ON THE RATE OF STRONG SUMMABILITY BY MATRIX MEANS IN THE GENERALIZED HÖLDER METRIC

BOGDAN SZAL

Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics University of Zielona Góra 65-516 Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, Poland EMail: B.Szal@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Received:	10 January, 2007
Accepted:	23 February, 2008
Communicated by:	R.N. Mohapatra
2000 AMS Sub. Class.:	40F04, 41A25, 42A10.
Key words:	Strong approximation, Matrix means, Special sequences.
Abstract:	In the paper we generalize (and improve) the results of T. Singh [5], with medi- ate function, to the strong summability. We also apply the generalization of L. Leindler type [3].



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Main Results	8
3	Corollaries	10
4	Lemmas	12
5	Proofs of the Theorems	21
	5.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1	21
	5.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2	25
	5.3 Proof of Theorem 2.3	25



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let f be a continuous and 2π -periodic function and let

(1.1)
$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx)$$

be its Fourier series. Denote by $S_n(x) = S_n(f, x)$ the *n*-th partial sum of (1.1) and by $\omega(f, \delta)$ the modulus of continuity of $f \in C_{2\pi}$.

The usual supremum norm will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_C$.

Let $\omega(t)$ be a nondecreasing continuous function on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ having the properties

$$\omega(0) = 0, \quad \omega(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \le \omega(\delta_1) + \omega(\delta_2)$$

Such a function will be called a modulus of continuity.

Denote by H^{ω} the class of functions

$$H^{\omega} := \{ f \in C_{2\pi}; \ |f(x+h) - f(x)| \le C\omega(|h|) \}$$

where C is a positive constant. For $f \in H^{\omega}$, we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\omega} = \|\cdot\|_{H^{\omega}}$ by the formula

$$||f||_{\omega} := ||f||_{C} + ||f||_{C,\omega}$$

where

$$||f||_{C,\omega} = \sup_{h \neq 0} \frac{||f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)||_C}{\omega(|h|)},$$

and $||f||_{C,0} = 0$. If $\omega(t) = C_1 |t|^{\alpha} (0 < \alpha \le 1)$, where C_1 is a positive constant, then

$$H^{\alpha} = \{ f \in C_{2\pi}; \ |f(x+h) - f(x)| \le C_1 |h|^{\alpha}, \ 0 < \alpha \le 1 \}$$



I	Rate of Strong Summability by Matrix Means		
	Bogda	in Szal	
	vol. 9, iss. 1,	art. 28, 2008	
	Title Page		
	Cont	tents	
	44	••	
	◀	×	
	Page 🤅	3 of 27	
	Go Back		
	Full Screen		
	Close		
jC	ournal of i	nequalities	

mathematics

is a Banach space and the metric induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ on H^{α} is said to be a Hölder metric.

Let $A := (a_{nk})$ (k, n = 0, 1, ...) be a lower triangular infinite matrix of real numbers satisfying the following condition:

m

(1.2)
$$a_{nk} \ge 0 \ (k, n = 0, 1, ...), \quad a_{nk} = 0, \quad k > n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} = 1.$$

Let the A-transformation of $(S_n(f; x))$ be given by

(1.3)
$$t_n(f) := t_n(f;x) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} S_k(f;x) \qquad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

and the strong A_r -transformation of $(S_n(f; x))$ for r > 0 by

$$T_n(f,r) := T_n(f,r;x) := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \left| S_k(f;x) - f(x) \right|^r \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \qquad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Now we define two classes of sequences ([3]).

A sequence $c := (c_n)$ of nonnegative numbers tending to zero is called the Rest Bounded Variation Sequence, or briefly $c \in RBVS$, if it has the property

(1.4)
$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |c_n - c_{n+1}| \le K(c) c_m$$

for all natural numbers m, where K(c) is a constant depending only on c.

A sequence $c := (c_n)$ of nonnegative numbers will be called a Head Bounded Variation Sequence, or briefly $c \in HBVS$, if it has the property

(1.5)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |c_n - c_{n+1}| \le K(c) c_m$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

for all natural numbers m, or only for all $m \leq N$ if the sequence c has only finite nonzero terms and the last nonzero term is c_N .

