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#### Abstract

In this paper inequalities between univariate moments are obtained when the random variate, discrete or continuous, takes values on a finite interval. Further some inequalities are given for the moments of bivariate distributions.
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## 1. Introduction

The $r$ th order moment $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ of a continuous random variate which takes values on the interval $[a, b]$ with $\operatorname{pdf} \phi(x)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\int_{a}^{b} x^{r} \phi(x) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a random variate which takes a discrete set of finite values $x_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ with corresponding probabilities $p_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}^{r} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The power mean of order $r$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r}=\left(\mu_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / r} \quad \text { for } r \neq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left(\mu_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / r} \quad \text { for } r=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]It may be noted here that $M_{-1}, M_{0}$ and $M_{1}$ respectively define harmonic mean, geometric mean and arithmetic mean.

Kapur [1] has reported the following bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ when $\mu_{s}^{\prime}$ is prescribed, $r>s$, and the random variate, discrete or continuous, takes values in the interval $[a, b]$ with $a \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{r / s} \leq \mu_{r}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right) \mu_{s}^{\prime}+a^{r} b^{s}-a^{s} b^{r}}{b^{s}-a^{s}} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (1.5) gives the condition which the given moment values must necessarily satisfy in order to be the moments of a probability distribution in the given range [a,b]. Kapur [1] was motivated by the consideration of maximizing the entropy function subject to certain constraints. But before maximizing the entropy function one has to see whether the given moment values are consistent or not i.e whether there is any probability distribution which corresponds to the given values of moments. If there is no such distribution then the efforts of finding out the maximum entropy probability distribution will not produce any result and hence we should not proceed to apply Lagrange's or any other method to find the maximum entropy probability distribution, [2].
Here we try to obtain a generalization of inequality (1.5) for the case where $r$ and $s$ can assume any real value. This shall help us in deducing bounds between power means. This will also provide us with an alternate proof of inequality (1.5) and enable us to tighten it when the random variate takes a finite set of discrete values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$.
In addition some inequalities between the moments of bivariate distributions are also obtained.

## 2. Some Elementary Inequalities

We prove the following theorems:
Theorem 2.1. If $r$ is a positive real number and $s$ is any non zero real number with $r>s$ then for $a \leq x \leq b$; with $a>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{r} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right) x^{s}+a^{r} b^{s}-a^{s} b^{r}}{b^{s}-a^{s}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x$ lying outside $(a, b)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{r} \geq \frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right) x^{s}+a^{r} b^{s}-a^{s} b^{r}}{b^{s}-a^{s}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r$ is a negative real number with $r>s$ then inequality (2.1) holds for $x$ lying outside ( $a, b$ ) and inequality (2.2) holds for $a \leq x \leq b$.

Proof. Consider the following function $f(x)$ for positive real values of $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{r}-\frac{b^{r}-a^{r}}{b^{s}-a^{s}} x^{s}+\frac{a^{s} b^{r}-a^{r} b^{s}}{b^{s}-a^{s}}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ and $s$ are real numbers such that $r>s$ and $s \neq 0$. The function $f(x)$ is continuous in the interval $[a, b]$ with $a>0$. Then $f^{\prime}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)=x^{s-1}\left[r x^{r-s}-s\left(\frac{b^{r}-a^{r}}{b^{s}-a^{s}}\right)\right] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f^{\prime}(x)$ vanishes at $x=0$ and $c$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left[\frac{s}{r}\left(\frac{b^{r}-a^{r}}{b^{s}-a^{s}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{r-s}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Rolle's theorem we have that $c$ lies in the interval $(a, b)$.
If $r$ is a positive real number and $s$ is a negative real number with $r>s$ then $f^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$ iff $x \leq c$. This means that $f(x)$ decreases in the interval $(0, c)$ and increases in the interval $(c, \infty)$. Further, since $c$ lies in the interval $(a, b)$ and $f(a)=f(b)=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq x \leq b, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x$ lying outside ( $a, b$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \geq 0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On substituting the value of $f(x)$ from equation (2.3) in inequalities 2.6) and 2.7), we obtain inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) respectively.
If $r$ is a negative real number with $r>s$ then $f^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$ iff $x \geq c$. This means that $f(x)$ increases in the interval $(0, c)$ and decreases in the interval $(c, \infty)$. Since $c$ lies in the interval $(a, b)$ and $f(a)=f(b)=0$ it follows that inequality (2.7) holds for $a \leq x \leq b$ while inequality (2.6) holds for $x$ lying outside $(a, b)$ and thus we get inequalities for the case when $r$ is negative real number.

