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#### Abstract

This article is concerned with the infimum $e_{1}$ of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator $\tau=-\Delta+q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1$. It is assumed that $q_{-}=\max (0,-q) \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $p \geq 1$ if $N=1, p>N / 2$ if $N \geq 2$. The infimum $e_{1}$ is estimated in terms of the $L^{p}$-norm of $q_{-}$and the infimum $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ of a functional $\Lambda_{N, \theta}(\nu)=\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{\theta}\|v\|_{2}^{1-\theta}\|v\|_{r}^{-1}$, with $\nu$ element of the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $\theta=N /(2 p)$ and $r=2 N /(N-2 \theta)$. The result is optimal. The constant $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ is known explicitly for $N=1$; for $N \geq 2$, it is estimated by the optimal constant $C_{N, s}$ in the Sobolev inequality, where $s=2 \theta=N / p$. A combination of these results gives an explicit lower bound for the infimum $e_{1}$ of the spectrum. The results improve and generalize those of Thirring [A Course in Mathematical Physics III. Quantum Mechanics of Atoms and Molecules, Springer, New York 1981] and Rosen [Phys. Rev. Lett., 49 (1982), 1885-1887] who considered the special case $N=3$. The infimum $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ of the functional $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ is calculated numerically (for $N=2,3,4,5$, and 10) and compared with the lower bounds as found in this article. Also, the results are compared with these by Nasibov [Soviet. Math. Dokl., 40 (1990), 110-115].
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## 1. Results

In this article we study the Schrödinger operator $\tau=-\Delta+q$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The real-valued potential $q$ is such that $q=q_{+}+q_{-}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{+}=\max (0, q) \in L_{l o c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{-}=\max (0,-q) \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad N=1: \quad 1 \leq p<\infty, \quad N \geq 2: \quad N / 2<p<\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Associated with $q$ is the closed hermitian form $h$,

$$
\begin{align*}
h(u, v) & =(\nabla u, \overline{\nabla v})+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} q u \bar{v} d x, \quad u, v \in Q(h)  \tag{1.3}\\
Q(h) & =H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap\left\{u \mid u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad q_{+}^{1 / 2} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\} . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

As will be shown in the course of the proof of Theorem 1.1, $h$ is semibounded below if the condition (1.2) is satisfied. Hence, we can define a unique self-adjoint operator $H$, such that $Q(h)$ is its quadratic form (see [22, Theorem VIII.15] or [26, Theorem 2.5.19]).

We remark that $\tau$ restricted to $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is essentially self-adjoint for the following values of $p$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p \geq 2 & \text { if } N=1,2,3 \\
p>2 & \text { if } N=4  \tag{1.5}\\
p \geq N / 2 & \text { if } N \geq 5
\end{array}
$$

see [21, Corollary, $p$. 199, with $V_{1}=q_{+}, c=d=0, V_{2}=q_{-}$]. For $N=1,2,3$ condition (1.5) imposes a restriction on the values of $p$ allowed in 1.2. Furthermore, $\mathcal{D}(H)=H_{0}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=$ $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if $q_{+} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), p>N / 2, N \geq 4$; see [6, pp. 123, $\left.246(\mathrm{vi})\right]$.

It is our purpose to give a lower bound for the infimum of the spectrum of $H$ by estimating the Rayleigh quotient $e_{1}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{D}(H)} h(u, u) /\|u\|_{2}^{2}$. Since $q_{+}$enlarges $e_{1}$, it suffices to consider the Rayleigh quotient for the case $q_{+}=0$.

Let $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ be the following functional on $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{N, \theta}(v)=\frac{\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{\theta}\|v\|_{2}^{1-\theta}}{\|v\|_{r}}, \quad r=2 N /(N-2 \theta), \quad v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
0<\theta \leq 1 / 2 \text { if } N=1, \quad \text { and } \quad 0<\theta<1 \text { if } N \geq 2
$$

Let $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ be its infimum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{N, \theta}=\inf \left\{\Lambda_{N, \theta}(v) \mid v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), v \neq 0\right\} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possible to include the cases $\theta=0$, with $\lambda_{N, 0}=\Lambda_{N, 0}(v)=1$, and $\theta=1$, provided $N \geq 2$; see below. The functional $\Lambda_{N, \theta}(v)$ is invariant for dilations in the argument of $v$ and for scaling of $v$.

We recall the following imbeddings

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right) \hookrightarrow C^{0, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}^{1}}\right), 0<\lambda \leq 1 / 2  \tag{1.8}\\
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), 2 \leq s<\infty  \tag{1.9}\\
H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2 \leq s \leq 2 N /(N-2), N \geq 3 \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

see [1, pp. 97, 98]. Here, $C^{0, \lambda}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}^{1}}\right)$ is the space of bounded, uniformly continuous functions $v$ on $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ with

$$
\sup _{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{1}, x \neq y}|v(x)-v(y)| /|x-y|^{\lambda}<\infty .
$$

Hence, $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ implies $u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ and, therefore, $u \in L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), 2 \leq s \leq \infty$. Thus, (1.8), (1.9), and (1.10) imply that there exist positive constants $K$ such that

$$
\left[\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}+\|v\|_{2}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} /\|v\|_{s} \geq K, \quad \begin{gather*}
2 \leq s<\infty \text { if } N=2  \tag{1.11}\\
2 \leq s \leq 2 N /(N-2) \text { if } N \geq 3
\end{gather*}
$$

Returning to the functional $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$, we make for $0<\theta<1(0<\theta \leq 1 / 2$ if $N=1)$ a dilation $x=\epsilon y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, w(y)=v(x)$, such that

$$
\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2} /\|w\|_{2}^{2}=\theta /(1-\theta)
$$