Therefore we assume that the sequence $(K(\alpha_n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded, that is, that there exists a constant K such that

$$0 \le K\left(\alpha_n\right) \le K$$

holds for all n, where $K(\alpha_n)$ denote the sequence of constants appearing in the inequalities (1.4) or (1.5) for the sequence $\alpha_n := (a_{nk})_{k=0}^{\infty}$. Now we can give the conditions to be used later on. We assume that for all n and $0 \le m \le n$,

(1.6)
$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_{nk+1}| \le K a_{nm}$$

and

(1.7)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |a_{nk} - a_{nk+1}| \le K a_{nm}$$

hold if $\alpha_n := (a_{nk})_{k=0}^{\infty}$ belongs to *RBVS* or *HBVS*, respectively.

Let $\omega(t)$ and $\omega^*(t)$ be two given moduli of continuity satisfying the following condition (for $0 \le p < q \le 1$):

(1.8)
$$\frac{\left(\omega\left(t\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}}{\omega^{*}\left(t\right)} = O\left(1\right) \qquad (t \to 0_{+}).$$

In [4] R. Mohapatra and P. Chandra obtained some results on the degree of approximation for the means (1.3) in the Hölder metric. Recently, T. Singh in [5] established the following two theorems generalizing some results of P. Chandra [1]



with a mediate function H such that:

(1.9)
$$\int_{u}^{\pi} \frac{\omega(f;t)}{t^{2}} dt = O(H(u)) \quad (u \to 0_{+}), \quad H(t) \ge 0$$

and

(1.10)
$$\int_{0}^{t} H(u) \, du = O(tH(t)) \qquad (t \to O_{+}) \, .$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ satisfy the condition (1.2) and $a_{nk} \leq a_{nk+1}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ Then for $f \in H^{\omega}$, $0 \leq p < q \leq 1$,

(1.11)
$$||t_n(f) - f||_{\omega^*} = O\left[\left\{\omega\left(|x - y|\right)\right\}^{\frac{p}{q}} \left\{\omega^*\left(|x - y|\right)\right\}^{-1} \times \left\{\left(H\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right)^{1 - \frac{p}{q}} a_{nn}\left(n^{\frac{p}{q}} + a_{nn}^{-\frac{p}{q}}\right)\right\}\right] + O\left(a_{nn}H\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right),$$

if $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.9) and (1.10), and

(1.12)
$$||t_n(f) - f||_{\omega^*} = O\left[\left\{\omega\left(|x - y|\right)\right\}^{\frac{p}{q}} \left\{\omega^*\left(|x - y|\right)\right\}^{-1}\right] \times \left\{\left(\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right)^{1 - \frac{p}{q}} + a_{nn}n^{\frac{p}{q}} \left(H\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right)^{1 - \frac{p}{q}}\right\} + O\left\{\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) + a_{nn}H\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right\},$$

if $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.9), where $\omega^{*}(t)$ is the given modulus of continuity.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ satisfy the condition (1.2) and $a_{nk} \leq a_{nk+1}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. Also, let $\omega(f; t)$ satisfy (1.9) and (1.10). Then for $f \in H^{\omega}$, $0 \leq p < q \leq 1$,

(1.13)
$$||t_n(f) - f||_{\omega^*} = O\left[\left\{\omega\left(|x - y|\right)\right\}^{\frac{p}{q}} \left\{\omega^*\left(|x - y|\right)\right\}^{-1} \times \left\{\left(H\left(a_{n0}\right)\right)^{1 - \frac{p}{q}} a_{n0}\left(n^{\frac{p}{q}} + a_{n0}^{-\frac{p}{q}}\right)\right\}\right] + O\left(a_{n0}H\left(a_{n0}\right)\right),$$



vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 28, 2008 <i>Title Page</i> <i>Contents</i> ▲ <i>Page</i> 6 of 27 <i>Go Back</i> <i>Full Screen</i> <i>Close</i> Purport of inequalities	Dordon Stal		
vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 28, 2008 Title Page Contents ● ● ● Page 6 of 27 Go Back Full Screen Close Durnal of inequalities pure and applied	Бодиа	n Szai	
Title Page Contents Image Image Image Page of 27 Go Back Full Close	vol. 9, iss. 1,	art. 28, 2008	
Title Page Contents Image Image <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>			
Title Page Contents			
Contents	Title	Page	
Contents Contents Contents Contents Contents Contents Close Contents Close Contents Close Contents Close Close Close Close Close Close Close Close Close Close Close Close Close	Can	to mto	
 Image of 27 Go Back Full Screen Close 	Con	ients	
A A	44	b b	
 Image 6 of 27 Go Back Full Screen Close Close 	•••		
Page 6 of 27 Go Back Full Screen Close	•	▶ .	
Page 6 of 27 Go Back Full Screen Close	_		
Go Back Full Screen Close	Page <mark>6</mark> of 27		
Full Screen Close	Co Rook		
Full Screen Close ournal of inequalities	Go Back		
Close ournal of inequalities	Full Screen		
Close ournal of inequalities			
ournal of inequalities	Close		
	ournal of i pure and	nequalities d applied	

