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Abstract. An alternating sign matrix is a square matrix whose entries are 1,0 , or -1 , and which satisfies certain conditions. Permutation matrices are alternating sign matrices. In this paper, we use the (generalized) Littlewood's formulas to expand the products

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}\right) \prod_{i \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) \text { and } \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}\right)\left(1+t^{2} x_{i}\right) \prod_{i \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as sums indexed by sets of alternating sign matrices invariant under a $180^{\circ}$ rotation. If we put $t=1$, these expansion formulas reduce to the Weyl's denominator formulas for the root systems of type $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$. A similar deformation of the denominator formula for type $D_{n}$ is also given.
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## Introduction

An $n \times n$ matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is called an alternating sign matrix if it satisfies the following four conditions:
(1) $a_{i j} \in\{1,0,-1\}$.
(2) $\sum_{k=1}^{j} a_{i k}=0$ or 1 for any $i$ and $j$.
(3) $\sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{k j}=0$ or 1 for any $i$ and $j$.
(4) $\sum_{k=1}^{n=1} a_{k j}=\sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{i l}=1$ for any $i$ and $j$.

Such matrices were introduced by W. Mills, D. Robbins and H. Rumsey, Jr. [3]. Their connection with descending plane partitions and self-complementary totally symmetric plane partitions was studied in [3] and [4].

If we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ the set of all $n \times n$ alternating sign matrices, then we have (see $[6,7]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1+t x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{n}} t^{i(A)}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{s(A)} x^{\delta\left(A_{n-1}\right)-A \delta\left(A_{n-1}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i(A)=\sum_{i<k, j>l} a_{i j} a_{k l}$ is the inversion number of $A ; s(A)$ is the number of -1 s in $A ; \delta\left(A_{n-1}\right)={ }^{t}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n-3}{2}, \ldots,-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$; and $x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ for $\alpha=$ ${ }^{t}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$. Alternating sign matrices with $s(A)=0$ are the permutation matrices. So, substituting $t=-1$ in (1), we obtain the Weyl's denominator formula for the root system of type $A_{n-1}$ (or $G L(n, \mathbb{C}$ )):

$$
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{w \in S_{n}}(-1)^{l(w)} x^{\delta\left(A_{n-1}\right)-w \delta\left(A_{n-1}\right)},
$$

where $S_{n}$ is the symmetric group consisting of $n \times n$ permutation matrices and $l(w)=i(w)$ is the length of $w \in S_{n}$.

The aim of this article is to prove the following deformations of denominator formulas for the root systems of type $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
\\
=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_{n}}(-1)^{i_{1}^{i}(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2} t^{i(A)}\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)^{s(A) / 2} x^{\delta\left(B_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(B_{n}\right)} \\
\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1
\end{array}\right)-t x_{i}\right)\left(1+t^{2} x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
&  \tag{2}\\
& =\sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}}(-1)^{i_{i}^{i}(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2} t^{i(A)} \prod_{k=1}^{s(A)}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{k}}{t}\right) x^{\delta\left(C_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(C_{n}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{C}_{n}\right)$ is the set of all $2 n \times 2 n$ (resp. $\left.(2 n+1) \times(2 n+1)\right)$ alternating sign matrices which are invariant under a $180^{\circ}$ rotation; $\delta\left(B_{n}\right)=$ ${ }^{t}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{3}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}, \ldots,-\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) ; \delta\left(C_{n}\right)={ }^{t}(n, n-1, \ldots, 1,0,-1, \ldots,-n) ;$ and $x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ for $\alpha={ }^{t}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n},(0),-\alpha_{n}, \ldots,-\alpha_{1}\right)$. (See Sections 2 and 3 for the definition of $i_{1}^{+}(A)$ and $i_{2}(A)$.) If we put $t=1$ in (2) (resp. (3)), we can obtain the denominator formula for the root system of type $B_{n}$ (resp. $C_{n}$ ). We also give a deformation corresponding to the root system of type $D_{n}$ in Section 4.

It would be an interesting problem to give an intrinsic interpretation of alternating sign matrices in terms of root systems.

## 1. Alternating sign matrices and monotone triangles

In this article, we denote the set of integers by $\mathbb{Z}$. For nonnegative integers $n$ and $m$, we put $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\sum_{n, m}=[n] \times[m]$.

We fix the notations concerning partitions (see [2]). A partition is a nonincreasing sequence $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of nonnegative integers $\lambda_{i}$ with finite sum $|\lambda|=\sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_{i}$. The length $l(\lambda)$ of a partition $\lambda$ is the number of nonzero terms of $\lambda$. We often identify a partition $\lambda$ with its Young diagram $D(\lambda)=\{(i, j) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} ; 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)\right\}$.

The conjugate partition of $\lambda$ is the partition $\lambda^{\prime}$ whose Young diagram $D\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from $D(\lambda)$ by reflection with respect to the main diagonal. If $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}$, then we call $\lambda$ a self-conjugate partition.

A partition $\lambda$ is called distinct if $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\cdots>\lambda_{l(\lambda)}>0$. For example, $\delta_{n}=(n, n-1, \ldots, 2,1)$ is a distinct partition.

Next we introduce the Frobenius notation. For a partition $\lambda$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =p(\lambda)=\#\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: \lambda_{k} \geq k\right\}, \\
\alpha_{k} & =\lambda_{k}-k, \quad \beta_{k}=\lambda_{k}^{\prime}-k \quad(1 \leq k \leq p(\lambda)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we write

$$
\lambda=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p} \mid \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)=(\alpha \mid \beta) .
$$

The partition $\lambda$ can be recovered from $\alpha$ and $\beta$ by putting

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{k}=\alpha_{k}+k \quad \text { if } k \leq p  \tag{4}\\
& \lambda_{k}=\#\left\{j \in[p]: \beta_{j}+j \geq k\right\} \quad \text { if } k>p \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.1. Alternating sign matrices

A vector $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is called sign-alternating if it satisfies
(1) $a_{i} \in\{1,0,-1\}$.
(2) $\sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{k}=0$ or 1 for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Then the nonzero entries of a sign-alternating vector alternate in sign.
Definition. Let $\lambda$ be a distinct partition with length $n$ such that $\lambda_{1} \leq m$. An $n \times m$ matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ is a $\lambda$-alternating sign matrix if the following conditions hold:
(1) Every row and column is sign-alternating.
(2) $\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j}=1$ for any $i$.
(3) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j}=1$ if $j=\lambda_{k}$ for some $k$ and 0 otherwise.