The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \leq a^{P} / P+b^{Q} / Q, a, b \geq 0,1<P<\infty, 1 / P+1 / Q=1 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $a^{P}=b^{Q}$, applied to $\Lambda_{N, \theta}^{2}(w)$ gives $(P=1 / \theta, Q=1 /(1-\theta)$, $\left.a=\eta\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2 \theta}, b=\|w\|_{2}^{2 \theta} / \eta\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{N, \theta}^{2}(w) \leq \frac{\theta \eta^{1 / \theta}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+(1-\theta) \eta^{-1 /(1-\theta)}\|w\|_{2}^{2}}{\|w\|_{r}^{2}} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some number $\eta>0$. Equality holds if and only if

$$
\eta^{1 / \theta}\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}=\eta^{-1 /(1-\theta)}\|w\|_{2}^{2}, \quad \text { i.e. } \eta^{-1 /(\theta(1-\theta))}=\theta /(1-\theta)
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{N, \theta}^{2}(w)=\theta^{\theta}(1-\theta)^{1-\theta} \frac{\|\nabla w\|_{2}^{2}+\|w\|_{2}^{2}}{\|w\|_{r}^{2}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it is possible to perform this dilation for any $v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and since $\theta^{\theta}(1-\theta)^{1-\theta}>0$ we conclude that $\lambda_{N, \theta}>0$ for $0<\theta<1$. The case $N=1, \theta=1 / 2$ (in that case $r$ becomes undefined) is covered by the value $s=\infty$ in 1.11 . The cases $\theta=1, N \geq 2$ are covered by a special form of the Sobolev inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla w\|_{s} \geq C_{N, s}\|w\|_{t}, t=s N /(N-s), 1 \leq s<N, w \in H^{1, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{N, s}$ are the optimal constants and

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{1, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)= & \text { completion of }\left\{w \mid w \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),\|u\|_{1, s}^{s}=\|u\|_{s}^{s}+\|\nabla u\|_{s}^{s}<\infty\right\}  \tag{1.16}\\
& \text { with respect to the } \operatorname{norm}\|\cdot\|_{1, s} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we take $s=2$ we have $\lambda_{N, 1}=C_{N, 2}, N \geq 3$. Since $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \nprec L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, it follows that $\lambda_{2,1}=C_{2,2}=0$, i.e. $K=0$ in 1.11 . The numbers $C_{N, s}$ are known explicitly by the work of [2] and [25], see also [14]

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{N, s}=N^{1 / s}\left(\frac{N-s}{s-1}\right)^{(s-1) / s}\left[N \omega_{N} B\left(\frac{N}{s}, N+1-\frac{N}{s}\right)\right]^{1 / N}, 1<s<N  \tag{1.17}\\
& C_{N, 1}=N \omega_{N}^{1 / N}, N \geq 2
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{N}$ is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{N} & =\pi^{N / 2} / \Gamma(1+N / 2)  \tag{1.19}\\
B(a, b) & =\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) / \Gamma(a+b), a, b>0 \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and there is equality in 1.15 for functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{N, s}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=\left\{a+b|x|^{s /(s-1)}\right\}^{1-N / s}, a, b>0,1<s<N \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $w_{N, s} \notin L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if $s \geq N^{1 / 2}$. For $s=1$ there are no functions such that there is equality, but by taking an approximating sequence $\left\{w^{i}\right\} \in H^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of the characteristic function of the unit ball, the bound $C_{N, 1}$ can be approximated arbitrarily close. See further Lemma 2.1 for more information about $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ and the explicit form for $\lambda_{1, \theta}$.

In Theorem 1.1 we give the lowest possible point of the spectrum of this Schrödinger equation for all $q_{-}$satisfying $(1.2)$. Let us define the number $l(N, \theta)$, where $\theta=N /(2 p)$, as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(N, \theta)=\inf _{q-\in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \inf _{u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} q\|u\|_{2}^{2} d x}{\|u\|_{2}^{2}}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}^{-1 /(1-\theta)} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $q_{-} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$ if $N=1, N / 2<p<\infty$ if $N \geq 2$ (i.e. (1.2)). Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l(N, \theta)=-(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2 /(1-\theta)}, & 0<\theta<1 / 2 \text { if } N=1  \tag{1.23}\\
& 0<\theta<1 \text { if } N \geq 2
\end{array}
$$

and explicitly for $N=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
l(1, \theta) & =-\left\{(2 \theta)^{2 \theta}(1-2 \theta)^{1-2 \theta}\left[B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2 \theta}\right)\right]^{-2 \theta}\right\}^{1 /(1-\theta)}, 0<\theta<1 / 2 \\
& =-\left\{p^{-p}(p-1)^{p-1}\left[B\left(\frac{1}{2}, p\right)\right]^{-1}\right\}^{2 /(2 p-1)}, 1<p<\infty  \tag{1.24}\\
l(1,1 / 2) & =-1 / 4 . \tag{1.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.2. Of course, for any application of this method to find a lower bound for $e_{1}$ (the smallest eigenvalue) one can take the following infimum over the allowed set $\Theta$ of $\theta$-values (depending on $q_{-}$).

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1} \geq-\inf _{\theta \in \Theta}(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2 /(1-\theta)}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{N /(2 \theta)}^{1 /(1-\theta)} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.3. Note that we do not include $\theta=1$ in the allowed $\theta$-range, although for $N \geq$ $2 \lambda_{N, 1}$ is defined. It turns out that the method of the proof does not work in this case; it gives however a criterion such that $\sigma_{d}(H)=\emptyset$ (i.e. there are no isolated eigenvalues), see the Remark 2.4 after the proof of Theorem 1.1 .
Remark 1.4. It is possible to allow the case $p=\infty$, i.e. $\theta=0$, then $l(N, 0)=-1$. If $q=$ $-\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}$ this bound is achieved arbitrarily close by a sequence of functions $\left\{u^{i}\right\} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where each $u^{i}$ is a smooth approximation of the characteristic function of the $i$-ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, because then the quotient

$$
\left\|\nabla u^{i}\right\|_{2}^{2} /\left\|u^{i}\right\|_{2}^{2} \rightarrow N \omega_{N} i^{-1}, i \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} q\left|u^{i}\right|^{2} d x}{\left\|u^{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{\infty}^{-1}=-1
$$

Remark 1.5. Already Lieb and Thirring [15] characterize the infimum of the spectrum with a number $-\left(L_{\gamma, N}^{1}\right)^{1 / \gamma}$ (in their notation, $\gamma=p-N / 2$ ), with $\gamma>\max (0,1-N / 2$ ), and $\gamma=1 / 2$, $N=1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(L_{\gamma, N}^{1}\right)^{1 / \gamma}\right|_{\gamma=(1-\theta) N /(2 \theta)}=(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2 /(1-\theta)} \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

They give $L_{\gamma, 1}^{1}$ for $\gamma>1 / 2$ explicitly. Here, we also include the case $N=1, \gamma=1 / 2$ (i.e. $\theta=1 / 2, p=1$ ). However, the main reason of this article is to show how one can give an explicit estimate for $e_{1}$ by sharp estimates of the numbers $\lambda_{N, \theta}, N \geq 2$, in terms of the numbers $C_{N, s}$ for some $s=s(\theta)$, see Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 . For a survey for other integral inequalities results related to the infimum of the spectrum see [9] and [16].

