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In this note, the order of magnitude of Walsh Fourier coefficients for functions of the classes $B V^{(p)}(p \geq 1), \phi B V, \Lambda B V^{(p)}(p \geq 1)$ and $\phi \Lambda B V$ is studied. For the classes $B V^{(p)}$ and $\phi B V$, Taibleson-like technique for Walsh Fourier coefficients is developed.
However, for the classes $\Lambda B V^{(p)}$ and $\phi \Lambda B V$ this technique seems to be not working and hence classical technique is applied. In the case of $\Lambda B V$, it is also shown that the result is best possible in a certain sense.
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## 1. Introduction

It appears that while the study of the order of magnitude of the trigonometric Fourier coefficients for the functions of various classes of generalized variations such as $B V^{(p)}(p \geq 1)$ [9], $\phi B V$ [2], $\Lambda B V$ [8], $\Lambda B V^{(p)}(p \geq 1)$ [5], $\phi \Lambda B V$ [4], etc. has been carried out, such a study for the Walsh Fourier coefficients has not yet been done. The only result available is due to N.J. Fine [1], who proves, using the second mean value theorem that, if $f \in B V[0,1]$ then its Walsh Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(n)=O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$. In this note we carry out this study. Interestingly, here, no use of the second mean value theorem is made. We also prove that for the class $\Lambda B V$, our result is best possible in a certain sense.

Definition 1.1. Let $I=[a, b], p \geq 1$ be a real number, $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of non-decreasing positive real numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}$ diverges and $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, be a strictly increasing function. We say that:

1. $f \in B V^{(p)}(I)$ (that is, $f$ is of $p$-bounded variation over $I$ ) if

$$
V(f, p, I)=\sup _{\left\{I_{k}\right\}}\left\{\left(\sum_{k}\left|f\left(b_{k}\right)-f\left(a_{k}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\}<\infty,
$$

2. $f \in \phi B V(I)$ (that is, $f$ is of $\phi$ - bounded variation over $I)$ if

$$
V(f, \phi, I)=\sup _{\left\{I_{k}\right\}}\left\{\sum_{k} \phi\left(\left|f\left(b_{k}\right)-f\left(a_{k}\right)\right|\right)\right\}<\infty
$$
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3. $f \in \Lambda B V^{(p)}(I)$ (that is, $f$ is of $p-\Lambda-$ bounded variation over $\left.I\right)$ if

$$
V_{\Lambda}(f, p, I)=\sup _{\left\{I_{k}\right\}}\left\{\left(\sum_{k} \frac{\left|f\left(b_{k}\right)-f\left(a_{k}\right)\right|^{p}}{\lambda_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\}<\infty
$$

4. $f \in \phi \Lambda B V(I)$ (that is, $f$ is of $\phi-\Lambda$ - bounded variation over $I$ ) if

$$
V_{\Lambda}(f, \phi, I)=\sup _{\left\{I_{k}\right\}}\left\{\sum_{k} \frac{\phi\left(\left|f\left(b_{k}\right)-f\left(a_{k}\right)\right|\right)}{\lambda_{k}}\right\}<\infty
$$

in which $\left\{I_{k}=\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right]\right\}$ is a sequence of non-overlapping subintervals of $I$.
In (2) and (4), it is customary to consider $\phi$ a convex function such that

$$
\phi(0)=0, \quad \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0 \quad\left(x \rightarrow 0_{+}\right), \quad \frac{\phi(x)}{x} \rightarrow \infty \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) ;
$$

such a function is necessarily continuous and strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$.
Let $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\} \quad(n=0,1,2,3, \ldots)$ denote the complete orthonormal Walsh system [7], where the subscript denotes the number of zeros (that is, sign-changes) in the interior of the interval $[0,1]$. For a 1-periodic $f$ in $L[0,1]$ its Walsh Fourier series is given by

$$
f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) \varphi_{n}(x)
$$

where the $n^{\text {th }}$ Walsh Fourier coefficient $\hat{f}(n)$ is given by

$$
\hat{f}(n)=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \varphi_{n}(x) d x \quad(n=0,1,2,3, \ldots)
$$
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The Walsh system can be realized [1] as the full set of characters of the dyadic group $G=Z_{2}^{\infty}$, in which $Z_{2}=\{0,1\}$ is the group under addition modulo 2 . We denote the operation of $G$ by $\dot{+} .(G, \dot{+})$ is identified with $([0,1],+)$ under the usual convention for the binary expansion of elements of $[0,1][1]$.
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## 2. Results

