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#### Abstract

The goal of this paper is to study the existence of weak periodic solutions for some quasilinear parabolic equations with data measures and critical growth nonlinearity with respect to the gradient. The classical techniques based on $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$-estimates for the solution or its gradient cannot be applied because of the lack of regularity and a new approach must be considered. Various necessary conditions are obtained on the data for existence. The existence of at least one weak periodic solution is proved under the assumption that a weak periodic super solution is known.The results are applied to reaction-diffusion systems arising from chemical kinetics.
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## 1. Introduction

Periodic behavior of solutions of parabolic boundary value problems arises from many biological, chemical, and physical systems, and various methods have been proposed for the study of the existence and qualitative property of periodic solutions. Most of the work in the earlier literature is devoted to scalar semilinear parabolic equations under either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions (cf. [4], [5], [14], [15], [18], [19], [20], [23], [24], [25]) all these works examine the classical solutions. In recent years attention has been given to weak solutions of parabolic equations under linear boundary conditions, and different methods for the existence problem have been used (cf [1], [2], [3], [6], [7], [9], [8], [10], [11], [16], [21], [22], etc.).

[^0]In this work we are concerned with the periodic parabolic problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\Delta u=J(t, x, u, \nabla u)+\lambda f & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{1.1}\\ u(t, x)=0 & \text { on } \sum_{T} \\ u(0, x)=u(T, x) & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1$, with smooth boundary $\left.\partial \Omega, Q_{T}=\right] 0, T[\times \Omega$, $\left.\sum_{T}=\right] 0, T\left[\times \partial \Omega, T>0, \lambda\right.$ are given numbers, $-\Delta$ denotes the Laplacian operator on $L^{1}$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, the perturbation $J: Q_{T} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ is measurable and continuous with respect to $u$ and $\nabla u$, and $f$ is a given nonnegative measure on $Q_{T}$.

The work by Amann [4] is concerned with the problem (1.1) under the hypothesis that $f$ is regular enough and the growth of the nonlinearities $J$ with respect to the gradient is subquadratic, namely

$$
J(t, x, u, \nabla u) \leq c(|u|)\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+1\right) .
$$

He obtained the existence of maximal and minimal solutions in $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ by using the method of sub- and super-solutions and Schauder's fixed point theorem in a suitable Banach space (see also [5], [12]).

In this work we are interested in situations where $f$ is irregular and where the growth of $J$ with respect to $\nabla u$ is arbitrary and, in particular, larger than $|\nabla u|^{2}$ for large $|\nabla u|$. The fact that $f$ is not regular requires that one deals with "weak" solutions for which $\nabla u, u_{t}$ and even $u$ itself are not bounded. As a consequence, the classical theory using $C^{\alpha}$-a priori estimates to prove existence fails. Let us make this more precise on a model problem like

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\Delta u+a u=|\nabla u|^{p}+\lambda f & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{1.2}\\ u(t, x)=0 & \text { on } \sum_{T} \\ u(0, x)=u(T, x) & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}^{N}$-euclidean norm, $a \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$.
If $p \leq 2$, the method of sub- and super-solutions can be applied to prove existence in (1.2) if $f$ is regular enough. For instance if $a>0$ and $f \in C^{\alpha}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, then 1.2) has a solution since $\underline{w} \equiv 0$ is a sub-solution and $\bar{w}(t, x)=v(x)$, where $v$ is a solution of the elliptic problem

$$
\begin{cases}a v-\Delta v=|\nabla v|^{p}+\lambda\|f\|_{\infty} & \text { in } \Omega \\ v=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

is a super-solution of (1.2) (see Amann [4]). The situation is quite different if $p>2$ : for instance a size condition is necessary on $\lambda f$ to have existence in (1.2) even $f$ is very regular, indeed we prove in Section 2.1 that there exists $\lambda^{*}<+\infty$ such that (1.2) does not have any periodic solution for $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$. On the other hand we obtain another critical value $p^{*}=1+\frac{2}{N}$ of the problem, indeed as proved in Section 2.2, existence in (1.2) with $p>p^{*}$ requires that $f$ be regular enough.

We prove in Section 3, that existence of a nonnegative weak periodic super solution implies existence of nonnegative weak periodic solution in the case of sub quadratic growth. Obviously, the classical approach fails to provide existence since $f$ is not regular enough and new techniques must be applied. We describe some of them here. Finally in Section 4, the results are applied to reaction-diffusion systems arising from chemical kinetics.

