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#### Abstract

Let $\Phi$ be an irreducible crystallographic root system in a Euclidean space $V$, with $\Phi^{+}$the set of positive roots. For $\alpha \in \Phi, k \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, let $H(\alpha, k)$ be the hyperplane $\{v \in V:\langle\alpha, v\rangle=k\}$. We define a set of hyperplanes $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H(\delta, 1): \delta \in \Phi^{+}\right\} \cup\left\{H(\delta, 0): \delta \in \Phi^{+}\right\}$. This hyperplane arrangement is significant in the study of the affine Weyl groups. In this paper it is shown that the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{H}$ is $(1+h t)^{n}$, where $n$ is the rank of $\Phi$ and $h$ is the Coxeter number of the finite Coxeter group corresponding to $\Phi$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Phi$ be an irreducible crystallographic root system in a Euclidean space $V$, with $\Phi^{+}$the set of positive roots. For $\alpha \in \Phi, k \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, let $H(\alpha, k)$ be the hyperplane $\{v \in V:\langle\alpha, v\rangle=k\}$. We define a set of hyperplanes $\mathcal{H}=\left\{H(\delta, 1): \delta \in \Phi^{+}\right\} \cup\left\{H(\delta, 0): \delta \in \Phi^{+}\right\}$. We will refer to $\mathcal{H}$ as the sandwich arrangement of hyperplanes associated to $\Phi$. This set of hyperplanes has appeared in at least two areas of the study of the affine Weyl groups: the Kazhdan-Lusztig representation theory as it applies to these groups [7], and the study of the properties of the language of reduced expressions [3]. In [8] Shi proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 The number of connected components of $V-\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ is $(h+1)^{n}$, where $n$ is the rank of $\Phi$, and $h$ is the Coxeter number of the associated finite Coxeter group.

The purpose of this paper is, in some sense, to generalize this result by determining the Poincaré polynomial $P(\mathcal{H}, t)$ of $\mathcal{H}$. The number of connected components of $V-\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$, and the number of these components that are bounded, can both be read off easily from $P(\mathcal{H}, t)$. The Poincaré polynomial has other connections to combinatorial and algebraic properties of $\mathcal{H}$; a good reference is [6].

## 2. The Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{H}$

The intersection poset $L(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is the set of nonempty intersections of elements of $\mathcal{H}$, partially ordered by reverse inclusion. This poset is ranked by codimension, with $V$ the unique element having rank 0 . Writing $\mu(x)$ for $\mu(V, x)$, we define the Poincaré polynomial
of $\mathcal{H}$ to be

$$
P(\mathcal{H}, t)=\sum_{x \in L(\mathcal{H})} \mu(x)(-t)^{\mathrm{rk}(x)}
$$

Theorem 2.1 ([6] (2.3), [9]) For any set $\mathcal{H}$ of hyperplanes in a real Euclidean space $V$ the number of connected components of $V-\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ is equal to $P(\mathcal{H}, 1)$. The number of bounded connected components is $|P(\mathcal{H},-1)|$.

To proceed to evaluate the Poincaré polynomials for the sandwich arrangement, we need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2.2 ([6] (2.3)) If $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1} \cup \mathcal{A}_{2}$ is a hyperplane arrangement, and $H_{1} \perp H_{2}$ for all $H_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, H_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}$, then

$$
P(\mathcal{A}, t)=P\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, t\right) P\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}, t\right)
$$

Let $\Phi$ be a root system, and let $\mathcal{H}$ be the associated sandwich arrangement. Let $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ be the subarrangement of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of the hyperplanes that contain the origin of $V$. For $Y \in L\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$, let $W_{Y}$ be the group generated by the reflections through all hyperplanes containing $Y$. This is a Coxeter group [5].

