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#### Abstract

A pleasant family of graphs defined by Godsil and McKay is shown to have easily computed eigenvalues in many cases.
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Let $G$ and $H$ be directed graphs on the respective vertices $U$ and $V$, and suppose that the vertex sets have each been partitioned into disjoint subsets $U=U_{0} \cup U_{1}$ and $V=V_{0} \cup V_{1}$. The partitioned tensor product $G \times H$ of $G$ and $H$ with respect to this partitioning is defined as follows:
a) Each vertex of $U_{0}$ is replaced by a copy of $H \mid V_{0}$, the subgraph of $H$ induced by $V_{0}$;
b) Each vertex of $U_{1}$ is replaced by a copy of $H \mid V_{1}$;
c) Each arc of $G$ that runs from $U_{0}$ to $U_{1}$ is replaced by a copy of the arcs of $H$ that run from $V_{0}$ to $V_{1}$;
d) Each arc of $G$ that runs from $U_{1}$ to $U_{0}$ is replaced by a copy of the arcs of $H$ that run from $V_{1}$ to $V_{0}$.

For example, Figure 1 shows two partitioned tensor products. The example in Figure 1(b) is undirected; this is the special case of a directed graph where each undirected edge corresponds to a pair of arcs in opposite directions. Arcs of $G$ that stay within $U_{0}$ or $U_{1}$ do not contribute to $G \times H$, so we may assume that no such arcs exist (i.e., that $G$ is bipartite).

Figure 2 shows what happens if we interchange the roles of $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ in $G$ but leave everything else intact. (Equivalently, we could interchange the roles of $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$.) These graphs, which may be denoted $G^{R} \times H$ to distinguish them from the graphs $G \times H$ in Figure 1, might look quite different from their mates, yet it turns out that the characteristic polynomials of $G \times H$ and $G^{R} \subseteq H$ are strongly related.

Let $E_{i j}$ be the arcs from $U_{i}$ to $U_{j}$ in $G$, and $F_{i j}$ the arcs from $V_{i}$ to $V_{j}$ in $H$; multiple arcs are allowed, so $E_{i j}$ and $F_{i j}$ are multisets. It follows that $G \times H$ has $\left|U_{0}\right|\left|V_{0}\right|+\left|U_{1}\right|\left|V_{1}\right|$ vertices and $\left|U_{0}\right|\left|F_{00}\right|+\left|U_{1}\right|\left|F_{11}\right|+\left|E_{01}\right|\left|F_{01}\right|+\left|E_{10}\right|\left|\overline{F_{10}}\right|$ arcs. Similarly, $G^{R} \times H$ has $\left|U_{1}\right|\left|V_{0}\right|+\left|U_{0}\right|\left|V_{1}\right|$ vertices and $\left|U_{1}\right|\left|F_{00}\right|+\left|U_{0}\right|\left|F_{11}\right|+\left|E_{10}\right|\left|F_{01}\right|+\left|E_{01}\right|\left|F_{10}\right|$ arcs.


Figure 1. Partitioned tensor products, directed and undirected.

(a)

(b)

Figure 2. Dual products after right-left reflection of $G$.

The definition of partitioned tensor product is due to Godsil and McKay [3], who proved the remarkable fact that

$$
p(G \times H) p\left(H \mid V_{0}\right)^{\left|U_{1}\right|-\left|U_{0}\right|}=p\left(G^{T} \times H\right) p\left(H \mid V_{1}\right)^{\left|U_{1}\right|-\left|U_{0}\right|}
$$

where $p$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of a graph. They also observed [4] that Figures 1(b) and 2(b) represent the smallest pair of connected undirected graphs having the same spectrum (the same $p$ ). The purpose of the present note is to refine their results by showing how to calculate $p(G \times H)$ explicitly in terms of $G$ and $H$.