To finish this paragraph, we recall the following notations and definitions:

## Notations:

$\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)=\left\{\varphi: Q_{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$, indefinitely derivable with compact support in $\left.Q_{T}\right\}$
$\mathcal{C}_{b}(\Omega)=\{\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, continuous and bounded in $\Omega\}$
$\mathcal{M}_{b}\left(Q_{T}\right)=\left\{\mu\right.$ bounded Radon measure in $\left.Q_{T}\right\}$
$\mathcal{M}_{b}^{+}\left(Q_{T}\right)=\left\{\mu\right.$ bounded nonnegative Radon measure in $\left.Q_{T}\right\}$.
Definition 1.1. Let $u \in C(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, we say that $u(0)=u(T)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{b}(\Omega)$ if for all $\varphi \in$ $\mathcal{C}_{b}(\Omega)$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}(u(t, x)-u(T-t, x)) \varphi d x=0
$$

## 2. Necessary Conditions for Existence

Throughout this section we are given
(2.1) $f$ a nonnnegative finite measure on $] 0, T[\times \Omega$ and $J:[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ is such that
(2.2) $J$ is measurable, almost everywhere $(t, x), r \longmapsto J(t, x, r)$ is continuous, convex.
(2.3) $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, J(\cdot, \cdot, r)$ is integrable on $] 0, T[\times \Omega$.
(2.4) $J(t, x, 0)=\min \left\{J(t, x, r), r \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}=0$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}u \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right), u \geq 0 & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{2.5}\\ J(t, x, \nabla u) \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), & \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\ u_{t}-\Delta u \geq J(t, x, \nabla u)+\lambda F & \text { in } \mathcal{M}_{b}(\Omega) . \\ u(0)=u(T) & \end{cases}
$$

2.1. No Existence in Superquadratic Case. We prove in this section, if $J(\cdot, \cdot, r)$ is superquadratic at infinity, then there exists $\lambda^{*}<+\infty$ such that (2.5) does not have any periodic solution for $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$. The techniques used here are similar to those in [1] for the parabolic problem with initial data measure. A rather sharp superquadratic condition on $J$ is given next where the $(t, x)$-dependence is taken into account. We assume
(2.6) There exists $] \varepsilon, \tau[$ open in $] 0, T\left[, p>2\right.$, and a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.J(t, x, r) \geq c_{0}|r|^{p} \text { almost every where }(t, x) \in\right] \varepsilon, \tau[\times \Omega  \tag{2.7}\\
& \int_{] \varepsilon, \tau[\times \Omega} f>0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume that (2.1) - (2.4), (2.6) - (2.8) hold. Then there exists $\lambda^{*}<+\infty$ such that (2.5) does not have any solution for $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$.
Proof. Assume $u$ is a solution of (2.5). By (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u \geq c_{0}|\nabla u|^{p}+\lambda f \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}(] \varepsilon, \tau[\times \Omega) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(] 0, T[\times \Omega), \varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi(\varepsilon)=\varphi(\tau)=0$. Multiply 2.9 by $\varphi$ and integrate to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \leq \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi-c_{0}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi-u \varphi_{t} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the equality

$$
\varphi_{t}=-\Delta\left(G \varphi_{t}\right)=-\Delta(G \varphi)_{t}
$$

where $G$ is the Green Kernel on $\Omega$. We obtain from (2.10)

$$
\lambda \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \leq \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi-c_{0}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi-\nabla u \nabla(G \varphi)_{t}
$$

this can be extended for all $\varphi \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)\right), \varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi(\varepsilon)=\varphi(\tau)=0$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \leq \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left[|\nabla u| \frac{\left|\nabla \varphi-\nabla(G \varphi)_{t}\right|}{\varphi}-c_{0}|\nabla u|^{p}\right] d x d t \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we recall Young's inequality $\forall s \in \mathbb{R} s r \leq c_{0}|r|^{p}+c|s|^{q}, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. We see that 2.11) implies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \leq c \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla \varphi-\nabla(G \varphi)_{t}\right|^{q}}{\varphi^{q-1}} d x d t  \tag{2.12}\\
\forall \varphi \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)\right) \\
\varphi \geq 0 \text { and } \varphi(\varepsilon)=\varphi(\tau)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us prove that this implies that $\lambda$ is finite (hence the existence of $\lambda^{*}$ ). We choose $\varphi(t, x)=$ $(t-\varepsilon)^{q}(\tau-t)^{q} \Phi(x), \Phi$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta \Phi(x)=\lambda_{1} \Phi(x), \Phi>0 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \Phi(x)=0 & \text { in } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $\Omega$. We then have from (2.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}(t-\varepsilon)^{q}(\tau-t)^{q} \Phi(x) f \\
& \leq c \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|(t-\varepsilon)^{q}(\tau-t)^{q} \nabla \Phi(x)-\frac{q}{\lambda_{1}}(t-\varepsilon)^{q-1}(\tau-t)^{q-1}(\tau+\varepsilon-2 t) \nabla \Phi(x)\right|^{q}}{(t-\varepsilon)^{q(q-1)}(\tau-t)^{q(q-1)} \Phi(x)^{q-1}} d x d t \\
& \leq c_{1} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(t-\varepsilon)^{q}(\tau-t)^{q}|\nabla \Phi(x)|^{q}}{\Phi(x)^{q-1}} d x d t+c_{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \Phi(x)|^{q}}{\Phi(x)^{q-1}} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