Let $\lambda$ be a distinct partition with length $n$. It follows from the definition that, if $A$ is an $n \times m \lambda$-alternating sign matrix, then $a_{i j}=0$ for all $i$ and $j>\lambda_{1}$. So the number $m$ of columns of a $\lambda$-alternating sign matrix is irrelevant so far as $m \geq \lambda_{1}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ the set of all $\lambda$-alternating sign matrices. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{A}\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{n}
$$

the set of all $n \times n$ alternating sign matrices (defined in Introduction). For a $\lambda$-alternating sign matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(A)=\sum_{i<k, j>l} a_{i j} a_{k l}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the number of inversions of $A$. And we denote by $s(A)$ the number of -1 s in $A$ (see [3]).

### 1.2. Monotone triangles

Definition. A triangular array

$$
T=
$$

is a monotone triangle if it satisfies
(1) Each row is strictly increasing.
(2) $t_{i+1, j} \leq t_{i, j} \leq t_{i+1, j+1}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $j=1, \ldots, i-1$.

For a distinct partition $\lambda$ of length $n$, let $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ be the set of all monotone triangles with bottom row $\lambda$. For a monotone triangle $T=\left(t_{i j}\right)$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max (T) & =\#\left\{(i, j): t_{i+1, j}<t_{i j}=t_{i+1, j+1}\right\} \\
\operatorname{sp}(T) & =\#\left\{(i, j): t_{i+1, j}<t_{i j}<t_{i+1, j+1}\right\} \\
x^{T} & =x_{1}^{s_{1}} x_{2}^{s_{2}-s_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{s_{n}-s_{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{i}$ is the sum of the $i$ th row of $T$.
To a $\lambda$-alternating sign matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$, we associate a matrix $B(A)=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{k j} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can define a triangular array $T=T(A)$ by the condition that the number $j$ appears in the $i$ th row of $T$ if and only if $b_{i j}=1$. For example, if

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

then we have

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad T=\begin{array}{lllllll} 
& & & & & & \\
1 & & & & & \\
& & 2 & & 4 & \\
& & & & & 4
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 1.1. Let $\lambda$ be a distinct partition with length $n$.
(1) $T$ gives a bijection from $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$.
(2) For $A \in \mathcal{A}(\lambda)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i(A)=\max (T(A))+\operatorname{sp}(T(A)) \\
& s(A)=\operatorname{sp}(T(A))
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $T$ is a bijection and that $s(A)=\operatorname{sp}(T(A))$. From (6) and (7), we have

$$
i(A)=\sum_{l<j} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_{i j}\left(b_{i+1, l}-b_{i, l}\right) .
$$

It follows from the definition of $T(A)=\left(t_{i j}\right)$ that

$$
\sum_{k<j} b_{i j}\left(b_{i+1,1}-b_{i, l}\right)=\#\left\{k: t_{i k}>t_{i+1, k}\right\} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
i(A)=\#\left\{(i, j): t_{i j}>t_{i+1, j}\right\}=\max (T)+\operatorname{sp}(T)
$$

For a partition $\lambda$ with length $\leq n$, we denote by $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ the Schur function corresponding to $\lambda$.

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{\lambda_{i}+n-j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}}{\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{n-j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}}
$$

T. Tokuyama [7] proved the following formula by using the representation theory of general linear groups (see [5] for an alternate proof).

Proposition 1.2. ([7, Theorem 2.1], [5, Theorem 4]) Let $\lambda$ be a partition with length $\leq n$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} & \left(1+t x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}\left(\lambda+\delta_{n}\right)} t^{\max (T)+\operatorname{sp}(T)}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{\operatorname{sp}(T)} x^{T} x_{1}^{-1} x_{2}^{-2} \ldots x_{n}^{-n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We put $\lambda=0$, the unique partition of 0 , in Proposition 1.2. Then we can use Proposition 1.1. to obtain a deformation of the Weyl's denominator formula for the root system of type $A_{n-1}$.

## Corollary 1.3.

$$
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1+t x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{n}} t^{i(A)}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{s(A)} x^{\delta\left(A_{n-1}\right)-A b\left(A_{n-1}\right)}
$$

This corollary reduces to the denominator formula, if $t=-1$.
Let $J_{N}$ be the $N \times N$ antidiagonal matrix given by

$$
J_{N}=\left(\begin{array}{lll} 
& & 1 \\
& . & 1 \\
1 & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then, for an $N \times N$ matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), J_{N} A J_{N}$ is the matrix obtained by a $180^{\circ}$ rotation, i.e., if $J_{N} A J_{N}=\left(a_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$, then $a_{i j}^{\prime}=a_{N+1-i, N+1-j}$. Here we quote a lemma from [2].

Lemma 1.4. ([2, I.(1.7)]). For a partition $\nu \subset\left(m^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\{\nu_{k}+n+1-k: k \in[n]\right\} \cup\left\{n+l-\nu_{l}^{\prime}: l \in[m]\right\}=[n+m]
$$

Lemma 1.5. Let $A$ be an $N \times N$ alternating sign matrix and $A^{\prime}=J_{N} A J_{N}$. For $i=1, \ldots, N$, let $\lambda^{(i)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mu^{i}\right)$ be the partition such that $\lambda^{(i)}+\delta_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mu^{(i)}+\delta_{i}\right)$ is the ith row of $T(A)\left(\right.$ resp. $T\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ ). Then $\lambda^{(i)}$ is the conjugate parition of $\mu^{(N-i)}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda=\lambda^{(i)}$ and $\mu=\mu^{(N-i)}$. If we put $B(A)=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ and $B(J A J)=\left(b_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$, then we have $b_{i j}=1-b_{N-i, N+1-j}^{\prime}$. Hence, the number $j$ appears in the $i$ th row of $T(A)$ if and only if $N+1-j$ does not appear in the $(N-i)$-th row of $T\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. That is,

$$
\left\{\lambda_{k}+i+1-k: k \in[i]\right\} \cup\left\{N+1-\left(\mu_{l}+N-i+1-l\right): l \in[N-i]\right\}=[N] .
$$

On the other hand, by applying Lemma 1.4 to $\lambda \subset\left((N-i)^{i}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\{\lambda_{k}+i+1-k: k \in[i]\right\} \cup\left\{i+l-\lambda_{l}^{\prime}: l \in[N-i]\right\}=[N] .
$$

Hence, we see that

$$
i+l-\lambda_{l}^{\prime}=N+1-\left(\mu_{l}+N-i+1-l\right) \quad(l=1, \ldots, N-i)
$$

This gives $\lambda_{l}^{\prime}=\mu_{l}$.