mathematics

where $\omega^{*}(t)$ is the given modulus of continuity.

The next generalization of another result of P. Chandra [2] was obtained by L. Leindler in [3]. Namely, he proved the following two theorems

Theorem 1.3. Let (1.2) and (1.9) hold. Then for $f \in C_{2\pi}$

(1.14)
$$\|t_n(f) - f\|_C = O\left(\omega\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right) + O\left(a_{nn}H\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right).$$

If, in addition $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies the condition (1.10), then

(1.15)
$$\|t_n(f) - f\|_C = O(a_{nn}H(a_{nn}))$$

Theorem 1.4. Let (1.2), (1.9) and (1.10) hold. Then for $f \in C_{2\pi}$

(1.16)
$$||t_n(f) - f||_C = O(a_{n0}H(a_{n0})).$$

In the present paper we will generalize (and improve) the mentioned results of T. Singh [5] to strong summability with a mediate function H defined by the following conditions:

(1.17)
$$\int_{u}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^{r}(f;t)}{t^{2}} dt = O\left(H\left(r;u\right)\right) \quad (u \to 0_{+}), \quad H\left(t\right) \ge 0 \text{ and } r > 0,$$

and

(1.18)
$$\int_0^t H(u) \, du = O\left(tH\left(r;t\right)\right) \quad (t \to O_+)$$

We also apply a generalization of Leindler's type [3].

Throughout the paper we shall use the following notation:

$$\phi_x(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x).$$

By K_1, K_2, \ldots we shall designate either an absolute constant or a constant depending on the indicated parameters, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics issn: 1443-5756

2. Main Results

Our main results are the following.

Theorem 2.1. Let (1.2), (1.7) and (1.8) hold. Suppose $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.17) for $r \geq 1$. Then for $f \in H^{\omega}$,

(2.1)
$$||T_n(f,r)||_{\omega^*} = O\left(\{1 + \ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\}^{\frac{p}{q}} \times \left\{\left((n+1)a_{nn}\right)^{r-1}a_{nn}H\left(r;\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)}\right).$$

If, in addition $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies the condition (1.18), then

(2.2)
$$||T_n(f,r)||_{\omega^*} = O\left(\{1 + \ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\}^{\frac{p}{q}} \times \left\{\left(\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^{r-1}a_{nn}H(r;a_{nn})\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)}\right).$$

Theorem 2.2. Under the assumptions of above theorem, if there exists a real number s > 1 such that the inequality

(2.3)
$$\left\{\sum_{i=2^{k-1}}^{2^{k}-1} (a_{ni})^{s}\right\}^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq K_{1} \left(2^{k-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}-1} \sum_{i=2^{k-1}}^{2^{k}-1} a_{ni}$$

for any k = 1, 2, ..., m, where $2^m \le n + 1 < 2^{m+1}$ holds, then the following estimates

(2.4)
$$||T_n(f,r)||_{\omega^*} = O\left(\left\{a_{nn}H\left(r;\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)}\right)$$



by Matri	x Means	
Bogda	in Szal	
vol. 9, iss. 1,	art. 28, 2008	
Title Page		
Contents		
44	••	
•	►	
Page <mark>8</mark> of 27		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Close		
urnal of i	nequalities	

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

and

(2.5)
$$\|T_n(f,r)\|_{\omega^*} = O\left(\{a_{nn}H(r;a_{nn})\}^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)}\right)$$

are true.