We can use the symbols $G$ and $H$ to stand for the adjacency matrices as well as for the graphs themselves. Thus we have

$$
G=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
G_{00} & G_{01} \\
G_{10} & G_{11}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
H_{00} & H_{01} \\
H_{10} & H_{11}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in partitioned form, where $G_{i j}$ and $H_{i j}$ denote the respective adjacency matrices corresponding to the arcs $E_{i j}$ and $F_{i j}$. (These submatrices are not necessarily square; $G_{i j}$ has size $\left|U_{i}\right| \times\left|U_{j}\right|$ and $H_{i j}$ has size $\left|V_{i}\right| \times\left|V_{j}\right|$.) It follows by definition that

$$
G \underline{\times} H=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{\left|U_{0}\right|} \otimes H_{00} & G_{01} \otimes H_{01} \\
G_{10} \otimes H_{10} & I_{\left|U_{1}\right|} \otimes H_{11}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product or tensor product [7, page 8] and $I_{k}$ denotes an identity matrix of size $k \times k$.

Let $H \uparrow \sigma$ denote the graph obtained from $H$ by $\sigma$-fold repetition of each arc that joins $V_{0}$ to $V_{1}$. In matrix form

$$
H \uparrow \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{00} & \sigma H_{01} \\
\sigma H_{10} & H_{11}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This definition applies to the adjacency matrix when $\sigma$ is any complex number, but of course $H \uparrow \sigma$ is difficult to "draw" unless $\sigma$ is a nonnegative integer. We will show that the characteristic polynomial of $G \times H$ factors into characteristic polynomials of graphs $H \uparrow \sigma$, times a power of the characteristic polynomials of $H_{00}$ or $H_{11}$. The proof is simplest when $G$ is undirected.

Theorem 1 Let $G$ be an undirected graph, and let $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}\right)$ be the singular values of $G_{01}=G_{10}^{T}$, where $l=\min \left(\left|U_{0}\right|,\left|U_{1}\right|\right)$. Then

$$
p(G \times H)= \begin{cases}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{l} p\left(H \uparrow \sigma_{j}\right)\right) p\left(H_{00}\right)^{\left|U_{0}\right|-\left|U_{1}\right|}, & \text { if }\left|U_{0}\right| \geq\left|U_{1}\right| ; \\ \left(\prod_{j=1}^{l} p\left(H \uparrow \sigma_{j}\right)\right) p\left(H_{11}\right)^{\left|U_{1}\right|-\left|U_{0}\right|}, & \text { if }\left|U_{1}\right| \geq\left|U_{0}\right| .\end{cases}
$$

Proof: Any real $m \times n$ matrix $A$ has a singular value decomposition

$$
A=Q S R^{T}
$$

where $Q$ is an $m \times m$ orthogonal matrix, $R$ is an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix, and $S$ is an $m \times n$ matrix with $S_{j j}=\sigma_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \min (m, n)$ and $S_{i j}=0$ for $i \neq j$ [6, page 16]. The numbers $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{\min (m, n)}$ are called the singular values of $A$.

Let $m=\left|U_{0}\right|$ and $n=\left|U_{1}\right|$, and suppose that $Q S R^{T}$ is the singular value decomposition of $G_{01}$. Then $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}\right)$ are the nonnegative eigenvalues of the bipartite graph $G$, and we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q^{T} \otimes I_{\left|V_{0}\right|} & O \\
O & R^{T} \otimes I_{\left|V_{1}\right|}
\end{array}\right) G \times H\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q \otimes I_{\left|V_{0}\right|} & O \\
O & R \otimes I_{\left|V_{1}\right|}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\left|U_{0}\right|} \otimes H_{00} & S \otimes H_{01} \\
S^{T} \otimes H_{10} & I_{\left|U_{1}\right|} \otimes H_{11}
\end{array}\right)
$$

because $G_{10}=R S^{T} Q^{T}$. Row and column permutations of this matrix transform it into the block diagonal form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
H \uparrow \sigma_{1} & & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & & H \uparrow \sigma_{l} & \\
& & & & \\
& & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $D$ consists of $m-n$ copies of $H_{00}$ if $m \geq n$, or $n-m$ copies of $H_{11}$ if $n \geq m$.
A similar result holds when $G$ is directed, but we cannot use the singular value decomposition because the eigenvalues of $G$ might not be real and the elementary divisors of $\lambda I-G$ might not be linear. The following lemma can be used in place of the singular value decomposition in such cases.