Theorem 2.2. For $a \leq x \leq b$ with $a>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{r} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right) \log x+a^{r} \log b-b^{r} \log a}{\log b-\log a}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x$ lying outside $(a, b)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{r} \geq \frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right) \log x+a^{r} \log b-b^{r} \log a}{\log b-\log a}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is a real number.
Proof. Consider the following function $f(x)$ defined for positive real values of $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=x^{r}-\frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right)}{\log b-\log a} \log x+\frac{b^{r} \log a-a^{r} \log b}{\log b-\log a} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f(x)$ is continuous in the interval $[a, b]$ where $a>0$. Then $f^{\prime}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{x}\left[r x^{r}-\frac{b^{r}-a^{r}}{\log b-\log a}\right], \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have $f^{\prime}(x)=0$ at $x=c$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left[\frac{b^{r}-a^{r}}{r(\log b-\log a)}\right]^{\frac{1}{r}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Rolle's Theorem we have that $c$ lies in the interval $(a, b)$. Also $f^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$ iff $x \leq c$. This means that $f(x)$ decreases in the interval $(0, c)$ and increases in the interval $(c, \infty)$. Further, since $c$ lies in the interval $(a, b)$ and $f(a)=f(b)=0$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq x \leq b \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x$ lying outside $(a, b)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \geq 0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On substituting the value of $f(x)$ from equation (2.10) in inequalities 2.13) and 2.14, we obtain inequalities (2.8) and (2.9) respectively.

## 3. Inequalities Between Moments

Theorem 3.1. Let $r$ be a positive real number and $s$ be any non zero real number with $r>s$. If a positive random variate takes values $x_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ in the interval $[a, b]$, with $a>0$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right) \mu_{s}^{\prime}+a^{r} b^{s}-a^{s} b^{r}}{b^{s}-a^{s}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \geq \frac{\left(x_{j}^{r}-x_{j-1}^{r}\right) \mu_{s}^{\prime}+x_{j-1}^{r} x_{j}^{s}-x_{j-1}^{s} x_{j}^{r}}{x_{j}^{s}-x_{j-1}^{s}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=2,3, \ldots, n$.
If a continuous random variate takes values in the interval $[a, b]$, with $a>0$, then the upper bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by the inequality (3.1) whereas the lower bound is given by following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \geq\left(\mu_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{r / s} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is seen that $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ can be expressed in terms of $\mu_{s}^{\prime}$ in the following form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{x_{\beta}^{r}-x_{\alpha}^{r}}{x_{\beta}^{s}-x_{\alpha}^{s}}\right) \mu_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{x_{\beta}^{s} x_{\alpha}^{r}-x_{\alpha}^{s} x_{\beta}^{r}}{x_{\beta}^{s}-x_{\alpha}^{s}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left[x_{i}^{r}-\frac{x_{\beta}^{r}-x_{\alpha}^{r}}{x_{\beta}^{s}-x_{\alpha}^{s}} x_{i}^{s}+\frac{x_{\beta}^{r} x_{\alpha}^{s}-x_{\alpha}^{r} x_{\beta}^{s}}{x_{\beta}^{s}-x_{\alpha}^{s}}\right], \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ take one of the values among $1,2, \ldots, n$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. Without loss of generality we can arrange values of the variate such that $a=x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{n}=b$. If we take $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=n$ then $x_{1} \leq x_{i} \leq x_{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. It follows from (2.1) that the last term in equation (3.4) is negative and we conclude that the upper bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.1). Further if $x_{\alpha}=x_{j-1}$ and $x_{\beta}=x_{j}, j=2,3, \ldots, n$ then each $x_{i}$ lies outside $\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$ and it follows from (2.2) that the last term in equation (3.4) is positive and we conclude that the lower bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.2). It is also clear that equality in the inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) holds iff $n=2$.