We prove the following theorems. In Theorem 2.5 it is shown that Theorem 2.3 with $p=1$ is best possible in a certain sense.
Theorem 2.1. If $f \in B V^{(p)}[0,1]$ then $\hat{f}(n)=O\left(1 /\left(n^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right)$.

Note. Theorem 2.1 with $p=1$ gives the result of Fine [1, Theorem VI].
Theorem 2.2. If $f \in \phi B V[0,1]$ then $\hat{f}(n)=O\left(\phi^{-1}(1 / n)\right)$.
Theorem 2.3. If 1 -periodic $f \in \Lambda B V^{(p)}[0,1](p \geq 1)$ then

$$
\hat{f}(n)=O\left(1 /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) .
$$

Theorem 2.4. If 1 -periodic $f \in \phi \Lambda B V[0,1]$ then

$$
\hat{f}(n)=O\left(\phi^{-1}\left(1 /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}}\right)\right)\right) .
$$
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Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ be such that $2^{k} \leq n<2^{k+1}$ and put $a_{i}=\left(i / 2^{k}\right)$ for $i=0,1,2,3, \ldots, 2^{k}$. Since $\varphi_{n}$ takes the value 1 on one half of each of the intervals $\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right)$ and the value -1 on the other half, we have

$$
\int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_{i}} \varphi_{n}(x) d x=0, \quad \text { for all } i=1,2,3, \ldots, 2^{k}
$$

Define a step function $g$ by $g(x)=f\left(a_{i-1}\right)$ on $\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right), i=1,2,3, \ldots, 2^{k}$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} g(x) \varphi_{n}(x) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}} f\left(a_{i-1}\right) \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_{i}} \varphi_{n}(x) d x=0
$$
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## Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\hat{f}(n)|^{p} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}} \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_{i}}\left|f(x)-f\left(a_{i-1}\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}} \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_{i}}\left(V\left(f, p,\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right)\right)^{p} d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}}\left(V\left(f, p,\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right)\right)^{p}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)(V(f, p,[0,1]))^{p} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)(V(f, p,[0,1]))^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $c>0$. Using Jensen's inequality and proceeding as in Theorem 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(c \int_{0}^{1}|f(x)-g(x)| d x\right) & \leq \int_{0}^{1} \phi(c|f(x)-g(x)|) d x \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}} \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_{i}} \phi\left(c\left|f(x)-f\left(a_{i-1}\right)\right|\right) d x \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}} \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_{i}} V\left(c f, \phi,\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}} V\left(c f, \phi,\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right)\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) V(c f, \phi,[0,1]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi$ is convex and $\phi(0)=0$, for sufficiently small $c \in(0,1), V(c f, \phi,[0,1])<$ $1 / 2$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2 in view of (2.1).
Remark 1. If $\phi(x)=x^{p}, p \geq 1$, then the class $\phi B V$ coincides with the class $B V^{(p)}$ and Theorem 2.2 with Theorem 2.1.
Remark 2. Note that in the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we have used the fact that if $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{n}=b$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(V\left(f, p,\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right)\right)^{p} \leq(V(f, p,[a, b]))^{p}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} V\left(f, \phi,\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right) \leq V(f, \phi,[a, b])
$$

for any $n \geq 2$ (see [2, 1.17, p. 15]). Such inequalities for functions of the class $\Lambda B V^{(p)}(p \geq 1)$ (resp., $\phi \Lambda B V$ ), which contain $B V^{(p)}$ (resp., $\phi B V$ ) properly, do not hold true.

