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#### Abstract

The purpose of this note is to prove Hadamard product versions of the Chebyshev and the Kantorovich inequalities for positive real numbers. We also prove a generalization of Fiedler's inequality.
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## 1. Introduction

In what follows, the capital letters $A, B, C, \ldots$ denote $m \times m$ complex matrices, whereas the small letters $a, b, c, \ldots$ denote real numbers, unless mentioned otherwise. By $X \geq Y$ we mean that $X-Y$ is positive semidefinite ( $X>Y$ mean $X-Y$ is positive definite). For $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{i j}\right), A \circ B=\left(a_{i j} b_{i j}\right)$ denotes the Hadamard product of $A$ and $B$. According to Schur's theorem [4, Page 23] the Hadamard product is monotone in the sense that $A \geq B, C \geq D$ implies $A \circ C \geq B \circ D$. The tensor product $A \otimes B$ is the $m^{2} \times m^{2}$ matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} B & \cdots & a_{1 m} B  \tag{1.1}\\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} B & \cdots & a_{m m} B
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Marcus and Khan in [10] made the simple but important observation that the Hadamard product is a principal submatrix of the tensor product. The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} a_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} b_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} a_{i} b_{i} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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holds for all $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{n} \geq 0, b_{1} \geq b_{2} \geq \cdots \geq b_{n} \geq 0$ and weights $w_{i} \geq 0, i=$ $1, \ldots, n$. Hardy, Littlewood and Polya [6, page 43] attribute this inequality to Chebyshev. For $0<a \leq a_{i} \leq b, w_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, Kantorovich's inequality states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} a_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{w_{i}}{a_{i}}\right) \leq \frac{(a+b)^{2}}{4 a b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 2, we state and prove matrix versions of inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) involving the Hadamard product. A generalization of Fiedler's inequality is also proved in this section. There are several generalizations of Kantorovich and Fiedler's inequality; see [2, 3, 8, 9].

## 2. The Chebyshev and Kantorovich Inequalities: Matrix Versions

We begin with a Hadamard product version of inequality (1.2).
Theorem 2.1. Let $A_{1} \geq \cdots \geq A_{n} \geq 0$ and $B_{1} \geq \cdots \geq B_{n} \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} B_{i}\right) \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(A_{i} \circ B_{i}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$, are weights.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left(A_{i} \circ B_{i}\right)\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} B_{i}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\left(A_{j} \circ B_{j}\right)-w_{i} w_{j}\left(A_{i} \circ B_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\left(A_{j} \circ B_{j}\right)-w_{i} w_{j}\left(A_{i} \circ B_{j}\right)+w_{j} w_{i}\left(A_{i} \circ B_{i}\right)-w_{j} w_{i}\left(A_{j} \circ B_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} w_{i} w_{j}\left(A_{i}-A_{j}\right) \circ\left(B_{i}-B_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the Hadamard product of two positive semidefinite matrices is positive semidefinite, therefore the summand in 2.2 is positive semidefinite.

Our next result is a Hadamard product version of inequality (1.3) .
Theorem 2.2. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be such that $0<a I_{m} \leq A_{i} \leq b I_{m}, i=1, \ldots, n$ (here $I_{m}$ denotes the $m \times m$ identity matrix). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-1} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \leq \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $W_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$.
Proof. We first prove the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{1 / 2} A P^{1 / 2} \circ Q^{1 / 2} B^{-1} Q^{1 / 2}+P^{1 / 2} A^{-1} P^{1 / 2} \circ Q^{1 / 2} B Q^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a b}(P \circ Q) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $0<a I_{m} \leq A, B \leq b I_{m}$ and $P, Q \geq 0$. Let $A=U D U^{*}$ and $B=V \Gamma V^{*}$ with unitary $U$ and $V$, and diagonal matrices $D$ and $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \otimes B^{-1}+A^{-1} \otimes B & =(U \otimes V)\left(D \otimes \Gamma+\Gamma^{-1} \otimes D\right)(U \otimes V)^{*} \\
& \leq(U \otimes V)\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a b}\left(I_{m} \otimes I_{m}\right)\right)(U \otimes V)^{*} \\
& =\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a b}\left(I_{m} \otimes I_{m}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inequality follows from (1.3). Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{1 / 2} A P^{1 / 2} \otimes Q^{1 / 2} B^{-1} Q^{1 / 2} & +P^{1 / 2} A^{-1} P^{1 / 2} \otimes Q^{1 / 2} B Q^{1 / 2}  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\left(P^{1 / 2} \otimes Q^{1 / 2}\right)\left(A \otimes B^{-1}+A^{-1} \otimes B\right)\left(P^{1 / 2} \otimes Q^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a b}(P \otimes Q)
\end{align*}
$$

