Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

SOME RESULTS CONCERNING BEST UNIFORM COAPPROXIMATION

GEETHA S. RAO AND R. SARAVANAN

Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics University of Madras Madras – 600 005, India. *EMail*: geetha_srao@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Vellore Institute of Technology Vellore 632 014, India. J I M P A

volume 3, issue 2, article 24, 2002.

Received 19 December, 2000; accepted 5 December, 2001.

Communicated by: S.S. Dragomir



©2000 Victoria University ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756 050-00

Abstract

This paper provides some conditions to obtain best uniform coapproximation. Some error estimates are determined. A relation between interpolation and best uniform coapproximation is exhibited. Continuity properties of selections for the metric projection and the cometric projection are studied.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A17, 41A50, 41A99.

Key words: Best approximation, Best coapproximation, Chebyshev space, Cometric projection, Interpolation, Metric projection, Selection and Weak Chebyshev space.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Preliminaries	4
3	Characterization of Best Uniform Coapproximation	9
4	Best Uniform Coapproximation and Chebyshev Subspaces	15
5	Selection for the Metric Projection and the Cometric Pro-	
	jection	23
References		



Some Results Concerning Best Uniform Coapproximation

Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

1. Introduction

A new kind of approximation was first introduced in 1972 by Franchetti and Furi [3] to characterize real Hilbert spaces among real reflexive Banach spaces. This was christened 'best coapproximation' by Papini and Singer [16]. Subsequently, Geetha S. Rao and coworkers have developed this theory to a considerable extent [4] - [13]. This theory is largely concerned with the questions of existence, uniqueness and characterizations of best coapproximation. It also deals with the continuity properties of the cometric projection and selections for the cometric projection, apart from related maps and strongly unique best coapproximation. This paper mainly deals with the role of Chebyshev subspaces in the best uniform coapproximation problems and a selection for the cometric projection. Section 2 gives the fundamental concepts of best approximation and best coapproximation that are used in the sequel. Section 3 provides some conditions to obtain a best uniform coapproximation. Section 4 deals with the error estimates and a relation between interpolation and best uniform coapproximation. Selections for the metric projection and the cometric projection and their continuity properties are studied in Section 5.



Page 3 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let G be a nonempty subset of a real normed linear space X. An element $g_f \in G$ is called a best coapproximation to $f \in X$ from G if for every $g \in G$,

$$||g - g_f|| \le ||f - g||.$$

The set of all best coapproximations to $f \in X$ from G is denoted by $R_G(f)$. The subset G is called an existence set if $R_G(f)$ contains at least one element, for every $f \in X$. The subset G is called a uniqueness set if $R_G(f)$ contains at most one element, for every $f \in X$. The subset G is called an existence and uniqueness set if $R_G(f)$ contains exactly one element, for every $f \in X$. The set

$$D(R_G) := \{ f \in X : R_G(f) \neq \emptyset \}$$

is called the domain of R_G .

Proposition 2.1. [16] Let G be a linear subspace of a real normed linear space X. If $f \in D(R_G)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\alpha f \in D(R_G)$ and $R_G(\alpha f) = \alpha R_G(f)$, where \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers. That is, R_G is homogeneous.

Remark 2.1. If G is a subset of a real normed linear subspace of X such that $\alpha g \in G$ for every $g \in G$, $\alpha \ge 0$, then Proposition 2.1 holds for $\alpha \ge 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let G be a nonempty subset of a real normed linear space X. The set-valued mapping $R_G : X \to POW(G)$ which associates for every $f \in X$, the set $R_G(f)$ of the best coapproximations to f from G is called the cometric projection onto G, where POW(G) denotes the set of all subsets of G.



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au **Definition 2.3.** Let G be a nonempty subset of a real normed linear space X. An element $g_f \in G$ is called a best approximation to $f \in X$ from G if for every $g \in G$,

$$\|f - g_f\| \le \|f - g\|$$

i.e., *if*

$$||f - g_f|| = \inf_{g \in G} ||f - g|| = d(f, G),$$

where d(f,G) := distance between the element f and the set G. The set of all best approximations to $f \in X$ from G is denoted by $P_G(f)$. The subset G is called a proximinal or existence set if $P_G(f)$ contains at least one element for every $f \in X$. G is called a semi Chebyshev or uniqueness set if $P_G(f)$ contains exactly one element for every $f \in X$.

Definition 2.4. Let G be a nonempty subset of a real normed linear space X. The set-valued mapping $P_G : X \to POW(G)$ which associates for every $f \in X$, the set $P_G(f)$ of the best approximations to f from G is called the metric projection onto G.

