma} \in g'L$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(H) \cap \mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L)$.

Proof: For $g, g' \in I(G, L)$, if there exists $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(H) \cap \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(L)$ such that $g^{\sigma} \in g'L$, then $\operatorname{Cos}(G, H, HgH) \cong \operatorname{Cos}(G, H, Hg^{\sigma}H) = \operatorname{Cos}(G, H, Hg'H)$.

Conversely, let $g, g' \in I(G, L)$ be such that $Cos(G, H, HgH) \cong Cos(G, H, Hg'H)$. Then $H \cap H^g = L = H \cap H^{g'}$. Let $\Gamma = Cos(G, H, HgH)$, $\Gamma' = Cos(G, H, Hg'H)$, $A = Aut\Gamma$ and $B = Aut\Gamma'$. Then $A \cong B$, $G \leq A \cap B$, and G, A and B are primitive permutation groups on [G : H]. Let φ be an isomorphism from Γ to Γ' such that $H^{\varphi} = H$. Then φ is a permutation of [G : H], and $A = \varphi B \varphi^{-1} \geq \varphi G \varphi^{-1} \cong G$. By Lemma 3.3, $\varphi G \varphi^{-1} = G$, that is, φ normalises G. Since G is primitive, the centraliser $\mathbf{C}_{Sym(V\Gamma)}(G) = 1$, so φ may be viewed as an automorphism of G.

Label the vertices H, Hg of Γ as α, β , respectively, and label the vertex Hg'as β' . Since Γ is *G*-arc transitive, we may assume that $\alpha^{\varphi} = \alpha$, and $\beta^{\varphi} = \beta'$, that is, $H^{\varphi} = H$ and $(Hg)^{\varphi} = Hg'$. Also $\varphi^{-1}G_{\beta}\varphi = G_{\beta'}$, that is, $\varphi^{-1}H^{g}\varphi = H^{g'}$. So $L^{\varphi} = (H \cap H^{g})^{\varphi} = H \cap H^{g'} = L$. Hence $\varphi \in \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(H) \cap \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(L)$.

Further, since φ fixes the vertex α , φ maps the neighborhood $\Gamma(\alpha)$ to the neighborhood $\Gamma'(\alpha)$, Thus $Hg^{\varphi}H = (HgH)^{\varphi} = Hg'H$, so $g^{\varphi} \in Hg'H$, that is, $g^{\varphi} = h_1g'h_2$ for some elements $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Let $\sigma = \varphi h_1 \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ (h_1 acts on G by conjugation) and write $h = h_2h_1$. Then $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(H)$ and

$$g^{\sigma} = g^{\varphi h_1} = g' h_1$$

so $g^{-1}g^{\sigma} = h \in H$. Further, it is easily shown that

$$L^{\sigma} = (H \cap H^g)^{\sigma} = H^{\sigma} \cap (g^{-1}Hg)^{\sigma} = H \cap H^{g'} = L.$$

 \Box

So $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(L)$ and $g^{\sigma} \in \mathbf{N}_{G}(L)$. Since $g' \in \mathbf{N}_{G}(L)$, we have $g^{-1}g^{\sigma} \in \mathbf{N}_{G}(L)$, and as $g^{-1}g^{\sigma} = h \in H$, we obtain $h = g^{-1}g^{\sigma} \in H \cap \mathbf{N}_{G}(L) = \mathbf{N}_{H}(L) = L$, so $g^{\sigma} = g'h \in g'L$.

Finally, we prove a lemma for determining the number of non-isomorphic vertex primitive 2-arc regular graphs. By Lemma 3.4, we need only to consider those graphs generated by the elements of I(G, L). Let

$$K = \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(H) \cap \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(L), \quad \Sigma = \{\overline{g} = gL \mid g \in I(G, L)\}.$$

For any $\alpha \in K$ and any $g \in I(G, L)$, the image $g^{\alpha} \in I(G, L)$. Thus K has a natural action on the set Σ defined as

$$\overline{g}^{\sigma} = \overline{g^{\sigma}}, \text{ for } \sigma \in K \text{ and } \overline{g} \in \Sigma.$$

Notice that, by the definition of I(G, L), each element $\overline{g} \in \Sigma$ is an involution of $N_G(L)/L$. Furthermore, we have the following lemma to count our graphs.

Lemma 3.5. Let (G, H, L) be one of the entries in Table 2. Let n be the number of non-isomorphic graphs corresponding to the triple (G, H, L). Then (1) n equals the number of K-orbits in Σ ;

(2) if in addition $G = \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, then n equals the number of involutions in $N_G(L)/L$.