In fact, the following proposition shows that the validity of such inequalities for the class $\Lambda B V^{(p)}$ (resp., $\phi \Lambda B V$ ) virtually reduces the class to $B V^{(p)}$ (resp., $\phi B V$ ). Hence we prove Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 applying a technique different from the Taibleson-like technique [6] which we have applied in proving Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.
$J$
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Proposition 2.6. Let $f \in \phi \Lambda B V[a, b]$. If there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{\Lambda}\left(f, \phi,\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]\right) \leq C V_{\Lambda}(f, \phi,[a, b])\right)
$$

for any sequence of points $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ with $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{n}=b$, then $f \in \phi B V[a, b]$.

Proof. For any partition $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=b$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi\left(\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right|\right) & =\lambda_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi\left(\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right|\right)}{\lambda_{1}} \\
& \leq \lambda_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{\Lambda}\left(f, \phi,\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \lambda_{1} C V_{\Lambda}(f, \phi,[a, b])
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $f \in \phi B V[a, b]$.
Remark 3. $\phi(x)=x^{p}(p \geq 1)$ in this proposition will give an analogous result for $\Lambda B V^{(p)}$.

To prove Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.7. For any $n \in \mathbb{N},|\hat{f}(n)| \leq \omega_{p}(1 / n ; f)$, where $\omega_{p}(\delta ; f)(\delta>0, p \geq 1)$ denotes the integral modulus of continuity of order pof $f$ given by

$$
\omega_{p}(\delta ; f)=\sup _{|h| \leq \delta}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|f(x+h)-f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$
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Proof. The inequality [1, Theorem IV, p. 382] $|\hat{f}(n)| \leq \omega_{1}(1 / n ; f)$ and the fact that $\omega_{1}(1 / n ; f) \leq \omega_{p}(1 / n ; f)$ for $p \geq 1$ immediately proves the lemma.
Proof of Theorem 2.3. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\theta_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} 1 / \lambda_{j}$. Let $f \in \Lambda B V^{(p)}[0,1]$. For $0<h \leq 1 / n$, put $k=[1 / h]$. Then for a given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, all the points $x+j h, j=$ $0,1, \ldots, k$ lie in the interval $[x, x+1]$ of length 1 and

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)-f(x+h)|^{p} d x=\int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{j}(x)\right|^{p} d x, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k,
$$

where $f_{j}(x)=f(x+(j-1) h)-f(x+j h)$, for all $j=1,2, \ldots, k$. Since the left hand side of this equation is independent of $j$, multiplying both sides by $1 /\left(\lambda_{j} \theta_{k}\right)$ and summing over $j=1,2, \ldots, k$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)-f(x+h)|^{p} d x & \leq\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{k}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\left|f_{j}(x)\right|^{p}}{\lambda_{j}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\left(V_{\Lambda}(f, p,[0,1])\right)^{p}}{\theta_{k}} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(V_{\Lambda}(f, p,[0,1])\right)^{p}}{\theta_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}$ is non-decreasing and $0<h \leq 1 / n$. The case $-1 / n \leq h<0$ is similar and we get using Lemma 2.7,

$$
|\hat{f}(n)|^{p} \leq\left(\omega_{p}(1 / n ; f)\right)^{p} \leq \frac{\left(V_{\Lambda}(f, p,[0,1])\right)^{p}}{\theta_{n}}
$$

This proves Theorem 2.3.
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Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in \phi \Lambda B V[0,1]$. Then for $h, k$ and $f_{j}(x)$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 and for $c>0$ by Jensen's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\phi\left(c \int_{0}^{1}|f(x)-f(x+h)|\right) d x\right) & \leq \int_{0}^{1} \phi(c|f(x)-f(x+h)|) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \phi\left(c\left|f_{j}(x)\right|\right) d x, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying both sides by $1 /\left(\lambda_{j} \theta_{k}\right)$ and summing over $j=1,2, \ldots, k$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\phi\left(c \int_{0}^{1}|f(x)-f(x+h)|\right) d x\right) & \leq\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{k}}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\phi\left(c\left|f_{j}(x)\right|\right)}{\lambda_{j}}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{V_{\Lambda}(c f, \phi,[0,1])}{\theta_{k}} \\
& \leq \frac{V_{\Lambda}(c f, \phi,[0,1])}{\theta_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi$ is convex and $\phi(0)=0, \phi(\alpha x) \leq \alpha \phi(x)$ for $0<\alpha<1$. So we may choose $c$ sufficiently small so that $V_{\Lambda}(c f, \phi,[0,1]) \leq 1$. But then we have