Let [a, b] be a closed and bounded interval of the real line. A space of continuous real valued functions on [a, b] is defined by

 $C[a,b] = \{f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is continuous}\}.$

If r is a positive integer, then the space of r-times continuously differentiable functions on [a, b] is defined by

$$C^{r}[a,b] = \{f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}: f^{(r)} \in C[a,b]\}.$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Definition 2.5. The sign of a function $g \in C[a, b]$ is defined by

$$sgn g(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & if g(t) < 0\\ 0 & if g(t) = 0\\ 1 & if g(t) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.6. Let G be a subset of a real normed linear space $C[a,b], f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$. Let $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\} \in [a,b]$. A function $g \in G$ is said to interpolate f at the points $\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ if

$$g(t_i) = f(t_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Definition 2.7. An *n*-dimensional subspace G of C[a, b] is called a Chebyshev subspace (Tchebycheff subspace, in brief, T-subspace) or Haar subspace, if there exists a basis $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ of G such that

$$D\left(\begin{array}{c}g_{1},\ldots,g_{n}\\\\t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}\end{array}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}g_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)&\cdots&g_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\\\vdots&\vdots\\g_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)&\cdots&g_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)\end{array}\right|>0,$$

for all $t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ in [a, b].

Definition 2.8. Let $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ be a set of bounded real valued functions defined on a subset I of \mathbb{R} . The system $\{g_i\}_1^n$ is said to be a weak Chebyshev system (or Weak Tchebycheff system; in brief, WT-system) if they are linearly



Page 6 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

independent, and

$$D\left(\begin{array}{c}g_1,\ldots,g_n\\ \vdots\\t_1,\ldots,t_n\end{array}\right) = \left|\begin{array}{ccc}g_1(t_1)&\cdots&g_n(t_1)\\ \vdots&\vdots\\g_1(t_1)&\cdots&g_n(t_n)\end{array}\right| \ge 0.$$

for all $t_1 < \cdots < t_n \in I$. The space spanned by a weak Chebyshev system is called a weak Chebychev space.

In contrast to the definitions of Chebychev space, there the functions are defined on arbitrary subsets I of \mathbb{R} and they are not required to be continuous on T. It is clear that every Chebyshev space is a weak Chebyshev space.

Best coapproximation problems can be considered with respect to various norms, e.g., L_1 -norm, L_2 -norm, and L_{∞} -norm. The choice of the norms depends on the given minimization problem. Since the L_2 -norm induces an inner product and best coapproximation coincides with best approximation in inner product spaces, all the results of best approximation with respect to the L_2 -norm can be carried over to best coapproximation with respect to L_2 -norms. Hence, the best coapproximation problems will be considered with respect to the L_1 and L_{∞} norms.

Definition 2.9. For all functions $f \in C[a, b]$, the uniform norm or L_{∞} -norm or supremum norm is defined by

$$\left\|f\right\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \left|f\left(t\right)\right|.$$

Best coapproximation (respectively, best approximation) with respect to this norm is called best uniform coapproximation (respectively, best uniform approximation).



Quit

Page 7 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Definition 2.10. The set E(f) of extreme points of a function $f \in C[a, b]$ is defined by

 $E(f) = \{t \in [a, b] : |f(t)| = ||f||_{\infty}\}.$

For the sake of brevity, the terminology subspace is used instead of a linear subspace. Unless otherwise stated, all normed linear spaces considered in this paper are existence subsets and existence subspaces with respect to best coapproximation. It is easy to deal with C[a, b] instead of an arbitrary normed linear space. Since best coapproximation (respectively, best approximation) of an element in a subset from the same subset is the element of itself, i.e., if $G \subset X$, $f \in G \Longrightarrow R_G(f) = f$ and $P_G(f) = f$, it is sufficient to deal with the element to which a best coapproximation (respectively, best approximation) to be found, which lies outside the subset, i.e., $f \in X \setminus G$.



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

3. Characterization of Best Uniform Coapproximation

The following theorem is a characterization best uniform coapproximation due to Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan [14].

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a subspace of C[a,b], $f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The function g_f is a best uniform coapproximation to f from G.
- (ii) For every function $g \in G$,

$$\min_{t \in E(g)} \left(f\left(t\right) - g_f\left(t\right) \right) g\left(t\right) \le 0.$$

The next result generalizes one part of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a subset of C[a, b] such that $\alpha g \in G$ for all $g \in G$ and $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. Let $f \in C[a, b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$. If g_f is a unique best uniform coapproximation to f from G, then for every function $g \in G \setminus \{g_f\}$ and every set U containing $E(g - g_f)$,

$$\inf_{t \in U} \left(f\left(t\right) - g_f\left(t\right) \right) \left(g\left(t\right) - g_f\left(t\right) \right) < 0.$$

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there exists a function $g_1 \in G \setminus \{g_f\}$ and a set U containing $E(g_1 - g_f)$ such that

$$\inf_{t \in U} (f(t) - g_f(t)) (g_1(t) - g_f(t)) \ge 0$$



Quit

Page 9 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Then for all $t \in U$, it follows that

(3.1)
$$(f(t) - g_f(t)) (g_1(t) - g_f(t)) \ge 0$$

Let

(3.2)
$$V = \left\{ t \in [a, b] : |g_1(t) - g_f(t)| \ge \frac{1}{2} ||g_1 - g_f||_{\infty} \right\}.$$