## 2. Deformation for $\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ type

In this section we give a deformation of the Weyl's denominator formula for the root system of type $B_{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ be the set of all $2 n \times 2 n$ alternating sign matrices invariant under a $180^{\circ}$ rotation, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}=\left\{A \in \mathcal{A}_{2 n}: J_{2 n} A J_{2 n}=A\right\} .
$$

Definition. Let $L=\left\{(i, j ; k, l) \in \Sigma_{2 n, 2 n} \times \Sigma_{2 n, 2 n}: i<k, j>l\right\}$ and define subsets $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{+}$, and $L_{ \pm}$of $L$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1} & =\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i+k=2 n+1, j+l=2 n+1\}, \\
L_{2} & =L-L_{1}, \\
L_{+} & =\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i \leq n, k \leq n\}, \\
L_{ \pm} & =\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i \leq n, k \geq n+1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each subset $L_{*}, *=1,2,+, \pm$, and $A \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$, we put

$$
i_{*}(A)=\sum_{(i, j ; k, l) \in L .} a_{i j} a_{k l} .
$$

Moreover we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}^{+}(A)=\#\left\{(i, j): 1 \leq i \leq n, n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n, a_{i j}=1\right\}, \\
& i_{1}^{-}(A)=\#\left\{(i, j): 1 \leq i \leq n, n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n, a_{i j}=-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the definition, we have, for $A \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
i(A) & =i_{1}(A)+i_{2}(A)  \tag{8}\\
& =2 i_{+}(A)+i_{ \pm}(A), \\
i_{1}(A) & =i_{1}^{+}(A)+i_{1}^{-}(A) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The main result of this section is
Theorem 2.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
&=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{B}_{n}}(-1)^{i+(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2} t^{i(A)}\left(1-\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)^{s(A) / 2} x^{\delta\left(B_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(B_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta\left(B_{n}\right)={ }^{t}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{3}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}, \ldots,-\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$ and $x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ for $\alpha={ }^{t}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n},-\alpha_{n}, \ldots,-\alpha_{1}\right)$.

In order to prove this theorem, first we note the following.

Proposition 2.2. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$, let $T^{+}(A)$ be the monotone triangle consisting of the first $n$ rows of $T(A)$. Then the correspondence $T^{+}$gives a bijection

$$
T^{+}: \mathcal{B}_{n} \rightarrow \coprod_{\lambda} \mathcal{M}\left(\lambda+\delta_{n}\right)
$$

where $\lambda$ runs over all self-conjugate partitions $\lambda$ such that $\lambda \subset\left(n^{n}\right)$.
Proof. follows from Proposition 1.1 and Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5.
Lemma 2.3. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ and $T=T^{+}(A) \in \mathcal{M}\left(\lambda+\delta_{n}\right)$. Then we have
(1) $i_{+}(A)=\max (T)+\operatorname{sp}(T)$.
(2) $s(A)=2 \mathrm{sp}(T)$.
(3) $x^{\delta\left(B_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(B_{n}\right)}=x^{T} x_{1}^{-1} x_{2}^{-2} \cdots x_{n}^{-n}$.
(4) $i_{ \pm}(A)=|\lambda|$.
(5) $i_{1}^{+}(A)-i_{1}^{-}(A)=p(\lambda)$.

Proof. (1) and (2) follows from Proposition 1.1.(2).
(3) Since $\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} a_{i j}=1$, the $i$ th component of $\delta\left(B_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(B_{n}\right)$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2}\left\{(2 n-2 i+1)-\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} a_{i j}(2 n-2 j+1)\right\}=\sum_{j=1}^{2 n} j a_{i j}-i$, which is the sum of the $i$ th row of $T$.
(4) The definition says that

$$
i_{ \pm}(A)=\sum_{1 \leq l<j \leq 2 n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j}\right)\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2 n} a_{k l}\right) .
$$

By the symmetry $J_{2 n} A J_{2 n}=A$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j=\lambda_{f}+n+1-f \text { for some } f \in[n] \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}  \tag{10}\\
\sum_{k=n+1}^{2 n} a_{k l} & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } 2 n+1-l=\lambda_{g}+n+1-g \text { for some } g \in[n] \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
i_{ \pm}(A)=\#\left\{(f, g) \in[n] \times[n]: \lambda_{f}+\lambda_{g} \geq f+g\right\}
$$

Since $\lambda$ is self-conjugate, we see that

$$
D(\lambda)=\left\{(f, g) \in[n] \times[n]: \lambda_{f}+\lambda_{g} \geq f+g\right\}
$$

Therefore we obtain $i_{ \pm}(A)=|\lambda|$.
(5) By definition and (10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{1}^{+}(A)-i_{1}^{-}(A) & =\sum_{j=n+1}^{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} \\
& =\#\left\{k \in[n]: \lambda_{k}+n+1-k \geq n+1\right\} \\
& =p(\lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following formula due to D.E. Littlewood is the key to our proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.4. ([1, p. 238], [2, I. Ex. 5.9])

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}\right)=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{(|\lambda|+p(\lambda)) / 2} s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

where $\lambda$ runs over all self-conjugate partitions such that $\lambda \subset\left(n^{n}\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Replacing $x_{i}$ by $t x_{i}$ in Lemma 2.4 and using Proposition 1.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}\right) & \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{ \\
\lambda \subset\left(n^{n}\right), \lambda^{\prime}=\lambda}} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}\left(\lambda+\sigma_{n}\right)}(-1)^{(|\lambda|+p(\lambda)) / 2+\max (T)+\operatorname{sp}(T)} \\
& \times t^{|\lambda|+2 \max (T)+2 \operatorname{sp}(T)} x^{T} x_{1}^{-1} x_{2}^{-2} \cdots x_{n}^{-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the proof follows from Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 together with (8) and (9).

If we put $W\left(B_{n}\right)=\left\{A \in \mathcal{B}_{n}: s(A)=0\right\}$, then $W\left(B_{n}\right)$ is the Weyl group of the root system

$$
\Delta\left(B_{n}\right)=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i}: i \in[n]\right\} \cup\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}: i, j \in[n], i<j\right\}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i}={ }^{t}\left(0, \ldots, 0, i_{1}^{i}, 0, \ldots, 0, \stackrel{2 n+1-i}{-1}, 0 \ldots, 0\right)$. By substituting $t=1$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the denominator formula

Corollary 2.5. (to Theorem 2.1).