Remark 1.6. The results for the ordinary differential case $(N=1, \Omega=\mathbb{R})$ are related to those for $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{+}$with either a Dirichlet or a Neumann boundary condition at $x=0$ (respectively the operators $T_{0}$ and $T_{\pi / 2}$ in the work of [8], [27] and [10]). In those cases there holds $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{q-\in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \inf _{u \in \mathcal{D}\left(T_{0}\right)} \frac{\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} q|u|_{2}^{2} d x}{\|u\|_{2}^{2}}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}^{-2 p /(2 p-1)}=l(1,1 /(2 p)), \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{q_{-} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \inf _{u \in \mathcal{D}\left(T_{\pi / 2}\right)} \frac{\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} q|u|_{2}^{2} d x}{\|u\|_{2}^{2}}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}^{-2 p /(2 p-1)}=2^{2 /(2 p-1)} l(1,1 /(2 p)) . \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

See for related work [3].
Theorem 1.7. The following inequalities hold for $N \geq 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i) } \lambda_{N, \theta}>\left(\lambda_{N, \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{N, \theta^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{1-\alpha}, 0<\alpha<1, \theta=\alpha \theta^{\prime}+(1-\alpha) \theta^{\prime \prime}, \theta^{\prime} \neq \theta^{\prime \prime} \text {, } \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) $\lambda_{N, \theta}>\left(\theta C_{N, 2 \theta}\right)^{\theta}, \quad 1 / 2 \leq \theta<1$,
iii) $\lambda_{N, \theta}>\left(\theta_{N} C_{N, 2 \theta_{N}}\right)^{\theta}, \quad 0<\theta \leq \theta_{N}$,

$$
\lambda_{N, \theta}>\left(\theta C_{N, 2 \theta}\right)^{\theta}, \quad \theta_{N} \leq \theta<1
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { iv) } \lambda_{N, \theta}>\left(C_{N, 2}\right)^{\theta}, \quad 0<\theta<1 \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{N, s}$ is given by (1.17) and (1.18) and $\theta_{N}=\theta(N) \in(1 / 2,1)$ is the unique maximum of $\theta C_{N, 2 \theta}, 1 / 2 \leq \theta \leq 1$. $\theta_{N}$ is given by $\theta_{N}=N /\left(2 p_{N}\right)$ where $p_{N}$ is the solution of $M(N, p)=0$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
M(N, p) & =\log \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)+\frac{N-p}{p(p-1)}+\psi(p)-\psi(N+1-p),  \tag{1.34}\\
\psi(x) & =\frac{d}{d x}\left(\log (\Gamma(x))=\left(\frac{d}{d x} \Gamma(x)\right) / \Gamma(x), \quad x>0 .\right. \tag{1.35}
\end{align*}
$$

It is now easy to combine both theorems in
Theorem 1.8. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1 there holds

$$
l(N, \theta)> \begin{cases}-(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)}\left(\theta_{N} C_{N, 2 \theta_{N}}\right)^{-2 \theta /(1-\theta)}, & 0<\theta \leq \theta_{N}  \tag{1.36}\\ -(1-\theta) \theta^{-\theta /(1-\theta)}\left(C_{N, 2 \theta}\right)^{-2 \theta /(1-\theta)}, & \theta_{N} \leq \theta<1,\end{cases}
$$

and also (generally less than optimal)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l(N, \theta)>-(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)}\left(\theta^{\prime} C_{N, 2 \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{-2 \theta /(1-\theta)}, & 0<\theta<1  \tag{1.37}\\
& \text { for any } \theta^{\prime} \geq \theta, 1 / 2 \leq \theta^{\prime} \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Equation (1.36) follows from (1.23) and (1.32); (1.37) follows from (1.23), (1.30) (with $\theta^{\prime \prime}=0$ ) and 1.31 .
Remark 1.9. For $N=3, \theta^{\prime}=1$ the result (1.37) reads explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(3, \theta)>-(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)}\left[3^{1 / 2} 2^{-2 / 3} \pi^{2 / 3}\right]^{-2 \theta /(1-\theta)}, \quad 0<\theta<1, \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this is the same result as [23, (14)].
Remark 1.10. [26, (3.5.30), and private communication by H. Grosse] gives the following result for $N=3$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
l(3,3 /(2 p))>-((p-1) / p)^{2}(4 \pi)^{-2 /(2 p-3)}\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2 p-3}{p-1}\right)\right]^{(2 p-2) /(2 p-3)},  \tag{1.39}\\
3 / 2<p<\infty
\end{array}
$$

or in terms of $\theta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(3, \theta)>-(1-2 \theta / 3)^{2}(4 \pi)^{-2 \theta /(3-3 \theta)}\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{6-6 \theta}{3-2 \theta}\right)\right]^{(3-2 \theta) /(3-3 \theta)}, 0<\theta<1 \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be proved that (1.38) is better than (1.40) for all $0<\theta<1$. For $\theta=0$ the right-hand sides of both (1.38) and (1.40) give the correct value $l(3,0)=-1$.
Remark 1.11. To show the superiority of 1.37) with $\theta^{\prime}<1$ against 1.37) with $\theta^{\prime}=1$, i.e. (1.38), we evaluate the bound for $l(3,3 / 4)$ of (1.37) with $\theta=\theta^{\prime}=3 / 4$. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(3,3 / 4)>-2^{2} 3^{-7} \pi^{-2} \simeq-1.85_{10^{-4}} \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

while (1.38) gives

$$
l(3,3 / 4)>-2^{-4} \pi^{-4} \simeq-6.42_{10^{-4}}
$$

and (1.40) gives

$$
l(3,3 / 4)>-2^{-6} \pi^{-2} \simeq-15.83_{10^{-4}}
$$

Based on our numerical calculations (see Section 3) we find $l(3,3 / 4)=-1.750180_{10^{-4}}$. So the estimate (1.41) comes close to the actual value of $l(3,3 / 4)$.
Remark 1.12. The results in Theorems 1.1, 1.7, and 1.8 were announced in [28] and [7] p. 337].
Remark 1.13. In the interesting paper [20] Nasibov has given a lower bound (in his notation $1 / \overline{k_{0}}$ ) for $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{N, \theta}=\frac{1}{k_{0}}>\frac{1}{\overline{k_{0}}}, \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{k_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta^{\theta}(1-\theta)^{1-\theta}}}\left(N \omega_{N} B\left(\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N(1-\theta)}{2 \theta}\right)\right)^{\theta / N} k_{B}\left(\frac{2 N}{N+2 \theta}\right),  \tag{1.43}\\
& k_{B}(p)=\left[\left(\frac{p}{2 \pi}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{2 \pi}\right)^{-1 / p^{\prime}}\right]^{N / 2}, \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1 . \tag{1.44}
\end{align*}
$$