Assume without loss of generality that $E(g_1 - g_f) \subset U \subset V$. Let

(3.3)
$$c = \|g_1 - g_f\|_{\infty} - \max\{|g_1(t) - g_f(t)| : t \in V \setminus U\}.$$

It is clear that c > 0. By multiplying $f - g_f$ with an appropriate positive factor and using Remark 2.1, assume without loss of generality that

(3.4)
$$||f - g_f||_{\infty} \le \min\left\{c, \frac{1}{2} ||g_1 - g_f||_{\infty}\right\}.$$

Case 3.1. Let $t \in [a, b] \setminus V$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) - g_{1}(t)| &= |(f(t) - g_{f}(t)) - (g_{1}(t) - g_{f}(t))| \\ &\leq |f(t) - g_{f}(t)| + |g_{1}(t) - g_{f}(t)| \\ &\leq ||f - g_{f}||_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2} ||g_{1} - g_{f}||_{\infty} \quad by (3.2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} ||g_{1} - g_{f}||_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2} ||g_{1} - g_{f}||_{\infty} \quad by (3.4) \\ &= ||g_{1} - g_{f}||_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$



Some Results Concerning Best Uniform Coapproximation

Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Case 3.2. Let $t \in V \setminus U$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - g_{1}(t)| &= |(f(t) - g_{f}(t)) - (g_{1}(t) - g_{f}(t))| \\ &\leq |f(t) - g_{f}(t)| + |g_{1}(t) - g_{f}(t)| \\ &\leq |f(t) - g_{f}(t)| + ||g_{1} - g_{f}||_{\infty} - c \ by \ (3.3) \\ &\leq ||g_{1} - g_{f}||_{\infty} \qquad by \ (3.4). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3.3. Let $t \in U$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - g_1(t)| &= |(f(t) - g_f(t)) - (g_1(t) - g_f(t))| \\ &= ||f(t) - g_f(t)| - |g_1(t) - g_f(t)|| \quad by \ (3.1) \\ &= |g_1(t) - g_f(t)| - |f(t) - g_f(t)| \quad by \ (3.2) \ and \ (3.4) \\ &\leq ||g_1 - g_f||_{\infty} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $t \in [a, b]$,

$$|f(t) - g_1(t)| \le ||g_1 - g_f||_{\infty}$$

This implies that

$$||g_1 - g_f||_{\infty} \ge ||f - g_1||_{\infty},$$

which shows that g_f is not a unique best uniform coapproximation to f from G, a contradiction.

If G is considered as a subspace of C[a, b], then Theorem 3.2 can be written as:



Some Results Concerning Best Uniform Coapproximation

Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a subspace of C[a,b], $f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$. If g_f is a unique best uniform coapproximation to f from G, then for every nontrivial function $g \in G$ and every set U containing E(g),

$$\inf_{t \in U} \left(f\left(t\right) - g_f\left(t\right) \right) \left(g\left(t\right) \right) < 0.$$

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there exist a nontrivial function $g_1 \in G$ and a set U containing $E(g_1)$ such that

$$\inf_{t \in U} \left(f\left(t\right) - g_f\left(t\right) \right) \left(g_1\left(t\right) \right) \ge 0.$$

Let $g_2 = g_1 + g_f$. Then for all $t \in U$, it follows that

$$(f(t) - g_f(t)) (g_2(t) - g_f(t)) \ge 0.$$

The remaining part of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.1. Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 remain true if the interval [a, b] is replaced by a compact Hausdorff space.

Let X be a normed linear space and G be a subset of X. Let $g_f \in G$ be fixed. For each $g \in G$, define a set $\mathcal{L}(g, g_f)$ of continuous linear functionals depending upon g and g_f by

$$\mathcal{L}(g, g_f) = \{ L \in G^* : L(g - g_f) = \|g - g_f\| \text{ and } \|L\| = 1 \}$$

where G^* denotes the set of continuous linear functionals defined on G. Some conditions to obtain best coapproximation are established.



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

,

Proposition 3.4. Let G be a subset of a normed linear space $X, f \in X \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$. If for each $g \in G$,

$$\min_{L \in \mathcal{L}(g,g_f)} L\left(f - g_f\right) \le 0,$$

or if for each $g \in G$, there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}(g, g_f)$ such that

$$L\left(g_f\right) \ge L\left(f\right)$$

then g_f is a best approximation to f from G.

Proof. Let $\min_{L \in \mathcal{L}(g,g_f)} L(g_f - f) \leq 0$. Then there exists a continuous linear functional $L \in \mathcal{L}(g,g_f)$ such that $L(f - g_f) \leq 0$. It follows that

$$||g - g_f|| = L (g - g_f)$$

= $L (g) - L (g_f)$
= $L (g) - L (f)$
= $L (g - f)$
 $\leq ||g - f||.$

The other case can be proved similarly.