Theorem 2.3. Let (1.2), (1.6), (1.8) and (1.17) for $r \ge 1$ hold. Then for $f \in H^{\omega}$

(2.6)
$$||T_n(f,r)||_{\omega^*} = O\left(\left\{a_{n0}H\left(r;\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)}\right).$$

If, in addition, $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.18), then

(2.7)
$$\|T_n(f,r)\|_{\omega^*} = O\left(\{a_{n0}H(r;a_{n0})\}^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)}\right)$$

Remark 1. We can observe, that for the case r = 1 under the condition (1.8) the first part of Theorem 1.1 (1.11) and Theorem 1.2 are the corollaries of the first part of Theorem 2.1 (2.1) and the second part of Theorem 2.3 (2.7), respectively. We can also note that the mentioned estimates are better in order than the analogical estimates from the results of T. Singh, since $\ln (2 (n + 1) a_{nn})$ in Theorem 2.1 is better than $(n + 1) a_{nn}$ in Theorem 1.1. Consequently, if na_{nn} is not bounded our estimate (2.7) in Theorem 2.3 is better than (1.13) from Theorem 1.2.

Remark 2. If in the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 or 2.3 we take $\omega(|t|) = O(|t|^q)$, $\omega^*(|t|) = O(|t|^p)$ with p = 0, then from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.7) we have the same estimates such as (1.14), (1.15) and (1.16), respectively, but for the strong approximation (with r = 1).



Rate of Strong Summability by Matrix Means		
Bogda	n Szal	
vol. 9, iss. 1,	art. 28, 2008	
Title Page		
Cont	ents	
••	••	
•	F	
Page 9 of 27		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Clo	se	

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

3. Corollaries

In this section we present some special cases of our results. From Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, putting $\omega^*(|t|) = O(|t|^{\beta}), \omega(|t|) = O(|t|^{\alpha}),$

$$H(r;t) = \begin{cases} t^{r\alpha-1} & \text{if } \alpha r < 1, \\ \ln \frac{\pi}{t} & \text{if } \alpha r = 1, \\ K_1 & \text{if } \alpha r > 1 \end{cases}$$

where r > 0 and $0 < \alpha \le 1$, and replacing p by β and q by α , we can derive Corollaries 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, respectively.

Corollary 3.1. Under the conditions (1.2) and (1.7) we have for $f \in H^{\alpha}$, $0 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq 1$ and $r \geq 1$,

$$\int O\left(\{\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\}^{1+\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\{a_{nn}\}^{\alpha-\beta}\right) \quad \text{if } \alpha r < 1,$$

$$\|T_n(f,r)\|_{\beta} = \begin{cases} O\left(\{\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\}^{1+\alpha-\beta}\left\{\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{a_{nn}}\right)a_{nn}\right\}^{\alpha-\beta}\right) & \text{if } \alpha r = 1, \\ O\left(\{\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\}^{1+\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\left\{a_{nn}\right\}^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha r}}\right) & \text{if } \alpha r > 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.2. Under the assumptions of Corollary 3.1 and (2.3) we have

$$\|T_n(f,r)\|_{\beta} = \begin{cases} O\left(\left\{a_{nn}\right\}^{\alpha-\beta}\right) & \text{if } \alpha r < 1, \\ O\left(\left\{\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{a_{nn}}\right)a_{nn}\right\}^{\alpha-\beta}\right) & \text{if } \alpha r = 1, \\ O\left(\left\{a_{nn}\right\}^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha r}}\right) & \text{if } \alpha r > 1. \end{cases}$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Corollary 3.3. Under the conditions (1.2) and (1.6) we have, for $f \in H^{\alpha}$, $0 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1$ and $r \ge 1$,

$$\|T_n(f,r)\|_{\beta} = \begin{cases} O\left(\{a_{n0}\}^{\alpha-\beta}\right) & \text{if } \alpha r < 1, \\ O\left(\left\{\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{a_{n0}}\right)a_{n0}\right\}^{\alpha-\beta}\right) & \text{if } \alpha r = 1, \\ O\left(\{a_{n0}\}^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha r}}\right) & \text{if } \alpha r > 1. \end{cases}$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

4. Lemmas

To prove our theorems we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *If* (1.17) *and* (1.18) *hold with* r > 0 *then*

(4.1)
$$\int_0^s \frac{\omega^r(f;t)}{t} dt = O\left(sH\left(r;s\right)\right) \quad (s \to 0_+).$$