it provides

$$
\lambda \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega}(t-\varepsilon)^{q}(\tau-t)^{q} \Phi(x) f \leq c_{3} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \Phi(x)|^{q}}{\Phi(x)^{q-1}} d x
$$

By the definition of $\Phi$ we have $\Phi \in W_{0}^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{1}{\Phi^{\alpha}(x)} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ for all $\alpha<1$. Since $p>2$ then $\alpha=q-1<1$, therefore $\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \Phi(x)|^{q}}{\Phi(x)^{q-1}} d x<\infty$. This completes the proof.
2.2. Regularity Condition on the Data $f$. We consider the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right), \\
J(., u, \nabla u) \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u_{t}-\Delta u \geq J(t, x, u, \nabla u)+\lambda f \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u(0)=u(T) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{M}_{b}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f, J$ satisfy (2.1) - (2.4) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { there exists } p>1, c_{1}, c_{2}>0, J(t, x, s, r) \geq c_{1}|r|^{p}-c_{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (2.1) - (2.4), (2.14) hold. Assume (2.13) has a solution for some $\lambda>0$. Then the measure $f$ does not charge the set of $W_{q}^{2,1}$-capacity zero $\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)$.
Remark 2.3. We recall that a compact set $K$ in $Q_{T}$ is of $W_{q}^{2,1}$-capacity zero if there exists a sequence of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$-functions $\varphi_{n}$ greater than 1 on $K$ and converging to zero in $W_{q}^{2,1}$. The above statement means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K \text { compact, } W_{q}^{2,1} \text {-capacity }(K)=0\right) \Rightarrow \int_{K} f=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, this is not true for any measure $f$ as soon as $q<\frac{N}{2}+1$ or $p>1+\frac{2}{N}$, (see, e.g. [7] and the references therein for more details.)
Remark 2.4. The natural question is now the following. Let $1 \leq p<1+\frac{2}{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}_{b}^{+}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, does there exist $u$ solution of (2.13) and if this solution exists is it unique? It will make the object of a next work.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. From (2.13), (2.14), we get the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u \geq c_{1}|\nabla u|^{p}-c_{2}+\lambda f \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K$ be a compact set of $W_{q}^{2,1}$-capacity zero and $\varphi_{n}$ a sequence of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$-functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n} \geq 1 \text { on } K, \varphi_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } W_{q}^{2,1} \text { and a.e in } Q_{T}, 0 \leq \varphi_{n} \leq 1 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.16) by $\chi_{n}=\varphi_{n}^{q}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{n} f+c_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{n}|\nabla u|^{p} \leq c_{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{n}-u \frac{\partial \chi_{n}}{\partial t}+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \chi_{n} \nabla u . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $\nabla \chi_{n}=q \varphi_{n}^{q-1} \nabla \varphi_{n}$, and Young's inequality to treat last integral above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \chi_{n} \nabla u \leq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{n}|\nabla u|^{p}+c_{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right|^{q} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (2.17), passing to the limit in (2.18), (2.19) with $\varepsilon$ small enough easily leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{K} f=0 . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.5. The result obtained here is valid if one replaces in (2.13) the operator $-\Delta$ by $\Delta$ that is to say for the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left(10, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right),\right. \\
J(t, x, u, \nabla u) \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u_{t}+\Delta u \geq J(t, x, u, \nabla u)+\lambda f \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u(0)=u(T) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{M}_{b}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

or also for the equation

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\Delta u+|\nabla u|^{p}=\lambda f & \text { in } Q_{T} \\ u(t, x)=0 & \text { on } \sum_{T} \\ u(0, x)=u(T, x) & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