If the value of $\mu_{s}^{\prime}$ coincides with one of $x_{j-1}^{s}$ or $x_{j}^{s}$, then from inequality 3.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \geq\left(\mu_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{r / s} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also if $x_{j-1}$ approaches $x_{j}$ we get inequality (3.5) and we conclude that for a continuous random variate the lower bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.5). The upper bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ can be deduced from Theorem 2.1. Multiplying both sides of inequality (2.1) by pdf $\phi(x)$ we get, on using the properties of definite integrals, inequality (3.1).
Theorem 3.2. Let $r$ and $s$ be negative real numbers with $r>s$. If a positive random variate takes values $x_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ in the interval $[a, b]$, with $a>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \geq \frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right) \mu_{s}^{\prime}+a^{r} b^{s}-a^{s} b^{r}}{b^{s}-a^{s}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left(x_{j}^{r}-x_{j-1}^{r}\right) \mu_{s}^{\prime}+x_{j-1}^{r} x_{j}^{s}-x_{j-1}^{s} x_{j}^{r}}{x_{j}^{s}-x_{j-1}^{s}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=2,3, \ldots, n$.

If a continuous random variate takes values in the interval $[a, b]$, with $a>0$, the lower bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.6) whereas the upper bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \leq\left(\mu_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{r / s} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We again consider equation (3.4). If we take $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=n$ then $x_{1} \leq x_{i} \leq x_{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that the last term in equation (3.4) is positive and we conclude that the lower bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.6). Also if $x_{\alpha}=x_{j-1}$ and $x_{\beta}=x_{j}, j=2,3, \ldots, n$ then each $x_{i}$ lies outside $\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that the last term in equation $\sqrt{3.4}$ ) is negative and we conclude that the upper bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.7). Also if $x_{j-1}$ approaches $x_{j}$ we get inequality (3.8). The lower bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ can be deduced from Theorem 2.1. Multiplying both sides of inequality 2.2 by pdf $\phi(x)$ we get, on using the properties of definite integrals, inequality (3.6).
Theorem 3.3. For a random variate which takes values $x_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ in the interval $[a, b]$, with $a>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r}-a^{r}\right) \log M_{0}+a^{r} \log b-b^{r} \log a}{\log b-\log a} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \geq \frac{\left(x_{j}^{r}-x_{j-1}^{r}\right) \log M_{0}+x_{j-1}^{r} \log x_{j}-x_{j}^{r} \log x_{j-1}}{\log x_{j}-\log x_{j-1}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=2,3, \ldots n, r$ is a real number and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}=x_{1}^{P_{1}} x_{2}^{P_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{P_{n}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a continuous random variate which takes values in the interval $[a, b]$ with $a>0$ the upper bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.9) whereas the lower bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \geq\left(M_{0}\right)^{r} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is seen that $\mu_{r}^{\prime} \mathrm{can}$ be expressed in terms of $\log M_{0}$ in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime}= & \frac{x_{\beta}^{r}-x_{\alpha}^{r}}{\log x_{\beta}-\log x_{\alpha}} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*} \quad \log M_{0}+\frac{x_{\alpha}^{r} \log x_{\beta}-x_{\beta}^{r} \log x_{\alpha}}{\log x_{\beta}-\log x_{\alpha}} .
$$

Without loss of generality we can arrange values of the variate such that $a=x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<$ $x_{n}=b$. If we take $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=n$ then $x_{1} \leq x_{i} \leq x_{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that last term in equation (3.13) is negative and we conclude that the upper bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.9). Also if $x_{\alpha}=x_{j-1}$ and $x_{\beta}=x_{j}, j=2,3, \ldots, n$ then each $x_{i}$ lies outside $\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that the last term in equation (3.13) is positive and we conclude that the lower bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality 3.10 .

If the value of $M_{0}$ coincides with one of $x_{j-1}$ or $x_{j}$ then from inequality $\sqrt{3.10}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r}^{\prime} \geq\left(M_{0}\right)^{r} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also if $x_{j-1}$ approaches $x_{j}$ we get inequality (3.14) and we conclude that for the continuous random variate the lower bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ is given by inequality (3.14). The upper bound for $\mu_{r}^{\prime}$ can be deduced from Theorem 2.2. Multiplying both sides of inequality (2.8) by pdf $\phi(x)$ we get, on using the properties of definite integrals, inequality (3.9).