Lemma Let $A$ and $B$ be arbitrary matrices of complex numbers, where $A$ is $m \times n$ and $B$ is $n \times m$. Then we can write

$$
A=Q S R^{-1}, \quad B=R T Q^{-1}
$$

where $Q$ is a nonsingular $m \times m$ matrix, $R$ is a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix, $S$ is an $m \times n$ matrix, $T$ is an $n \times m$ matrix, and the matrices $(S, T)$ are triangular with consistent diagonals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{i j}=T_{i j}=0 \quad \text { for } i>j ; \\
& S_{j j}=T_{j j} \quad \text { or } \quad S_{j j} T_{j j}=0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq \min (m, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: We may assume that $m \leq n$. If $A B$ has a nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda$, let $\sigma$ be any square root of $\lambda$ and let $x$ be a nonzero $m$-vector such that $A B x=\sigma^{2} x$. Then the $n$-vector $y=B x / \sigma$ is nonzero, and we have

$$
A y=\sigma x, \quad B x=\sigma y .
$$

On the other hand, if all eigenvalues of $A B$ are zero, let $x$ be a nonzero vector such that $A B x=0$. Then if $B x \neq 0$, let $y=B x$. If $B x=0$, let $y$ be any nonzero vector such that
$A y=0$; this is possible unless all $n$ columns of $A$ are linearly independent, in which case we must have $m=n$ and we can find $y$ such that $A y=x$. In all cases we have therefore demonstrated the existence of nonzero vectors $x$ and $y$ such that

$$
A y=\sigma x, \quad B x=\tau y, \quad \sigma=\tau \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma \tau=0
$$

Let $X$ be a nonsingular $m \times m$ matrix whose first column is $x$, and let $Y$ be a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix whose first column is $y$. Then

$$
X^{-1} A Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma & a \\
0 & A_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad Y^{-1} B X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tau & b \\
0 & B_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1}$ is $(m-1) \times(n-1)$ and $B_{1}$ is $(n-1) \times(m-1)$. If $m=1$, let $Q=X, R=Y$, $S=(\sigma a)$, and $T=\binom{\tau}{0}$. Otherwise we have $A_{1}=Q_{1} S_{1} R_{1}^{-1}$ and $B_{1}=R_{1} T_{1} Q_{1}^{-1}$ by induction, and we can let

$$
Q=X\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & Q_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad R=Y\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & R_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma & a R_{1} \\
0 & S_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tau & B Q_{1} \\
0 & T_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

All conditions are now fulfilled.

Theorem 2 Let $G$ be an arbitrary graph, and let $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}\right)$ be such that $\sigma_{j}=S_{j j}=T_{j j}$ or $\sigma_{j}=0=S_{j j} T_{j j}$ when $G_{01}=Q S R^{-1}$ and $G_{10}=R T Q^{-1}$ as in the lemma, where $l=\min \left(\left|U_{0}\right|,\left|U_{1}\right|\right)$. Then $p(G \times H)$ satisfies the identities of Theorem 1 .

Proof: Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q^{-1} \otimes I_{\left|V_{0}\right|} & O \\
O & R^{-1} \otimes I_{\left|V_{1}\right|}
\end{array}\right) G \underline{\times} H\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q \otimes I_{\left|V_{0}\right|} & O \\
O & R \otimes I_{\left|V_{1}\right|}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\left|U_{0}\right|} \otimes H_{00} & S \otimes H_{01} \\
T \otimes H_{10} & I_{\left|U_{1}\right|} \otimes H_{11}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This time a row and column permutation converts the right-hand matrix to a block triangular form, with zeroes below the diagonal blocks. Each block on the diagonal is either $H \uparrow \sigma_{j}$ or $H_{00}$ or $H_{11}$, or of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{00} & \sigma H_{01} \\
\tau H_{10} & H_{11}
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma \tau=0 .
$$

In the latter case the characteristic polynomial is clearly $p\left(H_{00}\right) p\left(H_{11}\right)=p(H \uparrow 0)$, so the remainder of the proof of Theorem 1 carries over in general.