Let G be a subspace of a normed linear space X. For $x \in X$, let d(x, G) denote the distance between x and G, i.e.,

$$d(x,G) = \inf_{g \in G} ||x - g||.$$



Some Results Concerning Best Uniform Coapproximation

Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Then the quotient space X/G is equipped with the norm,

$$\|x+g\| = d(x,G)$$

Theorem 3.5. Let G and H be subspaces of a normed linear space X such that $G \subset H$ and let $f \in X \setminus H$ and $h \in H$. If h is a best coapproximation to f from H, then h+G is a best coapproximation to f+G from the quotient space $H \setminus G$.

Proof. Assume that h + G is not a best coapproximation to f + G from H/G. Then there exists $h' + G \in H/G$ such that

$$|||h' + G - (h + G)||| > |||f + G - (h' + G)|||$$

That is,

$$|||h' - h + G||| > |||f - h' + G|||.$$

That is,

$$d(f - h', G) < d(h' - h, G).$$

This implies that there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$||f - h' - g|| < d(h' - h, G)$$

< $||h' - h + g||$

That is,

$$||(g+h') - h|| > ||f - (g+h')||.$$

Thus h is not a best coapproximation to f from H, a contradiction.





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

4. Best Uniform Coapproximation and Chebyshev Subspaces

Let G be a subset of C[a, b], $f \in C[a, b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$ be a best uniform coapproximation to f from G. It is known that for every $g \in G$,

$$||f - g_f|| \le 2 ||f - g||$$

If the subset G is considered as a Chebyshev subspace, then a lower bound for $||f - g_f||_{\infty}$ is obtained, for which the following definition and results are required.

Definition 4.1. The points $t_1 < \cdots < t_p$ in [a, b] are called alternating extreme points of a function $f \in C[a, b]$, if there exists a sign $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that

$$\sigma (-1)^{i} f(t_{i}) = ||f||_{\infty}, \quad i = 1, \dots, p$$

Theorem 4.1. [1]Let G be an n-dimensional weak Chebyshev subspace of $C[a,b], f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$. If the error $f - g_f$ has at least n + 1 alternating extreme points in $[a,b], g_f$ is a best uniform approximations to f from G.

Theorem 4.2. [15]Let G be an n-dimensional weak Chebyshev subspace of C[a,b]. Then for all integers $m \in \{1,...,n\}$ and all points $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{m-1} < t_m = b$, there exists a nontrivial function $g \in G$ such that

$$(-1)^{i} g(t) \ge 0, \ t \in [t_{i-1}, t_{i}], \qquad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Now a lower bound for $||f - g_f||_{\infty}$ can be established as follows:



Page 15 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Theorem 4.3. Let G be an n-dimensional weak Chebyshev subspace of C[a, b], $f \in C[a, b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$. If g_f is a best uniform coapproximation but not a best uniform approximation to f from G, then there exists a nontrivial function $g \in G$ such that

$$\|g\|_{\infty} \le \|f - g_f\|_{\infty}.$$

Proof. Since g_f is not a best uniform approximation to f from G, by Theorem 4.1, $f-g_f$ cannot have more than n alternating extreme points in [a, b]. Let $t_1 < \cdots < t_p$, $p \le n$ be the alternating extreme points of $f - g_f$ in [a, b]. Assume first that $f(t_1) - g_f(t_1) = ||f - g_f||_{\infty}$. Then there exist points x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_p in [a, b] and a real number c > 0 such that

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{pi1} < x_p = b,$$

$$x_i \in (t_i, t_{i-1}), \quad i = 0, \dots, p-1.$$

and

$$(-1)^{i+1} \left(f\left(t\right) - g_f\left(t\right) \right) \le \|f - g_f\|_{\infty} - c, \ t \in [x_i, x_{i+1}], \ i = 0, \dots, p-1.$$

Since $p \le n$, by Theorem 4.2 there exists a nontrivial function $g \in G$ such that

$$(-1)^{i} g(t) \ge 0, t \in [x_{i}, x_{i+1}], i = 0, \dots, p-1$$

By multiplying g with an appropriate positive factor, assume without loss of generality that $||g||_{\infty} \leq c$. Then for all $t \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, it follows that

$$- \|f - g_f\|_{\infty} \le (-1)^{i+1} \left(f(t) - g_f(t) \right)$$

$$\le (-1)^{i+1} \left(f(t) - g_f(t) \right) + (-1)^i g(t)$$



Page 16 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

$$= (-1)^{i+1} (f(t) - g_f(t)) - (-1)^{i+1} g(t)$$

$$\leq \|f - g_f\|_{\infty} - c + \|g\|_{\infty}$$

$$\leq \|f - g_f\|_{\infty}.$$

That is,

$$- \|f - g_f\|_{\infty} \le (-1)^{i+1} \left(f(t) - g_f(t) \right) - (-1)^{i+1} g(t) \le \|f - g_f\|_{\infty}.$$

This implies that for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and for all $t \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$,

$$\left| (-1)^{i+1} \left((f(t) - g_f(t)) - g(t) \right) \right| \le \left\| f - g_f \right\|_{\infty}$$

Hence

$$\left\|f - g_f - g\right\|_{\infty} \le \left\|f - g_f\right\|_{\infty}.$$

For the second case, $f(t_1) - g_f(t_1) = - \left\| f - g_f \right\|_{\infty}$, the inequality

$$\|f - g_f - g\|_{\infty} \le \|f - g_f\|_{\infty}$$

can be proved similarly.