Proof: Let $g, g' \in I(G, L)$. If $Cos(G, H, HgH) \cong Cos(G, H, Hg'H)$, then by Lemma 3.4, there is a $\sigma \in K$ such that $g^{\sigma} = g'h$ for some $h \in L$. So $\overline{g}^{\sigma} = \overline{g}^{\sigma} = \overline{g'h} = \overline{g'}$. Conversely, if \overline{g} and $\overline{g'}$ belong to the same *K*-orbit, that is, $\overline{g}^{\sigma} = g'$ for some $\sigma \in K$, then $g^{\sigma} \in g'L$. Thus by Lemma 3.4, $Cos(G, H, HgH) \cong Cos(G, H, Hg'H)$. Therefore, part (1) is true. If G = Aut(G), then as *H* is maximal in *G*, we have $K = \mathbf{N}_G(H) \cap \mathbf{N}_G(L) = H \cap \mathbf{N}_G(L) = \mathbf{N}_H(L) = L$. Thus $n = |\Sigma|$, equal to the number of involutions in $\mathbf{N}_G(L)/L$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As before, let Γ be a non-complete graph of valency at least 5, and assume that $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ is an almost simple group such that *G* is primitive on $V\Gamma$ and regular on the set of 2-arcs of Γ . By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we may assume that *G* is one of the groups listed in Table 2, $\Gamma = \operatorname{Cos}(G, H, HgH)$ where H = K:L is the corresponding maximal subgroup of *G* and $g \in I(G, L)$. Let $A = \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, and let n(A) be the number of non-isomorphic graphs with automorphism group *A*. Note that $\mathbf{N}_G(L)$ is a subgroup of some maximal subgroup of *G* containing *L*. We shall process our proof of Theorem 1.1 by analysing the candidates listed in Table 2 one by one.

Lemma 4.1. If soc(G) is a sporadic simple group or an exceptional simple group of *Lie type, then Theorem* 1.1 *holds.*

Deringer

Proof: For $G = J_1$, we have H = 7.6 or 11:10, and $L = Z_6$ or Z_{10} , respectively. By [1], all cyclic subgroups of order |L| are conjugate, and so L is conjugate to a subgroup of $D_6 \times D_{10} < G$. It follows that $\mathbf{N}_G(L) \cong D_i \times Z_2$ and $\mathbf{N}_G(L)/L \cong Z_2$, where i = 6 or 10. By Lemma 3.3, we have $G \le A \le \operatorname{Aut}(J_1) = J_1$, so $A = J_1$. Then by Lemma 3.5 (2), there is exactly one graph arising in each case, with valency 7 or 11, respectively.

For $G = J_3.2$, we have H = 19:18 and $L = Z_{18}$. By [1], all cyclic subgroups of order 18 are conjugate. Since G contains only one conjugacy class of maximal subgroups $L_2(17) \times Z_2$ which contain an element of order 18, there exists a subgroup $M = L_2(17) \times Z_2$ of G such that $\mathbf{N}_G(L) \leq M$, which implies that $\mathbf{N}_G(L) = \mathbf{N}_M(L)$. Note that M has only one conjugacy class of maximal subgroups $D_{18} \times Z_2$ containing elements of order 18. Similarly we deduce that $\mathbf{N}_G(L)$ is contained in a maximal subgroup of M isomorphic to $D_{18} \times Z_2$. It follows that $\mathbf{N}_G(L) \cong D_{18} \times Z_2$ and $\mathbf{N}_G(L)/L \cong Z_2$. By Lemma 3.5 (2), there exists exactly one graph occurring in this case. By Lemma 3.3, we have $G \leq A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(J_3) = J_3.2$, so $A = G = J_3.2$.

For G = ON.2, we have H = 31:30, and $L = Z_{30}$. By [1], all cyclic subgroups of order 30 are conjugate. Since $Z_2 \times D_6 \times D_{10}$ contains a cyclic subgroup of order 30 we may assume $L < Z_2 \times D_6 \times D_{10} = \mathbf{N}_G(L) < Z_2 \times J_1 < G$. Hence $\mathbf{N}_G(L)/L \cong Z_2^2$. By Lemma 3.3, we have A = G, and by Lemma 3.5 (2), there are exactly 3 graphs in this case, that is, n(A) = 3.

For $G = J_4$, we have H = 29:28, and $L = Z_{28}$. It follows from the information given in [1] that all cyclic subgroups of order 28 are conjugate. Since the subgroup $2^{3+12}.(S_5 \times L_3(2))$ contains a cyclic subgroup of order 28, we may assume that $L < 2^{3+12}.(S_5 \times L_3(2)) < G$. Now $C_G(L) = L$, and if *a* is an element of *G* of order 7, then *a* is not conjugate to a^{-1} . Thus, $N_G(L) = D_8 \times (Z_7:Z_3)$, and so $N_G(L)/L \cong Z_6$. By Lemma 3.5 (2), there exists exactly one graph occurring. Then by Lemma 3.3, the automorphism group $A = G = J_4$.

For $G = Fi_{24}$, we get H = 29:28, and $L \cong Z_{28}$. Let x be an element of L of order 28. Then $x = x_1x_2$ such that $o(x_1) = 4$ and $o(x_2) = 7$. By [1], $|\mathbf{C}_G(x_2)| = 35280$ or 2058. Since 4 does not divide 2058, we have $|\mathbf{C}_G(x_2)| = 35280$, so a Sylow 2subgroup S of $\mathbf{C}_G(x_2)$ is of order 16. Hence $\mathbf{N}_S(\langle x_1 \rangle) > \langle x_1 \rangle$. Let $g \in \mathbf{N}_S(\langle x_1 \rangle) \setminus \langle x_1 \rangle$. Then $x_1^g \in \langle x_1 \rangle$ and $x_2^g = x_2$, so $x^g \in \langle x \rangle$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, there exists at least one graph. By Lemma 3.3, $A = Fi_{24}$. Again by [1], $\mathbf{C}_G(x) = \langle x \rangle = L$. Thus the number of involutions of $\mathbf{N}_G(L)/L$ is not greater than the number of involutions of $\operatorname{Aut}(L) \cong Z_2 \times Z_6$. Thus there are one or three graphs in this case, that is, n(A) = 1or 3.

