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#### Abstract

A combinatorial method of determining the characters of the alternating group is presented. We use matrix representations, due to Thrall, that are closely related to Young's orthogonal form of representations of the symmetric group. The characters are computed directly from matrix entries of these representations and entries of the character table of the symmetric group.
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## 1. Introduction

The irreducible complex representations of the alternating group are closely related to the representations of the symmetric group. Each irreducible representation of $A_{n}$ is a direct summand of the restriction of an irreducible representation of $S_{n}$; in fact, most of the irreducible representations of $S_{n}$ remain irreducible upon restriction. The exceptions are those representations $\rho$ such that $\epsilon \otimes \rho \cong \rho$, where $\epsilon$ is the sign representation. When this occurs, $\rho \downarrow A_{n}$ is the direct sum of two irreducible representations $\rho^{+}$and $\rho^{-}$. Thrall constructed the isomorphism $\epsilon \otimes \rho \cong \rho$ and used it to describe matrix representations of $\rho^{+}$ and $\rho^{-}[8]$. The purpose of this paper is to present a combinatorial method for determining the characters of $\rho^{+}$and $\rho^{-}$, using Thrall's construction. Other methods in the literature rely on algebraic arguments within the theory of characters [5].

## 2. Young's orthogonal form and the associator

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ be a partition of $n$, i.e., $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{l}>0$ and $\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{l}=n$. The diagram of $\lambda$ is the set $D_{\lambda}=\left\{(i, j) \mid i, j \in \mathbf{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_{i}\right\}$. The content $c(i, j)$ of $(i, j) \in D_{\lambda}$ is defined to be $j-i$. The conjugate $\lambda^{\prime}$ of $\lambda$ is the partition satisfying $(i, j) \in D_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ iff $(j, i) \in D_{\lambda}$. If $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda, \lambda$ is said to be self-conjugate. A tableau of shape $\lambda$ is a bijection from $D_{\lambda}$ to the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. More informally, we can view $T$ as a configuration of the integers $1, \ldots, n$ with $T(i, j)$ lying at the point $(i, j)$. A tableau $T$ is standard if $T(i, j)<T(i, j+1)$ and $T(i, j)<T(i+1, j)$ for all $i, j$. The transpose $T^{\prime}$ of $T$ is the tableau of shape $\lambda^{\prime}$ satisfying $T^{\prime}(i, j)=T(j, i) ; T^{\prime}$ is standard if and only if $T$ is standard.
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There is a bijection between the irreducible representations of $S_{n}$ and the partitions of $n$. The dimension of the representation corresponding to $\lambda$ is equal to the number of standard tableaux of shape $\lambda$. The representations have been constructed in several ways using these tableaux [1, 2, 4-6]. The following construction is known as Young's orthogonal form.

Theorem 2.1 Let $V_{\lambda}$ be the $\mathbf{C}$-span of the standard tableaux of shape $\lambda$. For each standard tableau $T$ of shape $\lambda$, define the axial distance $d_{T}$ on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ by $d_{T}(p, q)=c\left(T^{-1}(q)\right)-$ $c\left(T^{-1}(p)\right)$. If $\sigma_{j}$ is the transposition $(j, j+1)$, then the linear map $\rho_{\lambda}: S_{n} \rightarrow G L\left(V_{\lambda}\right)$ defined by

$$
\rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right) T= \begin{cases}1 / d_{T}(j, j+1) T+\sqrt{1-1 / d_{T}^{2}(j, j+1)} \sigma_{j} T & \text { if } \sigma_{j} T \text { is standard } \\ 1 / d_{T}(j, j+1) T & \text { if } \sigma_{j} T \text { is not standard }\end{cases}
$$

extends to an irreducible representation of $S_{n}$.
Using this representation, one can easily show that $\epsilon \otimes \rho_{\lambda} \cong \rho_{\lambda^{\prime}}$ for any partition $\lambda$. See [2] for further details on the orthogonal form and related representations.