The proof of the lemma shows that the numbers $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{p}^{2}$ are the characteristic roots of $G_{01} G_{10}$, when $\left|U_{0}\right| \leq\left|U_{1}\right|$, otherwise they are the characteristic roots of $G_{10} G_{01}$. Either square root of $\sigma_{j}^{2}$ can be chosen, since the matrix $H \uparrow \sigma$ is similar to $H \uparrow(-\sigma)$.

We have now reduced the problem of computing $p(G \times H)$ to the problem of computing the characteristic polynomial of the graphs $H \uparrow \sigma$. The latter is easy when $\sigma=0$, and
some graphs $G$ have only a few nonzero singular values. For example, if $G$ is the complete bipartite graph having parts $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ of sizes $m$ and $n$, all singular values vanish except for $\sigma=\sqrt{m n}$.

If $H$ is small, and if only a few nonzero $\sigma$ need to be considered, the computation of $p(H \uparrow \sigma)$ can be carried out directly. For example, it turns out that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\lambda & -1 & -\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & -\sigma \\
-\sigma & 0 & \lambda & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & \lambda & -1 \\
0 & -\sigma & 0 & -1 & \lambda
\end{array}\right)=\left(\lambda^{2}+\lambda-\sigma^{2}\right)\left(\lambda^{3}-\lambda^{2}-\left(2+\sigma^{2}\right) \lambda+2\right) ;
$$

so we can compute the spectrum of $G \underline{\times} H$ by solving a few quadratic and cubic equations, when $H$ is this particular 5-vertex graph (a partitioned 5-cycle). But it is interesting to look for large families of graphs for which simple formulas yield $p(H \uparrow \sigma)$ as a function of $\sigma$.

One such family consists of graphs that have only one edge crossing the partition. Let $H_{00}$ and $H_{11}$ be graphs on $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$, and form the graph $H=H_{00} \bullet H_{11}$ by adding a single edge between designated vertices $x_{0} \in V_{0}$ and $x_{1} \in V_{1}$. Then a glance at the adjacency matrix of $H$ shows that

$$
p(H \uparrow \sigma)=p\left(H_{00}\right) p\left(H_{11}\right)-\sigma^{2} p\left(H_{00} \mid V_{0} \backslash x_{0}\right) p\left(H_{11} \mid V_{1} \backslash x_{1}\right) .
$$

(The special case $\sigma=1$ of this formula is Theorem 4.2(ii) of [5].)
Another case where $p(H \uparrow \sigma)$ has a simple form arises when the matrices

$$
H_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{00} & 0 \\
0 & H_{11}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & H_{01} \\
H_{10} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

commute with each other. Then it is well known [2] that the eigenvalues of $H_{0}+\sigma H_{1}$ are $\lambda_{j}+\sigma \mu_{j}$, for some ordering of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ of $H_{0}$ and $\mu_{j}$ of $H_{1}$. Let us say that ( $V_{0}, V_{1}$ ) is a compatible partition of $H$ if $H_{0} H_{1}=H_{1} H_{0}$, i.e., if

$$
H_{00} H_{01}=H_{01} H_{11} \quad \text { and } \quad H_{11} H_{10}=H_{10} H_{00}
$$

When $H$ is undirected, so that $H_{00}=H_{00}^{T}$ and $H_{11}=H_{11}^{T}$ and $H_{10}=H_{01}^{T}$, the compatibility condition boils down to the single relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{00} H_{01}=H_{01} H_{11} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m=\left|V_{0}\right|$ and $n=\left|V_{1}\right|$, so that $H_{00}$ is $m \times m, H_{01}$ is $m \times n$, and $H_{11}$ is $n \times n$. One obvious way to satisfy $(*)$ is to let $H_{00}$ and $H_{11}$ both be zero, so that $H$ is bipartite as well as $G$. Then $H \uparrow \sigma$ is simply $\sigma H$, the $\sigma$-fold repetition of the arcs of $H$, and its eigenvalues are just those of $H$ multiplied by $\sigma$. For example, if $G$ is the $M$-cube $P_{2}^{M}$ and $H$ is a path $P_{N}$ on $N$ points, and if $U_{0}$ consists of the vertices of even parity in $G$ while $V_{0}$ is one