For the Monster simple group G = M, we have H = 41:40, and $H = Z_{40}$. By Lemma 3.3, if there exists a graph Γ in this case, the full automorphism group A = M. By [1], we conclude that $|\mathbf{C}_G(Z_{40})/Z_{40}| = 10, 8$ or 2. Thus there exists at least one graph, that is, $n(A) \ge 1$.

For the Suzuki group $G = S_Z(8)$, we get H = 5:4, and $L = Z_4$. Let x be an element of L of order 4. By [1], x is not conjugate to its inverse and $\mathbf{N}_G(L) = \mathbf{C}_G(L)$ has size 16. Let Q be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G containing $L = \langle x \rangle$. By Lemma 1 of [18], we have that $Z(Q) = Z_2^3$. Thus $\mathbf{N}_G(L)$ contains a subgroup Z_2^3 , and so $\mathbf{N}_G(L)$ is not isomorphic to Z_4^2 . It follows that $\mathbf{N}_G(L) = \mathbf{C}_G(L) \cong Z_4 \times Z_2^2$. Now $F = \mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(H) \cap$ $\mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(L) = Z_4 \times Z_3$. Further, $Z_3 \cong \text{Out}(G)$ fixes x^2 and is semiregular on the set of $\bigotimes Springer$ the other 6 involutions of $N_G(L)$. Since $Cos(G, H, HgH) = Cos(G, H, Hgx^2H)$, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that exactly one graph occurs in this case, so that n(A) = 1. By Lemma 3.3, it is easily shown that A = G = Sz(8).

For $G = S_Z(8).3$, the subgroups H = 7.6 and $L = Z_6$. By the information given in [1], we obtain $N_G(L)/L \cong Z_2$. Thus by Lemma 3.5, there is exactly one graph in this case. Since G = Aut(G), we have $\text{Aut}\Gamma = G$ by Lemma 3.3.

For the case $G = {}^{3}D_{4}(2).3$, the subgroups H = 13:12 and $L = Z_{12}$. Let x be an element of L of order 12. Then $x = x_{1}x_{2}$ such that $o(x_{1}) = 4$ and $o(x_{2}) = 3$. By [1], we get $|\mathbf{C}_{G}(x_{2})| = 12096$ or 216. Thus a Sylow 2-subgroup S of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x_{2})$ is of order 8, and so $\mathbf{N}_{S}(\langle x_{1} \rangle) > \langle x_{1} \rangle$. Let $g \in \mathbf{N}_{S}(\langle x_{1} \rangle) \setminus \langle x_{1} \rangle$. Then $x_{1}^{g} \in \langle x_{1} \rangle$, and $x_{2}^{g} = x_{2}$. So $x^{g} = x_{1}^{g}x_{2}^{g} \in \langle x \rangle = L$, and $L^{g} = L$. Since Aut(G) = G, by Lemma 3.3, if there exists a graph for this case, the automorphism group A = G. Again by [1], $\mathbf{C}_{G}(L) = L$. Thus the number of involutions of $\mathbf{N}_{G}(L)/L$ is not greater than the number of involutions of Aut $(G) \cong Z_{2} \times Z_{2}$. By Lemma 3.5 (2), exactly 1 or 3 graphs occur in the case, that is, n(A) = 1 or 3.

For $G = {}^{2}F_{4}(2)$, we have H = 13:12, and $L = Z_{12}$. It follows from the information given in the Atlas [1] that all cyclic subgroups of *G* of order 12 are conjugate, and so $L < L_{2}(25).2$. Further analysis gives $N_{G}(L) = D_{24}$, and so $|N_{G}(L)/L| = 2$. By Lemma 3.5 (2), there exists exactly one graph for this case. Since Aut(*G*) = *G*, we have A = G by Lemma 3.3.