And, even better

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{N, \theta}=\frac{1}{k_{0}}>\frac{1}{\overline{\overline{k_{0}}}}, \quad \text { with } \quad \frac{1}{\overline{\overline{k_{0}}}}>\frac{1}{\overline{k_{0}}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \theta>N / 4 \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\overline{k_{0}}}=\left\{\frac{1}{\theta^{\theta}(1-\theta)^{1-\theta}} k_{B}\left(\frac{N}{N-2 \theta}\right) k_{B}^{2}\left(\frac{2 N}{N+2 \theta}\right)\|G(|x|)\|_{\frac{N}{N-2 \theta}}\right\}^{1 / 2},  \tag{1.46}\\
& G(|x|)=K_{\frac{N-2}{2}}(|x|)|x|^{-(N-2) / 2} \tag{1.47}
\end{align*}
$$

with $K_{\alpha}$ the modified Bessel function of the second kind and order $\alpha$. The inequality (1.45) is only relevant for $N=2,1 / 2 \leq \theta \leq 1$, and $N=3,3 / 4 \leq \theta \leq 1$, since $\overline{k_{0}}<\overline{\overline{k_{0}}}$, for $N=2$, $0<\theta<1 / 2$, and $N=3,0<\theta<3 / 4$, and $\overline{k_{0}}=\overline{\overline{k_{0}}}$, for $N=2, \theta=1 / 2$, and $N=3, \theta=3 / 4$.

The reader is advised to consult also the original paper (Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 307, No. $3,538-542$ (1989)) of [20] since there are a number of misprints in the translated version. In Section 3 this lower bound will be compared with (1.32). The function $G$ reads

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N=2, & G(|x|)=K_{0}(|x|), \\
N=3, & G(|x|)=K_{\frac{1}{2}}(|x|)|x|^{-1 / 2}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \exp (-|x|) /|x|,
\end{array}
$$

so, one has to calculate the integrals in (1.46)

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=2:\|G(|x|)\|_{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}=\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{0}^{1 /(1-\theta)}(r) 2 \pi r d r\right]^{1-\theta},  \tag{1.48}\\
& N=3:\|G(|x|)\|_{\frac{3}{3-2 \theta}}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{(3-4 \theta) /(3-2 \theta)} \exp \left(-\frac{3 r}{3-2 \theta}\right) 4 \pi d r\right]^{(3-2 \theta) / 3} . \tag{1.49}
\end{align*}
$$

For $N=3$ the integral in (1.49) can be evaluated explicitly, while for $N=2$, i.e. (1.48), that is only possible for $\theta=1 / 2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
N=2:\|G(|x|)\|_{2} & =\left[2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{0}^{2}(r) r d r\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\left.2 \pi\left[\frac{r^{2}}{2}\left(K_{0}^{2}(r)-K_{1}^{2}(r)\right)\right]\right|_{0} ^{\infty}\right)^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{\pi}, \\
N & =3:\|G(|x|)\|_{\frac{3}{3-2 \theta}}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}(4 \pi)^{(3-2 \theta) / 3}\left(\frac{3-2 \theta}{3}\right)^{2-2 \theta}\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{6-6 \theta}{3-2 \theta}\right)\right]^{(3-2 \theta) / 3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Proofs

Firstly, we give more information on $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ in a lemma.
Lemma 2.1. The value $\lambda_{N, \theta}=\inf _{v \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), v \neq 0} \Lambda_{N, \theta}(v)$ for the functional $\Lambda_{N, \theta}(v)$ defined in (6) is attained by radial symmetric monotonely decreasing positive functions $v_{N, \theta}(|x|)$ which satisfy, except for $\theta=1 / 2, N=1$, the following ordinary differential equation for $0<\theta<1 / 2$ if $N=1$, and $0<\theta<1$ if $N \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}} v-\frac{(N-1)}{r} \frac{d}{d r} v-v|v|^{(N+2 \theta) /(N-2 \theta)-1}+v=0, r=|x|>0 \\
\frac{d}{d r} v(0)=0, \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the value $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{N, \theta}=\theta^{\theta / 2}(1-\theta)^{(N(1-\theta)-2 \theta) /(2 N)}\left[N \omega_{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} v_{N, \theta}^{2}(r) r^{N-1} d r\right]^{\theta / N} \text { for } 0<\theta<1, N \geq 2 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N=1$ we have explicitly for $x \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1, \theta}(x) & =v_{1, \theta}(-x), 0<\theta \leq 1 / 2,  \tag{2.3}\\
v_{1, \theta}(x) & =\left\{(1-2 \theta)^{1 / 2} \cosh \left(\frac{2 \theta}{1-2 \theta} x\right)\right\}^{-(1-2 \theta) /(2 \theta)}, 0<\theta<1 / 2, \\
v_{1,1 / 2}(x) & =e^{-x},  \tag{2.4}\\
\lambda_{1, \theta} & =2^{-\theta} \theta^{-\theta / 2}(1-\theta)^{(1-\theta) / 2}(1-2 \theta)^{-(1-2 \theta) / 2}\left\{B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2 \theta}\right)\right\}^{\theta}, 0<\theta<1 / 2, \\
\lambda_{1, N /(2 p)} & =2^{-1 / 2}\left\{(2 p-1)^{(2 p-1) / 2}(p-1)^{-(p-1)} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, p\right)\right\}^{1 /(2 p)}, 1<p<\infty, \\
\lambda_{1,1 / 2} & =1 . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The case $N=1$ was treated by [19] and the case $N \geq 2$ was given by [29] who used a rearrangement and an inequality due to Strauss to prove the compactness of the imbedding of radial symmetric functions $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ into $L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 2<s<\infty$ if $N=2$, and $2<s<$ $2 N /(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$ (see also (1.9), 1.10). The Euler equation connected with the infimum of $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\theta\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{-2} \Delta u+(1-\theta)\|u\|_{2}^{-2} u-\|u\|_{r}^{-r}|u|^{r-2} u=0, r=2 N /(N-2 \theta), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be scaled into the form (2.1) with $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ given by (2.2). The following relations between $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ and the following norms of $\bar{v}_{N, \theta}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=v_{N, \theta}(|x|)$ hold (cf. [24] p. 151], where the factor " $n-2)$ " has to be skipped in the last line on that page)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\bar{v}_{N, \theta}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =L(1-\theta),\left\|\nabla \bar{v}_{N, \theta}\right\|_{2}^{2}=L \theta,\left\|\bar{v}_{N, \theta}\right\|_{r}^{r}=L,  \tag{2.7}\\
L & =\theta^{-N / 2}(1-\theta)^{-N(1-\theta) /(2 \theta)} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{N / \theta} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since (2.1) is nonlinear the value of $v(0)$ has to be chosen properly to satisfy $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r)$ $=0$.

