

# Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

## EXPLICIT UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE AVERAGE ORDER OF $d_n(m)$ AND APPLICATION TO CLASS NUMBER

OLIVIER BORDELLÈS

22, rue Jean Barthélemy  
43000 LE PUY-en-VELAY, FRANCE.  
EMail: [borde43@wanadoo.fr](mailto:borde43@wanadoo.fr)

©2000 Victoria University  
ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756  
053-01



---

volume 3, issue 3, article 38,  
2002.

*Received 29 June, 2001;  
accepted 13 March, 2002.*

*Communicated by: J. Sándor*

---

[Abstract](#)

[Contents](#)



[Home Page](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove some explicit upper bounds for the average order of the generalized divisor function, and, according to an idea of Lenstra, we use them to obtain bounds for the class number of number fields.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11N99, 11R29.

*Key words:* Multiplicative number theory, Average order, Class number.

We would like to thank Professor József Sándor for his helpful comments. We also are indebted to Professor Patrick Sargos for the proof of the Erdős-Turán inequality in the form used here.

## Contents

1	Introduction .....	3
2	Notation .....	6
3	Basic Properties of the Generalized Divisor Function .....	8
4	Results .....	10
5	Application to Class Number .....	11
6	Proofs of the Theorems .....	12
7	Using the Convolution Relation in a Different Way .....	16
8	Case of Quadratic Fields .....	20
A	Appendix .....	30
	References	



---

### Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of $d_n(m)$ and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 35

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree  $n$ , signature  $(r_1, r_2)$ , discriminant  $d(\mathbb{K})$ , Minkowski bound  $b(\mathbb{K}) := b = \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right) \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} |d(\mathbb{K})|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and class number  $h(\mathbb{K})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$  the ring of algebraic integers of  $\mathbb{K}$ . We are interested here in finding explicit upper bounds for  $h(\mathbb{K})$  of the type

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \varepsilon(n) |d(\mathbb{K})|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log |d(\mathbb{K})|)^{n-1},$$

where  $\varepsilon(n)$  is a positive constant depending on  $n$ , and  $\log$  is the natural logarithm.

There are several methods to get such bounds for  $h(\mathbb{K})$ : Roland Quême in [8] used the geometry of numbers to prove that if  $b > 17$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{K}) h(\mathbb{K}) \leq w(\mathbb{K}) \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} |d(\mathbb{K})|^{\frac{1}{2}} (2 \log b)^n,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{K})$  is the regulator of  $\mathbb{K}$ , and  $w(\mathbb{K})$  is the number of roots of unity in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

In [5], Stéphane Louboutin proved, by using analytic methods, that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{K}) h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \frac{w(\mathbb{K})}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} |d(\mathbb{K})|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{e \log |d(\mathbb{K})|}{4(n-1)}\right)^{n-1},$$

and, if  $\mathbb{K}$  is a totally real abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{K}) h(\mathbb{K}) \leq d(\mathbb{K})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \frac{\log d(\mathbb{K})}{4(n-1)} + 0.025 \right\}^{n-1}.$$



---

**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 35

The methods used to get these bounds are very deep, but it is necessary to compute the regulator (which is usually not easy), or use the Zimmert's lower bound for  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{K})$  (see [11]):

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{K}) \geq 0.02 w(\mathbb{K}) e^{0.46r_1 + 0.1r_2}.$$

We want to prove some inequalities involving  $h(\mathbb{K})$  in an elementary way: we have

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq |\{\mathfrak{a} : \text{integral ideal of } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}, \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq b\}|,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})$  denotes the absolute norm of  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and, using an idea of H.W. Lenstra (see [4]), we can see, by considering how prime numbers can split in  $\mathbb{K}$ , that, for each positive integer  $m$ , the number of integral ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of absolute norm  $m$  is bounded by the number of solutions of the equation

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = m \quad (a_i \in \mathbb{N}^*).$$


Lenstra deduced that

$$(1.1) \quad h(\mathbb{K}) \leq |\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^n, a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \leq b\}|.$$

Now the idea is to work with the generalized divisor function  $d_n$ , since (1.1) is equivalent to:

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree  $n \geq 2$ , and  $b$  be the Minkowski bound of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then:*

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \sum_{m \leq b} d_n(m).$$



Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 35


In an oral communication, J.L. Nicolas and G. Tenenbaum proved that, for any integer  $n \geq 1$  and any real number  $x \geq 1$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) \leq \frac{x}{(n-1)!} (\log x + n - 1)^{n-1}.$$

(one can prove this inequality by induction).