For $G = {}^{2}F_{4}(8).3$, we have H = 37:36 and $L = Z_{36}$. If Γ exists, its valency is 37, and since Aut(G) = G, we have A = G by Lemma 3.3. Let σ be an automorphism of $T := {}^{2}F_{4}(8)$ induced by a field automorphism of order 3. Then $G = {}^{2}F_{4}(8).\langle\sigma\rangle$. Write $L = \langle x_{0} \rangle$ and $x_{0} = x_{1}x_{2}$ such that $o(x_{1}) = 9$ and $o(x_{2}) = 4$. Let $x = x_{1}^{3}$. Then $x \in T$ is of order 3. By [17] (or see the first four lines of [12, 1.2]), all elements of T of order 3 are conjugate. By Proposition 1.2 and its corollary of [12], we have

$$\langle x \rangle \times U_3(8).2 = \mathbf{C}_T(x) < C_G(x) = (\langle x \rangle \times U_3(8).2). \langle \sigma \rangle.$$

Now $L \leq \mathbf{C}_G(x) < (\langle x \rangle \times U_3(8).2). \langle \sigma \rangle$. Since $\mathbf{C}_G(L) \leq \mathbf{C}_G(x)$, we obtain

$$x_2 \in L \triangleleft \mathbf{C}_G(L) \leq \mathbf{C}_G(x) = (\langle x \rangle \times U_3(8).2). \langle \sigma \rangle$$

Let *S* be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $U_3(8).2$ containing x_2 . Then by the Atlas [1], $S = 2^{3+6}:2$ and $S^{x_1} = S$. Let

$$C = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{S}}(x_2), \quad N = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{S}}(\langle x_2 \rangle).$$

Since C^{x_1} centralises $x_2^{x_1} = x_2$, we have $C^{x_1} = C$. Further, for $u \in N$, $C^{u^{x_1}} = C$ and hence $N^{x_1} = N$. Let $\Omega = \mathbf{N}_S(\langle x_2 \rangle) \setminus \langle x_2 \rangle$. Assume first that $x_2 \in U_3(8)$. Using GAP, computation shows that $|C| = 2^6$ and $|N| = 2^7$. Then $|\Omega| = 2^7 - 4 = 124$, not divisible by 3. Since x_1 normalises both $\langle x_2 \rangle$ and N, we have that x_1 fixes Ω setwise (by conjugation) and centralises at least one element of Ω . Choose g to be such an element such that $g^2 \in L$. Then g normalises L, and $g \in I(G, L)$. Assume now that $x_2 \in U_3(8).2 \setminus U_3(8)$. Using GAP, computation shows that $|C| = 2^7 = 128$ and $|N| = 2^8 = 256$. Since x_1 normalises C and $|C \setminus \langle x_2 \rangle| = 124$. Arguing as in the previous case, we get a 2-element $g \in C \setminus \langle x_2 \rangle \subset \Omega$, which lies in I(G, L). Thus by Lemma 3.2, there exists a graph Γ .

Finally, for the Ree group $G = {}^{2}G_{2}(27).3$, we have H = 19:18 and $L = Z_{18}$. From the information given in the Atlas [1], it is easily shown that there is no 2-element satisfying Lemma 2.3. So no graph occurs for this case.

Lemma 4.2. If the socle of G is A_n for some $n \ge 5$, then Theorem 1.1 holds.

Proof: For $G = A_6.2 = M_{10}$, we have H = 5:4 and $L = Z_4$. It follows from [1] that $\mathbf{N}_G(L) = Q_8$, and so $I(G, L) \subseteq Q_8 \setminus L$. On the other hand, since $H = \mathbf{N}_G(H) = K:L$, we conclude that $(Q_8 \setminus L) \cap \mathbf{N}_G(H) = \emptyset$. Thus $I(G, L) = Q_8 \setminus L$. Since $|Q_8 : L| = 2$, we have that Lx = Ly for any $x, y \in Q_8 \setminus L = I(G, L)$. Hence $|\Sigma| = 1$, and so n(A) = 1. By [1], $\mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(H) = H \times Z_2$, so Aut Γ = Aut(*G*).

If $G = S_p$ then $H = Z_p:Z_{p-1}$. It is easy to show that $C_G(Z_{p-1}) = Z_{p-1}$ and $Aut(Z_{p-1}) < S_p$. Hence $N_G(Z_{p-1})/Z_{p-1} = Aut(Z_{p-1})$, and by Lemma 3.5 (2), n(A) equals the number of involutions of $N_G(Z_{p-1})/Z_{p-1} = Aut(Z_{p-1})$. Let

 $p-1 = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$, where $p_1 = 2$ and p_i are distinct odd primes for $i \ge 2$.

Then $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{p-1}) = \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{2^{r_1}}) \times \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{p_2^{r_2}}) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{p_k^{r_k}})$. A Sylow 2-subgroup *T* of $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{p-1})$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{2^{r_1}}) \times Z_{2^{s_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{2^{s_k}}$, where $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{s_i}}$. Note that *T* is normal in $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{p-1})$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{2^{r_1}}) = 1$, Z_2 or $Z_2 \times Z_{2^{r_{1-2}}}$ depending on $r_1 = 1, 2$ or ≥ 3 . All involutions of $\mathbf{N}_G(Z_{p-1})/Z_{p-1}$ are in *T*. Thus $n(A) = 2^{k-1} - 1$, $2^k - 1$ or $2^{k+1} - 1$. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(G) = G$, we have $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma = G$.

Lemma 4.3. If $G = L_3(4)$, $S_4(4).4$, or $U_3(4).4$, then Theorem 1.1 holds.

Proof: For $G = L_3(4)$, we have $H = 3^2$: Q_8 and $L = Q_8$. Using GAP, computation shows that G has a unique self-paired 2-transitive subconstituent of length 9. Thus n(A) = 1. By the information given in [1], $N_{Aut(G)}(H) = H.D_{12}$, and so Aut $\Gamma = G.D_{12}$.