## 3. An Existence Result for Subquadratic Growth

### 3.1. Statement of the Result.

3.1.1. Assumption. First, we clarify in which sense we want to solve problem (1.1).

Definition 3.1. A function $u$ is called a weak periodic solution of (1.1) if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left([0, T], L^{2}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
J(t, x, u, \nabla u) \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u_{t}-\Delta u=J(t, x, u, \nabla u)+f \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u(0)=u(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f$ is a nonnegative, integrable function and
(3.2) $J: Q_{T} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty[$ is a Caratheodory function, that means:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (t, x) \longmapsto J(t, x, s, r) \text { is measurable } \\
& (s, r) \longmapsto J(t, x, s, r) \text { is continuous for almost every }(t, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.3) $J$ is nondecreasing with respect to $s$ and convex with respect to $r$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& J(t, x, s, 0)=\min \left\{J(t, x, s, r), r \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}=0  \tag{3.4}\\
& J(t, x, s, r) \leq c(|s|)\left(|r|^{2}+H(t, x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $c:\left[0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.\right.\right.$ is nondecreasing and $H \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.
Definition 3.2. We call weak periodic sub-solution (resp. super-solution) of (1.1) a function $u$ satisfying (4.1) with " = " replaced by " $\leq$ (resp. $\geq$ ).
3.1.2. The main result. We state now the main result of this section

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that hypotheses (3.2) - (3.5) hold and problem (1.1) has a nonnegative weak super-solution $w$. Then (1.1) has a weak periodic solution $u$ such that: $0 \leq u \leq w$.

### 3.2. Proof of the Main Result.

3.2.1. Approximating Problem. Let $n \geq 1$ and $\hat{\jmath}_{n}(t, x, s, \cdot)$ be the Yoshida's approximation of the function $J(t, x, s, \cdot)$ which increases almost every where to $J(t, x, s, \cdot)$ as $n$ tends to infinity and satisfies the following properties

$$
\hat{\jmath}_{n} \leq J, \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\hat{\jmath}_{n, r}(t, x, s, r)\right\| \leq n
$$

Let

$$
J_{n}(t, x, s, r)=\hat{\jmath}_{n}(t, x, s, r) 1_{[w \leq n]}(t, x, s, r),
$$

where $w$ is a super-solution of (1.1).
It is easily seen that $J_{n}$ satisfies hypotheses (3.2) - (3.5).
Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n} \leq J 1_{[w \leq n]} \quad \text { and } \quad J_{n} \leq J_{n+1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $f \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, we can construct a sequence $f_{n}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that

$$
f_{n} \leq f_{n+1}, \quad\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}
$$

and $f_{n}$ converge to $f$ in $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ as $n$ tends to infinity.
Let

$$
F_{n}=f_{n} 1_{[w \leq n]}, \quad w_{n}=\min (w, n),
$$

and consider the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ defined by: $u_{0}=w_{0}=0$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
u_{n_{t}}-\Delta u_{n}=J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right)+F_{n} \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u_{n}(0)=u_{n}(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will show by induction that (3.7) has a solution such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u_{n-1} \leq u_{n} \leq w_{n} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this, we first consider the linear periodic problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right), u \geq 0 \text { in } \overline{Q_{T}}  \tag{3.9}\\
u_{t}-\Delta u=F_{1} \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u(0)=u(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

This problem has a solution $u_{1}$ (see [17, Theorem 6.1, p. 483]). We remark that $w_{1}$ is a supersolution of (3.9) and thanks to the maximum principle, we have $w_{1}-u_{1} \geq 0$ on $Q_{T}$, hence there exists $u_{1}$ such that

$$
0 \leq u_{0} \leq u_{1} \leq w_{1}
$$

Suppose that (3.8) is satisfied for $n-1$.
Then from (3.6), $u_{n-1}$ is a weak sub-solution of (3.7). Let us prove that $w_{n}$ is a weak supersolution of (3.7). Indeed, by the definition of $w_{n}$ and the monotonicity of $J_{n}$ we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{n} \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \\
w_{n_{t}}-\Delta w_{n} \geq J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla w_{n}\right)+F_{n} \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
w_{n}(0)=w_{n}(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence (3.7) has a solution $u_{n}$ such that $u_{n-1} \leq u_{n} \leq w_{n}$ (see [11]), which proves (3.8) by induction.
3.2.2. A Priori Estimates and Passing to the Limit.