Hence, by Lemma 1.1 and (1.2), we get Lenstra's result, namely:

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \frac{b}{(n-1)!} (\log b + n - 1)^{n-1}.$$




---

**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 35

## 2. Notation

We mention here some notation that will be used throughout the paper:

**General.**  $m, n, r, s$  will always denote positive integers,  $x$  a real number  $\geq 1$ , and  $[x]$  denote the integral part of  $x$ , the unique integer satisfying  $x - 1 < [x] \leq x$ .

- $\psi(x) := x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $e(x) := e^{2i\pi x}$ .  $\psi$  is 1-periodic and  $|\psi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .
- $\gamma \approx 0.5772156649015328606065120900\dots$  is the Euler constant.
- For any finite set  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $|\mathcal{E}|$  denotes the number of elements in  $\mathcal{E}$ .


**On number fields.**  $\mathbb{K}$  is a number field of degree  $n \geq 2$ , signature  $(r_1, r_2)$ , discriminant  $d(\mathbb{K})$ , Minkowski bound  $b = \left(\frac{n!}{n^n}\right) \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} |d(\mathbb{K})|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , class number  $h(\mathbb{K})$ .

**On arithmetical functions.** By 1, we mean the arithmetical function defined by  $1(m) = 1$  for any positive integer  $m$ .

The generalized divisor function  $d_n$  is defined by

$$d_1(m) = 1, \quad d_n(m) := \sum_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = m} 1 \quad (n \geq 2),$$

and, if  $n = 2$ , we simply denote it by  $d(m)$ .



---

Explicit Upper Bounds for the  
Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and  
Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 6 of 35

If  $f$  and  $g$  are two arithmetical functions, the Dirichlet convolution product of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(m) := \sum_{\delta|m} f(\delta) g\left(\frac{m}{\delta}\right).$$



---

**Explicit Upper Bounds for the  
Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and  
Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 35

### 3. Basic Properties of the Generalized Divisor Function

The properties of the generalized divisor function can be found in [5], [9] and [10]. For our purpose, we only need to know that  $d_n$  is multiplicative (i.e.  $d_n(rs) = d_n(r)d_n(s)$  whenever  $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ ) and, for any prime number  $p$  and any non-negative integer  $l$ , we have :

$$d_n(p^l) = \binom{n+l-1}{l},$$

where  $\binom{a}{b}$  denotes a binomial coefficient ([9], equality (4)).

It's important to note that we have

$$(3.1) \quad d_n = \underbrace{1 * 1 * \dots * 1}_{n \text{ times}} \quad (n \geq 1).$$


One knows that the average order of  $d_n(m)$  is  $\sim (\log m)^{n-1} / (n-1)!$  : to see this, one can use the following result ([9], equality (18)):

$$\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) = x (\log x)^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right\} \quad (x > 1, n \geq 2).$$

Our aim is to compute several constants  $\kappa(n)$  depending (or not) on  $n$  such that

$$\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) \leq \kappa(n) x (\log x)^{n-1}.$$

We will need the following lemma:



Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 35

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $x \geq 1$ . Then:*

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{m} = \log x + \gamma - \frac{\psi(x)}{x} + \frac{\varepsilon}{x^2} \quad \text{with} \quad |\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

This result is well-known, and a proof can be found in [2].



---

**Explicit Upper Bounds for the  
Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and  
Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 35


## 4. Results

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and  $x \geq 1$  a real number. Then:*

$$\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) \leq x \left( \log x + \gamma + \frac{1}{x} \right)^{n-1}.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and  $x \geq 6$  a real number. Then:*

$$\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) \leq 2x (\log x)^{n-1}.$$



---

**Explicit Upper Bounds for the  
Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and  
Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 35

## 5. Application to Class Number

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree  $n$ , Minkowski bound  $b$  and class number  $h(\mathbb{K})$ . Then:

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq b (\log b + \gamma + b^{-1})^{n-1}.$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree  $n \geq 2$ , Minkowski bound  $b$  and class number  $h(\mathbb{K})$ . Then, if  $b \geq 6$ ,


$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq 2b (\log b)^{n-1}.$$

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree  $n$ , discriminant  $d(\mathbb{K})$  and class number  $h(\mathbb{K})$ . Then :

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} |d(\mathbb{K})|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log |d(\mathbb{K})|)^{n-1}.$$

More generally, if  $a > 0$  is satisfying  $a \geq 2(n-1) / (\log |d(\mathbb{K})|)$ , then

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \frac{|d(\mathbb{K})|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(n-1)!} (\log |d(\mathbb{K})|)^{n-1}.$$



---

Explicit Upper Bounds for the  
Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and  
Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 35