For $G = S_4(4).4$, we have H = 17:16 and $L = Z_{16}$. Using GAP, computation shows that G has a unique self-paired 2-transitive subconstituent of length 17. Thus n(A) = 1. Since G = Aut(G), by Lemma 3.3, Aut $\Gamma = G$.

Finally, for $G = U_3(4).4$, we have H = 13:12 and $L = Z_{12}$. Let x be an element of L of order 12, and $x = x_1x_2$ such that $o(x_1) = 3$ and $o(x_2) = 4$. By [1], $C_G(L) = L$. Thus $N_G(L)/L \le Aut(L) \cong Z_4$. So $|N_G(L)/L| = 1, 2$ or 4. Again by [1] we know that all cyclic subgroups of G of order 12 are conjugate in G and that there is a maximal subgroup $5^2:(4 \times S_3)$. So we may assume that $L < 4 \times S_3$, which implies that $|N_G(L)/L| \ne 1$. If $|N_G(L)/L| = 4$, then the order of a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(L)$ is 16. Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(L)$. It follows that L < T. Moreover there are two distinct two elements $x, y \in N_G(L) \setminus L$ such that $T = \langle x_2, x, y \rangle$. Since $\langle x_1 \rangle$ is a characteristic subgroup of L, $\langle x_1 \rangle^x = \langle x_1 \rangle^y = \langle x_1 \rangle$. On the other hand from [1] we know that the order of a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(\langle x_1 \rangle)$ is 4 and hence $\langle x_2 \rangle$ is the Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(\langle x_1 \rangle)$, which implies that $x_1^x = x_1^y = x_1^{-1}$. Thus $x_1^{xy^{-1}} = x_1$ and hence $xy^{-1} \in C_G(\langle x_1 \rangle)$. Or $T = \langle x_2 \rangle < L$. Thus $T = \langle L, x \rangle$ and $x^2 \notin L$ (for otherwise $|T| \ne 16$). However $x_1^{x^2} = x_1$ and hence $x^2 \in C_G(\langle x_1 \rangle) \cap T = \langle x_2 \rangle < L$, which is a $\sum T = 16$. contradiction. Thus $|\mathbf{N}_G(L)/L| = 2$ and n(A) = 1 as expected. Since $G = \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, Aut $\Gamma = G$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $G = U_3(2^r)$ and $H = Z_3^2: Q_8$, where r > 3 is a prime. Then $Aut\Gamma = U_3(2^r).S_3$, and the number of non-isomorphic graphs equals $(2^{r-1} - 1)/r$.