Lemma 2.3 For $Y \in L\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$, let $W_{Y, 1} \times \cdots \times W_{Y, m}$ be the decomposition of $W_{Y}$ into irreducible Coxeter groups. Let $\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, i}\right)$ be the sandwich arrangement associated to the Coxeter group $W_{Y, i}$. Then

$$
\left[t^{l}\right] P(\mathcal{H}, t)=\left[t^{l}\right] \sum_{Y \in L\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right): \mathrm{rk}(Y)=l} P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, 1}\right), t\right) \cdots P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, m}, t\right)\right) .
$$

Proof: For any $X \in L(\mathcal{H})$, let $X_{0}$ be the unique translate of $X$ that passes through the origin. Since the hyperplanes that intersect to form $X$ all have translates in $\mathcal{H}_{0}, X_{0} \in L\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$. For $Y \in L\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ with $\operatorname{rk}(Y)=l$, let $\mathcal{H}_{Y}=\left\{H \in \mathcal{H}: H_{0} \supseteq Y\right\}$. By the decomposition of the Coxeter group $W_{Y}$ and by the previous lemma, $P\left(\mathcal{H}_{Y}, t\right)=P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, 1}\right), t\right) \cdots$ $P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, m}\right), t\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[t^{l}\right] P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, 1}\right), t\right) \cdots P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, m}\right), t\right) } & =\sum_{X \in L\left(\mathcal{H}_{Y}\right): \mathrm{rk}(X)=l}(-1)^{l} \mu(X) \\
& =\sum_{X \in L(\mathcal{H}): X_{0}=Y}(-1)^{l} \mu(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[t^{l}\right] } & \sum_{Y \in L(\mathcal{H} 0): \mathrm{rk}(Y)=l} P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, 1}\right), t\right) \cdots P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(W_{Y, m}\right), t\right) \\
& =\sum_{Y \in L(\mathcal{H} 0): \mathrm{rk}(Y)=l} \sum_{X \in L(\mathcal{H}): X_{0}=Y}(-1)^{l} \mu(X) \\
& =\sum_{X \in L(\mathcal{H}): \mathrm{rk}(X)=l}(-1)^{l} \mu(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.4 Let $\Phi$ be an irreducible crystallographic root system, $W$ the associated finite group, and $\mathcal{H}$ the associated sandwich arrangement. We have

$$
P(\mathcal{H}, t)=(1+h t)^{n},
$$

where $h$ is the Coxeter number and $n$ is the rank of the associated finite Coxeter group $W$.

We prove the theorem by induction on the number of generators, using the previous lemma. We will determine every coefficient of $P(\mathcal{H}, t)$ except that of $t^{n}$. Since we know $P(\mathcal{H}, 1)$ from Theorem 1.1, this will determine the polynomial. The analysis will be done case-bycase.
$A_{n}$ : There is a bijection between $L\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ and the partitions of $[n+1]$. It is given by matching the partition $B=\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}\right)$ with

$$
Y=\cap\left\{x_{i}-x_{j}=0: i, j \text { are in the same block of } B\right\} .
$$

The Coxeter group $W_{Y}$ is isomorphic to $A_{\left|B_{1}\right|-1} \times \cdots \times A_{\left|B_{m}\right|-1}$, and $\operatorname{rk}(Y)=n+1-m$. By Lemma 2.3, for $l<n$ we have

$$
\left[t^{l}\right] P(\mathcal{H}, t)=\sum\left|B_{1}\right|^{\left|B_{1}\right|-1} \cdots\left|B_{n+1-l}\right|^{\left|B_{n+1-l}\right|-1}
$$

where the sum is taken over all partitions of $[n+1]$ into $n+1-l$ blocks. This is recognized to be the number of labeled forests on $n+1$ vertices of $n+1-l$ rooted trees. From [4] we have

$$
\left[t^{l}\right] P(\mathcal{H}, t)=(n+1)^{l}\binom{n}{n-l}
$$

We have shown that the coefficients of $t^{l}$ in $P(\mathcal{H}, t)$ and $(1+(n+1) t)^{n}$ are the same for $1 \leq l \leq n-1$. Since $P(\mathcal{H}, t)$ is an $n$th degree polynomial and $P(\mathcal{H}, 1)=(n+2)^{n}, P(\mathcal{H}, t)$ is in fact equal to $(1+(n+1) t)^{n}$.
$B_{n}$ : The elements of $L\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ of dimension $l$ (rank $n-l$ ) are somewhat harder to describe than in the $A_{n}$ case. We can start by taking a subset $J \subseteq[n]$ and partitioning it into $l$ non-empty blocks $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}\right)$. Define a sign function sgn: $J \rightarrow\{1,-1\}$ so that $\operatorname{sgn}(j)=1$ whenever $j$ is the smallest element in its block. For a given partition of $J$, there are $2^{|J|-l}$ ways to do this. The partition and the function sgn together determine the intersection