## 6. Proofs of the Theorems

In the following proofs, we set

$$S_n(x) := \sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m).$$


*Proof of Theorem 4.1.*

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(x) &= \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{a_1 \dots a_n = m} 1 = \sum_{a_1 \leq x} \sum_{a_2 \leq x} \dots \sum_{a_n \leq x/(a_1 \dots a_{n-1})} 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{a_1 \leq x} \dots \sum_{a_{n-1} \leq x} \frac{x}{a_1 \dots a_{n-1}} = x \left( \sum_{a \leq x} \frac{1}{a} \right)^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we use Lemma 3.1 to conclude the proof. □

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* 1. We first note that, since

$$S_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq t < 2, \\ n+1, & \text{if } 2 \leq t < 3, \\ 2n+1, & \text{if } 3 \leq t < 4, \\ \frac{(n^2+5n+2)}{2}, & \text{if } 4 \leq t < 5, \\ \frac{(n^2+7n+2)}{2}, & \text{if } 5 \leq t < 6, \\ \frac{(3n^2+7n+2)}{2}, & \text{if } 6 \leq t < 7, \\ \frac{(3n^2+9n+2)}{2}, & \text{if } 7 \leq t < 8, \end{cases}$$



Explicit Upper Bounds for the  
Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and  
Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 12 of 35



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 35

then

$$\int_1^{e^2} t^{-2} S_n(t) dt = \left( \frac{7}{24} - \frac{3e^{-2}}{2} \right) n^2 + \left( \frac{1093}{840} - \frac{9e^{-2}}{2} \right) n + 1 - e^{-2},$$

and then, if  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(6.1) \quad \int_1^{e^2} t^{-2} S_n(t) dt < \frac{2n^2}{3}.$$

2. Let  $x \geq 6$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . The theorem is true if  $n = 1$ , since  $S_1(x) = [x] \leq x$ , so we prove the result for  $n \geq 2$ .

We first check that the theorem is true when  $6 \leq x < e^2$ . Indeed, in this case, we have

$$2x (\log x)^{n-1} \geq 12 (\log 6)^{n-1} > 4n^2 \geq \frac{3n^2 + 9n + 2}{2} = S_n(e^2) \geq S_n(x).$$

so we can suppose that  $x \geq e^2$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

We prove the inequality by induction : if  $n = 2$ ,

$$S_2(x) = \sum_{r \leq x} \sum_{s \leq x/r} 1 \leq x \log x + x \leq 2x \log x.$$

Assume it is true for some  $n \geq 2$ . By (3.1), we have:

$$S_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{m \leq x} (d_n * \mathbf{1})(m)$$


$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{\delta | m} d_n(\delta) \\
&= \sum_{\delta \leq x} d_n(\delta) \left[ \frac{x}{\delta} \right] \\
&\leq x \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{d_n(\delta)}{\delta} \\
&= x \int_{1-}^x t^{-1} d(S_n(t)) \\
&= S_n(x) + x \int_1^x t^{-2} S_n(t) dt \\
&= S_n(x) + x \int_1^{e^2} t^{-2} S_n(t) dt + x \int_{e^2}^x t^{-2} S_n(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (6.1) and induction hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{n+1}(x) &\leq 2x (\log x)^{n-1} + \frac{2n^2 x}{3} + 2x \int_{e^2}^x t^{-1} (\log t)^{n-1} dt \\
&= \frac{2x}{n} (\log x)^n + x \left\{ 2 (\log x)^{n-1} + \frac{2n^2}{3} - \frac{2^{n+1}}{n} \right\} \\
&= 2x (\log x)^n - x f_n(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_n(x) := \left( 2 - \frac{2}{n} \right) (\log x)^n - \left\{ 2 (\log x)^{n-1} + \frac{2n^2}{3} - \frac{2^{n+1}}{n} \right\}.$$



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 35



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 35

Now we have

$$f_n(x) \geq f_n(e^2) = 2^n - \frac{2n^2}{3} \geq 0,$$

hence

$$S_{n+1}(x) \leq 2x(\log x)^n.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorems 5.1 & 5.2.* Direct applications of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.3.* Let  $a > 0$ , and suppose  $x \geq e^{(n-1)/a}$ . Then  $n - 1 \leq a \log x$ , and, using (1.2),

$$(6.2) \quad S_n(x) \leq \frac{(a+1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} x(\log x)^{n-1}.$$

Now, Since  $b < |d(\mathbb{K})|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we have, by Lemma 1.1,

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \sum_{m \leq |d(\mathbb{K})|^{1/2}} d_n(m).$$

We then use the inequality ([6], Lemma 10)

$$|d(\mathbb{K})| \geq e^{2(n-1)/3}$$

and (6.2) with  $a = 3$  to get the first part of Theorem 5.3.