A Priori Estimate. In this section, we are going to give several technical results as lemmas that will be very useful for the proof of the main result.
Lemma 3.2. Let $u, v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \leq u \leq v \quad \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{3.10}\\
u_{t}-\Delta u \geq 0 \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
v_{t}-\Delta v \geq 0 \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u(0)=u(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega) \\
v(0)=v(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, there exists a constant $c_{2}>o$, such that

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq c_{2} \int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla v|^{2} .
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $u_{n}$ be a solution of (3.7), then there exists a constant $c_{3}>o$, such that

$$
\int_{Q_{T}} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t \leq c_{3} .
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}-\Delta u=\rho \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
\rho \in M_{B}^{+}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u(0)=u(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
u \rho \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{Q_{T}} u \rho \leq \int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2} .
$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $u, u_{n} \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u_{n} \leq u \text { in } Q_{T} \text { and } u(0)=u(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n_{t}}-\Delta u_{n}=\rho_{n} \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right)  \tag{3.13}\\
u_{n}(0)=u_{n}(T) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \\
\rho_{n} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad \rho_{n} \geq 0 \text { and }\left\|\rho_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq c
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c$ is a constant independent of $n$. Then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2 Since $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\Delta u \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$, then

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2}=\langle-\Delta u, u\rangle
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality product between $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$.
Moreover, we have $\int_{Q_{T}} u u_{t}=0$ and $0 \leq u \leq v$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2} & =\left\langle u_{t}-\Delta u, u\right\rangle \leq\left\langle u_{t}-\Delta u, v\right\rangle \\
& \leq-\langle\Delta u, v\rangle-\langle\Delta u, v\rangle \\
& \leq 2 \int_{Q_{T}} \nabla u \nabla v
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Young's inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2}+c \int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2},
$$

where $c$ is a positive constant .
Proof of Lemma 3.3. Remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{T}} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t=\int_{Q_{T} \cap\left[u_{n} \leq 1\right]} J_{n}(t, x, & \left.u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q_{T} \cap\left[u_{n}>1\right]} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note

$$
I_{1}=\int_{Q_{T} \cap\left[u_{n} \leq 1\right]} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t
$$

and

$$
I_{2}=\int_{Q_{T} \cap\left[u_{n}>1\right]} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t .
$$

Hypothesis (3.5) yields

$$
I_{1} \leq c(1) \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+H(t, x)\right) d x d t
$$

But $H \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $0 \leq u_{n} \leq w$, then Lemma 3.2, implies that there exists a constant $c_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \leq c_{4} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
I_{2} \leq \int_{Q_{T}} u_{n} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t
$$

Multiplying the equation in (3.9) by $u_{n}$ and integrating by part yields:

$$
I_{2} \leq \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and inequality (3.14), we complete the proof.

Proof of Lemma 3.4 Consider the sequence $u_{m}=\min (u, m)$. It is clear that $u_{m} \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Moreover $u_{m}$ converge to $u$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and satisfies the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{m} \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
u_{m_{t}}-\Delta u_{m} \geq \rho 1_{[u<m]} \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
u_{m}(0)=u_{m}(T) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Multiply (3.15) by $u_{m}$ and integrate by part on $Q_{T}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle u_{m}, \rho 1_{[u<m]}\right\rangle & =\left\langle u_{m}, u_{m_{t}}-\Delta u_{m}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{T}} u_{m_{t}}^{2}+\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{2} \\
& =\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thanks to Fatou's lemma, we deduce

$$
\int_{Q_{T}} u \rho=\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2} .
$$

Proof of lemma 3.5 By relations (3.11) - 3.13), there exists $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_{b}^{+}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, such that,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}-\Delta u=\rho \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right)  \tag{3.16}\\
u(0)=u(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u-\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} & =-\int_{Q_{T}}\left(u-u_{n}\right) \Delta\left(u-u_{n}\right) \\
& =-\int_{Q_{T}} u \Delta\left(u-u_{n}\right)+\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n} \Delta\left(u-u_{n}\right) \\
& =\int_{Q_{T}} \nabla u \nabla\left(u-u_{n}\right)-\int_{Q_{T}} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u-\int_{Q_{T}} u_{n} \nabla u_{n} \\
& =\int_{Q_{T}} \nabla u \nabla\left(u-u_{n}\right)-\int_{Q_{T}} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u-\int_{Q_{T}} u\left(u_{n t}-\Delta u_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$
\int_{Q_{T}} u\left(u_{n_{t}}-\Delta u_{n}\right) \leq \int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2} .
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u-\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x d t=0 .
$$