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{A \in W\left(B_{n}\right)}(-1)^{l(A)} x^{\delta\left(B_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(B_{n}\right)}
$$

where $l(A)=i_{1}(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2$ is the length of $A \in W\left(B_{n}\right)$.

## 3. Deformation for $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ type

Next we consider a deformation of the denominator formula for the root system of type $C_{n}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ be the set of all $(2 n+1) \times(2 n+1)$ alternating sign matrices invariant under a $180^{\circ}$ rotation, i.e.,

$$
\mathcal{C}_{n}=\left\{A \in \mathcal{A}_{2 n+1}: J_{2 n+1} A J_{2 n+1}=A\right\}
$$

Definition. Let $L=\left\{(i, j ; k, l) \in \Sigma_{2 n+1,2 n+1} \times \Sigma_{2 n+1,2 n+1}: i\langle k, j\rangle l\right\}$ and define subsets $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{+}$, and $L_{ \pm}$of $L$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i=n+1 \text { or } k=n+1\}, \\
& L_{1}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i+k=2 n+2, j+l=2 n+2\}, \\
& L_{2}=L-\left(L_{0} \cup L_{1}\right), \\
& L_{+}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i \leq n, k \leq n\}, \\
& L_{ \pm}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i \leq n, k \geq n+2\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each subset $L_{*}, *=0,1,2,+, \pm$, and $A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$, we put

$$
i_{*}(A)=\sum_{(i, j ; k, l) \in L .} a_{i j} a_{k l}
$$

Moreover we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}^{+}(A)=\#\left\{(i, j): 1 \leq i \leq n, n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n+1, a_{i j}=1\right\}, \\
& i_{1}^{-}(A)=\#\left\{(i, j): 1 \leq i \leq n, n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n+1, a_{i j}=-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
i(A) & =i_{0}(A)+i_{1}(A)+i_{2}(A),  \tag{11}\\
i_{1}(A)+i_{2}(A) & =2 i_{+}(A)+i_{ \pm}(A),  \tag{12}\\
i_{1}(A) & =i_{1}^{+}(A)+i_{1}^{-}(A) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The main result of this section is

## Theorem 3.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}\right)\left(1+t^{2} x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{A \in C_{n}}(-1)^{i i(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2} t^{i(A)} \prod_{k=1}^{s(A)}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{k}}{t}\right) x^{\delta\left(C_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(C_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta\left(C_{n}\right)={ }^{t}(n, n-1, \ldots, 1,0,-1, \ldots,-n), x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ if $\alpha={ }^{t}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\alpha_{n}, 0,-\alpha_{n}, \ldots,-\alpha_{1}\right)$.

For simplicity, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1 \mp \frac{1}{t}\right)^{s} & =\prod_{k=1}^{s}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{k}}{t}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\left(1-\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)^{s / 2} & \text { if } s \text { is even } \\
\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)^{(s-1) / 2} & \text { if } s \text { is odd }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider a partition $\lambda=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p} \mid \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \geq \beta_{1}+1 \geq \alpha_{1} \geq \beta_{2}+1 \geq \alpha_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \beta_{p}+1 \geq \alpha_{p} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For such a partition $\lambda$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(\lambda) & =\#\left\{k \in[n]: \lambda_{k}>k\right\} \\
r(\lambda) & =\#\left\{(k, l) \in[n] \times[n]: \lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}>k+l\right\} \\
u(\lambda) & =\#\left\{(k, l) \in[n] \times[n]: \lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}=k+l\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then these quantities can be expressed in terms of $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\lambda=(\alpha \mid \beta)\left(\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right), \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}\right), p=p(\lambda)\right)$ be $a$ partition satisfying (14). Then we have
(1) $q(\lambda)=\#\left\{k \in[p]: \alpha_{k}>0\right\}$.
(2) Let $\nu=(\gamma \mid \gamma)$ be the self-conjugate partition defined by

$$
\gamma_{k}= \begin{cases}\max \left(\alpha_{k}-1, \beta_{k+1}+1\right) & \text { if } k \leq p-1 \\ \alpha_{p}-1 & \text { if } \alpha_{p}>0 \text { and } k=p\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
D(\nu)=\left\{(k, l) \in[n] \times[n]: \lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}>k+l\right\} .
$$

In particular, we have $r(\lambda)=|\nu|$.
(3) $u(\lambda)$ is given by

$$
u(\lambda)=2 \#\left\{k \in[p]: \beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1\right\}+ \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \alpha_{p}=0 \\ 0 & \text { if } \alpha_{p}>0\end{cases}
$$

where $\beta_{p+1}+1=0$.

## Proof.

(1) This follows from (4).
(2) First we show that, if $(k, m) \in D(\nu)$ and $k \leq m$, then $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}>k+m$. In this case, we note that $k \leq p$ and $m \leq \gamma_{k}+k$.
(i) If $k<m \leq p$, then $\lambda_{k}>k$ and $\lambda_{m} \geq m$, so we have $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}>k+m$.
(ii) If $k=m=p$, then it follows from $(k, m) \in D(\nu)$ that $\alpha_{p}>0$, so $\lambda_{p}>p$. Hence, we have $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}=2 \lambda_{p}>2 p=k+m$.
(iii) If $k \leq p<m$ and $\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1$, then $\gamma_{k}=\alpha_{k}-1$ and $\beta_{k}+k \geq$ $\alpha_{k}-1+k=\gamma_{k}+k \geq m$. So we have $\lambda_{k}=\alpha_{k}+k=\gamma_{k}+1+k>m$ and $\lambda_{m} \geq k$, hence, $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}>k+m$.
(iv) If $k \leq p<m$ and $\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k+1}+1$, then $\gamma_{k}=\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k+1}+k+1=$ $\gamma_{k}+k \geq m$. So we have $\lambda_{k}=\alpha_{k}+k=\gamma_{k}+k \geq m$ and $\lambda_{m}>k$, hence $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}>k+m$.