Let $\lambda$ be a self-conjugate partition. We can now establish the isomorphism between $\epsilon \otimes \rho_{\lambda}$ and $\rho_{\lambda}$ by exhibiting $S \in G L\left(V_{\lambda}\right)$ satisfying $S \rho_{\lambda}(g) v=\operatorname{sgn}(g) \rho_{\lambda}(g) S v$ for all $g \in S_{n}$, $v \in V_{\lambda}$. Notice that, by Schur's Lemma, this defines $S$ up to multiplication by a scalar. Also by Schur's Lemma, since $S^{2}$ commutes with $\rho_{\lambda}, S^{2}$ is a scalar. Thus, $S$ may be chosen (in two ways) so that $S^{2}=I$. Following [7] we will call such an $S$ an associator for $\rho_{\lambda}$.

Theorem 2.2 (Thrall) [8] Let $T_{0}$ be a standard tableau of shape $\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is selfconjugate. For any standard tableau $T$ also of shape $\lambda$, define $\operatorname{sgn}(T)$ to be $\operatorname{sgn}(w)$, where $w \in S_{n}$ satisfies $w T=T_{0}$. Let $d(\lambda)$ be the length of the main diagonal of $\lambda ; i . e ., d(\lambda)$ is the largest integer $j$ such that $(j, j) \in D_{\lambda}$. Let $S^{\prime}: V_{\lambda} \rightarrow V_{\lambda}$ be defined by

$$
S^{\prime}(T)=\operatorname{sgn}(T) T^{\prime}
$$

Then $S=i^{(n-d(\lambda)) / 2} S^{\prime}(T)$ is an associator for $\rho_{\lambda}$.
Proof: We first establish that $S^{\prime} \rho_{\lambda}(g)=\operatorname{sgn}(g) \rho_{\lambda}(g) S^{\prime}$. Let $\sigma_{j}=(j, j+1)$, and assume that $T, U$ are standard tableaux of shape $\lambda$ satisfying $\sigma_{j} T=U$. The subspace spanned by $T, U, T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}$ is invariant under the action of both $S^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right)$. The equality $S^{\prime} \rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right)=$ $-\rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right) S^{\prime}$ can easily be verified on this subspace after observing that $\operatorname{sgn}(T)=-\operatorname{sgn}(U)$, $\operatorname{sgn}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=-\operatorname{sgn}\left(U^{\prime}\right), d_{T}(j, j+1)=-d_{T^{\prime}}(j, j+1)$, and $d_{U}(j, j+1)=-d_{U^{\prime}}(j, j+1)$. If $\sigma_{j} T$ is not standard, then the subspace spanned by $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ is invariant under the action of both $S^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right)$. The equality $S^{\prime} \rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right)=-\rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right) S^{\prime}$ can easily be verified on subspaces of this form as well, so $S^{\prime} \rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right)(v)=-\rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{j}\right) S^{\prime}(v)$ for all $v \in V_{\lambda}$. Since the transpositions $\sigma_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, generate $S_{n}$, the result follows.

Finally, $\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{2}=(-1)^{(n-d(\lambda)) / 2} I$ since

$$
\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{2} T=S^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{sgn}(T) T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(T) \operatorname{sgn}\left(T^{\prime}\right) T
$$

and $\operatorname{sgn}(T)=(-1)^{(n-d(\lambda)) / 2} \operatorname{sgn}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $S^{2}=I$.

## 3. The difference characters of $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

We continue to consider the case where $\lambda$ is a self-conjugate partition. The purpose of this section is to decompose $\rho_{\lambda} \downarrow A_{n}$ into irreducible representations and determine their characters.