$$
\left.\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)-f(x+h)|\right) d x \leq \frac{1}{c} \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{n}}\right) .
$$
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which proves Theorem 2.4.

$$
|\hat{f}(n)| \leq \omega_{1}(1 / n ; f) \leq \frac{1}{c} \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{n}}\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. It is known [3] that if $\Gamma B V$ contains $\Lambda B V$ properly with $\Gamma=$ $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ then $\theta_{n} \neq O\left(\rho_{n}\right)$, where $\rho_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\gamma_{j}}$ for each $n$. Also, if $c_{0}=0, c_{n+1}=1$ and $c_{1}<c_{2}<\cdots<c_{n}$ denote all the $n$ points of $(0,1)$ where the function $\varphi_{n}$ changes its sign in $(0,1), n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\rho_{n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and $E=\{n \in$ $\mathbb{N}: n \geq n_{0}$ is even $\}$, then for each $n \in E$, for the function

$$
f_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{4 \rho_{n}} \chi_{\left[c_{k-1}, c_{k}\right)}
$$

extended 1-periodically on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
V_{\Gamma}\left(f_{n},[0,1]\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\left|f_{n}\left(c_{k}\right)-f_{n}\left(c_{k-1}\right)\right|}{\gamma_{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \rho_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

because

$$
f_{n}\left(c_{n+1}\right)=f_{n}(1)=f_{n}(0)=\frac{1}{4 \rho_{n}}=f_{n}\left(c_{n}\right)
$$

as $\varphi_{n} \equiv 1$ on $\left[c_{0}, c_{1}\right)$. Hence $\left\|f_{n}\right\|=\frac{1}{4 \rho_{n}}+\frac{1}{2} \leq 1$ for each $n \in E$ in the Banach space $\Gamma B V[0,1]$ with $\|f\|=|f(0)|+V_{\Gamma}(f,[0,1])$. Observe that for $f \in \Gamma B V[0,1]$

$$
\|f\|_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{|f(x)-f(0)|}{\gamma_{1}} \gamma_{1}+|f(0)|\right) d x \leq C\|f\|, \quad C=\max \left\{1, \gamma_{1}\right\}
$$

and hence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the linear map $T_{n}: \Gamma B V[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $T_{n}(f)=$ $\theta_{n} \hat{f}(n)$ is bounded as

$$
\left|T_{n}(f)\right|=\theta_{n}|\hat{f}(n)| \leq \theta_{n} \mid\|f\|_{1} \leq \theta_{n} C\|f\|, \quad \forall f \in \Gamma B V[0,1] .
$$
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Next, for each $n \in E$ since $f_{n} \cdot \varphi_{n}=\frac{1}{4 \rho_{n}}$ on $[0,1)$, we see that

$$
T_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)=\theta_{n} \hat{f}_{n}(n)=\theta_{n} \int_{0}^{1} f_{n}(x) \varphi_{n}(x) d x=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\theta_{n}}{\rho_{n}}\right) \neq O(1)
$$

and hence

$$
\sup \left\{\left\|T_{n}\right\|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \geq \sup \left\{\left\|T_{n}\right\|: n \in E\right\} \geq \sup \left\{\left|T_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)\right|: n \in E\right\}=\infty
$$

Therefore, an application of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem gives an $f \in \Gamma B V[0,1]$ such that $\sup \left\{\left|T_{n}(f)\right|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=\infty$. It follows that $\theta_{n} \hat{f}(n)=T_{n}(f) \neq O(1)$ and hence the theorem is proved.
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