Therefore we obtain $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}>k+m$ for $(k, m) \in D(\nu)$. Similarly we can show that $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m} \leq k+m$ for $(k, m) \notin D(\nu)$. Hence, we have

$$
D(\nu)=\left\{(k, m): \lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}>k+m\right\} .
$$

(3) Here we note that, if $k=m$ and $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}=k+m$, then $k=m=p$ and $\alpha_{p}=0$. Now we suppose that $\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}=k+m$ and $k<m$.
First we show that $\lambda_{k}=m$ and $\lambda_{m}=k$. If $\lambda_{k}<m$, then we can see that $k \leq p<m$. Hence, we have

$$
\alpha_{k}+k<m, \quad \beta_{k+1}+k+1 \geq m,
$$

so that $\beta_{k+1}+1 \geq m-k>\alpha_{k}$. This contradicts (14). We have a similar contradiction if $\lambda_{k}>m$. Therefore we have $\lambda_{k}=m$ and $\lambda_{m}=k$.
Next we show that $\beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1$. Then we can check that $k \leq p<m$. From (4), we have $\lambda_{k}=\alpha_{k}+k=m$. On the other hand, from (5), we have $\beta_{k}+k \geq m$ and $\beta_{k+1}+k+1<m$. Hence, we see $\beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1$.
Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{(k, m): \lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m}=k+m\right\}= & \left\{\left(k, \lambda_{k}\right): k \in[p], \beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(\lambda_{k}, k\right): k \in[p], \beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{(p, p): \alpha_{p}=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, considering the cardinalities of both sides completes the proof.

Proposition 3.3. For $A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$, let $T^{+}(A)$ be the monotone triangle consisting of the first $n$ rows of $T(A)$. Then the correspondence $T^{+}$gives a bijection

$$
T^{+}: \mathcal{C}_{n} \rightarrow \coprod_{\lambda} \mathcal{M}\left(\lambda+\delta_{n}\right)
$$

where $\lambda$ runs over all partitions $\lambda$ satisfying (14).
Proof. For a partition $\lambda=(\alpha \mid \beta) \subset\left((n+1)^{n}\right)$, we put

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t_{i}=\lambda_{i}+n+1-i, & i \in[n] \\
t_{j}^{\prime}=\lambda_{j}^{\prime}+n+2-j, & \\
j \in[n+1]
\end{array}
$$

Then, by considering the shifted diagrams of $\lambda+\delta_{n}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}+\delta_{n+1}$, we can see that $\lambda$ satisfies (14) if and only if

$$
t_{1}^{\prime} \geq t_{1} \geq t_{2}^{\prime} \geq t_{2} \geq \ldots \geq t_{n}^{\prime} \geq t_{n} \geq t_{n+1}^{\prime}
$$

Now, if $A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$ and $T^{+}(A)$ has the bottom row $\lambda+\delta_{n}$, then the ( $n+1$ )-th row of $T(A)$ is $\lambda^{\prime}+\delta_{n+1}$ by Lemma 1.5, so that $\lambda$ satisfies (14). Conversely, given $T \in \mathcal{M}\left(\lambda+\delta_{n}\right)$, where $\lambda$ satisfies (14), we can define a monotone triangle $\widetilde{T}$ by adjoining $\lambda^{\prime}+\delta_{n+1}$ to $T$. If $V=\left(v_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1,1 \leq j \leq 2 n+1}$ corresponds to $\widetilde{T}$ under the bijection in Proposition 1.1, then if follows from Lemma 1.4 that the matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n+1}$ defined by

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}v_{i j} & \text { if } i \leq n+1 \\ v_{2 n+2-i, 2 n+2-j} & \text { if } i \geq n+2\end{cases}
$$

is an alternating sign matrix belonging to $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ and $T^{+}(A)=T$.
Lemma 3.4 Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$ and $T=T^{+}(A) \in \mathcal{M}\left(\lambda+\delta_{n}\right)$. Then we have
(1) $i_{+}(A)=\max (T)+\operatorname{sp}(T)$.
(2) $x^{\delta\left(C_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(C_{n}\right)}=x^{T} x_{1}^{-1} x_{2}^{-2} \ldots x_{n}^{-n}$.
(3) $i_{ \pm}(A)=r(\lambda)$.
(4) $i_{1}^{+}(A)-i_{1}^{-}(A)=q(\lambda)$
(5) $i_{0}(A)=2(|\lambda|-r(\lambda))$
(6) The number of -1 s in the $(n+1)$-th row of $A$ is equal to $u(\lambda)$. Hence

$$
s(A)=2 \operatorname{sp}(T)+u(\lambda)
$$

Proof. We prove only (5) and (6). The other statements can be proved in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. If we put $B(A)=\left(b_{i j}\right)$, then it follows from Lemma 1.6 that

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{n, l} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } l=\lambda_{k}+n+1-k \text { for some } k \\
0 \text { if } l=n+k-\lambda_{k}^{\prime} \text { some } k
\end{array}\right.  \tag{15}\\
b_{n+1, l} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } l=\lambda_{k}^{\prime}+n+2-k \text { for some } k \\
0 \text { if } l=n+k+1-\lambda_{k} \text { some } k
\end{array}\right. \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) By the symmetry $J_{2 n+1} A J_{2 n+1}=A$ and (15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} i_{0}(A) & =\sum_{1 \leq l<j \leq n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j}\right) a_{n+1, l} \\
& =\sum_{f=1}^{n} \sum_{l<\lambda,+n+1-f}\left(b_{n+1, l}-b_{n, l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (15), we see

$$
\sum_{l<\lambda_{f}+n+1-f} b_{n, l}=f-1
$$

and, from (16),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l<\lambda_{f}+n+1-f} b_{n+1, l}= & \lambda_{f}+n+1-f-1-\#\left\{l<\lambda_{f}+n+1-f: b_{n+1, l}=0\right\} \\
= & \lambda_{f}+n+1-f-1 \\
& -\#\left\{g \in[n]: n+1+g-\lambda_{g}<\lambda_{f}+n+1-f\right\} \\
= & \lambda_{f}+n-f-\#\left\{g \in[n]: \lambda_{f}+\lambda_{g}>f+g\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} i_{0}(A) & =\sum_{f=1}^{n}\left\{\lambda_{f}+n-f-(f-1)\right\}-\#\left\{(f, g) \in[n] \times[n]: \lambda_{f}+\lambda_{g}>f+g\right\} \\
& =|\lambda|-r(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) The number of -1 s in the $(n+1)$-th row of $A$ is equal to

$$
\#\left\{l \in[n]: b_{n, l}=0, b_{n+1, l}=1\right\},
$$

which is $u(\lambda)$ by (15) and (16).
Our proof of Theorem 3.1 needs the following generalization of the Littlewood's formula.