Proposition 3.1 The eigenspaces of $S$ are $A_{n}$-modules under the action of $\rho_{\lambda}(g), g \in A_{n}$. Let $V^{+}, V^{-}$be the eigenspaces of $S$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $1,-1$, respectively, and let $\rho_{\lambda}^{+}, \rho_{\lambda}^{-}$, be the projections of $\rho_{\lambda} \downarrow A_{n}$ into $G L\left(V^{+}\right), G L\left(V^{-}\right)$, respectively. Then (assuming $n>1$ ) $\rho_{\lambda} \downarrow A_{n}$ decomposes into irreducible representations as the direct sum of $\rho_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\rho_{\lambda}^{-}$.

Proof: For $v \in V_{\lambda}$, if $S v=\alpha v$, then $S \rho_{\lambda}(g) v=\rho_{\lambda}(g) S v=\rho_{\lambda}(g) \alpha v=\alpha \rho_{\lambda}(g) v$. Since $S^{2}=I$ but (for $n>1$ ) $S$ is not a scalar, $V^{+}$and $V^{-}$are both nontrivial, and $V^{+} \oplus V^{-}=V_{\lambda}$. Let $\chi^{\lambda} \downarrow A_{n}$ be the character of $\rho_{\lambda} \downarrow A_{n}$. Since $\rho_{\lambda}$ is an irreducible $S_{n}$-module, and $A_{n}$ has index 2 in $S_{n}$, the inner product $\left[\chi^{\lambda} \downarrow A_{n}, \chi^{\lambda} \downarrow A_{n}\right.$ ] is at most 2 . Thus, $\rho_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\rho_{\lambda}^{-}$must be irreducible.

Corollary 3.2 Let $g \in A_{n}$. If $\chi^{+}, \chi^{-}$are the characters of $\rho_{\lambda}^{+}, \rho_{\lambda}^{-}$, respectively, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=\chi^{+}(g)-\chi^{-}(g)$.

Proof: We have

$$
S \rho_{\lambda}(g)=S \rho_{\lambda}^{+}(g)+S \rho_{\lambda}^{-}(g)=\rho_{\lambda}^{+}(g)-\rho_{\lambda}^{-}(g)
$$

Taking traces of this equation gives $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=\chi^{+}(g)-\chi^{-}(g)$.
Since the value of $\chi^{\lambda} \downarrow A_{n}=\chi^{+}+\chi^{-}$can be found in the character table of $S_{n}$ [4], one can solve for $\chi^{+}$and $\chi^{-}$if $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right.$ ), the difference character of $\chi^{+}$and $\chi^{-}$, is known. We need only compute $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)$ for one element in each even conjugacy class of $S_{n}$, since, by Clifford's theorem on the characters of normal subgroups, $\chi^{+}(g)=\chi^{-}\left(h g h^{-1}\right)$, where $g \in A_{n}, h \in S_{n}-A_{n}$ [3]. For cycle type ( $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ ) (with the $a_{i}$ listed in nonincreasing order), a representative permutation will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 \cdots b_{1}\right)\left(b_{1}+1 \cdots b_{2}\right) \cdots\left(b_{m-1}+1 \cdots b_{m}\right) \\
& \quad=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{b_{1}-1} \sigma_{b_{1}+1} \cdots \sigma_{b_{2}-1} \sigma_{b_{2}+1} \cdots \sigma_{b_{m-1}-1} \sigma_{b_{m-1}+1} \cdots \sigma_{b_{m-1}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{j}=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{j}$. The cycles of this permutation are of course the factor permutations $\left(1 \cdots b_{1}\right),\left(b_{1}+1 \cdots b_{2}\right), \ldots$, but we will abuse notation at times and refer to the sets $\left\{1, \ldots, b_{1}\right\},\left\{b_{1}+1, \ldots, b_{2}\right\}, \ldots$, as the cycles of the permutation as well.