## 4. Inequalities Between Moments of Bivariate Distributions

The moments of a bivariate probability distribution are the generalizations of those of univariate one and are equally important in the theory of mathematical statistics. For a discrete probability distribution, if $p_{i}$ is the probability of the occurrence of the pair of values $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, the moment $\mu_{r s}^{\prime}$ about the origin is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r s}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} x_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{s} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain a bound on $\mu_{r s}^{\prime}$ in the following theorem:
Theorem 4.1. Let $\mu_{r s}^{\prime}$ be the moment of order $r$ in $x$ and of order $s$ in $y$, about the origin $(0,0)$, of a discrete bivariate probability distribution. The random variates $x$ and $y$ vary respectively over the finite positive real intervals $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$. If $\mu_{k m}^{\prime}$ is the corresponding moment of order $k$ in $x$ and $m$ in $y$ such that $r \geq k, s \geq m$ and $r m=k s$ then we must have by necessity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{k m}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{r+s}{k+m}} \leq \mu_{r s}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r} d^{s}-a^{r} c^{s}\right) \mu_{k m}^{\prime}+a^{r} c^{s} b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m} b^{r} d^{s}}{b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $u, v, \alpha$ and $\beta$ are positive real numbers with $\alpha+\beta=1$ then from Hölder's inequality [3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{\alpha} v_{i}^{\beta} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}\right)^{\beta} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We make the following substitutions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=p_{i} x_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{s}, v_{i}=p_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=\frac{k+m}{r+s} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\alpha} v_{i}^{\beta}=p_{i} x_{i}^{k} y_{i}^{m} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\right)^{\alpha}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{s}\right)^{\frac{k+m}{r+s}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}\right)^{\beta}=1 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.3), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{r s}^{\prime} \geq\left(\mu_{k m}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{r+s}{k+m}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d, r \geq k, s \geq m$ and $r m=k s$, inequality (4.3) will remain valid if we substitute $n=2, u_{1}=p_{1} a^{r} c^{s}, u_{2}=p_{2} b^{r} d^{s}, v_{1}=p_{1}, v_{2}=p_{2}, \alpha=\frac{k+m}{r+s}$,

$$
p_{1}=\frac{b^{k} d^{m}-x^{k} y^{m}}{b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m}},
$$

and

$$
p_{2}=\frac{x^{k} y^{m}-a^{k} c^{m}}{b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m}}
$$

These substitutions give

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{r} y^{s} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r} d^{s}-a^{r} c^{s}\right) x^{k} y^{m}+a^{r} c^{s} b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m} b^{r} d^{s}}{b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m}} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we can have that the random variate take values $a=x_{1}<x_{2}<$ $\cdots<x_{n}=b$ and $c=y_{1}<y_{2}<\cdots<y_{n}=d$ therefore $a \leq x_{i} \leq b$ and $c \leq y_{i} \leq d$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. From inequality (4.9), it follows that

$$
x_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{s} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r} d^{s}-a^{r} c^{s}\right) x_{i}^{k} y_{i}^{m}+a^{r} c^{s} b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m} b^{r} d^{s}}{b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m}}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} x_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{s} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r} d^{s}-a^{r} c^{s}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} x_{i}^{k} y_{i}^{m}+\left(a^{r} c^{s} b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m} b^{r} d^{s}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}}{b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m}}
$$

or

$$
\mu_{r s}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left(b^{r} d^{s}-a^{r} c^{s}\right) \mu_{k m}^{\prime}+a^{r} c^{s} b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m} b^{r} d^{s}}{b^{k} d^{m}-a^{k} c^{m}}
$$

Inequality (4.2) also holds for the continuous bivariate distributions. The upper bound in inequality (4.2) is a consequence of inequality (4.9). Multiplying both sides of inequality (4.9) by joint $\operatorname{pdf} \phi(x, y)$ and integrating over the corresponding limits, we get the maximum value of $\mu_{r s}^{\prime}$ where

$$
\mu_{r s}^{\prime}=\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} x^{r} y^{s} \phi(x, y) d x d y \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} \phi(x, y) d x d y=1
$$

Now consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f^{\alpha} g^{\beta} d x d y}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f d x d y\right)^{\alpha}\left(\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} g d x d y\right)^{\beta}} & =\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d}\left(\frac{f}{\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f d x d y}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{g}{\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} g d x d y}\right)^{\beta} d x d y \\
& \leq \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d}\left[\frac{\alpha f}{\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f d x d y}+\frac{\beta g}{\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} g d x d y}\right] d x d y \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha+\beta=1$ and $f$ and $g$ are positive functions. We therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f^{\alpha} g^{\beta} d x d y \leq\left(\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f d x d y\right)^{\alpha}\left(\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} g d x d y\right)^{\beta} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and make the following substitutions,

$$
f=x^{r} y^{s} \quad \phi(x, y), g=\phi(x, y) \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=\frac{k+m}{r+s} .
$$

Inequality (4.10) then yields the minimum value of $\mu_{r s}^{\prime}$.