LEMMA 3.5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right)\left(1+t x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{(q(\lambda)+r(\lambda)) / 2} t^{|\lambda|-r(\lambda)}\left(1 \mp \frac{1}{t}\right)^{u(\lambda)} s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

summed over all partitions $\lambda$ satisfying (14).
Remark. If $t=0$ and 1 , then the above Lemma reduces to the known Littlewood's formulas (see[ 1, p. 238], [2, I. Ex. 5.9.]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}\right)=\sum_{\tau}(-1)^{(|\tau|+p(\tau)) / 2} s_{\tau}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}\right)=\sum_{\rho}(-1)^{|\rho| / 2} s_{\rho}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau$ (resp. $\rho$ ) runs over all partitions of the form $\tau=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p} \mid \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right)$ (resp. $\rho=\left(\alpha_{1}+1, \ldots, \alpha_{p}+1 \mid \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right)$ ) such that $\alpha_{1} \leq n-1$.

To prove Lemma 3.5, we fix a partition $\lambda=(\alpha \mid \beta)$ satisfying (14). We put $\varepsilon_{k}=\min \left(\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k}\right)(k=1, \ldots, p)$ and $\sigma=(\varepsilon \mid \varepsilon)$. Then

$$
\varepsilon=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{k} \quad \text { if } \beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k} \\
\alpha_{k}-1 \text { if } \beta_{k}+1=\alpha_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

And we put

$$
S=\left\{k \in[p]: \beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1\right\}
$$

LEMMA 3.6.

$$
|\sigma|=r(\lambda)+u(\lambda)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, if $\alpha_{p}>0$, then

$$
u(\lambda)=2 \# S, \quad r(\lambda)=p+2 \sum_{k=1}^{p} \gamma_{k}, \quad|\sigma|=p+2 \sum_{k=1}^{p} \varepsilon_{k}
$$

and, if $\alpha_{p}=0$, then

$$
u(\lambda)=2 \# S+1, \quad r(\lambda)=p-1+2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \gamma_{k}, \quad|\sigma|=p+2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \varepsilon_{k},
$$

So it is enough to show

$$
\varepsilon_{k}-\gamma_{k}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } k \in S  \tag{17}\\ 0 & \text { if } k \notin S\end{cases}
$$

If $\beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1$, then we have $\gamma_{k}=\alpha_{k}-1$ and $\varepsilon_{k}=\alpha_{k}$; hence, $\varepsilon_{k}-\gamma_{k}=1$. If $\beta_{k}+1=\alpha_{k}>\beta_{k+1}+1$, then we have $\gamma_{k}=\varepsilon_{k}=\alpha_{k}-1$. If $\beta_{k}+1>\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k+1}+1$, then we have $\gamma_{k}=\varepsilon_{k}=\alpha_{k}$. Therefore, we obtain (17).

For a subset $J$ of $S$, we put

$$
\varepsilon(J)_{k}= \begin{cases}\varepsilon_{k}-1 & \text { if } k \in J \\ \varepsilon_{k} & \text { if } k \notin J\end{cases}
$$

It is checked that $\varepsilon(J)_{1}>\varepsilon(J)_{2}>\ldots>\varepsilon(J)_{p} \geq 0$. So we can define a partition $\sigma(J)=(\varepsilon(J) \mid \varepsilon(J))$. Similarly, if $\alpha_{p}=0$, then we can define a self-conjugate partition $\overline{\sigma(J)}=\overline{(\overline{\varepsilon(J)} \mid \overline{\varepsilon(J)})}$, where

$$
\overline{\varepsilon(J)}=\left(\varepsilon(J)_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon(J)_{p-1}\right)
$$

## Lemma 3.7.

(1) $\lambda / \sigma(J)$ is a vertical strip, i.e., $0 \leq \lambda_{i}-\sigma(J)_{i} \leq 1$ for all $i$.
(2) $\lambda / \overline{\sigma(J)}$ is a vertical strip.
(3) If $\pi$ is a self-conjugate partition such that $p(\pi)=p$ and $\lambda / \pi$ is a vertical strip, then there exists a subset $J$ and $S$ such that $\pi=\sigma(J)$.
(4) If $\pi$ is a self-conjugate partition such that $p(\pi)=p-1$ and $\lambda / \pi$ is a vertical strip, then there exists a subset $J$ of $S$ such that $\pi=\overline{\sigma(J)}$.

Proof. (1) If $k \leq p$, then $\varepsilon(J)_{k}=\alpha_{k}$ or $\alpha_{k}-1$, hence

$$
\lambda_{k}-\sigma(J)_{k}=\alpha_{k}+k-\left(\varepsilon(J)_{k}+k\right) \leq 1
$$

Let $k>p$ and suppose that $\lambda_{k}-\sigma(J)_{k} \geq 2$. Then, by (5), there exists an integer $i$ such that

$$
\beta_{i}+i \geq k>\varepsilon(J)_{i}+i, \quad \beta_{i+1}+i+1 \geq k>\varepsilon(J)_{i+1}+i+1
$$

so that $\beta_{i+1}>\varepsilon(J)_{i}$.
(i) If $i \in J$, then it follows from $\beta_{i}+1>\alpha_{i}$ (resp. $\beta_{i}+1=\alpha_{i}$ ) that $\varepsilon_{i}=\alpha_{i} \geq \beta_{i+1}+1$ (resp. $\varepsilon_{i}=\alpha_{i}-1 \geq \beta_{i+1}+1$ ), which contradicts $\beta_{i+1}>\varepsilon(J)_{i}$.
(ii) If $i \notin J$, then $\varepsilon(J)_{i}=\alpha_{i}-1$, so we have $\beta_{i+1}+1 \geq \alpha_{i}$, which contradicts $\alpha_{i}>\beta_{i+1}+1$.