The 2nd part comes directly from (6.2). This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

## 7. Using the Convolution Relation in a Different Way

We now want to prove another bound, using the Dirichlet hyperbola principle:

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a number field of degree  $n$ , Minkowski bound  $b$  and class number  $h(\mathbb{K})$ . Then, if  $b \geq 36$ ,*

$$(i) \quad n = 2p \quad (p \geq 1),$$

$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \frac{b}{2^{p-2} (p-1)!} (\log b)^p (\log b + p - 1)^{p-1},$$

$$(ii) \quad n = 2p + 1 \quad (p \geq 1),$$


$$h(\mathbb{K}) \leq \frac{b}{2^p (p-1)!} (\log b)^p \left\{ \log b (\log b + p - 1)^{p-1} + \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) (\log b + p)^p \right\}.$$

We first need the following result:

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $x \geq 6$  be a real number and  $k \geq 1$  an integer. Then:*

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{d_k(m)}{m} \leq 2 (\log x)^k.$$

*Proof.* The result is true if  $k = 1$ , so we suppose  $k \geq 2$ . Suppose first that



Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 35

$x \geq e^2$ . By partial summation, we can write, using Theorem 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{d_k(m)}{m} &= x^{-1} S_k(x) + \int_1^x t^{-2} S_k(t) dt \\ &\leq 2(\log x)^{k-1} + \int_1^{e^2} t^{-2} S_k(t) dt + 2 \int_{e^2}^x t^{-1} (\log t)^{k-1} dt \\ &< \frac{2}{k} (\log x)^k + 2(\log x)^{k-1} + \frac{2k^2}{3} - \frac{2^{k+1}}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

and one can check that


$$2(\log x)^{k-1} + \frac{2k^2}{3} - \frac{2^{k+1}}{k} \leq \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{k}\right) (\log x)^k$$

if  $x \geq e^2$  and  $k \geq 2$ , hence

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{d_k(m)}{m} \leq \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{k}\right) (\log x)^k \leq 2(\log x)^k.$$

Now, if  $6 \leq x < e^2$  and  $k \geq 2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\log x)^k &\geq 2(\log 6)^k \\ &> \frac{6k^2}{5} \\ &> \frac{1}{840} (245k^2 + 1093k + 840) \end{aligned}$$



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 35

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m \leq e^2} \frac{d_k(m)}{m} \\
&\geq \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{d_k(m)}{m},
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Lemma 7.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 7.1.* Let  $x \geq 36$  be a real number. If  $n = 2p$  is even, using (3.1) again, we can write:


$$\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) = \sum_{m \leq x} (d_{n/2} * d_{n/2})(m) = \sum_{m \leq x} (d_p * d_p)(m),$$

and, by the Dirichlet hyperbola principle, we get, for any real number  $T$  satisfying  $1 \leq T \leq x$ ,

$$\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) \leq \sum_{m \leq T} d_p(m) \sum_{r \leq x/m} d_p(r) + \sum_{m \leq x/T} d_p(m) \sum_{r \leq x/m} d_p(r),$$

and then, using (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) \leq \frac{x}{(p-1)!} \left\{ \sum_{m \leq T} \frac{d_p(m)}{m} \left( \log \frac{x}{m} + p - 1 \right)^{p-1} \right. \\
\left. + \sum_{m \leq x/T} \frac{d_p(m)}{m} \left( \log \frac{x}{m} + p - 1 \right)^{p-1} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 18 of 35

and, with Lemma 7.2, if  $\min\left(T, \frac{x}{T}\right) \geq 6$ , we get


$$\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) \leq \frac{2x (\log x + p - 1)^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} \left\{ (\log T)^p + \left( \log \left( \frac{x}{T} \right) \right)^p \right\},$$

and we choose  $T = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (so  $\min\left(T, \frac{x}{T}\right) = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 6$ ) to conclude the proof.

If  $n = 2p + 1$  is odd, then we write:

$$\sum_{m \leq x} d_n(m) = \sum_{m \leq x} (d_{(n-1)/2} * d_{(n+1)/2})(m) = \sum_{m \leq x} (d_p * d_{p+1})(m).$$

□



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 35

## 8. Case of Quadratic Fields

We suppose in this section that  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , where  $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  is supposed to be squarefree. We denote here  $\Delta$  the discriminant and  $h(d)$  the class number. We recall that:

$$\Delta = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 4d, & \text{if } d \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

The problem of the class number is in this case utterly resolved: for example, if  $d < -4$ , we have (see [1], Corollary 5.3.13)

$$h(d) = \left\{ 2 - \left( \frac{d}{2} \right) \right\}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq k < |d|/2} \left( \frac{d}{k} \right),$$


where  $\left( \frac{d}{k} \right)$  represents the Kronecker-Jacobi symbol. Nevertheless, we think it would be interesting to have upper bounds for  $h(d)$ .