Proof. Integrating by parts, by (1.17) and (1.18) we get

$$\int_0^s \frac{\omega^r\left(f;t\right)}{t} dt = \left[-t \int_t^\pi \frac{\omega^r\left(f;u\right)}{u^2} du\right]_0^s + \int_0^s dt \int_t^\pi \frac{\omega^r\left(f;u\right)}{u^2} du$$
$$= O\left(sH\left(r;s\right)\right) + O\left(1\right) \int_0^s H\left(r;t\right) dt$$
$$= O\left(sH\left(r;s\right)\right).$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 4.2 ([7]). *If* (1.2), (1.7) *hold, then for* $f \in C_{2\pi}$ *and* r > 0,

(4.2)
$$||T_n(f,r)||_C$$

$$\leq O\left(\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{4}\right\rfloor} a_{n,4k} E_k^r(f) + \left(E_{\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{4}\right\rfloor}(f) \ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^r\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}\right).$$



Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

 \square

If, in addition, (2.3) holds, then

(4.3)
$$\|T_n(f,r)\|_C \le O\left(\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor} a_{n,2k} E_k^r(f)\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}\right).$$

Lemma 4.3 ([7]). *If* (1.2), (1.6) *hold, then for* $f \in C_{2\pi}$ *and* r > 0,

(4.4)
$$||T_n(f,r)||_C \le O\left(\left\{\sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} E_k^r(f)\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}\right).$$

Lemma 4.4. If (1.2), (1.7) hold and $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.17) with r > 0 then

(4.5)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) = O\left(a_{nn} H\left(r; \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right).$$

If, in addition, $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.18) then

(4.6)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) = O\left(a_{nn} H\left(r; a_{nn}\right)\right).$$

Proof. First we prove (4.5). If (1.7) holds then

$$a_{n\mu} - a_{nm} \le |a_{n\mu} - a_{nm}| \le \sum_{k=\mu}^{m-1} |a_{nk} - a_{nk+1}| \le K a_{nm}$$

for any $m \ge \mu \ge 0$, whence we have

(4.7)
$$a_{n\mu} \le (K+1) a_{nm}.$$



by Matrix Means **Bogdan Szal** vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 28, 2008 **Title Page** Contents 44 ◀ Page 13 of 27 Go Back **Full Screen** Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

From this and using (1.17) we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \leq (K+1) a_{nn} \sum_{k=0}^n \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)$$
$$\leq K_1 a_{nn} \int_1^{n+1} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{t}\right) dt$$
$$= \pi K_1 a_{nn} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^r \left(f; u\right)}{u^2} du$$
$$= O\left(a_{nn} H\left(r; \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right).$$

Now we prove (4.6). Since

$$(K+1)(n+1)a_{nn} \ge \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} = 1,$$

we can see that

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{4(K+1)a_{nn}}\right]-1} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{4(K+1)a_{nn}}\right]-1}^n a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2.$$

Using again (4.7), (1.2) and the monotonicity of the modulus of continuity, we can



Title Page		
Contents		
44	••	
•	•	
Page 14 of 27		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Close		

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

estimate the quantities Σ_1 and Σ_2 as follows

(4.9)
$$\Sigma_{1} \leq (K+1) a_{nn} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{4(K+1)a_{nn}}\right]-1} \omega^{r} \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)$$
$$\leq K_{2} a_{nn} \int_{1}^{\frac{1}{4(K+1)a_{nn}}} \omega^{r} \left(f; \frac{\pi}{t}\right) dt$$
$$= \pi K_{2} a_{nn} \int_{4\pi(K+1)a_{nn}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^{r} (f; u)}{u^{2}} du$$
$$\leq \pi K_{2} a_{nn} \int_{a_{nn}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^{r} (f; u)}{u^{2}} du$$

and

(4.10)
$$\Sigma_{2} \leq K_{3}\omega^{r} \left(f; 4\pi \left(K+1\right) a_{nn}\right) \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{4(K+1)a_{nn}}\right]-1}^{n} a_{n,4k}$$
$$\leq K_{3} \left(8\pi \left(K+1\right)\right)^{r} \omega^{r} \left(f; a_{nn}\right)$$
$$\leq K_{3} \left(32\pi \left(K+1\right)\right)^{r} \omega^{r} \left(f; \frac{a_{nn}}{2}\right)$$
$$\leq 2K_{3} \left(32\pi \left(K+1\right)\right)^{r} \int_{\frac{a_{nn}}{2}}^{a_{nn}} \frac{\omega^{r} \left(f;t\right)}{t} dt$$
$$\leq K_{4} \int_{0}^{a_{nn}} \frac{\omega^{r} \left(f;t\right)}{t} dt.$$

If (1.17) and (1.18) hold then from (4.8) - (4.10) we obtain (4.6). This completes the proof.