Therefore we have $\lambda_{k}-\sigma(J)_{k} \leq 1$ for any $k$.
(2) This follows from (1).
(3) Let $\pi=(\eta \mid \eta)$ be a self-conjugate partition such that $p(\pi)=p$ and $\lambda / \pi$ is a vertical strip. Then, putting $J=\left\{k \in[p]: \varepsilon_{k}-\eta_{k}=1\right\}$, we show that $J \subset S$. Suppose that $\varepsilon_{k}-\eta_{k}=1$. If $\beta_{k}+1=\alpha_{k}$, then $\varepsilon_{k}=\alpha_{k}-1$, hence, $\lambda_{k}-\pi_{k}=2$, which contradicts the assumption that $\lambda / \pi$ is a vertical strip. If $\alpha_{k}=\beta_{k+1}+1$, then $\varepsilon_{k}=\alpha_{k}$ and it follows from $\pi=\pi^{\prime}$ that $\lambda_{m}-\pi_{m} \geq 2$ where $m=k+\lambda_{k}$, which also contradicts the assumption. Hence we see that $J \subset S$ and $\pi=\sigma(J)$.
(4) This also follows from (3).

The following lemma is well known.
Lemma 3.8.
(1)

$$
s_{\left(1^{r}\right)} s_{\tau}=\sum_{\mu} s_{\lambda}
$$

summed over all partitions $\mu$ such that $\mu / \tau$ is a vertical r-strip
(2) If $\tau$ is a self-conjugate partition and $\mu / \tau$ is a vertical strip, then $\mu$ satisfies (14).
(3)

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n} s_{\left(1^{r}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) t^{r}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+x_{i} t\right)
$$

Proof. (1) See [2, I.(5.17)]; (2) is easy; (3) see [2, I.(2.2)].
Now we are in position to prove Lemma 3.5.
Proof of Lemma 3.5. If $u(\lambda)$ is even, then the coefficient of $s_{\lambda}$ on the right-hand side of Lemma 3.5 is equal to

$$
\sum_{J C S}(-1)^{(q(\lambda)+r(\lambda)) / 2+(\# S-\# J)} t^{|\lambda|-r(\lambda)-2(\# S-\# J)} .
$$

By Lemma 3.6 and the definition of $\sigma(J)$, it is equal to

$$
\sum_{J \subset S}(-1)^{(|\sigma(J)|+p(\sigma(J))) / 2} t^{|\lambda|-|\sigma(J)|} .
$$

Similarly, if $u(\lambda)$ is odd, then the coefficient of $s_{\lambda}$ on the right-hand side of Lemma 3.5 is equal to

$$
\sum_{J \subset S}(-1)^{(|\sigma(J)|+p(\sigma(J))) / 2)} t^{|\lambda|-|\sigma(J)|}+\sum_{J \subset S}(-1)^{(\overline{|\sigma(J)|}+p(\overline{\sigma(J))}) / 2} t^{|\lambda|-\mid \overline{\sigma(J) \mid}}
$$

Hence, it follows from Lemma 3.7 that the right-hand side of Lemma 3.5 is

$$
\sum_{\tau}(-1)^{(|\tau|+p(\tau)) / 2} \sum_{\lambda} t^{|\lambda|-|\tau|} s_{\lambda},
$$

where, in the above summations, $\tau$ runs over all self-conjugate partitions such that $\tau \subset\left(n^{n}\right)$, and $\lambda$ runs over all partitions such that $\lambda$ satisfies (14) and $\lambda / \tau$ is a vertical strip. But, for fixed $\tau$, Lemma 3.8 implies

$$
\sum_{\lambda} t^{|\lambda|-|\tau|} s_{\lambda}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+t x_{i}\right) s_{\tau}
$$

Therefore Lemma 2.4 completes the proof of Lemma 3.5.
Remark. By similar argument, we can prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+t x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\lambda} t^{|\lambda|-2 t(\lambda)}\left(1+\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)^{v(\lambda)} s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda=(\alpha \mid \beta)$ runs over all partitions satisfying

$$
n-1 \geq \beta_{1} \geq \alpha_{1} \geq \beta_{2} \geq \alpha_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \beta_{p(\lambda)} \geq \alpha_{p(\lambda)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(\lambda) & =\#\left\{(k, m): k \leq m, \lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m+1}>k+m\right\} \\
v(\lambda) & =\#\left\{(k, m): k \leq m, \lambda_{k}+\lambda_{m+1}=k+m\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It would be interesting to give a bijective proof to this identity.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. By substituting $t x_{i}$ for $x_{i}$ in Lemma 3.5 and using Proposition 1.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}\right)\left(1+t^{2} x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\lambda:(3.4)} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{M}\left(\lambda+\delta_{n}\right)}(-1)^{\max (T)+\operatorname{sp}(T)+(q(\lambda)+r(\lambda)) / 2} \\
& \quad \times t^{2 \max (T)+2 \operatorname{sp}(T)+2|\lambda|-r(\lambda)}\left(1 \mp \frac{1}{t}\right)^{2 \operatorname{spp}(T)+u(\lambda)} x^{T} x_{1}^{-1} \ldots x_{n}^{-n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by using (11)-(13), Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}\right)\left(1+t^{2} x_{i}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-t^{2} x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}}(-1)^{i+(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2} t^{i(A)}\left(1 \mp \frac{1}{t}\right)^{s(A)} x^{\delta\left(C_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(C_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put $W\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}: s(A)=0\right\}$, then $W\left(C_{n}\right)$ is the Weyl group of the root system

$$
\Delta\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\{ \pm 2 \varepsilon_{i}: i \in[n]\right\} \cup\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}: i, j \in[n], i<j\right\}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i}={ }^{t}\left(0, \ldots, 0, i_{1}^{i}, 0, \ldots, 0,{ }_{-1}^{2 n+2-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. By substituting $t=1$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the denominator formula.

Corollary 3.9. (to Theorem 3.1).

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{A \in W\left(C_{n}\right)}(-1)^{l(A)} x^{\delta\left(C_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(C_{n}\right)},
$$

where $l(A)=i_{1}(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2$ is the length of $A \in W\left(C_{n}\right)$.

## 4. Deformation for $D_{n}$ Type

Finally we give a deformation for the root system of type $D_{n}$.
Definition. Let $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ be the set of all $2 n \times(2 n-1)$ matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq 2 n, 1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) Every row is sign-alternating.
(2) Every column, except for the $n$th column, is sign-alternating.
(3) $a_{i j}=a_{2 n+1-i, 2 n-j}$.
(4) The vector $\left(a_{1 n}, \ldots, a_{n n}\right)$ is sign-alternating and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i n}=1$.