Remark 2.2. We note that the existence of solutions of (2.1) has been proved by many authors: it is just the range $0<\theta<1$, see [17]. The uniqueness for the full $\theta$-range has been proved by Kwong, see [11], after preliminary work by [17], and [18]. A proof based on geometrical arguments has been given by [5]. See for related work also [12].
Remark 2.3. Numerical information for $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ for $N=2,3$ can be obtained from [15], Appendix], where curves for $L_{\gamma, N}^{1}$ (see 1.27 ) are given $(0 \leq \gamma \leq 2.8, N=2,3)$. By 1.27 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{N, \theta}=\theta^{\theta / 2}(1-\theta)^{(1-\theta) / 2}\left(L_{\gamma, N}^{1}\right)^{-\theta / N}, \gamma=N(1-\theta) /(2 \theta) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison with (2.8) learns that $L_{\gamma, N}^{1}=1 / L$. Besides, the following two values for $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ are known based on numerical calculations

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{2,1 / 2}^{-1} & \simeq\left(\frac{1}{\pi(1.86225 \cdots)}\right) \simeq 0.642988,([[29], \text { after }(\text { I. } .5))  \tag{2.10}\\
\rightarrow \lambda_{2,1 / 2} & \simeq 1.55524, \\
\lambda_{2,2 / 3}^{3} & \simeq 4.5981,([[13], \text { p. } 185)  \tag{2.11}\\
\rightarrow \lambda_{2,2 / 3} & \simeq 1.66287 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We estimate $h(u, u)$, see $\sqrt{1.3)}$, as follows. All integrals are over $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
h(u, u) & =\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int q|u|^{2} d x  \tag{2.12}\\
& \geq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\int q_{-}|u|^{2} d x \\
& \geq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}\|u\|_{r}^{2} \quad[r=2 p /(p-1)=2 N /(N-2 \theta)]  \tag{2.13}\\
& \geq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2 \theta}\|u\|_{2}^{2(1-\theta)} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Apply now (1.12) with

$$
P=1 / \theta, a=\theta^{-\theta}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2 \theta},
$$

and

$$
a b=\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2 \theta}\|u\|_{2}^{2(1-\theta)} .
$$

Then

$$
b=\lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2} \theta^{\theta}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}\|u\|_{2}^{2(1-\theta)}
$$

and finally we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(u, u)=-b^{Q} / Q=-(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2 /(1-\theta)}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}^{1 /(1-\theta)}\|u\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the bound of Theorem 1.1. To prove the optimality part we observe that in such a case we need

$$
\begin{align*}
q & =q_{-} & & \text {by (2.12), } \\
q_{-} & =(\text {const })|u|^{2 /(p-1)} & & \text { by (2.13), } \\
u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) & =(\text { const }) v_{N, \theta}(|x|) & & \text { by (2.14), } \\
a^{P} & =b^{Q}, & & \text { by (2.15). }
\end{align*}
$$

that is

$$
\theta^{-1}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}=\lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2 /(1-\theta)} \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}^{1 /(1-\theta)}\|u\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

If one takes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=v_{N, \theta}(|x|), \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=-q_{-}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=-\left[v_{N, \theta}(|x|)\right]^{2 /(p-1)}, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (2.1) becomes $-\Delta u+q u=-u$; this means that the Schrödinger equation and the Euler equation for $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ are the same if $e_{1}=-1$. This is true because for these scalings the lower bound becomes:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2 /(1-\theta)}\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}^{1 /(1-\theta)} & & \\
& =-(1-\theta) \theta^{\theta /(1-\theta)} \lambda_{N, \theta}^{-2 /(1-\theta)}\left[\left\|\bar{v}_{N, \theta}\right\|_{r}^{r}\right]^{2 \theta /(N(1-\theta))} & & \text { by (2.21), } \\
& =-1 & & \text { by (2.7), (2.8). }
\end{array}
$$

Finally, (2.19) is implied also by (2.7) and (2.8). It means that the infimum in 1.22) over $q_{-} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is actually attained. In addition to (2.7) there holds that for $q$ as chosen as in (2.21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{p}^{p}=L \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only the case $\theta=1 / 2, N=1$ deserves special attention since $\frac{d}{d x} v_{1,1 / 2}(x)$ is not continuous at $x=0$. We take the following sequences (see [27])

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{j}(x) & =-(j+1)[\cosh (j x)]^{-2},\left\|q_{j}\right\|_{1}=1+1 / j  \tag{2.23}\\
u_{j}(x) & =[\cosh (j x)]^{-1 / j} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

then $u_{j}, q_{j}$ satisfy

$$
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} u_{j}+q_{j} u_{j}=-u_{j}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|u_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q\left|u_{j}\right|_{2}^{2} d x}{\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}}\left\|q_{j}\right\|_{1}^{-2}=-(1+1 / j)^{2} / 4>-1 / 4=l(1,1 / 2) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For these sequences, $j \rightarrow \infty$, the bound can be approached arbitrarily close.
Remark 2.4. As one can observe the proof does not work for $\theta=1$, i.e. $p=N / 2$, however, in that case we can estimate $(N \geq 3)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(u, u) & =\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\int q|u|^{2} d x \\
& \geq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\int q_{-}|u|^{2} d x \\
& \geq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{N / 2}\|u\|_{2 N /(N-2)}^{2} \\
& \geq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\left(1-\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{N / 2} \lambda_{N, 1}^{-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q_{-}\right\|_{N / 2}<\lambda_{N, 1}^{2}=C_{N, 2}^{2}=\pi N(N-2)[\Gamma(N / 2) / \Gamma(N)]^{2 / N}, N \geq 3 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that $\sigma_{d}(H)=\emptyset$, i.e. there are no isolated eigenvalues. This is a well-known result, see [15, (4.24)].