Passing to the Limit. According to Lemma 3.3 and estimate 3.8, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Therefore there exists $u \in \overline{L^{2}}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, up to a subsequence still denoted $\left(u_{n}\right)$ for simplicity, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { and a.e. in } Q_{T} \\
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, Lemma 3.5 implies that the last convergence is strong in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Then to ensure that $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1], it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}\left(\cdot, \cdot, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J(\cdot, \cdot, u, \nabla u) \text { in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious by Lemma 3.2 and the strong convergence of $u_{n}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ that

$$
J_{n}\left(\cdot, \cdot, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J(\cdot, \cdot, u, \nabla u) \text { a.e in } Q_{T}
$$

To conclude that $u$ is a solution of (1.1), we have to show, in view of Vitali's theorem that $\left(J_{n}\right)_{n}$ is equi-integrable in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.
Let $K$ be a measurable subset of $Q_{T}, \epsilon>0$ and $k>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{K} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t=\int_{K \cap\left[u_{n} \leq k\right]} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t \\
&+\int_{K \cap\left[u_{n}>k\right]} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that

$$
I_{1}=\int_{K \cap\left[u_{n} \leq k\right]} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t
$$

and

$$
I_{2}=\int_{K \cap\left[u_{n}>k\right]} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t .
$$

To deal with the term $I_{2}$, we write

$$
I_{2} \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{K} u_{n} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t
$$

which yields from the equation satisfied by $u_{n}$ in (3.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{K}\left(u_{n} u_{t}-u_{n} \Delta u_{n}\right) d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{K}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, there exists a constant $c_{2}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2} \leq \frac{c_{2}^{\prime}}{k} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists $k_{0}>0$,such that, if $k>k_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypothesis (3.5), we have for all $k>k_{0}$

$$
I_{1} \leq c(k) \int_{K \cap\left[u_{n} \leq k\right]}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+H(t, x)\right) d x d t .
$$

The sequence $\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)_{n}$ is equi-integrable in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. So there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that if $|K| \leq \delta_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(k) \int_{K \cap\left[u_{n} \leq k\right]}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand $H \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, therefore there exists $\delta_{2}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(k) \int_{K \cap\left[u_{n} \leq k\right]} H(t, x) d x d t \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $|K| \leq \delta_{2}$.
Choose $\delta_{0}=\inf \left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$, if $|K| \leq \delta_{0}$, we have

$$
\int_{K} J_{n}\left(t, x, u_{n-1}, \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t \leq \epsilon .
$$

## 4. Application to a Class of Reaction-Diffusion Systems

We will see in this section how to apply the result established below to a class of ractiondiffusion systems of the form

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\Delta u=-J(t, x, v, \nabla u)+F(t, x) & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{4.1}\\ v_{t}-\Delta v=J(t, x, v, \nabla u)+G(t, x) & \text { in } Q_{T} \\ u=v=0 & \text { on } \sum_{T} \\ u(0)=u(T), v(0)=v(T) & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1$, with smooth boundary $\left.\partial \Omega, Q_{T}=\right] 0, T[\times \Omega$, $\left.\sum_{T}=\right] 0, T[\times \partial \Omega T>0, F, G$ are integrable nonnegative functions and $J$ satisfies hypotheses $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$.
Definition 4.1. A couple $(u, v)$ is said to be a weak solution of the system (4.1) if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u, v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \\
u_{t}-\Delta u=-J(t, x, v, \nabla u)+F(t, x) \text { in } Q_{T} \\
v_{t}-\Delta v=J(t, x, v, \nabla u)+G(t, x) \text { in } Q_{T} \\
u(0)=u(T), v(0)=v(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 4.1. Under the hypotheses (3.2) - (3.5), and $F, G \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, system (4.1) has a nonnegative weak periodic solution.

To prove this result, we introduce the function $w$ solution of the following linear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
w_{t}-\Delta w=F+G \quad \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
w(0)=w(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is well known that (4.2) has a unique solution, see [17].
Consider now the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v \in L^{2}\left(0, T, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left([0, T], L^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
v_{t}-\Delta v=J(t, x, v, \nabla w-\nabla v)+G \text { in } \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
v(0)=v(T) \in L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clear that solving (4.1) is equivalent to solve (4.3) and set $u=w-v$.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. We remark that $w$ is a supersolution of (4.3). Then by a direct application of Theorem 3.1, problem (4.3) has a solution.
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