Since $g_f - (g_f + g)$ is a best uniform approximation to $f - (g_f + g)$ from G it follows that

$$||g_f - (g_f + g)||_{\infty} \le ||f - (g_f + g)||_{\infty}.$$

Hence

$$\|g\|_{\infty} \le \|f - g_f\|_{\infty}.$$



Some Results Concerning Best Uniform Coapproximation

Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

In order to approximate a given function $f \in C[a, b]$ by functions from a finite dimensional subspace, it is required that the approximating function coincides with f at certain points of the interval [a, b]. In order to establish a similar fact for coapproximation, the following theorems are required.

Theorem 4.4. [1]Let G be a Chebyshev subspace of C[a,b]. Then for every function $f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$, there exists a unique best uniform approximation from G.

Theorem 4.5. Let G be an n-dimensional Chebyshev subspace of C[a,b], $f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The function g_f is a best uniform approximation to f from G.
- (ii) The error $f g_f$ has at least n + 1 alternating extreme points in [a, b].

Now a relation between interpolation and best uniform coapproximation is obtained as follows:

Theorem 4.6. Let G be an n-dimensional Chebyshev subspace of C[a,b], $f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$. If g_f is a best uniform coapproximation to f from G, then g_f interpolates f at at least n points of [a,b].

Proof. Since G is an n-dimensional Chebyshev space of C[a, b], by Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5 there exists a unique function, say $g_1 \in G$, such that $f - g_1$ has at least n + 1 alternating extreme points in [a, b]. Therefore, there exist points $t_1 < \cdots < t_p$, $p \ge n + 1$, in [a, b] and a sign $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that

$$\sigma (-1)^{i} (f (t_{i}) - g_{1} (t_{i})) = ||f - g_{1}||_{\infty}, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$



Page 18 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Since g_f is a best uniform coapproximation to f from G, it follows that for $i = 1, \ldots, p$,

$$\sigma (-1)^{i} (g_{f} (t_{i}) - g_{1} (t_{i})) \leq ||g_{f} - g_{1}||_{\infty}$$

$$\leq ||f - g_{1}||_{\infty}$$

$$= \sigma (-1)^{i} (f (t_{i}) - g_{1} (t_{i})).$$

This implies that

$$\sigma (-1)^{i} (g_{f}(t_{i}) - f(t_{i})) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

Hence the function $f - g_f$ has at least p - 1 zeros, say x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1} . Thus g_f interpolates f at at least n points x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1} .

Remark 4.1. Theorem 4.6 can be proved in the context of weak Chebyshev subspaces.

The following theorem is required to establish an upper bound for the error $||f - g_f||_{\infty}$ under some conditions.

Theorem 4.7. [1] If $f \in C^n[a, b]$, if g is a polynomial of degree n which interpolates f at n points x_1, \ldots, x_n in [a, b] and if $w(x) = (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n)$, then

$$||f - g||_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{n!} ||f^{(n)}||_{\infty} ||w||_{\infty}$$

Now, an upper bound can be determined as follows:



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Corollary 4.8. Let G be a space of polynomials of degree n defined on [a, b]and $f \in C^n[a, b] \setminus G$. If $g_f \in G$ is a best uniform coapproximation to f from G, then

$$\|f - g_f\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{n!} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \|w\|_{\infty},$$

where $w(x) = (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n)$ and x_1, \ldots, x_n are the points in [a, b] at which g_f interpolates f.

Proof. Since a space of polynomials is a Chebyshev space, by Theorem 4.6, there exist n points x_1, \ldots, x_n in [a, b] at which g_f interpolates f. Hence by Theorem 4.7,

$$\|f - g_f\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{n!} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \|w\|_{\infty}$$

Remark 4.2. It is clear that the error $||f - g_f||_{\infty}$ is minimum when the x_i 's are taken as the zeros of Chebyshev polynomials.

Proposition 4.9. Let G be a subspace of C[a,b], $f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$ be a best uniform coapproximation to f from G. Then there does not exist a function in G, which interpolates $f - g_f$ at its extreme points.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a function $g_0 \in G$ such that g_0 interpolates $f - g_f$ at its extreme points. Let $E(g_0) = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$. So

$$g_0(t_i) = f(t_i) - g_f(t_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

This implies that

$$g_0(t_i)(f(t_i) - g_f(t_i)) > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$



Page 20 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Hence

$$\min_{t \in E(g_0)} g_0(t) \left(f(t) - g_f(t) \right) > 0.$$

Thus by Theorem 3.1, g_f is not a best uniform coapproximation to f from G, a contradiction.

The following result answers the question: When does a best uniform approximation imply a best uniform coapproximation?