We also note that, by [3], we can replace, in Lemma 1.1, the Minkowski bound  $b$  by the bound  $\beta$  defined by:

$$\beta := \begin{cases} \sqrt{\Delta/8}, & \text{if } \Delta \geq 8, \\ \sqrt{-\Delta/3}, & \text{if } \Delta < 0. \end{cases}$$

We can see that the problem of the class number of a quadratic field is then connected with that of having good estimations of the error-term

$$R(x) := \sum_{m \leq x} d(m) - x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1)$$



Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 20 of 35

(Dirichlet divisor problem).

One can prove in an elementary way that  $R(x) = O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$  (see below). Voronoï proved that  $R(x) = O\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}} \log x\right)$ . If we use the technique of exponent pairs (see [2]), we can have  $R(x) = O\left(x^{\frac{27}{82}}\right)$ . By using very sophisticated technics, Huxley succeeded in proving that  $R(x) = O\left(x^{\frac{23}{73}} (\log x)^{\frac{461}{146}}\right)$ .


The following result is well-known, but, to make our exposition self-contained, we include the proof:

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $x \geq 1$ . Then :*

$$\sum_{m \leq x} d(m) \leq x (\log x + 2\gamma - 1) + 2 \left| \sum_{m \leq x^{1/2}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| + \frac{3}{4}.$$

*Proof.* By the Dirichlet hyperbola principle, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq x} d(m) &= \sum_{rs \leq x} 1 \\ &= \sum_{r \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{s \leq x/r} 1 + \sum_{s \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{r \leq x/s} 1 - \sum_{r \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{s \leq x^{1/2}} 1 \\ &= 2 \sum_{r \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{s \leq x/r} 1 - [\sqrt{x}]^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{r \leq x^{1/2}} [x/r] - \left( \sqrt{x} - \psi(\sqrt{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$



Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 21 of 35

$$= 2 \sum_{r \leq x^{1/2}} \left( x/r - \psi(x/r) - \frac{1}{2} \right) - x - \psi^2(\sqrt{x}) - \frac{1}{4} + 2\psi(\sqrt{x})\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{x} - \psi(\sqrt{x}),$$

and, by using Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq x} d(m) &= 2x \left( \frac{1}{2} \log x + \gamma - x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\sqrt{x}) + \varepsilon x^{-1} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{r \leq x^{1/2}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) - \sqrt{x} + \psi(\sqrt{x}) + \frac{1}{2} - x - \psi^2(\sqrt{x}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} + 2\psi(\sqrt{x})\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{x} - \psi(\sqrt{x}) \\ &= x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) + 2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} - \psi^2(\sqrt{x}) - 2 \sum_{r \leq x^{1/2}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{r}\right), \end{aligned}$$


and we conclude by noting that  $|\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and  $|\frac{1}{4} - \psi^2(\sqrt{x})| \leq \frac{1}{4}$  if  $x \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 8.2.** *Let  $x \geq 1$ . Then:*

$$\sum_{m \leq x} d(m) \leq x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) + \sqrt{x} + \frac{3}{4}.$$

*Proof.* Use  $|\psi(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  in Lemma 8.1.  $\square$

We get, using Lemma 1.1:



Title Page	
Contents	
◀◀	▶▶
◀	▶
Go Back	
Close	
Quit	
Page 22 of 35	

**Corollary 8.3.** Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  be a quadratic field of discriminant  $\Delta$ . Then:

$$h(d) \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{8}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \Delta + 2\gamma - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \log 2 \right\} + \left(\frac{\Delta}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } \Delta \geq 8, \\ \sqrt{-\frac{\Delta}{3}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log(-\Delta) + 2\gamma - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \log 3 \right\} + \left(-\frac{\Delta}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } \Delta < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Example 8.1.** If  $d = 13693$ , then, using PARI system (see [1]), we get  $h(d) = 15$ . The bound of Corollary 8.3 gives

$$h(d) < 166.$$

**Example 8.2.** If  $d = -300119$ , then we have  $h(d) = 781$ , and Corollary 8.3 gives

$$h(d) < 1889.$$

For bigger discriminants, it could be interesting to have a lower exponent on the error-term. We want to prove this explicit version of Voronoï's theorem:


**Lemma 8.4.** Let  $x \geq 3$ . Then:

$$\left| \sum_{m \leq x^{1/2}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| < 6x^{\frac{1}{3}} \log x.$$

We first need an effective version of Van Der Corput inequality:

**Lemma 8.5.** Let  $f \in C^2((N; 2N] \mapsto \mathbb{R})$ . If there exist real numbers  $c \geq 1$  and  $\lambda_2 > 0$  satisfying

$$\lambda_2 \leq f''(x) \leq c\lambda_2 \quad (N < x \leq 2N),$$



Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 23 of 35

then:

$$\left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(\pm f(m)) \right| \leq 4\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ cN\lambda_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We first prove the following result:

Let  $f \in C^2([N; 2N] \mapsto \mathbb{R})$  satisfying

(i)  $f'(x) \notin \mathbb{Z}$  if  $N < x < 2N$ ,

(ii) there exists  $\lambda_2 \in (0; \frac{1}{\pi}]$  verifying  $f''(x) \geq \lambda_2$  ( $N \leq x \leq 2N$ ).