Since the Hadamard product is a principal submatrix of the tensor product, the inequality (2.4) follows from (2.5). On taking $B=A$ and $Q=P$ in (2.4) we see that $(2.3)$ holds for $n=1$. Further, by (2.4) we have

$$
W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i} W_{i}^{1 / 2} \circ W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{-1} W_{j}^{1 / 2}+W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-1} W_{i}^{1 / 2} \circ W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j} W_{j}^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a b}\left(W_{i} \circ W_{j}\right)
$$

for $i, j=1, \ldots, n$. Summing over $i, j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left[\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{-1} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)\right] \leq\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a b}\right) \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(W_{i} \circ W_{j}\right), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-1} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}\right) .
$$

The next corollary follows on taking $W_{i}=w_{i} I_{m}, i=1, \ldots, n$.
Corollary 2.3. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be such that $0<a I_{m} \leq A_{i} \leq b I_{m}$, and $w_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$ be weights. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i}^{-1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a b}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2} I_{m} .
$$

Remark 1. The case $n=1$ of Corollary 2.3] is proved in [7]. The example

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad a=\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad b=\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

shows that the inequality

$$
A \circ A^{-1} \leq \frac{(a+b)^{2}}{4 a b} I_{2}
$$

need not be true.
For our next result we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.4. Let $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Then $A^{r}+A^{-r} \leq A+A^{-1}$ for all $A>0$.

Proof. Suppose that $A=U \Gamma U^{*}$ with unitary $U$ and diagonal matrix $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{r}+A^{-r} & =U\left(\Gamma^{r}+\Gamma^{-r}\right) U^{*} \\
& \leq U\left(\Gamma+\Gamma^{-1}\right) U^{*}=A+A^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $x^{r}+x^{-r} \leq x+x^{-1}$ for any positive real number $x$ and $0 \leq r \leq 1$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $0 \leq \alpha<\beta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\alpha} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\right. & \left.W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\alpha} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $A_{i}>0$ and $W_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$.
Proof. We first prove the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\alpha} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{-\alpha} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)+\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\alpha} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{\alpha} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad \leq\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{-\beta} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)+\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{\beta} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<\beta$. Let $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{r} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{-r} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)+\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-r} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{r} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} \otimes W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)\left(A_{i}^{r} \otimes A_{j}^{-r}+A_{i}^{-r} \otimes A_{j}^{r}\right)\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} \otimes W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} \otimes W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\left(A_{i} \otimes A_{j}^{-1}\right)^{r}+\left(A_{i} \otimes A_{j}^{-1}\right)^{-r}\right)\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} \otimes W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} \otimes W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\left(A_{i} \otimes A_{j}^{-1}\right)+\left(A_{i} \otimes A_{j}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} \otimes W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inequality follows from Lemma 2.4. Taking $r=\alpha / \beta$ and replacing $A_{i}$ by $A_{i}^{\beta}$ and $A_{j}$ by $A_{j}^{\beta}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\alpha} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{-\alpha} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)+\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\alpha} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{\alpha} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{-\beta} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)+\left(W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \otimes\left(W_{j}^{1 / 2} A_{j}^{\beta} W_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using the fact that the Hadamard product is a principal submatrix of the tensor product, the preceding inequality implies (2.7). Summing over $i, j$ in (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\alpha} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\alpha} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $0 \leq \alpha<\beta$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{\alpha}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{-\alpha}\right) \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{\beta}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{-\beta}\right)
$$

for all $A_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, n$.
Proof. Taking $W_{i}=I_{m}$ in Theorem 2.5 we get the desired result.
Corollary 2.7. Let $0 \leq \beta$. Then

$$
I_{m} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right) \circ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}^{1 / 2} A_{i}^{-\beta} W_{i}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

for all $A_{i}>0$ and $W_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}=I_{m}$.
Proof. Taking $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 2.5 gives the desired inequality.
Remark 2. Corollary 2.7]is another generalization of Fiedler's inequality [5]

$$
A \circ A^{-1} \geq I_{m}
$$

Next we prove a convexity theorem involving the Hadamard product.