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y= & \cap\left\{\operatorname{sgn}(i) x_{i}-\operatorname{sgn}(j) x_{j}=0: i, j \text { are in the same block of } X\right\} \\
& \cap\left\{x_{k}=0: k \in[n]-J\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $W_{Y} \cong A_{\left|X_{1}\right|-1} \times \cdots \times A_{\left|X_{l}\right|-l} \times B_{n-|J|}$, and the contribution of $Y$ to the coefficient of $t^{n-l}$ in $P(\mathcal{H}, t)$ is $\left|X_{1}\right|^{\left|X_{1}\right|-1} \cdots\left|X_{l}\right|^{\left|X_{l}\right|-1}(2(n-|J|))^{n-|J|}$. If we sum $\Pi\left|X_{i}\right|^{\left|X_{i}\right|-1}$ over all partitions of $J$ into $l$ blocks, we get $|J|^{|J|-l}\binom{|J|-1}{l-1}$, the coefficient of $t^{|J|-l}$ in $P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(A_{|J|-1}\right), t\right)$. Putting this all together, the coefficient of $t^{n-l}$ in $P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(B_{n}\right), t\right)$ is

$$
\sum_{k=l}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(2 k)^{k-l}\binom{k-1}{l-1}(2(n-k))^{n-k}
$$

We would like to show that this is equal to the coefficient of $t^{n-l}$ in $(1+2 n t)^{n}$, which is $\binom{n}{l}(2 n)^{n-l}$. We can remove a factor of $2^{n-l}$ so that we have

$$
\sum_{k=l}^{n}\binom{n}{k} k^{k-l}\binom{k-1}{l-1}(n-k)^{n-k}=\binom{n}{l} n^{n-l}
$$

which is a consequence of Abel's Identity [2].
$C_{n}$ : The calculations are the same as for $B_{n}$.
$D_{n}$ : This is very similar to the $B_{n}$ case. If $|J| \neq n-1$, the intersection $Y$ determined by $X, J$ and $\operatorname{sgn}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y= & \cap\left\{\operatorname{sgn}(i) x_{i}-\operatorname{sgn}(j) x_{j}=0: i, j \text { are in the same block of } X\right\} \\
& \cap\left\{x_{k}-x_{l}=0: k, l \in[n]-J\right\} \\
& \cap\left\{x_{k}+x_{l}=0: k, l \in[n]-J\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|J|=n-1$, there is no corresponding $Y$. We have $W_{Y} \cong A_{\left|X_{\mid}\right|-1} \times \cdots \times A_{\left|X_{l}\right|-1} \times D_{n-|J|}$, and the identity to be proved is

$$
\sum_{k=l}^{n}\binom{n}{k} k^{k-l}\binom{k-1}{l-1}((n-k)-1)^{n-k}=\binom{n}{l}(n-1)^{n-l}
$$

which is again a consequence of Abel's Identity.
For the exceptional groups we use the data from [5]. The integers $n(R, T)$ listed there give the number of $Y \in L\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}(T)\right)$ such that $W_{Y} \cong R$. As before, we need only show that the coefficients of $t^{0}, \ldots, t^{n-1}$ match the coefficients of $(1+h t)^{n}$. The calculations are shown in the tables that follow. In these tables, $c(R)$ is the leading coefficient of $P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(R_{1}\right), t\right) \cdots P\left(\mathcal{H}\left(R_{m}\right), t\right)$, where $R_{1} \times \cdots \times R_{m}$ is the decomposition of $R$ into irreducible factors.

As a corollary of Theorem 2.1, we have the following.
Corollary 2.5 Let $\mathcal{H}, h$, and $n$ be as in Theorem 1.1. The number of bounded components of $V-\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ is $(h-1)^{n}$.