Let $L=\left\{(i, j ; k, l) \in \Sigma_{2 n, 2 n-1} \times \Sigma_{2 n, 2 n-1}: i<k, j>l\right\}$ and define subsets $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{+}$, and $L_{ \pm}$of $L$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i+k=2 n+1, j+l=2 n\}, \\
& L_{2}=L-L_{1}, \\
& L_{+}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i \leq n, k \leq n\}, \\
& L_{ \pm}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i \leq n, k \geq n+1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each subset $L_{*}, *=1,2,+, \pm$ and $A \in \mathcal{D}_{n}$, we put

$$
i_{*}(A)=\sum_{(i, j ; k, l) \in L .} a_{i j} a_{k l}
$$

Moreover, for $A \in D_{n}$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}^{+}(A)=\#\left\{(i, j): 1 \leq i \leq n, n \leq j \leq 2 n-1, a_{i j}=1\right\} \\
& i_{1}^{-}(A)=\#\left\{(i, j): 1 \leq i \leq n, n \leq j \leq 2 n-1, a_{i j}=-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.1.

$$
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1+t x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1+t x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{D}_{n}} t^{i=(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{s(A) / 2} x^{\delta\left(D_{n}\right)-A b^{\prime}\left(D_{n}\right)}
$$

where $\delta\left(D_{n}\right)=^{t}(n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1,0,0,-1, \ldots,-(n-1))$ and $\delta^{\prime}\left(D_{n}\right)={ }^{t}(n-$ $1, n-2, \ldots, 1,0,-1, \ldots,-(n-1))$.

We can prove this theorem in a way similar to that of Theorem 2.1, so we omit the proof.

Let $W\left(D_{n}\right)$ be the subgroup of $W\left(B_{n}\right)$ consisting of matrices $A$ such that $i_{1}(A)$ is even. Then $W\left(D_{n}\right)$ is the Weyl group of

$$
\Delta\left(D_{n}\right)=\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}: i, j \in[n], i<j\right\}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i}={ }^{t}\left(0, \ldots, 0, \stackrel{i}{1}, 0, \ldots, 0,{ }^{2 n+1-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. The subset $\bar{W}\left(D_{n}\right)=\left\{A \in \mathcal{D}_{n}\right.$ : $s(A)=0\}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ can be identified with the Weyl group $W\left(D_{n}\right)$ as follows.

Proposition 4.2. For $A \in W\left(D_{n}\right)$, let $\bar{A}=\left(\overline{a_{i j}}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq 2 n, 1 \leq j \leq 2 n-1}$ be the matrix defined by

$$
\overline{a_{i j}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
a_{i j} & \text { if } j<n \\
a_{i, n}+a_{i, n+1} & \text { if } j=n \\
a_{i, j+1} & \text { if } j>n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then this correspondence $A \mapsto \bar{A}$ is a bijection between $W\left(D_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{W}\left(D_{n}\right)$. Moreover the length of $A$ is given by

$$
l(A)=i_{2}(\bar{A}) / 2 .
$$

Therefore, substituting -1 for $t$ in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the Weyl's denominator formula.

## Corollary 4.3. (to Theorem 4.1).

$$
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1-x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)=\sum_{A \in W\left(D_{n}\right)}(-1)^{(A)} x^{6\left(D_{n}\right)-A 6\left(D_{n}\right)}
$$

By considering $2 n \times(2 n+1)$ matrices, we can give another deformation for the root system of type $C_{n}$.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}_{n}^{\prime}$ be the set of all $2 n \times(2 n+1)$ matrices $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq 2 n, 1 \leq j \leq 2 n+1}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) Every row is sign-alternating.
(2) Every column, except for the ( $n+1$ )-th column, is sign-alternating.
(3) $a_{i j}=a_{2 n+1-i, 2 n+2-j}$.
(4) The vector $\left(a_{1, n+1}, \ldots, a_{n, n+1}\right)$ is a sign-alternating vector and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, n+1}=$ 0.

Let $L=\left\{(i, j ; k, l) \in \Sigma_{2 n, 2 n+1} \times \Sigma_{2 n, 2 n+1}: i<k, j>l\right\}$ and define subset $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{+}$and $L_{ \pm}$of $L$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i+k=2 n+1, j+l=2 n+2\}, \\
& L_{2}=L-L_{1}, \\
& L_{+}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i \leq n, k \leq n\}, \\
& L_{ \pm}=\{(i, j ; k, l) \in L: i \leq n, k \geq n+1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each subset $L_{*}, *=1,2,+, \pm$ and $A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{*}$, we put

$$
i_{*}(A)=\sum_{(i, j ; k, l) \in L .} a_{i j} a_{k l}
$$

Moreover, for $A \in C_{n}^{\prime}$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{1}^{+}(A) & =\#\left\{(i, j): 1 \leq i \leq n, n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n+1, a_{i j}=1\right\}, \\
i_{1}^{-}(A) & =\#\left\{(i, j): 1 \leq i \leq n, n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n+1, a_{i j}=-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 4.4.

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-t x_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1+t x_{i} x_{j}\right)\left(1+t x_{i} x_{j}^{-1}\right)
$$

$$
=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}_{n}^{\prime}} t^{i_{1}^{+}(A)+i_{2}(A) / 2}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{s(A) / 2} x^{\delta^{\prime}\left(C_{n}\right)-A \delta\left(C_{n}\right)}
$$

where $\delta\left(C_{n}\right)={ }^{t}(n, n-1, \ldots, 1,0,-1, \ldots,-n)$ and $\delta^{\prime}\left(C_{n}\right)={ }^{t}(n, n-1, \ldots, 1$, $-1, \ldots,-n)$.

If $t=-1$, then this theorem reduces to the denominator formula.

## References

1. D.E. Littlewood, The Theory of Group Characters, Oxford University Press, London, 2nd edition, 1950.
2. I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1979.
3. W.H. Mills, D.P. Robbins, and H. Rumsey, Jr, "Alternating sign matrices and descending plane partitions," J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 34 (1983) 340-359.
4. W.H. Mills, D.P. Robbins, and H. Rumsey, Jr, "Self-complementary totally symmetric plane partitions," J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 42 (1986) 277-292.
5. S. Okada, "Partially strict shifted plane partitions," J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 53 (1990) 143-156.
6. D.P. Robbins and H. Rumsey, Jr, "Determinants and alternating sign matrices," Adv. Math. 62 (1986) 169-184.
7. T. Tokuyama, "A generating function of strict Gelfand patterns and some formulas on characters of general linear group," J. Math. Soc. Japan 40 (1988) 671-685.