Then:

$$(8.1) \quad \left| \sum_{N \leq m \leq 2N} e(\pm f(m)) \right| \leq 4\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$


Since

$$\left| \sum_{N \leq m \leq 2N} e(-f(m)) \right| = \left| \sum_{N \leq m \leq 2N} e(f(m)) \right|,$$

we shall prove (8.1) just for  $f$ , and since  $f''(x) > 0$  for  $x \in [N; 2N]$ ,  $f'$  is a strictly increasing function.

Let  $x$  be a real number satisfying  $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$ . By (i), we can define real numbers  $u, v, N_1, N_2$  such that  $u := f'(N)$ ,  $v := f'(2N)$ , and  $f'(N_1) = [u] + x$ ,  $f'(N_2) = [u] + 1 - x$ . We have :

$$\sum_{N \leq m \leq 2N} e(f(m)) = \sum_{N \leq m < N_1} e(f(m)) + \sum_{N_1 \leq m \leq N_2} e(f(m)) + \sum_{N_2 < m \leq 2N} e(f(m)),$$



Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 24 of 35

with

$$\left| \sum_{N \leq m < N_1} e(f(m)) \right| \leq \max\{N_1 - N, 1\} = \max\left\{ \frac{f'(N_1) - f'(N)}{f''(\xi)}, 1 \right\}$$

for some real number  $\xi \in (N; N_1)$ , then, by (ii),

$$\left| \sum_{N \leq m < N_1} e(f(m)) \right| \leq \max\left\{ \frac{[u] + x - u}{\lambda_2}, 1 \right\} \leq \max\left\{ \frac{x}{\lambda_2}, 1 \right\},$$

and we have the same for

$$\left| \sum_{N_2 < m \leq 2N} e(f(m)) \right| \leq \max\left\{ \frac{v + x - [u] - 1}{\lambda_2}, 1 \right\} \leq \max\left\{ \frac{x}{\lambda_2}, 1 \right\},$$

and we use Kusmin-Landau inequality (see [7]) to get


$$\left| \sum_{N_1 \leq m \leq N_2} e(f(m)) \right| \leq \cot\left(\frac{\pi x}{2}\right) \leq \frac{2}{\pi x}.$$

We then have:

$$\left| \sum_{N \leq m \leq 2N} e(f(m)) \right| \leq 2 \max\left\{ \frac{x}{\lambda_2}, 1 \right\} + \frac{2}{\pi x}.$$

We then choose  $x = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , so  $\frac{x}{\lambda_2} = (\pi\lambda_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1$  if  $\lambda_2 \leq \pi^{-1}$ , and we get

$$\left| \sum_{N \leq m \leq 2N} e(f(m)) \right| \leq 4\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 25 of 35

We are now ready to prove Lemma 8.5:

If  $\lambda_2 > \frac{1}{\pi}$ , then  $4\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}cN\lambda_2^{\frac{1}{2}} > 4\pi^{-1}N > N$ , so we suppose  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi}$ .

We take  $u, v$  as above, and we define

$$[u; v] \cap \mathbb{Z} := \{l + 1, \dots, l + K\}$$

for some integer  $l$  and positive integer  $K$ , and define

$$J_k := \{m \in \mathbb{Z}, l + k - 1 < m \leq l + k\} \cap [u; v] \quad (1 \leq k \leq K + 1).$$

We have, by (8.1),

$$\left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(f(m)) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K+1} \left| \sum_{m \in J_k} e(f(m)) \right| \leq 4\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} (K + 1) \lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and, by the mean value theorem,

$$K - 1 \leq v - u = f'(2N) - f'(N) \leq cN\lambda_2,$$


thus

$$\left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(f(m)) \right| \leq 4\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} (cN\lambda_2 + 2) \lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 8.5. □

*Proof of Lemma 8.4.* We write

$$\left| \sum_{m \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| = \left| \sum_{m \leq 2x^{1/3}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) + \sum_{2x^{1/3} < m \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| \leq x^{\frac{1}{3}} + |\Sigma|.$$



Explicit Upper Bounds for the  
Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and  
Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 26 of 35