Proof of Theorem 1.7. i) By the Hölder inequality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{r}<\|v\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\alpha}\|v\|_{r^{\prime \prime}}^{1-\alpha}, 0<\alpha<1,1 / r=\alpha / r^{\prime}+(1-\alpha) / r^{\prime \prime}, r^{\prime} \neq r^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which inequality is strict, since $r^{\prime} \neq r^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, by the conditions specified under i)

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{N, \theta}(v) & =\frac{\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{\theta}\|v\|_{2}^{1-\theta}}{\|v\|_{r}} \\
& >\left(\frac{\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{\theta^{\prime}}\|v\|_{2}^{1-\theta^{\prime}}}{\|v\|_{r^{\prime}}}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{\theta^{\prime \prime}}\|v\|_{2}^{1-\theta^{\prime \prime}}}{\|v\|_{r^{\prime \prime}}}\right)^{1-\alpha} \\
& =\Lambda_{N, \theta^{\prime}}^{\alpha}(v) \Lambda_{N, \theta^{\prime \prime}}^{1-\alpha}(v), \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

and we find (1.30), which is also strict, since both infima are attained.
ii) This result is given by [13, (1.5)], by making the transformation $w=v^{1 / \theta}$ for $v>0$ in (1.15) as follows

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
C_{N, s} & \leq \frac{\|\nabla w\|_{s}}{\|w\|_{t}}=\frac{\left\|\nabla v^{1 / \theta}\right\|_{s}}{\left\|v^{1 / \theta}\right\|_{t}}=\frac{1 / \theta\left\|v^{(1-\theta) / \theta} \nabla v\right\|_{s}}{\left\|v^{1 / \theta}\right\|_{t}} & & {[t=s N /(N-s)]} \\
& =\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\left(\int(\nabla v)^{s} v^{s(1-\theta) / \theta} d x\right)^{1 / s}}{\left(\int v^{t / \theta} d x\right)^{1 / t}} & & {[\text { apply Hölder inequality, }} \\
& \leq \frac{1 / P+1 / Q=1]}{\theta} \frac{\left(\int(\nabla v)^{s P} d x\right)^{1 /(s P)}\left(\int v^{Q s(1-\theta) / \theta} d x\right)^{1 /(s Q)}}{\left(\int v^{t / \theta} d x\right)^{1 / t}} & & {[\text { take } P=2 / s,} \\
& =\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\left(\int(\nabla v)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int v^{Q s(1-\theta) / \theta} d x\right)^{(2-s) /(2 s)}}{\left(\int v^{t / \theta} d x\right)^{1 / t}} & & {[\text { take } s=2 \theta, \text { and }} \\
& & r=t / \theta=2 N /(N-2 \theta)] \\
& =\frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\|\nabla v\|_{2}\|v\|_{2}^{(1-\theta) / \theta}}{\|v\|_{r}^{1 / \theta}=\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\Lambda_{N, \theta}(v)\right)^{1 / \theta},} &
\end{array}
$$

for the choice $s=2 \theta$. We have to restrict $\theta$ to the interval $1 / 2 \leq \theta \leq 1$ to give the right-hand side of (31) a meaning. Again, the inequality is strict since $w=v_{N, \theta}^{\theta}$ does not equal a function $w_{N, s}$ (see (1.21) , with $s=2 \theta$.
iii) Combining i) with $\theta^{\prime \prime}=0$ and ii) one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{N, \theta}>\left(\theta^{\prime} C_{N, 2 \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{\theta}, 0<\theta<1, \theta \leq \theta^{\prime}, 1 / 2 \leq \theta^{\prime}<1 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This motivates the determination of the maximum of $\theta C_{N, 2 \theta}=(N /(2 p)) C_{N, N / p}$ on $1 / 2 \leq \theta<$ 1. There holds by (1.17), 1.18)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N}{2 p} C_{N, N / p}=\frac{N^{2}}{2 p}\left(\frac{p-1}{N-p}\right)^{(N-p) / N}\left[N \omega_{N} B(p, N+1-p)\right]^{1 / N}, \quad 1<p<N  \tag{2.30}\\
& \frac{1}{2} C_{N, 1} \quad=(N / 2) \omega_{N}^{1 / N}, \quad p=N, \quad \theta=1 / 2
\end{align*}
$$

The maximum of 2.30 is found by putting the logaritmic derivative of (2.30) with respect to $p$ equal to zero, which is equation (1.34). It can be proven that (1.34) has a unique solution $p_{N}, 1<p_{N}<N$, because $\frac{d}{d p} M(N, p) \leq 0$. For this last inequality we use the fact that $\psi^{\prime}(z)<1 / z+1 /\left(2 z^{2}\right)+3 /\left(4 z^{3}\right)$. So, with $\theta_{N}=N /\left(2 p_{N}\right)$ and for $0<\theta \leq \theta_{N}$, there holds $\Lambda_{N, \theta}>\left(\theta_{N} C_{N, 2 \theta_{N}}\right)^{\theta}$, and for the remaining interval $\theta_{N} \leq \theta<1, \lambda_{N, \theta}>\left(\theta C_{N, 2 \theta}\right)^{\theta}$.
iv) Since $\lim _{p \rightarrow N} M(N, p)=-\infty$, it follows that $\theta C_{N, 2 \theta}>C_{N, 2}$ for $\theta$ in a neighbourhood of $\theta=1$. So (1.33) follows from (2.29).