## 3. Tables

Table 1. $E_{6}$.

|  | $R$ | $n\left(R, E_{6}\right)$ | $n\left(R, E_{6}\right) \cdot c(R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{5}$ | $A_{1} \times A_{2}^{2}$ | 360 | 58320 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{4}$ | 216 | 270000 |
|  | $A_{5}$ | 36 | 279936 |
|  | $D_{5}$ | 27 | $\underline{884736}$ |
| $t^{4}$ | $A_{1}^{2} \times A_{2}$ | 1080 | 1492992 |
|  | $A_{2}^{2}$ | 120 | 38880 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{3}$ | 540 | 9720 |
|  | $A_{4}$ | 216 | 69120 |
|  | $D_{4}$ | 45 | 135000 |
|  | $A_{1}^{3}$ | 540 | 58320 |
| $t^{3}$ | $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ | 720 | 31040 |
|  |  | 270 | 12960 |
|  | $A_{1}^{2}$ | 270 | $\underline{17280}$ |
|  | $A_{2}$ | 120 | 34560 |
| $t^{2}$ | $A_{1}$ | 36 | 1080 |
|  |  | 1080 |  |
| $t^{1}$ |  |  | 2160 |
| $t^{0}$ | $A_{0}$ |  | 72 |

Table 2. $\quad E_{7}$.

|  | $R$ | $n\left(R, E_{7}\right)$ | $n\left(R, E_{7}\right) \cdot c(R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{6}$ | $A_{1} \times A_{2} \times A_{3}$ | 5040 | 5806080 |
|  | $A_{2} \times A_{4}$ | 2016 | 11340000 |
| $A_{1} \times A_{5}$ | 1008 | 15676416 |  |
| $A_{6}$ | 288 | 33882912 |  |
|  | $A_{1} \times D_{5}$ | 378 | 24772608 |
| $D_{6}$ | 63 | 63000000 |  |
| $E_{6}$ | 28 | $\underline{83607552}$ |  |
|  |  | 238085568 |  |

(Continued on next page.)

Table 2. (Continued.)

|  | $R$ | $n\left(R, E_{7}\right)$ | $n\left(R, E_{7}\right) \cdot c(R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{5}$ | $A_{1}^{3} \times A_{2}$ | 5040 | 362880 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{2}^{2}$ | 10080 | 1632960 |
|  | $A_{1}^{2} \times A_{3}$ | 7560 | 1935360 |
|  | $A_{2} \times A_{3}$ | 5040 | 2903040 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{4}$ | 6048 | 7560000 |
|  | $A_{5}$ | 1344 | 10450944 |
|  | $A_{1} \times D_{4}$ | 945 | 2449440 |
|  | $D_{5}$ | 378 | $\underline{12386304}$ |
|  |  |  | 39680928 |
| $t^{4}$ | $A_{1}^{4}$ | 3780 | 60480 |
|  | $A_{1}^{2} \times A_{2}$ | 15120 | 544320 |
|  | $A_{2}^{2}$ | 3360 | 272160 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{3}$ | 8820 | 1128960 |
|  | $A_{4}$ | 2016 | 1260000 |
|  | $D_{4}$ | 315 | 408240 |
|  |  |  | 3674160 |
| $t^{3}$ | $A_{1}^{3}$ | 4095 | 32760 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ | 5040 | 90720 |
|  | $A_{3}$ | 1260 | 80640 |
|  |  |  | 204120 |
| $t^{2}$ | $A_{1}^{2}$ | 945 | 3780 |
|  | $A_{2}$ | 336 | $\underline{3024}$ |
|  |  |  | 6804 |
| $t^{1}$ | $A_{1}$ | 63 | 126 |
| $t^{0}$ | $A_{0}$ | 1 | 1 |

Table 3. $E_{8}$.