Proof: Now $L \cong Q_8$. First we determine I(G, L). It follows from [5, XI 13.9] that the intersection of any two Sylow 2-subgroups is equal to the identity group. Thus L is contained in a unique Sylow 2-subgroup S. If $g \in I(G, L)$, then (L, g) < Sand so I(G, L) < S. By [5, VIII 7.10], S is a Suzuki 2-group with $|S| = |\mathbf{Z}(S)|^3$. By [5, VIII 7.9], $S' = \mathbf{Z}(S)$ consists of the identity and all involutions of S. It is clear that $\mathbf{Z}(S) \leq \mathbf{N}_{S}(L)$ and $L\mathbf{Z}(S) \setminus L \leq I(G, L)$. Conversely, assume that $g \in I(G, L)$. If g is an involution, then since $g \in S$, $g \in \mathbb{Z}(S) \subset L\mathbb{Z}(S)$. If o(g) = 4, then g^2 is the unique involution of L. Suppose that $L = \langle a, b \rangle$ with o(a) = 4 = o(b). Assume that $g \notin L\mathbf{Z}(S)$. Since $\langle a, b \rangle^g = \langle a, b \rangle$ and $S' = \mathbf{Z}(S)$, $a^g = g^{-1}aga^{-1}a = ea$ where $e = g^{-1}aga^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}(S)$. Since $g^{-1}ag$, $a^{-1} \in L$, we have $e \in L$ and so e = 1 or a^2 . Thus $a^g = a$ or a^{-1} . If $a^g = a$ then $\langle a, g \rangle$ is an abelian group and contains the unique involution $a^2 = g^2$. By [4, III 8.2], $\langle a, g \rangle$ is cyclic and so $g \in \langle a \rangle$ as $\exp(S) = 4$, a contradiction. Thus $a^g = a^{-1}$. Similarly $b^g = b^{-1}$. It follows that $\langle a, b, g \rangle$ contains the unique involution $a^2 = b^2 = g^2$. Again by [4, III 8.2], $\langle a, b, g \rangle \cong O_8$ as $\exp(S) = 4$, so $g \in \langle a, b \rangle$, a contradiction. Thus we conclude that $g \in L\mathbb{Z}(S)$ and $I(G, L) = L\mathbf{Z}(S) \setminus L$. By Lemma 3.2, all 2-arc transitive graphs obtained from G satisfying our requirement are determined by $\mathbf{Z}(S) \setminus \{1, a^2\}$. Now we consider the action of $F := \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(H) \cap \mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(L)$ on $\mathbf{Z}(S)$. In the meaning of Aschbacher's eight subgroup collections (see [7, page 71, Table 3.5.B]), $H = 3^2$: Q_8 is a member of C_5 . Hence $H = U_3(2)$. It is clear that $U_3(2) \triangleleft PGU_3(2) \lt PGU_3(2^r)$, which implies that the diagonal automorphism of G normalizes H. Furthermore, the field automorphism of G induces an automorphism of order 2 on the ground field $GF(2^2)$ on which $H = U_3(2)$ is defined. Hence the field automorphism of G normalizes H. It follows that $\mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(H) = H:(Z_3:Z_{2r}) = H:(S_3 \times Z_r) = Z_3^2:(L:(S_3 \times Z_r))$. Thus $F = L:(S_3 \times Z_r)$ Z_r = (L:S₃) × Z_r . It is clear that $\mathbf{N}_G(\mathbf{Z}(S)) \ge \mathbf{N}_G(S)$. By [4, II 10.12], $\mathbf{N}_G(S)$ is maximal in G, so $N_G(Z(S)) = N_G(S) = S: Z_{(2^{2r}-1)/3}$. Furthermore, it is not hard to verify that $\mathbf{C}_G(\mathbf{Z}(S)) = S: \mathbb{Z}_{(2^r+1)/3}$. Hence $\mathbf{N}_G(\mathbf{Z}(S))/\mathbf{C}_G(\mathbf{Z}(S)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^r-1}$. Similarly one can prove that $\mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(\mathbf{Z}(S)) = S:(Z_{2^{2r}-1}:Z_{2r})$ and $\mathbf{C}_{Aut(G)}(\mathbf{Z}(S)) = S:(Z_{2^r+1}:Z_2)$, which implies that $\mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(\mathbf{Z}(S))/\mathbf{C}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(\mathbf{Z}(S)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^r-1}:\mathbb{Z}_r$. Thus the kernel of F acting on $\mathbf{Z}(S)$ is equal to L:S₃. So F fixes a^2 and acts semiregularly on the other involutions of $\mathbb{Z}(S)$, namely, on $\mathbb{Z}(S) \setminus \{1, a^2\}$. Since $\cos(G, H, HgH) = \cos(G, H, Hga^2H)$, it follows that $n(A) = (\frac{2^r - 2}{2})/r = (2^{r-1} - 1)/r$. Since S₃ normalises H and fixes every involution of $\mathbf{Z}(S)$, we have $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma = G.S_3$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $G = L_3(q)$, where $q \equiv 4, 7 \pmod{9}$ is a prime, and $H = Z_3^2 : Q_8$. Then

$$(\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma, n) = \begin{cases} (G, 1), & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ (G, 2) \text{ or } (\operatorname{Aut}(G), 1), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where n is the number of non-isomorphic corresponding graphs.

Deringer

Proof: All subgroups of $L_3(q)$ for odd q were determined by Mitchell [13]. Let $L = Q_8 < H$. It is to show that 16 divides |G| and so $\mathbf{N}_G(L) > L$. By checking the subgroups determined in [13], we get $\mathbf{N}_G(L) = Z_{(q-1)/3}.S_4$ and $[Z_{(q-1)/3}, S_4] = 1$. Let M be a Hall 2'-subgroup and $\langle x \rangle$ a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Z_{(q-\varepsilon)/2}$. Since $q \equiv 4, 7 \pmod{9}$, 3 does not divide $(q - \varepsilon)/3$. It follows that $\mathbf{N}_G(L) = M \times (\langle a \rangle.S_4)$. Furthermore, $\langle a \rangle.S_4 = \langle a \rangle \circ (L.\langle x, y \rangle) = (\langle a \rangle \circ L).\langle x, y \rangle$, where $\langle a \rangle \cap L = Z_2, o(x) = 3, \langle x, y \rangle = S_3$ and $L.\langle x, y \rangle = 2S_4$. It is not hard to write out all elements of I(G, L):

$$I(G, L) = \begin{cases} \{y, y^x, y^{x^2}\}^L & \text{if } o(a) = 2\\ \{y, y^x, y^{x^2}, b, by, by^x, by^{x^2}\}^L & \text{if} o(a) \ge 4 \end{cases}$$

where *b* is an element of $\langle a \rangle$ of order 4. Again by [11], we have $\mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(H) = (3^2:L).O = 3^2:(L.O)$, where $O = S_3$ and $L.O = 2S_4$, and $\mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L) = Z_{q-1}.S_4.\langle v \rangle$ such that its center is Z_{q-1} , where *v* is a graph automorphism of *G* of order 2. Thus $O < \mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L)$, no element of *O* centralizes *L* and $O \cap G = 1$; $Z_{q-1} = M \times \langle a \rangle \times \langle u \rangle$, where $\langle u \rangle = Z_3$ and $\langle u, v \rangle = S_3$. So $F := \mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(H) \cap \mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L) = L.O$. Since $Aut(S_4) = S_4$, *v* acts on S_4 trivially. It follows that

$$\mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(L) = (M \times \langle a \rangle \times \langle u \rangle).(S_4 \times \langle v \rangle)$$