Remark 2.5. Application of Theorem 1.7 i) with $\theta^{\prime \prime}=0, \alpha=\theta / \theta^{\prime}$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{N, \theta}^{2} \geq \lambda_{N, \theta^{\prime}}^{2 \theta / \theta^{\prime}}, \quad \theta^{\prime}>\theta . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

[15], (2.21)] give the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\gamma, N}^{1} \leq L_{\gamma-1, N}^{1}(\gamma /(\gamma+N / 2)), \gamma>2-N / 2 . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.27) this is equivalent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{N, \theta}^{2} \geq \lambda_{N, \theta^{\prime}}^{2 \theta / \theta^{\prime}} F\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right), \theta=N /(2 p), \quad \theta^{\prime}=N /(2(p-1)) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
F\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)=\left[(1-\theta) /\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\theta\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right) / \theta^{\prime}}\left(\theta / \theta^{\prime}\right)^{\theta} .
$$

For $\theta^{\prime}>\theta$ it will be proved that $F\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)<1$, which means that i) of Theorem 1.7 (equation (2.31) is better than (2.32). $F\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)<1$ is equivalent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\theta\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right) /\left(\theta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\right)\right]^{\theta^{\prime}}<\left(1-\theta^{\prime}\right) /(1-\theta), \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and 2.34 is true by the inequality $(1-a)^{b}<1-a b, 0<a<1, b<1$, where $a=$ $\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) /\left(\theta^{\prime}(1-\theta)\right), b=\theta^{\prime}$.
Remark 2.6. To show the merits Theorem 1.7 of ii) we compare two known values for $\lambda_{N, \theta}$, see (2.10), (2.11), by the estimate (1.31)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{2,1 / 2} \simeq 1.55524>1.33134 \cdots=\pi^{1 / 4}=\left(1 / 2 C_{2,1}\right)^{1 / 2},  \tag{2.35}\\
& \lambda_{2,2 / 3} \simeq 1.66287>1.63696 \cdots=(2 \pi / 3)^{2 / 3}=\left(2 / 3 C_{2,4 / 3}\right)^{2 / 3} . \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that in the work of Levine [13, p. 183, third line] the lower bound (2.36) is not calculated correctly. The lower bound $C_{1}$ for his variable $C$ (which is $\lambda_{2,2 / 3}^{3}$ ) should be $C_{1}=4 \pi^{2} / 9 \simeq$ 4.38649, in stead of $C_{1}=2 \pi^{3 / 2} / 9 \simeq 1.237$ ([13], p. 183, eighth line]). This corrected value for $C_{1}$ is a much better lower bound, since numerically we found $C=\lambda_{2,2 / 3}^{3} \simeq 1.66287^{3} \simeq 4.5981$. See also Section 3 and Table 1 .
Remark 2.7. Approximate solutions $p_{N}$ of (1.34) for $N=2,3$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{2} \simeq 1.647, \theta_{2} \simeq 0.6070  \tag{2.37}\\
& p_{3} \simeq 2.304, \theta_{3} \simeq 0.6509  \tag{2.38}\\
& p_{N}=2 N / 3+5 / 18+O(1 / N), \theta_{N}=3 / 4-5 /(16 N)+O\left(1 / N^{2}\right), N \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

The knowledge of 2.37) allows us to improve (2.35) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2,1 / 2} \simeq 1.55524>1.46436 \cdots=\left(1 / 1.647 C_{2,1.2140}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Numerical Experiments

In order to assess the quality of the estimates (1.31), (1.32), (1.36) and (1.37) we have calculated the numbers $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ for $N=2,3$ and $\theta=0.1+(i-1) 0.005, i=1,2,3, \cdots, 180$, and for $N=4,5,10$, and $\theta=0.0125+(i-1) 0.025, i=1,2,3, \cdots, 40$. For $N=2$ we had to exclude $\theta \geq 0.945$ due to numerical overflow. The method to find $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ consists of a shooting technique to find that value $v(0)=v_{0}$ such that $v(r)$ is a positive solution of (2.1) with $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} v(r)=0$. Therefore, we transformed the interval $r \in(0, \infty)$ into $s=r /(1+r) \in(0,1)$. The transformed differential equation becomes, with $v(r)=u(s), 0<s<1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1-s)^{4} \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} u+\left\{\left(\frac{(N-1)}{s}-2\right)(1-s)^{3}\right\} \frac{d}{d s} u-u|u|^{(N+2 \theta) /(N-2 \theta)-1}-u=0, \\
u(0)=v_{0}, \quad \frac{d}{d s} u(0)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

We solved the transformed differential equation (3.1) by means of a numerical integration method (Runge-Kutta of the fourth order) with a self-adapting stepsize routine such that a prescribed maximal relative error $\left(\varepsilon_{\text {rel }}\right)$ in each component $\left(u(s), \frac{d}{d s} u(s)\right)$ has been satisfied. We made the choice $\varepsilon_{r e l}=10^{-15}$. For every value of $v_{0}$ the numerical integrator will find some point $s=s\left(v_{0}\right) \in(0,1)$ where either $u(s)<0$, or $\frac{d}{d s} u(s)>0$. At that point $s$ the integration will be stopped. This integrator is coupled to a numerical zero-finding routine (see [4]),

| $N$ | $\theta$ | $p$ | $s$ | $\rho$ | $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ numerical | $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ <br> lower bnd. | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1/3 | 3 | 1/2 | 1 | 1.379427(6) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28953 \\ & \text { N.A. } \\ & \text { 1.37026 } \\ & 1.35157 \end{aligned}$ | numerical, this work see (1.32), this work see (1.31), this work see (1.42), Nasibov see (1.45), Nasibov |
| 2 | 1/2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.55524 \\ & 1.555239(5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46436 \\ & 1.33134 \\ & 1.51739 \\ & 1.51739 \end{aligned}$ | numerical (2.10), based on Weinstein [29] numerical, this work see (1.32), this work see (1.31), this work see (1.42), Nasibov see (1.45), Nasibov |
| 2 | $2 / 3$ | $3 / 2$ | 2 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.66287 \\ & 1.663066(0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.63696 \\ & 1.63696 \\ & 1.55436 \\ & 1.61962 \end{aligned}$ | numerical (2.11), <br> based on Levine [13] numerical, this work see (1.32), this work see (1.31), this work see (1.42), Nasibov see (1.45), Nasibov |
| 3 | 3/4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2.2258(9) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.21005 \\ & 2.21005 \\ & 2.05668 \\ & 2.05668 \end{aligned}$ | numerical, this work see (1.32), this work see (1.31), this work see (1.42), Nasibov see (1.45), Nasibov |