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics issn: 1443-5756 **Lemma 4.5.** If (1.2), (1.7) hold and $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.17) with $r \ge 1$ then

(4.11)
$$\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right) \\ = O\left(\left\{\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}}\left\{a_{nn}H\left(r;\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)$$

If, in addition, $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.18) then

(4.12)
$$\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right) \\ = O\left(\left\{\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}}\left\{a_{nn}H\left(r;a_{nn}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}\right).$$

Proof. Let r = 1. Using the monotonicity of the modulus of continuity

$$\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right) \le 2a_{nn}\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\left(n+1\right)$$
$$\le 4a_{nn}\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\int_{1}^{n+1}dt$$
$$\le 4a_{nn}\int_{1}^{n+1}\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{t}\right)dt$$
$$= 4\pi a_{nn}\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}\frac{\omega\left(f,u\right)}{u^{2}}du$$

and by (1.17) we obtain that (4.11) holds. Now we prove (4.12). From (1.2) and (1.7) we get

$$\omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right) \le K_1 \omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi(K+1)a_{nn}} \frac{1}{t} dt,$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

$$K_{1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi(K+1)a_{nn}} \frac{\omega(f,t)}{t} dt \leq 2K_{1} (K+1) \pi \int_{\frac{1}{(K+1)(n+1)}}^{a_{nn}} \frac{\omega(f,u)}{u} du$$
$$\leq K_{2} \int_{0}^{a_{nn}} \frac{\omega(f,u)}{u} du$$

and by Lemma 4.1 we obtain (4.12).

Assuming r > 1 we can use the Hölder inequality to estimate the following integrals

$$\begin{split} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega\left(f,u\right)}{u^{2}} du &\leq \left\{ \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^{r}\left(f,u\right)}{u^{2}} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\{ \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{u^{2}} du \right\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n+1}{\pi}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \left\{ \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^{r}\left(f,u\right)}{u^{2}} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \end{split}$$

and

$$\int_{\frac{1}{(K+1)(n+1)}}^{a_{nn}} \frac{\omega(f,u)}{u} du \leq \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{(K+1)(n+1)}}^{a_{nn}} \frac{\omega^r(f,u)}{u} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{(K+1)(n+1)}}^{a_{nn}} \frac{1}{u} du \right\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \\ \leq \left\{ \ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{a_{nn}} \frac{\omega^r(f,u)}{u} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

From this, if (1.17) holds then

$$\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right) \le 4\pi a_{nn}\left(\frac{n+1}{\pi}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \left\{\int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^{r}\left(f,u\right)}{u^{2}} du\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

$$= O\left(\left\{ (n+1) a_{nn} \right\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \left\{ a_{nn} H\left(r; \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \right)$$

and if (1.17) and (1.18) hold then

$$\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)$$

$$\leq 2K_{1}\left(K+1\right)\pi\left\{\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}}\left\{\int_{0}^{a_{nn}}\frac{\omega^{r}\left(f,u\right)}{u}du\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$=O\left(\left\{\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}}\left\{a_{nn}H\left(r;\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}\right).$$

This ends our proof.

Lemma 4.6. If (1.2), (1.6) hold and $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.17) with r > 0 then

(4.13)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1} \right) = O\left(a_{n0} H\left(r; \frac{\pi}{n} \right) \right).$$

If, in addition, $\omega(f;t)$ satisfies (1.18), then

(4.14)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} \omega^{r} \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1} \right) = O\left(a_{n0} H\left(r; a_{n0} \right) \right).$$

Proof. First we prove (4.13). If (1.6) holds then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{nn} - a_{nm} &\leq |a_{nm} - a_{nn}| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |a_{nk} - a_{nk+1}| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_{nk+1}| \leq K a_{nm} \end{aligned}$$