## 5. Applications of Results

On using the results derived in Section 3 and giving particular values to $r$ and $s$ it is possible to derive a host of results connecting the Harmonic mean $(H)$, Geometric mean ( $G$ ), Arithmetic mean $(A)$ and Root mean square $(R)$ when one of the means is given and the random variate takes the prescribed set of positive values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

If we put $r=+1$ and $s=-1$ we get inequalities between $A$ and $H$, if we put $r=0$ and $s=-1$ we get inequalities between $G$ and $H$, and so on. Root mean square $R$ corresponds to $r=2$. In particular the following inequalities are obtained from the general result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(x_{j-1}+x_{j}\right) A-x_{j-1} x_{j}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq R \leq[(a+b) A-a b]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b^{A-a} a^{b-A}\right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}} \leq G \leq\left(x_{j}^{A-x_{j-1}} x_{j-1}^{x_{j}-A}\right)^{\frac{1}{x_{j}-x_{j-1}}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{j-1}^{2}+x_{j-1} x_{j}+x_{j}^{2}-\frac{x_{j-1} x_{j}\left(x_{j-1}+x_{j}\right)}{H}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq R \leq\left[a^{2}+a b+b^{2}-\frac{a b(a+b)}{H}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{j-1}+x_{j}\right)-\frac{x_{j-1} x_{j}}{H} \leq A \leq a+b-\frac{a b}{H} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{j}^{x_{j}\left(H-x_{j-1}\right)} x_{j-1}^{x_{j-1}\left(x_{j}-H\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{H\left(x_{j}-x_{j-1}\right)}} \leq G \leq\left[b^{b(H-a)} a^{a(b-H)}\right]^{\frac{1}{H(b-a)}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \left(\frac{G}{x_{j-1}}\right)^{x_{j}^{2}}\left(\frac{x_{j}}{G}\right)^{x_{j-1}^{2}}}{\log \frac{x_{j}}{x_{j-1}}} \leq R^{2} \leq \frac{\log \left(\frac{G}{a}\right)^{b^{2}}\left(\frac{b}{G}\right)^{a^{2}}}{\log \frac{b}{a}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{a b}}{\log \left(\frac{G}{a}\right)^{a}\left(\frac{b}{G}\right)^{b}} \leq H \leq \frac{\log \left(\frac{x_{j}}{x_{j-1}}\right)^{x_{j-1} x_{j}}}{\log \left(\frac{G}{x_{j-1}}\right)^{x_{j-1}}\left(\frac{x_{j}}{G}\right)^{x_{j}}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \left(\frac{G}{x_{j-1}}\right)^{x_{j}}\left(\frac{x_{j}}{G}\right)^{x_{j-1}}}{\log \frac{x_{j}}{x_{j-1}}} \leq A \leq \frac{\log \left(\frac{G}{a}\right)^{b}\left(\frac{b}{G}\right)^{a}}{\log \frac{b}{a}} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R^{2}+a b}{a+b} \leq A \leq \frac{R^{2}+x_{j-1} x_{j}}{x_{j-1}+x_{j}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a b(a+b)}{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}-R^{2}} \leq H \leq \frac{x_{j-1} x_{j}\left(x_{j-1}+x_{j}\right)}{x_{j-1}^{2}+x_{j-1} x_{j}+x_{j}^{2}-R^{2}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\frac{R^{2}-a^{2}}{b^{2}-a^{2}}} a^{\frac{b^{2}-R^{2}}{b^{2}-a^{2}}} \leq G \leq x_{j}^{\frac{R^{2}-x_{j-1}^{2}}{x_{j}^{2}-x_{j-1}^{2}}} x_{j-1}^{\frac{x_{j}^{2}-R^{2}}{x_{j}^{2}-x_{j-1}^{2}}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=2,3, \ldots, n$.
We now deduce the result that the power mean $M_{r}$ is an increasing function of $r$. If $r$ is positive and $s$ is any real number with $r>s$ then from inequality (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq\left(\mu_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $M_{r} \geq M_{s}$.

If $r$ is a negative real number with $r>s$ we again get inequality (5.13) from inequality (3.8). From inequality $\left(\sqrt{3.12)}\right.$ we have $M_{r} \geq M_{0}$ for $r>0$, and $M_{r} \leq M_{0}$ for $r<0$. Hence we conclude that the power mean of order $r$ is an increasing function of $r$. In particular, we get that

$$
M_{-1} \leq M_{0} \leq M_{1} \leq M_{2} .
$$
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