Let $q=((n-d(\lambda)) / 2)$. It is straightforward that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=i^{q} \sum_{T} \operatorname{sgn}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}=(-i)^{q} \sum_{T} \operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}
$$

where the sum is taken over all standard tableaux of shape $\lambda$. If $g$ is the representative permutation of its cycle type, $\rho_{\lambda}(g)$ can be expressed as a product of $\rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ as above. Since $\rho_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{i}\right) T$ is in the span of $T$ and $\sigma_{i} T$ (which is defined to be 0 if it is not standard), $\rho_{\lambda}(g) T$ can be expanded so that there is at most one term corresponding to each subsequence of the $\sigma_{i}$ 's occurring in the expansion of $g$. It is not hard to see that distinct subsequences correspond to distinct permutations acting on $T$, since one can readily convert the permutation into cyclic notation. If $w T=T^{\prime}$, then $w$ is a product of $q$ disjoint 2 -cycles. This $w$ can be expressed as the product of a subsequence of the $\sigma_{i}$ 's if and only if each pair $T(j, k)$ and $T(k, j)$ is transposed by one of the $\sigma_{i}$ 's. In other words, both of the following must be true for all $j \neq k$ such that $(j, k) \in D_{\lambda}$ :

- $T(j, k)$ and $T(k, j)$ are in the same cycle of $g$.
- $|T(j, k)-T(k, j)|=1$.

We will call such a standard tableau transposable. Thus, $\rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T} \neq 0$ iff $T$ is transposable, and the expansion of $\rho_{\lambda}(g) T$ has a unique term in the span of $T^{\prime}$.

We can now begin to determine $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)$. Assume throughout that $g$ is the representative permutation of its cycle type.

Lemma 3.3 If $g$ has more than $d(\lambda)$ cycles of odd length, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=0$.
Proof: If $T$ is transposable, then each cycle of $g$ of odd length must contain one entry on the main diagonal of $T$. This is impossible if $T$ has shape $\lambda$ and the number of cycles of odd length exceeds $d(\lambda)$.

Lemma 3.4 If the number of cycles of $g$ is less than $d(\lambda)$, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=0$.
Proof: If the number of cycles of $g$ is less than $d(\lambda)$, then there exists a cycle of $g$ that contains more than one entry on the main diagonal of $\lambda$. We construct an involution on the transposable tableaux. Given a standard tableau $T$ of shape $\lambda$, choose $b$ minimal so that there exists $a<b$ with $a$ and $b$ in the same cycle of $g$ and both on the main diagonal of $T$. Let $T^{*}=\sigma_{a+1} \sigma_{a+3} \cdots \sigma_{b-4} \sigma_{b-2} T$. This is an involution, since $T$ and $T^{*}$ are equal on the main diagonal and $\sigma_{a+1} \sigma_{a+3} \cdots \sigma_{b-4} \sigma_{b-2}$ has order 2. In the computation of $\rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}$ and $\rho_{\lambda}(g)_{\left(T^{*} *^{\prime}, T^{*}\right.}$, the only factors that differ are those corresponding to $\sigma_{a}, \sigma_{a+2}, \ldots, \sigma_{b-3}, \sigma_{b-1}$; for each of these the difference is a factor of -1 . Thus, $\rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}=(-1)^{(b-a+1) / 2} \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{(T \cdot)^{\prime}, T^{*}}$. Since $\operatorname{sgn}(T)=(-1)^{(b-a-1) / 2} \operatorname{sgn}\left(T^{*}\right)$, and $b-a$ is odd, $\operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}+\operatorname{sgn}\left(T^{*}\right) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{\left(T^{*}\right)^{\prime}, T^{*}}=0$. Summing over all transposable tableaux, $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=0$.