 \square

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

for any $n \ge m \ge 0$, whence we have

(4.15)
$$a_{nn} \leq (K+1) a_{nm}.$$

From this and using (1.17) we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \leq (K+1) a_{n0} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)$$
$$\leq K_1 a_{n0} \int_1^{n+1} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{t}\right) dt$$
$$= \pi K_1 a_{n0} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^r \left(f; u\right)}{u^2} du$$
$$= O\left(a_{n0} H\left(r; \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right).$$

Now, we prove (4.14). Since

$$(K+1)(n+1)a_{n0} \ge \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} = 1,$$

we can see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{1}{(K+1)a_{n0}} \right\rfloor - 1} a_{nk} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) + \sum_{k=\left\lfloor \frac{1}{(K+1)a_{n0}} \right\rfloor - 1}^{n} a_{nk} \omega^r \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right).$$



Bogdan Szal vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 28, 2008		
Title Page		
Contents		
44 >>		
•	►	
Page 19 of 27		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Clo	se	

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Using again (1.2), (1.6) and the monotonicity of the modulus of continuity, we get

$$(4.16) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} \omega^{r} \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \\ \leq (K+1) a_{n0} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{(K+1)a_{n0}}\right]-1} \omega^{r} \left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \\ + K_{1} \omega^{r} \left(f; \pi \left(K+1\right) a_{no}\right) \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{(K+1)a_{n0}}\right]-1}^{n} a_{nk} \\ \leq K_{2} a_{n0} \int_{1}^{\frac{1}{(K+1)a_{n0}}} \omega^{r} \left(f; \frac{\pi}{t}\right) dt + K_{1} \omega^{r} \left(f; \pi \left(K+1\right) a_{no}\right) \\ \leq K_{3} \left(a_{n0} \int_{a_{n0}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega^{r} \left(f; u\right)}{u^{2}} du + \omega^{r} \left(f; a_{n0}\right)\right).$$

According to

$$\omega^{r}(f;a_{n0}) \leq 4^{r}\omega^{r}\left(f;\frac{a_{n0}}{2}\right) \leq 2 \cdot 4^{r} \int_{\frac{a_{n0}}{2}}^{a_{n0}} \frac{\omega^{r}(f;t)}{t} dt \leq 2 \cdot 4^{r} \int_{0}^{a_{n0}} \frac{\omega^{r}(f;t)}{t} dt,$$

(1.17), (1.18) and (4.16) lead us to (4.14).

	I **	M A	
	Rate of Strong Summability by Matrix Means		
	Bogda	n Szal	
	vol. 9, iss. 1,	art. 28, 2008	
_			
	Title	Page	
	Cont	ents	
	44	••	
	•	►	
	Page 20 of 27		
	Go Back		
	Full Screen		
	Clo	se	

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

5. Proofs of the Theorems

In this section we shall prove Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3.

5.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Setting

$$R_{n}(x+h,x) = T_{n}(f,r;x+h) - T_{n}(f,r;x)$$

and

$$g_h(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$$

and using the Minkowski inequality for $r \ge 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|R_{n} (x+h,x)| \\ &= \left| \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} \left| S_{k} (f;x+h) - f (x+h) \right|^{r} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} - \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} \left| S_{k} (f;x) - f (x) \right|^{r} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \right| \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} \left| S_{k} (g_{h};x) - g_{h} (x) \right|^{r} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\frac{1}{r}$

By (4.2) we have

$$|R_{n}(x+h,x)| \le K_{1} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} E_{k}^{r}(g_{h}) + \left(E_{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]}(g_{h}) \ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right) \right)^{r} \right\}$$



Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

$$\leq K_2 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(g_h, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) + \left(\omega \left(g_h, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^r \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

Since

$$|g_h(x+l) - g_h(x)| \le |f(x+l+h) - f(x+h)| + |f(x+l) - f(x)|$$

and

$$|g_h(x+l) - g_h(x)| \le |f(x+l+h) - f(x+l)| + |f(x+h) - f(x)| \le 2\omega (|h|),$$

therefore, for $0 \le k \le n,$

(5.1)
$$\omega\left(g_h, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \le 2\omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)$$

and $f \in H^{\omega}$

(5.2)
$$\omega\left(g_h, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \le 2\omega\left(|h|\right).$$

From (5.2) and (1.2)

(5.3)
$$|R_n(x+h,x)| \le 2K_2\omega(|h|) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{4} \right\rfloor} a_{n,4k} + \left(\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^r \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ \le 2K_2\omega(|h|)\left(1+\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right).$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