= $M \times (\langle u \rangle \times ((\langle a \rangle \circ L).\langle x, y \rangle)).\langle v \rangle$
= $M \times \langle u, v \rangle \times ((\langle a \rangle \circ L).\langle x, y \rangle).$

Since $S_3 = O < \mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L)$, no element of *O* centralizes *L*, we have $O = \langle ux^l, vy^l \rangle$ where $l \in L$. We need to know the action of F = L.O on I(G, L). To do this, we need only consider the action of $O^{l^{-1}} = \langle ux, vy \rangle$ on I(G, L). First suppose $o(a) \ge 4$. Then

$$\begin{cases} y^{ux} = y^{x}, \quad (y^{x})^{ux} = y^{x^{2}}, \quad (y^{x^{2}})^{ux} = y; \\ y^{vy} = y, \quad (y^{x})^{vy} = y^{vxy} = y^{xy} = y^{yxy} = y^{x^{2}}, \quad (y^{x^{2}})^{vy} = y^{x}; \\ b^{ux} = b, \quad b^{vy} = b; \\ (by)^{ux} = by^{x}, \quad (by^{x})^{ux} = by^{x^{2}}, \quad (by^{x^{2}})^{ux} = by; \\ (by)^{vy} = by, \quad (by^{x})^{vy} = by^{x}, \quad (by^{x^{2}})^{vy} = by^{x^{2}}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that n(A) = 3, that there are exactly three non-isomorphic graphs, which are generated by *y*, *b* and *by* respectively. By Lemma 3.3, if $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, HyH)$ or Cos(G, H, HbyH) then $\text{Aut}\Gamma = G$, so n(G) = 2; if $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, HbH)$ then $\text{Aut}\Gamma = G.S_3 = \text{Aut}(G)$, so n(Aut(G)) = 1. If o(a) = 2, the same argument leads to n(A) = 1 and $A = \text{Aut}\Gamma = G$. Note that $o(a) \ge 4$ if and only if 4 divides q - 1. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 4.6. Let $G = U_3(q)$, where $q \equiv -4, -7 \pmod{9}$ is a prime, and $H = Z_3^2: Q_8$. *Then*

$$(\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma, n) = \begin{cases} (G.2, 1), & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ (G.2, 2) \text{ or } (G.6, 1), & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The techniques and organization of the proof are similar to that of Lemma 4.5. All subgroups of $U_3(q)$ for odd q were determined by Mitchell [13]. Let $L = Q_8 < H$. Clearly, 16 divides |G|, so $\mathbf{N}_G(L) > L$. By checking the subgroups determined in [13], we get $\mathbf{N}_G(L) = Z_{(q-1)/3}.S_4$ and $[Z_{(q-1)/3}, S_4] = 1$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.5, $\mathbf{N}_G(L) = (M \times \langle a \rangle).(Z_2^{2:}\langle x, y \rangle) = M \times ((\langle a \rangle \circ L).\langle x, y \rangle)$, where M is a Hall 2'-subgroup of $Z_{(q-1)/3}, \langle a \rangle$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Z_{(q-1)/3}, \langle a \rangle \cap L = Z_2$, $o(x) = 3, \langle x, y \rangle = S_3$ and $L.\langle x, y \rangle = 2S_4$. It is not hard to write out all elements of I(G, L):

$$I(G, L) = \begin{cases} \{y, y^x, y^{x^2}\}^L & \text{if } o(a) = 2, \\ \{y, y^x, y^{x^2}, b, by, by^x, by^{x^2}\}^L & \text{if } o(a) \ge 4 \end{cases}$$

where *b* is an element of $\langle a \rangle$ of order 4. Again by [11], we have $\mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(H) = (3^2:L).O$, where $O = Z_6$ and $L.O = 2A_4 \times Z_2$, and $\mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L) = Z_{q-1}.S_4.\langle v \rangle$ such that its center is $Z_{q-1} \times Z_2$ and $\langle v \rangle = Z_2$. Thus $O < \mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L)$, no element of order 3 of *O* centralizes *L* and $O \cap G = 1$; $Z_{q-1} = M \times \langle a \rangle \times \langle u \rangle$, where $\langle u \rangle = Z_3$ and $\langle u, v \rangle = Z_6$. Since S_4 is a complete group, the out-automorphism *v* of *G* of order 2 acts trivially on S_4 . It follows that

$$\mathbf{N}_{\operatorname{Aut}(G)}(L) = (M \times \langle a \rangle \times \langle u \rangle).(S_4 \times \langle v \rangle)$$

= $M \times (\langle u \rangle \times ((\langle a \rangle \circ L).\langle x, y \rangle)).\langle v \rangle$
= $M \times \langle u, v \rangle \times ((\langle a \rangle \circ L).\langle x, y \rangle).$