Lemma 3.5 If $g$ has a cycle of even length, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=0$.
Proof: We construct an involution similar to that of the previous lemma. Let ( $c \cdots c+$ $2 k-1$ ) be a cycle of $g$ and let $T$ be a transposable tableau of shape $\lambda$. If this cycle intersects the main diagonal of $T$, it does so in at least two places (since $T$ is transposable). In this case, let $a, b$ be the two smallest numbers in this intersection. If the intersection is empty,
let $a=c-1, b=c+2 k$. In either case, define $T^{*}$ to be $\sigma_{a+1} \sigma_{a+3} \cdots \sigma_{b-4} \sigma_{b-2} T$. As in the proof of the previous lemma, this is an involution. Also as before, $\rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}=$ $(-1)^{(b-a+1) / 2} \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{\left(T^{*}\right)^{\prime}, T^{*}}$, and $\operatorname{sgn}(T)=(-1)^{(b-a-1) / 2} \operatorname{sgn}\left(T^{*}\right)$, so $\operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}+$ $\operatorname{sgn}\left(T^{*}\right) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{\left(T^{*}\right)^{\prime}, T^{*}}=0$, and $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=0$.

Remark Lemma 3.5 can also be established by purely group-theoretic means, by showing that, given the hypotheses of the lemma, the conjugacy classes of $g$ in $S_{n}$ and $A_{n}$ are the same, and, thus, $\chi^{+}(g)=\chi^{-}(g)$.

The only remaining cycle types are those consisting of $d(\lambda)$ cycles of odd length. In analyzing this case, it will help to use the concepts of hook and hooklength. For $(i, j) \in D_{\lambda}$, the corresponding hook $H_{i j}$ is the set of points in $D_{\lambda}$ of the form $(i, k), j \leq k$ or $(k, j)$, $i \leq k$. The hooklength $h_{i j}$ is the cardinality of $H_{i j}$. A hook of a tableau $T$ is the image under $T$ of a hook of its diagram.

Also needed will be the following result about posets. A linear extension of a poset $P$ with $|P|=m$ is a bijection $\tau: P \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $i \leq_{P} j$ implies $\tau(i) \leq \tau(j)$.

Lemma 3.6 Let $P$ be a disconnected poset of size $m$. For each element $p \in P$, choose an indeterminate $x_{p}$. Then

$$
\sum_{\tau \in L(P)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{x_{\tau}{ }^{-1}(i+1)}-x_{\tau-1}(i) \quad=0
$$

where $L(P)$ denotes the set of linear extensions of $P$.

Proof: First assume that $P$ is the disjoint union of two linearly ordered components. Let $v$ be an arbitrary linear extension of $P$ and let

$$
f=\left(\prod_{j<k}\left(x_{v^{-1}(j)}-x_{v^{-1}(k)}\right)\right) \cdot \sum_{\tau \in L(P)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{x_{\tau}{ }^{-1}(i+1)}-x_{\tau^{-1}(i)} .
$$

Then, if $f \neq 0, f$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n(n-1) / 2-(m-1)$. If $p$ and $q$ belong to the same component but are not adjacent in the ordering, then $\left(x_{p}-x_{q}\right)$ divides $f$, since $|\tau(p)-\tau(q)| \geq 2$ for $\tau \in L(P)$. If $p$ and $q$ belong to different components, then for any $\tau \in L(P)$ with $\tau(p)-\tau(q)=1$ we can find $\tau^{\prime} \in L(P)$ with $\tau^{\prime}(q)-\tau^{\prime}(p)=1$ by letting $\tau^{\prime}(p)=\tau(q), \tau^{\prime}(q)=\tau(p)$, and $\tau^{\prime}=\tau$ otherwise. The contributions of $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ to $f$ are identical except that the variables $x_{p}$ and $x_{q}$ have been switched. Thus, their sum is divisible by $x_{p}-x_{q}$, and in this case as well $\left(x_{p}-x_{q}\right)$ divides $f$. So $n(n-1) / 2-(m-2)$ irreducible factors of $f$ have been identified, and $f$ must be 0 .

In the general case, if $P$ is the disjoint union of posets $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, a linear extension of $P$ induces linear extensions of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. If we consider all of the extensions of $P$ that induce a particular pair of extensions of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, we have the situation of the preceding paragraph, and the sum over these extensions is 0 . Thus, the entire sum is 0 .