Theorem 4.10. Let G be a subset of C[a,b], $f \in C[a,b] \setminus G$ and $g_f \in G$ be a best uniform approximation to f from G. If for every function $g \in G$,

(4.1)
$$\min_{t \in E\left(g-g_f\right)} \left(f\left(t\right) - g\left(t\right)\right) \left(g_f\left(t\right) - g\left(t\right)\right) \le 0,$$

then the function g_f is a best uniform coapproximation to f from G.

Proof. For every function $g \in G$, there exists a point $t \in E(g - g_f)$ such that

$$(f(t) - g(t))(g_f(t) - g(t)) \le 0.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \|f - g\|_{\infty} &\geq \|f - g_{f}\|_{\infty} \\ &\geq |f(t) - g_{f}(t)| \\ &= |(f(t) - g(t)) - (g_{f}(t) - g(t))| \\ &= |f(t) - g(t)| + |g_{f}(t) - g(t)| \\ &= \|g_{f} - g\|_{\infty}. \end{split}$$



Some Results Concerning Best **Uniform Coapproximation** Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan **Title Page** Contents •• 44 Go Back Close Quit Page 21 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Remark 4.3. In Theorem 4.10, the result holds even if the condition (4.1) is replaced by the condition:

$$sgn\left(f\left(t\right) - g\left(t\right)\right) = sgn\left(g\left(t\right) - g_{f}\left(t\right)\right),$$

for some $t \in E(g - g_f)$.

If $g_f \in R_G(f)$ and $g_0 \in P_G(f)$, then it is clear that $\frac{1}{2} ||f - g_f|| \le ||f - g_0||$. The following result improves this lower bound. The proof is obvious.

Proposition 4.11. Let G be a subset of a normed linear space X. Let $f_1, f_2 \in X \setminus G$, $g_{f_1} \in R_G(f_1)$, $g_{f_2} \in R_G(f_2)$, $g_1 \in P_G(f_1)$ and $g_2 \in P_G(f_2)$. Then

$$\max\left\{\frac{\|f_1 - g_{f_1}\|}{2}, \frac{\|g_{f_1} - g_{f_2}\| - \|f_1 - f_2\|}{2}\right\} \le \|f_1 - g_1\|$$

and

$$\max\left\{\frac{\|f_2 - g_{f_2}\|}{2}, \frac{\|g_{f_1} - g_{f_2}\| - \|f_1 - f_2\|}{2}\right\} \le \|f_2 - g_2\|.$$





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

5. Selection for the Metric Projection and the Cometric Projection

Definition 5.1. Let G be a subset of a normed linear space X and let $P_G : X \to POW(G)$ (respectively, $R_G : X \to POW(G)$) be the metric projection (respectively, cometric projection) onto G. A selection for the metric projection P_G (respectively, cometric projection R_G) is an onto map $S : X \to G$ such that $S(f) \in P_G(f)$ (respectively, $S(f) \in R_G(f)$) for all $f \in X$. If S is continuous, then it is called a continuous selection for the metric projection (respectively, cometric projection).

Definition 5.2. A selection S for the metric projection P_G (respectively, cometric projection R_G) is said to be sunny if $S(f_\alpha) = S(f)$ for all $f \in X$ and $\alpha \ge 0$, where $f_\alpha := \alpha f + (1 - \alpha) S(f)$.

The following result shows that every selection for a cometric projection onto a subspace is a sunny selection.

Theorem 5.1. Let G be a subspace of a normed linear space X. Then every selection for a cometric projection $R_G : X \to POW(G)$ is a sunny selection.

Proof. Let S be a selection. It is enough to prove that $S(f_{\alpha}) = S(f)$, for all $f \in X$ and $\alpha \ge 0$, where $f_{\alpha} := \alpha f + (1 - \alpha) S(f)$. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$S(f_{\alpha}) = S(\alpha f + (1 - \alpha) S(f))$$
$$= S(\alpha (f - S(f)) + S(f))$$



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

$$= S (\alpha (f - S (f))) + S (f)$$

= $\alpha S (f - S (f)) + S (f)$
= $\alpha (S (f) - S (f)) + S (f)$
= $S (f)$.

Thus every selection is sunny.

Let B_{∞} denote the closed unit sphere in C[a, b] with center at origin with respect to L_{∞} -norm. That is,

$$B_{\infty} := \{ f \in C [a, b] : \|f\|_{\infty} \le 1 \}.$$

Definition 5.3. A map $T : C[a, b] \rightarrow B_{\infty}$ defined by

$$(T(f))(x) := \max \{-1, \min \{1, f(x)\}\}, f \in C[a, b], x \in [a, b], (x, b)\}$$

is called an orthogonal projection.

Remark 5.1. By the definition of orthogonal projection, it can be written as

$$(T(f))(x) = \begin{cases} sgn f(x), & x \in M(f), \\ f(x), & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

where

$$M(f) := \{x \in [a, b] : |f(x)| > 1\}.$$

The next result shows that the orthogonal projection is a continuous selection for the cometric projection.