## Theorem 2.8. The function

$$
f(t)=A^{1+t} \circ B^{1-t}+A^{1-t} \circ B^{1+t}
$$

is convex on the interval $[-1,1]$ and attains its minimum at $t=0$ for all $A, B>0$.
Proof. Since $f$ is continuous we need to prove only that $f$ is mid-point convex. Note that for $A, B>0$ and $s, t$ in $[-1,1]$ the matrices

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{1+s+t} & A^{1+s} \\
A^{1+s} & A^{1+(s-t)}
\end{array}\right), & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{1-(s+t)} & A^{1-s} \\
A^{1-s} & A^{1-(s-t)}
\end{array}\right), \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B^{1+s+t} & B^{1+s} \\
B^{1+s} & B^{1+(s-t)}
\end{array}\right), & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
B^{1-(s+t)} & B^{1-s} \\
B^{1-s} & B^{1-(s-t)}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

are positive semidefinite. Hence the matrix

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{1+s+t} \circ B^{1-(s+t)}+A^{1-(s+t)} \circ B^{1+s+t} & A^{1+s} \circ B^{1-s}+A^{1-s} \circ B^{1+s} \\
A^{1+s} \circ B^{1-s}+A^{1-s} \circ B^{1+s} & A^{1+(s-t)} \circ B^{1-(s-t)}+A^{1-(s-t)} \circ B^{1+(s-t)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is positive semidefinite. Similarly, the matrix
$Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A^{1+(s-t)} \circ B^{1-(s-t)}+A^{1-(s-t)} \circ B^{1+(s-t)} & A^{1+s} \circ B^{1-s}+A^{1-s} \circ B^{1+s} \\ A^{1+s} \circ B^{1-s}+A^{1-s} \circ B^{1+s} & A^{1+(s+t)} \circ B^{1-(s+t)}+A^{1-(s+t)} \circ B^{1+s+t}\end{array}\right)$
is positive semidefinite. Hence

$$
X+Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f(s+t)+f(s-t) & 2 f(s)  \tag{2.8}\\
2 f(s) & f(s+t)+f(s-t)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is positive semidefinite, which implies that

$$
f(s) \leq \frac{1}{2}[f(s+t)+f(s-t)] .
$$

This proves the convexity of $f$. Further, note that $f(t)=f(-t)$. This together with the convexity of $f$ implies that $f$ attains its minimum at 0 .

Corollary 2.9. The function

$$
g(t)=A^{t} \circ B^{1-t}+A^{1-t} \circ B^{t}
$$

is decreasing on $[0,1 / 2]$, increasing on $[1 / 2,1]$, and attains its minimum at $t=\frac{1}{2}$ for all $A, B>0$.
Proof. The proof follows on replacing $A, B$ by $A^{1 / 2}, B^{1 / 2}$ and $t$ by $\frac{1+t}{2}$ in Theorem 2.8.

A norm $|\| \cdot||\mid$ on $m \times m$ complex matrices is called unitarily invariant if $\||U X V|||=||X|||$ for all unitary matrices $U, V$. If $A$ is positive semidefinite and $X$ is any matrix, then

$$
\left|\left\|A \circ X \left|\left\|\leq \max a_{i i}\right\|\|X \mid\|\right.\right.\right.
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms ||| |||| [1]. Thus the proof of the following corollary follows from Corollary 2.9 using the fact that $g(1 / 2) \leq g(t) \leq g(1)=g(0)$.
Corollary 2.10. Let $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then,

$$
2\left|\left\|A ^ { 1 / 2 } \circ B ^ { 1 / 2 } | \| \leq \| | \left|A^{t} \circ B^{1-t}+A^{1-t} \circ B^{t}\| \| \leq\|||A+B \||\right.\right.\right.
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $|\|\cdot\|| \mid$ and all $A, B>0$.
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