Table 1: Comparison of some cases for $\lambda_{N, \theta} ; p=N /(2 \theta) ; s=2 \theta /(N-2 \theta)$ (notation Weinstein); $\rho=4 \theta /(N-$ $2 \theta)$ (notation Nasibov).
which can also be applied for finding a discontinuity. The function $f$ for which such a discontinuity has to been found is specified by if $u\left(s\left(v_{0}\right)\right)<0, f\left(v_{0}\right)=-\left(1-s\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$ else (that means thus $\left.\frac{d}{d s} u\left(s\left(v_{0}\right)\right)>0\right) f\left(v_{0}\right)=\left(1-s\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$. The sought value $v_{0}$ has been found if this numerical routine has come up with two values $v_{0}$ and $v_{0}^{1}$ such that $\left|v_{0}-v_{0}^{1}\right|<r_{p}\left|v_{0}\right|+a_{p}$, (with $r_{p}=a_{p}=10^{-15}$ relative and absolute precisions, respectively) and $\left|f\left(v_{0}\right)\right| \leq\left|f\left(v_{0}^{1}\right)\right|$, while $\operatorname{sign}\left(f\left(v_{0}\right)=-\operatorname{sign}\left(f\left(v_{0}^{1}\right)\right)\right.$. During the integration processes the norms in 2.7) will be calculated. As a check upon this procedure the following expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{v}_{N, \theta}\right\|_{2}^{2} /(1-\theta), \quad\left\|\nabla \bar{v}_{N, \theta}\right\|_{2}^{2} / \theta, \quad\left\|\bar{v}_{N, \theta}\right\|_{r}^{r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are compared. They should be all equal, see (2.7). In the Table 1 the value for $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ are given with one digit less than the number of equal digits in this comparison; between brackets the next digit is given.

The results of the calculations are shown in the Figures 1, 3, 5, 6, 7. For $N=2,3$ part of the $\theta$-range has been enlarged to show better the approximations and the infimum of the functional, see Figures 2. 4 . (All figures appear in Appendix A at the end of this paper.)

In Fig. 13 the value $v(0)$ of the minimizer $v(r)$ of the functional $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ as function of $\theta$ for $N=2,3,4,5,10$ has been shown. Note the logarithmic ordinate axis for $v(0)$.

## 4. DISCUSSION

In this article the infimum of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator $\tau=-\Delta+q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ has been expressed in the infimum $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ of the functional $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$, and known estimates for $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ have been optimized and applied to supply estimates of the infimum of the spectrum. Moreover, numerical experiments have been done to calculate $\lambda_{N, \theta}$ as function of $\theta$ for $N=2,3,4,5$, and 10. These results have been used to compare the estimates found in this article with these found by Nasibov [20].

Except for $N=2$, in general, the estimate of Nasibov is better for the lower half of the $\theta$-interval, while the estimate in this article is better for the upper half. For $N=2$ there is an interval $\left(\theta_{-}, \theta_{+}\right)$(with $\theta_{-} \in(0.615,0.620)$, and $\theta_{+} \in(0.745,0.750)$ ) where the bound in this article is better, while the opposite is true outside that interval, see Fig. 8. For $0<\theta \leq \theta_{0}$ (where $\theta_{0} \in(0.55,0.65)$ is depending on the value of $N, N=3,4,5,10$ ), the lower bound by Nasibov is better, but the bounds are of the same order of magnitude and very close to the actual value of $\lambda_{N, \theta}$; for $\theta_{0}<\theta<1$, the bound of Nasibov is worse, see Figs. 9, 10, 11, and 12,
The ratio of the estimate in this article with $\lambda_{N, \theta}$, for $\theta \rightarrow 1, N \geq 3$, approaches the value 1 , since $\lambda_{N, 1}=C_{N, 2}, N \geq 3$ (see just after (1.16) and the Figs. 9, 10, 11, and 12).
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Appendix A. Figures


Figure 1: $N=2: \lambda_{2, \theta}$ with four approximations; Approximation-1 corresponds with Theorem 1.7(ii), Approximation-2 with Theorem 1.7-(iii), Nasibov-1 with (1.43), Nasibov-2 with (1.46.


Figure 2: $N=2: \lambda_{2, \theta}$ with four approximations; Approximation-1 corresponds with Theorem 1.7-(ii), Approximation-2 with Theorem 1.7-(iii), Nasibov-1 with (1.43), Nasibov-2 with (1.46.


Figure 3: $N=3: \lambda_{3, \theta}$ with four approximations; Approximation-1 corresponds with Theorem 1.7-(ii), Approximation-2 with Theorem 1.7-(iii), Nasibov-1 with (1.43), Nasibov-2 with (1.46).


Figure 4: $N=3: \lambda_{3, \theta}$ with four approximations; Approximation-1 corresponds with Theorem 1.7-(ii), Approximation-2 with Theorem 1.7-(iii), Nasibov-1 with (1.43), Nasibov-2 with 1.46.


Figure 5: $N=4: \lambda_{4, \theta}$ with three approximations; Approximation-1 corresponds with Theorem 1.7(ii), Approximation-2 with Theorem 1.7-(iii), Nasibov-1 with (1.43).


Figure 6: $N=5: \lambda_{5, \theta}$ with three approximations; Approximation-1 corresponds with Theorem 1.7-(ii), Approximation-2 with Theorem 1.7-(iii), Nasibov-1 with (1.43).


Figure 7: $N=10: \lambda_{10, \theta}$ with three approximations; Approximation-1 corresponds with Theorem 1.7(ii), Approximation-2 with Theorem 1.7-(iii), Nasibov-1 with (1.43).


Figure 8: $N=2$ : Ratio of three approximations with $\lambda_{2, \theta}$ : Approximation-2 (Theorem 1.7 (iii)), Nasibov-1 (1.43), and Nasibov-2 (1.46).


Figure 9: $N=3:$ Ratio of three approximations with $\lambda_{3, \theta}$ : Approximation-2 (Theorem 1.7 (iii)), Nasibov-1 (1.43), and Nasibov-2 (1.46).


Figure 10: $N=4$ : Ratio of two approximations with $\lambda_{4, \theta}$ : Approximation-2 (Theorem 1.7 (iii)) and Nasibov-1 (1.43).


Figure 11: $N=5$ : Ratio of two approximations with $\lambda_{5, \theta}$ : Approximation-2 (Theorem 1.7-(iii)) and Nasibov-1 (1.43).


Figure 12: $N=10:$ Ratio of two approximations with $\lambda_{10, \theta}$ : Approximation-2 (Theorem 1.7 (iiii)) and Nasibov-1 (1.43).


Figure 13: The value $v(0)$ of the minimizer $v(r)$ of the functional $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ as function of $\theta$ for $N=2,3,4,5,10$.
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