The sum in Lemma 3.6 also appears in [2], where Greene uses it to derive the MurnaghanNakayama Rule for evaluating the characters of $S_{n}$. He proves the above identity in the case of disconnected planar posets as well as finding an identity for the sum when $P$ is a connected planar poset.

Theorem 3.7 If $g$ does not have cycle type $\left(h_{11}, h_{22}, \ldots, h_{d(\lambda), d(\lambda)}\right)$, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=0$.
Proof: We assume that $g$ consists of $d(\lambda)$ cycles of odd length but does not have cycle type $\left(h_{11}, h_{22}, \ldots, h_{d(\lambda), d(\lambda)}\right)$. Among the transposable tableaux of shape $\lambda$, each main diagonal entry belongs to a distinct cycle of $g$, by a counting argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $T$ be such a tableau. At least one cycle of $g$ must intersect more than one of the hooks $H_{i i}$ of $T$. Let $g_{i}$ be the cycle of $g$ containing $T(i, i)$, and let $g_{T}=g_{j}$, where $j$ is minimal so that $g_{j}$ intersects more than one of the hooks $H_{i i}$. Let $\lambda_{T}$ be the skew-diagram $T^{-1}\left(g_{T}\right)$. An equivalence relation on the transposable tableaux can now be defined as follows: $T \sim U$ iff

- $\lambda_{T}=\lambda_{U}$ (implying $g_{T}=g_{U}$ ).
- For all $(i, j) \in \lambda_{T}=\lambda_{U}, T(i, j)>T(j, i)$ iff $U(i, j)>U(j, i)$.
- $T=U$ on $\lambda-\lambda_{T}$.

We claim that $\operatorname{sgn}(T)=\operatorname{sgn}(U)$ if $T \sim U$. First, assume the main diagonals of $T$ and $U$ are the same. Then $a$ and $a+1$ occupy positions symmetric across the main diagonal of $T$ if and only if the same is true for $U$. So $U$ can be obtained from $T$ by a sequence of pairs of transpositions of the form $(a, b)(a+1, b+1)$, which preserve the required order relations.

Now assume that the main diagonals of $T$ and $U$ are different. Since $\lambda_{T}=\lambda_{U}$ contains only one point on the main diagonal, there is a unique $j$ such that $T(j, j) \neq U(j, j)$. Assume that $a<b$, where $T(j, j)=a$ and $U(j, j)=b$. Since $T$ and $U$ are transposable, $b-a$ is even. In $U, b-2$ and $b-1$ must occupy positions symmetric across the main diagonal; by replacing $b$ with $b-2, b-2$ with $b-1$, and $b-1$ with $b$, we obtain a new tableau $U^{\prime}$ with $b-2$ on its main diagonal. Clearly, $\operatorname{sgn}(U)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left|U^{\prime}(i, j)-U^{\prime}(j, i)\right|=1$ for $i \neq j$. Also, $U^{\prime}(i, j)<U^{\prime}(j, i)$ if and only if $U(i, j)<U(j, i)$. We can proceed in a similar manner, acting on $U^{\prime}$ with a product of 3 -cycles, until we have produced a tableau with $a$ on its main diagonal. We can then argue as in the previous paragraph, so $\operatorname{sgn}(T)=\operatorname{sgn}(U)$.