Now $O = Z_6$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L)$. It follows that $O = \langle ux^l, v \rangle$ where $l \in L$. We need to know the action of $\mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(H) \cap \mathbf{N}_{Aut(G)}(L) = L.O$ on I(G, L). Clearly we need only consider the action of $O^{l^{-1}} = \langle ux, v \rangle$ on I(G, L). First suppose $o(a) \ge 4$. Then

$$\begin{cases} y^{ux} = y^{x}, \quad (y^{x})^{ux} = y^{x^{2}}, \quad (y^{x^{2}})^{ux} = y; \\ y^{v} = y, \quad (y^{x})^{v} = y^{vx} = y^{x}, \quad (y^{x^{2}})^{v} = y^{x^{2}}; \\ b^{ux} = b, \quad b^{v} = b; \\ (by)^{ux} = by^{x}, \quad (by^{x})^{ux} = by^{x^{2}}, \quad (by^{x^{2}})^{ux} = by; \\ (by)^{v} = by, \quad (by^{x})^{v} = by^{x}, \quad (by^{x^{2}})^{v} = by^{x^{2}}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that n(A) = 3, that there are exactly three non-isomorphic graphs, which are generated by *y*, *b* and *by*. By Lemma 3.3, if $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, HyH)$ or Cos(G, H, HbyH) then $\text{Aut}\Gamma = G.2$, so n(G.2) = 2; if $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G, H, HbH)$ then $\bigotimes \text{Springer}$

Aut $\Gamma = G.Z_6 = \text{Aut}(G)$, so n(Aut(G)) = 1. If o(a) = 2, the same argument leads to n(A) = 1 and Aut $\Gamma = G$. Note that $o(a) \ge 4$ if and only if 4 divides q - 1. The lemma is now proved.

Finally, we summarize the arguments for proving Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let Γ be a graph, and assume that $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ acts primitively on the vertex set $V\Gamma$ and regularly on the set of 2-arcs of Γ .

By Lemma 2.1, there are three cases that we need to deal with, that is, Γ is a complete graph, *G* is affine type, and *G* is almost simple. By Lemma 2.2, the first case is as in Theorem 1.1 (1), and the second case is as in Theorem 1.1 (2). Thus we only need to consider the case where *G* is an almost simple group.

By Lemma 3.1, if the valency of Γ is at most 4, then Theorem 1.1 holds by the result of [9]. Thus assume that the valency of Γ is at least 5. Then all candidates for *G* are listed in Table 2, see Lemma 3.1. So by Lemmas 4.1–4.6, for all the possibilities, Theorem 1.1 holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements The second author is supported by a QEII Fellowship of the Australian Research Council. The first and third authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and RFDP of China.

The authors are grateful to the referees for their constructive suggestions.

References

- J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Noton, R.A. Parker, and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- X.G. Fang and C.E. Praeger, "Two-arc transitive graphs admitting an almost simple group with socle Suzuki group," *Comm. Algebra* 27(8) (1999), 3727–3754.
- The GAP Group, GAP—Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4; 2005, (fttp://www.gapsystem.org).
- 4. B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.
- 5. B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, Finite Groups II, III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- 6. A.A. Ivanov and C.E. Praeger, "On finite affine 2-arc transitive graphs," *European J. Combin.* **14** (1993), 421–444.
- P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The Subgroup Structure of The Finite Classical Groups*, Cambridge University Press, New York, Sydney, 1990.
- C.H. Li, "The finite vertex-primitive and vertex-biprimitive s-transitive graphs for s ≥ 4," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353(9) (2001), 3511–3529.
- C.H. Li, Z.P. Lu and D. Marusic, "On primitive permutation groups with small suborbits and their orbital graphs," J. Algebra 279(2) (2004), 749–770.
- 10. C.H. Li and A. Seress, "Symmetrical path-cycle covers of a graph and polygonal graphs," *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, (to appear); (electronically available online 10 March 2006).
- M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger and J. Saxl, "A classification of the maximal subgroups of the finite alternating and symmetric groups," J. Algebra 111 (1987), 365–383.
- 12. G. Malle, "The maximal subgroups of ${}^{2}F_{4}(q^{2})$," J. Algebra **139** (1991), 52–69.
- H.H. Mitchell, "Determination of the ordinary and modular ternary linear groups," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1911), 207–242.
- 14. M. Perkel, "Near-polygonal graphs," Ars. Comb. 26A (1988), 149–170.
- M. Perkel and C.E. Praeger, "Polygonal graphs: new families and an approach to their analysis," *Conr. Num.* 124 (1997), 161–173.
- C.E. Praeger, "An O'Nan-Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs," J. London Math. Soc. 47(2) (1993) 227–239.

- K. Shinoda, "A characterization of odd order extensions of Ree groups of type (F₄)," J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 22 (1975), 79–102.
- 18. M. Suzuki, "On a class of doubly transitive groups," Ann. Math. 75 (1962), 105-145.
- 19. W.T. Tutte, "A family of cubical graphs," Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 43 (1947), 459-474.
- 20. J. Wang, "Primitive permutation groups with a sharply 2-transitive subconstituent," J. Algebra 176 (1995), 702–734.
- 21. R.M. Weiss, "The nonexistence of 8-arc transitive graphs," Combinatoria 3 (1981), 309-311.
- 22. S. Zhou, "Almost covers of 2-arc transitive graphs," Combinatorica 24 (2004), 731-745.