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Theorem 5.2. The orthogonal projection $T : C[a,b] \to B_{\infty}$ is a continuous selection for the cometric projection $R_{B_{\infty}} : C[a,b] \to POW(B_{\infty})$ under the L_p -norm, $1 \le p \le \infty$.

Proof. Since the inequality $|b - sgna| \le |a - b|$ holds for all real a and such that $|a| \ge 1$ and $|b| \le 1$, it can be shown that T is a selection for the cometric projection $R_{B_{\infty}}$ by taking a = f(x) and b = g(x). For if a = f(x), then $|f(x)| \ge 1$. Therefore, $||f||_{\infty} \ge 1$, hence either f belongs to the boundary of B_{∞} or f belongs to $C[a, b] \setminus B_{\infty}$. If b = g(x), then $|g(x)| \le 1$. Therefore, $||g||_{\infty} \le 1$, hence $g \in B_{\infty}$. Then for any $f \in C[a, b]$ and $g \in B_{\infty}$, it can be shown that

$$|g(x) - (T(f))(x)| \le |f(x) - g(x)|$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Case 5.1. For all $x \in [a, b]$ such that |f(x)| > 1, it follows that

$$\left|g\left(x\right) - sgnf\left(x\right)\right| \le \left|f\left(x\right) - g\left(x\right)\right|.$$

Hence by Remark 5.1 it follows that

$$|g(x) - (T(f))(x)| \le |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

Case 5.2. For all $x \in [a, b]$ such that $|f(x)| \le 1$, it follows that

$$|g(x) - (T(f))(x)| = |g(x) - f(x)|.$$

By monotonicity of the norm, it follows that $\|g - T(f)\|_p \leq \|f - g\|_p$. Hence $T(f) \in R_{B_{\infty}}(f)$. Thus T is a selection for the cometric projection $R_{B_{\infty}}$.



Go Back

Quit Page 25 of 32

J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au To prove T is continuous, it is enough to prove that

(5.1)
$$\|T(f_1) - T(f_2)\|_p \le \|f_1 - f_2\|_p$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in C[a, b]$.

Case 5.1. Let $x \in [a, b]$ such that $|f_1(x)| > 1$ and $|f_2(x)| > 1$. Since the inequality $|sgn a - sgn b| \le |a - b|$ holds, whenever $|a| \ge 1$, $|b| \ge 1$, inequality (5.1) follows by taking $a = f_1(x)$ and $b = f_2(x)$ and by using remark 5.1 and monotonicity of the norm.

Case 5.2. Let $x \in [a, b]$ such that $|f_1(x)| \le 1$ and $|f_2(x)| \le 1$. By Remark 5.1 and monotonicity of the norm, inequality (5.1) is obvious.

Case 5.3. Let $x \in [a, b]$ such that $|f_1(x)| \leq 1$ and $|f_2(x)| \geq 1$. Since the inequality $|a - sgnb| \leq |a - b|$ holds, whenever $|a| \leq 1$, $|b| \geq 1$, inequality (5.1) follows by taking $a = f_1(x)$ and $b = f_2(x)$ and by using Remark 5.1 and monotonicity of the norm. Thus $||T(f_1) - T(f_2)||_p \leq ||f_1 - f_2||_p$.

Exponential sums are functions of the form

$$h\left(x\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left(x\right) e t_{i}^{x}$$

where t_i are real and distinct and p_i are polynomials. The expression

$$d(h) := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\partial p_i + 1\right),$$





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

is called as the degree of exponential sum h. here ∂p denotes the degree of p. Let V_n denote the set of all exponential sums of degree less than or equal to n. E. Schmidt [17] studied about the continuity properties of the metric projection

$$P_{V_n}: C[a,b] \to POW(V_n).$$

The following definition and results are required to prove the next result, which answers the question:

When does the metric projection P_{V_n} have a continuous selection?

In a normed linear space X, the ε -neighbourhood of a nonempty set A in X is given by

$$B_{\varepsilon}(A) := \left\{ x \in X : d(x, A) < \varepsilon \right\},\$$

where

$$d(x, A) := \inf_{a \in A} ||x - a||.$$

Definition 5.4. [2]Let G be a subset of a normed linear space X. Then a setvalued map $F : X \to POW(G)$ is said to be 2-lower semicontinuous at $f \in X$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighbourhood U of f such that

 $B_{\varepsilon}(F(f_1)) \cap B_{\varepsilon}(F(f_2)) \neq \emptyset$

for each choice of points $f_1, f_2 \in U$. F is said to be 2-lower semicontinuous if F is 2-lower semicontinuous at each point of X.

Theorem 5.3. [2]Let G be he complete subspace of a normed linear space X and let $F : X \to POW(G)$ be a set-valued map. Let $H(F) = \{x \in X : F(x)$ is a singleton set $\}$. Suppose that F has closed images and H(F) is dense in X. Then F has a continuous selection if and only if F is 2-lower semicontinuous. Moreover, if F has a continuous selection, then it is unique.



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au **Theorem 5.4.** [17]The set of functions of C[a, b] which have a unique best approximation from V_n is dense in C[a, b].