For an equivalence class $B$ of the given relation, define a poset $P_{B}$ as follows. Let $T \in B$. As a set, $P_{B}$ consists of all points $(i, j) \in \lambda_{T}$ such that $i=j$ or $T(i, j)>T(j, i)$. We say that $(i, j) \leq\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ in $P_{B}$ iff $i \leq i^{\prime}$ and $j \leq j^{\prime}$, or $i \leq j^{\prime}$ and $j \leq i^{\prime}$. Label the elements of $P_{B}$ (in any fashion) as $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$; if $p_{k}=(i, j)$ and $i \neq j$, let $p_{k}^{t}=(j, i)$ (which is not in $\left.P_{B}\right)$. We claim that there is a bijection from $L\left(P_{B}\right)$, the set of linear extensions of $B$, to $B$. If $\tau$ is a linear extension of $P_{B}$, a unique tableau $T$ is determined by the conditions

- $T\left(\tau^{-1}(i)\right)<T\left(\tau^{-1}(j)\right)$ for all $i<j$,
- $T\left(p_{i}^{t}\right)=T\left(p_{i}\right)-1$ for all $i$ for which $p_{i}^{t}$ is defined, and
- $T$ agrees with the tableaux in $B$ on $\lambda-\lambda_{T}$.

Since $T\left(p_{i}^{t}\right)$ and $T\left(p_{i}\right)$ are consecutive integers for all $i$, the relations inherited from $P_{B}$ are enough to guarantee that $T$ is standard, so $T \in B$.

Conversely, if $T \in B$, the integers $T\left(p_{i}\right)$ can be put in increasing order $T\left(p_{j_{i}}\right), \ldots$, $T\left(p_{j_{m}}\right)$, and we can define $\tau: P_{B} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, m\}$ by $\tau\left(p_{j_{i}}\right)=i$. Since $T$ is standard and transposable, $\tau$ preserves the relations of $P_{B}$, so $\tau$ is a linear extension. The two maps we have defined are clearly inverses, so the bijection is established.

The only factors in the computation of $\rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}$ that differ as $T$ varies over $B$ are those corresponding to the transpositions $\sigma_{T\left(p_{4}\right)}$. The product of these factors for $T \in B$ corresponding to the linear extension $\tau$ is

$$
\frac{1}{\left(c\left(\tau^{-1}(2)\right)-c\left(\tau^{-1}(1)\right)\right) \cdots\left(c\left(\tau^{-1}(m)\right)-c\left(\tau^{-1}(m-1)\right)\right)}
$$

Setting $x_{p_{j}}=c\left(p_{j}\right)$, Lemma 3.6 can be applied if $P_{B}$ is disconnected. Assume that $P_{B}$ contains ( $k, k$ ). Now $P_{B}$ cannot intersect a hook $H_{k^{\prime} k^{\prime}}$ with $k^{\prime}>k$, since, for any $p_{j}$ in the intersection, we would have $T(k, k)<T\left(k^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) \leq T\left(p_{j}\right)$ for all $T \in B$. Thus, $P_{B}$ would contain a second main diagonal point, namely ( $k^{\prime}, k^{\prime}$ ), and this is a contradiction. Since $P_{B}$ is not contained entirely within $H_{k k}$, it must intersect some $H_{k^{\prime} k^{\prime}}$ with $k^{\prime}<k$. We claim that the intersection of $P_{B}$ with $H_{k k}$ is not connected to the rest of $P_{B}$. Otherwise, we could choose $(i, j),(k, l) \in \lambda_{T}(T \in B)$ such that $(i, j) \in H_{l i},(k, l) \in H_{k k}, i<k$, and $j \leq l$. If $i \leq i^{\prime} \leq k$ and $j \leq j^{\prime} \leq l$, then $T(i, j)<T\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)<T(k, l)$ for $T \in B$, so $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \lambda_{T}$. Thus, $\lambda_{T}$ contains at least $2(l-k)+3$ points: it contains all points on the hook $H_{k k}$ from $(l, k)$ to $(k, k)$ to $(k, l)$, and also must contain the points $(k-1, l)$ and $(l, k-1)$. However, the cycle $g_{k-1}$, which contains $T(k-1, k-1)$ and lies entirely within $H_{k-1, k-1}$, would then have to be contained in the $2(l-k)+1$ points on $H_{k-1, k-1}$ from $(l-1, k-1)$ to $(k-1, k-1)$ to $(k-1, l-1)$. Since the lengths of the cycles are nonincreasing, this is a contradiction.