Figure 3. $\quad P_{2}^{3} \times P_{3}$.
of $H$ 's bipartite parts, the characteristic polynomial of $G \times H$ is

$$
\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq M \\ 1 \leq k \leq N}}\left(\lambda-(2 N-4 j) \cos \frac{k \pi}{N+1}\right)^{\binom{M}{j} / 2}
$$

because of the well-known eigenvalues of $G$ and $H$ [1]. Figure 3 illustrates this construction in the special case $M=N=3$. The smallest pair of cospectral graphs, $X$ and $\boxminus$, is obtained in a similar way by considering the eigenvalues of $P_{3} \times P_{3}$ and $P_{3}^{T} \times P_{3}$ [4].

Another simple way to satisfy the compatibility condition $(*)$ with symmetric matrices $H_{00}$ and $H_{11}$ is to let $H_{01}$ consist entirely of 1 s , and to let $H_{00}$ and $H_{11}$ both be regular graphs of the same degree $d$. Then the eigenvalues of $H_{0}$ are $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ belong to $H_{00}$ and $\left(\lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ belong to $H_{11}$ and $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=d$. The eigenvalues of $H_{1}$ are $(\sqrt{m n},-\sqrt{m n}, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We can match the eigenvalues of $H_{0}$ properly with those of $H_{1}$ by looking at the common eigenvectors $(1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$ and $(1, \ldots, 1,-1, \ldots,-1)^{T}$ that correspond to $d$ in $H_{0}$ and $\pm \sqrt{m n}$ in $H_{1}$; the eigenvalues of $H \uparrow \sigma$ are therefore

$$
\left(d+\sigma \sqrt{m n}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}, d-\sigma \sqrt{m n}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Yet another easy way to satisfy $(*)$ is to assume that $m=n$ and to let $H_{00}=H_{11}$ commute with $H_{01}$. One general construction of this kind arises when the vertices of $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$ are the elements of a group, and when $H_{00}=H_{11}$ is a Cayley graph on that group. In other words, two elements $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are adjacent in $H_{00}$ iff $\alpha \beta^{-1} \in X$, where $X$ is an arbitrary set of group elements closed under inverses. And we can let $\alpha \in V_{0}$ be adjacent to $\beta \in V_{1}$ iff $\alpha \beta^{-1} \in Y$, where $Y$ is any normal subgroup. Then $H_{00}$ commutes with $H_{01}$. The effect is to make the cosets of $Y$ fully interconnected between $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$, while retaining a more interesting Cayley graph structure inside $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$. If $Y$ is the trivial subgroup, so that $H_{01}$ is simply the identity matrix, our partitioned tensor product $G \times H$ becomes simply the ordinary Cartesian product $G \oplus H=I_{|U|} \otimes H+G \otimes I_{|V|}$. But in many other cases this construction gives something more general.

A fourth family of compatible partitions is illustrated by the following graph $H$ in which $m=6$ and $n=12$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In general, let $C_{2 k}$ be the matrix of a cyclic permutation on $2 k$ elements, and let $m=2 k$, $n=4 k$. Then we obtain a compatible partition if

$$
H_{00}=\left(C_{2 k}^{j}+C_{2 k}^{k}+C_{2 k}^{-j}\right), \quad H_{01}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{2 k} & C_{2 k}
\end{array}\right), \quad H_{11}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{2 k}^{j}+C_{2 k}^{-j} & C_{2 k}^{k+1} \\
C_{2 k}^{k-1} & C_{2 k}^{j}+C_{2 k}^{-j}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The $18 \times 18$ example matrix is the special case $j=2, k=3$. The eigenvalues of $H \uparrow \sigma$ in general are

$$
\omega^{j l}+\omega^{-j l}+1, \quad \omega^{j l}+\omega^{-j l}-1+\sqrt{2} \sigma, \quad \omega^{j l}+\omega^{-j l}-1-\sqrt{2} \sigma
$$

for $0 \leq l<2 k$, where $\omega=e^{\pi i / k}$.
Compatible partitionings of digraphs are not difficult to construct. But it would be interesting to find further examples of undirected graphs, without multiple edges, that have a compatible partition.
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