On the other hand, by (5.1),

(5.4)
$$|R_n(x+h,x)| \le 2K_2 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) + \left(\omega \left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^r \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Using (5.3) and (5.4) we get

(5.5)
$$\sup_{h\neq 0} \frac{\|T_n(f,r;\cdot+h) - T_n(f,r;\cdot)\|_C}{\omega(|h|)} = \sup_{h\neq 0} \frac{(\|R_n(\cdot+h,\cdot)\|_C)^{\frac{p}{q}}}{\omega(|h|)} (\|R_n(\cdot+h,\cdot)\|_C)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \le K_3 (1 + \ln(2(n+1)a_{nn}))^{\frac{p}{q}} \times \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f,\frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) + \left(\omega\left(f,\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln(2(n+1)a_{nn})\right)^r \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}(1-\frac{p}{q})}.$$

Similarly, by (4.2) we have

(5.6)
$$\|T_n(f,r)\|_C \le K_4 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^r \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \right\}$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

$$+ \left(\omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^{r}\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

$$\leq K_{4} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^{r} \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) + \left(\omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^{r}\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}\frac{p}{q}}$$

$$\times \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^{r} \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) + \left(\omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^{r}\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)}$$

$$\leq K_{5}\left(1+\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}$$

$$\times \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{4}\right]} a_{n,4k} \omega^{r} \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) + \left(\omega\left(f, \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\ln\left(2\left(n+1\right)a_{nn}\right)\right)^{r}\right\}^{\frac{1}{r}\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)}.$$

Collecting our partial results (5.5), (5.6) and using Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 we obtain that (2.1) and (2.2) hold. This completes our proof. \Box



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

5.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Using (4.3) and the same method as in the proof of Lemma 4.4 we can show that

(5.7)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]} a_{n,2k} \omega^r \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) = O\left(a_{nn} H\left(r; \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right)$$

holds, if $\omega(t)$ satisfies (1.17) and (1.18), and

(5.8)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]} a_{n,2k} \omega^r \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) = O\left(a_{nn} H\left(r; a_{nn}\right)\right)$$

if $\omega(t)$ satisfies (1.17).

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.1. The only difference being that instead of (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6) we use (4.3), (5.7) and (5.8) respectively. This completes the proof.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Using the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, from (4.4) and (5.2) we get

(5.9)
$$|R_n(x+h,x)| \le K_1 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} E_k^r(g_h) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\le K_2 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \omega^r \left(g_h, \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$\le 2K_2 \omega(|h|).$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

On the other hand, by (4.4) and (5.1), we have

(5.10)
$$|R_n(x+h,x)| \le K_2 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \omega^r \left(g_h, \frac{\pi}{k+1} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \le 2K_2 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \omega^r \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Similarly, we can show that

(5.11)
$$||T_n(f,r)||_C \le K_3 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} \omega^r \left(f, \frac{\pi}{k+1} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Finally, using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 4.6, (2.6) and (2.7) follow from (5.9) - (5.11).



mathematics

References

- [1] P. CHANDRA, On the degree of approximation of a class of functions by means of Fourier series, Acta Math. Hungar., 52 (1988), 199-205.
- [2] P. CHANDRA, A note on the degree of approximation of continuous function, Acta Math. Hungar., 62 (1993), 21-23.
- [3] L. LEINDLER, On the degree of approximation of continuous functions, Acta Math. Hungar., 104(1-2), (2004), 105–113.
- [4] R.N. MOHAPATRA AND P. CHANDRA, Degree of approximation of functions in the Hölder metric, Acta Math. Hungar., **41**(1-2) (1983), 67–76.
- [5] T. SINGH, Degree of approximation to functions in a normed spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 40(3-4) (1992), 261–271.
- [6] XIE-HUA SUN, Degree of approximation of functions in the generalized Hölder metric, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 27(4) (1996), 407-417.
- [7] B. SZAL, On the strong approximation of functions by matrix means in the generalized Hölder metric, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), 56(2) (2007), 287-304.



	Rate of Strong Summability by Matrix Means		
	Bogdan Szal		
	vol. 9, iss. 1, art. 28, 2008		
			-
	Title Page		
	Contents		
	44	••	
	•	•	
	Page 2	7 of 27	
	Go Back		
	Full Screen		
	Close		
jc in	journal of inequalities		

mathematics