Now, by Lemma 3.6,

$$
\sum_{\tau \in L\left(P_{B}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(x_{\tau^{-1}(2)}-x_{\tau^{-1}(1)}\right) \cdots\left(x_{\tau^{-1}(m)}-x_{\tau^{-1}(m-1)}\right)}=0 .
$$

Since $\operatorname{sgn}(T)$ is constant for $T \in B$, the contributions of the tableaux in $B$ to $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)$ sum to 0 . Thus, $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=0$.

The remaining case is covered by the following.
Theorem 3.8 Assume that $g$ has cycle-type $\left(h_{11}, h_{22}, \ldots, h_{d(\lambda), d(\lambda)}\right)$, and let $k_{i}=$ $\left(h_{i i}-1\right) / 2$. Then $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)= \pm i^{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{d(\lambda)}} \sqrt{h_{11} \cdots h_{d(\lambda), d(\lambda)}}$, the sign depending on the choice of $S$.

Proof: First, assume that $\lambda$ is contained in the hook $H_{11}$. The proof of this case will be by induction. If $k_{1}=0$, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)=1$. If $T$ is a transposable tableau consisting of a single hook of length $2 l-1$, then $T$ is a subtableau of exactly two transposable tableaux $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ consisting of single hooks of length $2 l+1$. We can assume that $T_{1}(l+1,1)=T_{2}(1, l+1)=2 l$, and $T_{1}(1, l+1)=T_{2}(l+1,1)=2 l+1$. We can also
assume that $\operatorname{sgn}\left(T_{1}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(T)$. If $T(1, l)=2 l-1$, then we do the following calculation (in which $\rho_{\lambda}$ and $g$ are ambiguous but can be determined by their context):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sgn}\left(T_{1}\right) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{1}}+\operatorname{sgn}\left(T_{2}\right) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T_{2}^{\prime}, T_{2}} \\
& \quad=\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4 l^{2}}} \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}+\frac{1}{2 l-1} \cdot \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4 l^{2}}} \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T} \\
& \quad=\sqrt{\frac{2 l+1}{2 l-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $T(l, 1)=2 l-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sgn}\left(T_{1}\right) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{1}}+\operatorname{sgn}\left(T_{2}\right) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T_{2}^{\prime}, T_{2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 l-1} \cdot \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4 l^{2}}} \operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}+\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4 l^{2}}} \operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T} \\
& \quad=\sqrt{\frac{2 l+1}{2 l-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(T) \rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $-i \sqrt{(2 l+1) /(2 l-1)} \cdot i^{l-1} \sqrt{2 l-1}=-i^{l} \sqrt{2 l+1}$, the result follows.
Now consider an arbitrary self-conjugate $\lambda$. If $T$ is transposable and has shape $\lambda, g$ can be written as a product of transpositions $\sigma_{j}$ with $j$ and $j+1$ in the same hook $H_{k k}$. Each such $\sigma_{j}$ corresponds to a factor in the computation of $\rho_{\lambda}(g)_{T^{\prime}, T}$. Thus, the factors can be grouped by hooks, and $\operatorname{tr}\left(S \rho_{\lambda}(g)\right)$ is (up to a sign change) simply the product $\left(i^{k_{1}} \sqrt{h_{11}}\right) \cdots\left(i^{k_{d(\lambda)}} \sqrt{h_{d(\lambda), d(\lambda)}}\right)$.

This is the most difficult step in the construction of the character table of $A_{n}$ from that of $S_{n}$, since the other characters can be found by restriction. It should be noted that the methods of this paper could be used in conjunction with the orthogonality relations for characters, rendering some of the calculations unnecessary. In particular, the relations can be used to deduce Theorem 3.7 from Theorem 3.8, and vice versa.
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