|  | $R$ | $n\left(R, E_{8}\right)$ | $n\left(R, E_{8}\right) \cdot c(R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $t^{7}$ | $A_{1} \times A_{2} \times A_{4}$ | 241920 | 2721600000 |
|  | $A_{3} \times A_{4}$ | 120960 | 4838400000 |
| $A_{1} \times A_{6}$ | 34560 | 8131898880 |  |
| $A_{7}$ | 8640 | 18119393280 |  |
|  | $A_{2} \times D_{5}$ | 30240 | 8918138880 |
| $D_{7}$ | 1080 | 38698352640 |  |
| $A_{1} \times E_{6}$ | 3360 | 20065812480 |  |
| $E_{7}$ | 120 | $\underline{73466403840}$ |  |
|  |  | 174960000000 |  |
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Table 3. (Continued.)

|  | $R$ | $n\left(R, E_{8}\right)$ | $n\left(R, E_{8}\right) \cdot c(R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{6}$ | $A_{1}^{2} \times A_{2}^{2}$ | 604800 | 195955200 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{2} \times A_{3}$ | 604800 | 696729600 |
|  | $A_{1}^{2} \times A_{4}$ | 362880 | 907200000 |
|  | $A_{3}^{2}$ | 151200 | 619315200 |
|  | $A_{2} \times A_{4}$ | 241920 | 1360800000 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{5}$ | 120960 | 1881169920 |
|  | $A_{6}$ | 34560 | 4065949440 |
|  | $A_{2} \times D_{4}$ | 50400 | 587865600 |
|  | $A_{1} \times D_{5}$ | 45360 | 2972712960 |
|  | $D_{6}$ | 3780 | 3780000000 |
|  | $E_{6}$ | 1120 | 3344302080 |
|  |  |  | 20412000000 |
| $t^{5}$ | $A_{1}^{3} \times A_{2}$ | 604800 | 43545600 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{2}^{2}$ | 403200 | 65318400 |
|  | $A_{1}^{2} \times A_{3}$ | 453600 | 116121600 |
|  | $A_{2} \times A_{3}$ | 302400 | 174182400 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{4}$ | 241920 | 302400000 |
|  | $A_{5}$ | 40320 | 313528320 |
|  | $A_{1} \times D_{4}$ | 37800 | 97977600 |
|  | $D_{5}$ | 7560 | 247726080 |
|  |  |  | 1360800000 |
| $t^{4}$ | $A_{1}^{4}$ | 113400 | 1814400 |
|  | $A_{1}^{2} \times A_{2}$ | 302400 | 10886400 |
|  | $A_{2}^{2}$ | 67200 | 5443200 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{3}$ | 151200 | 19353600 |
|  | $A_{4}$ | 24192 | 15120000 |
|  | $D_{4}$ | 3150 | 4082400 |
|  |  |  | 56700000 |
| $t^{3}$ | $A_{1}^{3}$ | 37800 | 302400 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ | 40320 | 725760 |
|  | $A_{3}$ | 7560 | 483840 |
|  |  |  | 1512000 |
| $t^{2}$ | $A_{1}^{2}$ | 3780 | 15120 |
|  | $A_{2}$ | 1120 | $\underline{10080}$ |
|  |  |  | 25200 |
| $t^{1}$ | $A_{1}$ | 120 | 240 |
| $t^{0}$ | $A_{0}$ | 1 | 1 |

Table 4. $\quad F_{4}$.

|  | $R$ | $n\left(R, F_{4}\right)$ | $n\left(R, F_{4}\right) \cdot c(R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{3}$ | $A_{1} \times A_{2}$ | 96 | 1728 |
|  | $B_{3}$ | 12 | 2592 |
|  | $C_{3}$ | 12 | $\underline{2592}$ |
| $t^{2}$ | $A_{2}$ | 32 | 6912 |
|  | $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ | 72 | 288 |
|  | $B_{2}$ | 18 | 288 |
|  |  |  | $\underline{288}$ |
| $t^{1}$ | $A_{1}$ | 24 | 48 |
| $t^{0}$ | $A_{0}$ | 1 | 1 |

Table 5. $\quad G_{2}$.

|  | $R$ | $n\left(R, G_{2}\right)$ | $n\left(R, G_{2}\right) \cdot c(R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t^{1}$ | $A_{1}$ | 6 | 12 |
| $t^{0}$ | $A_{0}$ | 1 | 1 |
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