We then split the interval  $\left(2x^{\frac{1}{3}}; x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$  into sub-intervals of the form  $(N; 2N]$  with  $2x^{\frac{1}{3}} < N \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ : the number  $J$  of such intervals satisfies

$$2^{J-1}N \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}} < 2^J N,$$

and since  $N > 2x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , we have


$$J = \left\lceil \frac{\log\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}/N\right)}{\log 2} + 1 \right\rceil < \frac{\log x}{6 \log 2}.$$

We then have :

$$|\Sigma| \leq \max_{2x^{1/3} < N \leq x^{1/2}} \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| \frac{\log x}{6 \log 2}.$$

Moreover, using Erdős-Turán inequality (see Appendix A), we get, for any positive integer  $H$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| \leq \frac{N}{2H} + \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e\left(\frac{hx}{m}\right) \right| + H \sum_{h>H} \frac{1}{h^2} \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e\left(\frac{hx}{m}\right) \right| \right\},$$



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 27 of 35

so, by Lemma 8.5, with  $\lambda_2 = hx/(4N^3)$  and  $c = 8$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| &\leq \frac{N}{2H} + 16\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^H \left( x^{\frac{1}{2}} (Nh)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (Nh^{-1})^{\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + H \sum_{h>H} \left( x^{\frac{1}{2}} (Nh^3)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + N^{\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} h^{-5/2} \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{N}{2H} + 16\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left\{ 2(xHN^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) N^{\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + H \int_H^\infty \left( \left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \left(\frac{N^3}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-5/2} \right) dt \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{N}{2H} + 16\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left\{ 4(xHN^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + 2/3 \right) N^{\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) := \sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ . The well-known bound  $\zeta(\sigma) \leq \sigma/(\sigma - 1)$  ( $\sigma > 1$ ) gives  $\zeta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{11}{3} < 4$ , hence


$$\left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| \leq \frac{N}{2H} + 64\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left\{ (xHN^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + N^{\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

We then choose

$$H = \left\lfloor 2^{-1} N x^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right\rfloor.$$

Considering the inequality  $1/[y] \leq 2/y$  ( $y \geq 1$ ), we get

$$\left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right| \leq \left( 64\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 2 \right) x^{\frac{1}{3}} + 64\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} N^{\frac{3}{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 28 of 35

and

$$|\Sigma| \leq \left\{ \left( 64\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 2 \right) x^{\frac{1}{3}} + 64\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\} \frac{\log x}{6 \log 2},$$

and since  $x \geq 3$ ,  $x^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq 3^{-1/12} x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , then


$$|\Sigma| \leq \left\{ 64\pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 3^{-\frac{1}{12}} \right) + 2 \right\} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{3}} \log x}{6 \log 2} < 5 x^{\frac{1}{3}} \log x.$$

□

We obtain with Lemma 1.1:

**Corollary 8.6.** *Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  be a quadratic field of discriminant  $\Delta$ . Then:*

$$h(d) \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{\Delta/8} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \Delta + 2\gamma - 1 - \frac{3}{2} \log 2 \right\} \\ \quad + 6 (\Delta/8)^{1/6} \log (\Delta/8) + \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } \Delta \geq 72, \\ \sqrt{-\Delta/3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log (-\Delta) + 2\gamma - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \log 3 \right\} \\ \quad + 6 (-\Delta/3)^{1/6} \log (-\Delta/3) + \frac{3}{4}, & \text{if } \Delta < -27. \end{cases}$$



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 29 of 35

# A. Appendix

We want to show here this special form of the Erdős-Turán inequality used in this paper:

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $H, N$  be positive integers, and  $f : (N; 2N] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be any function. Then:*

$$\left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi(f(m)) \right| \leq \frac{N}{2H} + \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \right| + H \sum_{h > H} \frac{1}{h^2} \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \right| \right\}.$$

*Proof.* For any positive integers  $h$  and  $H$ , we set


$$c(h, H) := \frac{H}{2\pi i h} \int_0^{1/H} e(-ht) dt.$$

1. We first note that

$$(A.1) \quad |c(h, H)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \min\left(\frac{1}{h}, \frac{H}{h^2}\right).$$

Indeed, if  $h \leq H$ , then

$$|c(h, H)| \leq \frac{H}{2\pi h} \int_0^{1/H} |e(-ht)| dt = \frac{1}{2\pi h},$$



Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 30 of 35



Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 31 of 35

and if  $h > H$ , then the first derivative test gives

$$|c(h, H)| \leq \frac{H}{2\pi h} \left| \int_0^{1/H} e(-ht) dt \right| \leq \frac{H}{2\pi h} \cdot \frac{2}{\pi h} = \frac{H}{(\pi h)^2} < \frac{H}{2\pi h^2}.$$