Now a result which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for the metric projection P_{V_n} to have a continuous selection can be stated. The proof follows from Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4.

Theorem 5.5. The metric projection

$$P_{V_n}: C[a, b] \to POW(V_n)$$

has a continuous selection if and only if P_{V_n} is 2-lower semicontinuous. Moreover, if P_{V_n} has a continuous selection, then it is unique.

Theorem 5.6. [2]Let G be a subset of normed linear space X and let $F : X \rightarrow POW(G)$. If F is a singleton-valued map, then F is 2-lower semicontinuous if and only if f is continuous.

Theorem 5.7. [7]Let G be an existence and uniqueness subspace with respect to best coapproximation of a normed linear space X. Then each of the following statements implies that the cometric projection R_G is continuous.

- (*i*) *G* is a finite dimensional space.
- (ii) G is a hyperplane.
- (iii) G is closed and $R_G^{-1}(0)$ is boundedly compact.
- (iv) R_{G} is continuous at the points of $R_{G}^{-1}(0)$.
- (v) $R_{G}^{-1}(0) + R_{G}^{-1}(0) \subset R_{G}^{-1}(0)$.





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

As a consequence of Theorems 5.3, 5.6 and 5.7, the next result follows.

Theorem 5.8. Let G be an existence and uniqueness subspace with respect to best coapproximation of a normed linear space X. Then each of the statements (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) of Theorem 5.7 implies that the cometric projection R_G has a unique continuous selection.

Remark 5.2. *Theorem 5.3 can be stated in the context of best coapproximation as follows.:*

Let G be a complete subspace of a normed linear space X and let $R_G :\to$ POW (G) be the cometric projection. Then R_G has a selection which is continuous on the closure of the set { $f \in X : f$ has a unique best coapproximation from G} if and only if R_G is 2-lower semicontinuous.





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

References

- [1] E.W. CHENEY, *Introduction to Approximation Theory*, McGraw Hill, New York, 1966.
- [2] F. DEUTSCH and P. KENDEROV, Continuous selections and approximate selection for set-valued mappings and applications to metric projections, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 14 (1983), 185–194.
- [3] C. FRANCHETTI and M. FURI, Some characteristic properties of real Hilbert spaces, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **17** (1972), 1045–1048.
- [4] G.S. RAO and S. ELUMALAI, Semicontinuity properties of operators of strong best approximation and strong best coapproximation, in *Proc. Int. Conf. on 'Constructive Function Theory'*, Varna, Bulgaria (1981), 495– 498.
- [5] G.S. RAO and S. ELUMALAI, Approximation and strong approximation in locally convex spaces, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, **19** (1984), 13–26.
- [6] G.S. RAO and K.R. CHANDRASEKARAN, Best coapproximation in normed linear spaces with property (Λ) , *Math. Today*, **2** (1984), 33–40.
- [7] G.S. RAO, Best coapproximation in normed linear spaces, in *Approximation Theory V*, C.K. Chui, L.L. Schimaker and J.D. Ward (Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1986, 535–538.
- [8] G.S. RAO and K.R. CHANDRASEKARAN, The modulus of continuity of the set-valued cometric projection, in *Methods of Functional Analysis in*





J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

Approximation Theory, C.A. Micchelli, D.V. Pai and B.V. Limaye (Eds.), Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986, 157–163.

- [9] G.S. RAO and K.R. CHANDRASEKARAN, Some properties of the maps P_G and R'_G , *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, **23** (1986), 21–27.
- [10] G.S. RAO and S. MUTHUKUMAR, Semicontinuity properties of the best coapproximations operator, *Math. Today*, **5** (1987), 37–48.
- [11] G.S. RAO and K.R. CHANDRASEKARAN, Characterization of elements of best coapproximation in normed linear spaces, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, 26 (1987), 139–147.
- [12] G.S. RAO and M. SWAMINATHAN, Best coapproximation and Schauder bases in Banach spaces, *Acta Scient. Math. Szeged*, **54** (1990), 393–354.
- [13] G.S. RAO and K.R. CHANDRASEKARAN, Hahn-Banach extensions, best coapproximation and related results, in *Approximation Theory and its Applications*, Geetha S. Rao (Ed.), New Age International Publishers, New Delhi, 1996, 51–58.
- [14] G.S. RAO and R. SARAVANAN, Characterization of best uniform coapproximation, submitted.
- [15] G. NÜRNBERGER, Approximation by Spline Functions, Springer Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [16] P.L. PAPINI and I. SINGER, Best coapproximation in normed linear spaces, *Mh. Math.*, **88** (1979), 27–44.



Some Results Concerning Best Uniform Coapproximation

Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au

[17] E. SCHMIDT, On the continuity of the set-valued exponential metric projection, *J. Approx. Theory*, **7** (1973), 36–40.



Some Results Concerning Best Uniform Coapproximation

Geetha S. Rao and R. Saravanan



J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 3(2) Art. 24, 2002 http://jipam.vu.edu.au