2. Let  $x, t$  be any real numbers. Since  $\psi(x) \leq \psi(x-t) + t$ , we get

$$\int_0^{1/H} \psi(x) dt \leq \int_0^{1/H} (\psi(x-t) + t) dt,$$

and then

$$(A.2) \quad \psi(x) \leq H \int_0^{1/H} \psi(x-t) dt + \frac{1}{2H}.$$

The partial sums of the series  $\sum_{h \geq 1} \{-\sin(2\pi hx) / (h\pi)\}$  are uniformly bounded, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{1/H} \psi(x-t) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{1/H} \sin(2\pi h(x-t)) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{1/H} \{e(hx)e(-ht) - e(-hx)e(ht)\} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{e(hx)}{h} \int_0^{1/H} e(-ht) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{e(-hx)}{-h} \int_0^{1/H} e(ht) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}, h \neq 0} \frac{e(hx)}{2\pi i h} \int_0^{1/H} e(-ht) dt = -\frac{1}{H} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}, h \neq 0} c(h, H) e(hx),$$

hence, using (A.2),

$$\psi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2H} - \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}, h \neq 0} c(h, H) e(hx),$$

and


$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi(f(m)) &\leq \frac{N}{2H} - \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}, h \neq 0} c(h, H) \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \\ &\leq \frac{N}{2H} + 2 \left| \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} c(h, H) \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(A.3) \quad \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi(f(m)) \leq \frac{N}{2H} + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} |c(h, H)| \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \right|.$$

3. Since we also have  $\psi(x) \geq \psi(x+t) - t$ , we get in the same way

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi(f(m)) &\geq -\frac{N}{2H} + \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}, h \neq 0} c(h, H) \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(-hf(m)) \\ &\geq -\frac{N}{2H} - 2 \left| \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} c(h, H) \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(-hf(m)) \right| \end{aligned}$$



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 32 of 35

$$\geq -\frac{N}{2H} - 2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} |c(h, H)| \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(-hf(m)) \right|,$$


and since  $e(-hf(m)) = \overline{e(hf(m))}$ , we obtain

$$(A.4) \quad \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi(f(m)) \geq -\frac{N}{2H} - 2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} |c(h, H)| \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \right|.$$

The inequalities (A.3) and (A.4) give

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} \psi(f(m)) \right| &\leq \frac{N}{2H} + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} |c(h, H)| \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \right| \\ &= \frac{N}{2H} + 2 \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^H |c(h, H)| \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{h > H} |c(h, H)| \left| \sum_{N < m \leq 2N} e(hf(m)) \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we use (A.1). □



**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 33 of 35

## References

- [1] H. COHEN, A course in computational algebraic number theory (3rd corrected printing), *Graduate Texts in Maths*, **138**, Springer-Verlag (1996), ISBN : 3-540-55640-0.
- [2] S.W. GRAHAM AND G. KOLESNIK, *Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums*, Cambridge University Press (1991).
- [3] F. LEMMERMEYER, Gauss bounds for quadratic extensions of imaginary quadratic euclidian number fields, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **50** (1997), 365–368.
- [4] H.W. LENSTRA Jr., Algorithms in algebraic number theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **2** (1992), 211–244.
- [5] S. LOUBOUTIN, Explicit bounds for residues of Dedekind zeta functions, values of  $L$ -functions at  $s = 1$ , and relative class number, *J. Number Theory*, **85** (2000), 263–282.
- [6] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ AND J. SÁNDOR (in cooperation with B. Crstici), *Handbook of Number Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publisher Dordrecht/Boston/London (1996), ISBN : 0-7923-3823-5.
- [7] J.L. MORDELL, On the Kusmin-Landau inequality for exponential sums, *Acta Arithm.*, **4** (1958), 3–9.
- [8] R. QUÊME, Une relation d'inégalité entre discriminant, nombre de classes et régulateur des corps de nombres, *CRAS, Paris* **306** (1988), 5–10.



---

Explicit Upper Bounds for the  
Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and  
Application to Class Number

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 34 of 35

- [9] J. SÁNDOR, On the arithmetical function  $d_k(n)$ , *L'Analyse Numér. Th. Approx.*, **18** (1989), 89–94.
- [10] J. SÁNDOR, On the arithmetical functions  $d_k(n)$  and  $d_k^*(n)$ , *Portugaliae Math.*, **53** (1996), 107–115.
- [11] R. ZIMMERT, Ideale kleiner norm in idealklassen und eine regulatorabschätzung, Fakultät für Mathematik der Universität Bielefeld, Dissertation (1978).




---

**Explicit Upper Bounds for the Average Order of  $d_n(m)$  and Application to Class Number**

Olivier Bordellès

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 35 of 35