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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the problem of meromorphic function sharing one small function with its derivative and improve the results of K.-W. Yu and I. Lahiri and answer the open questions posed by K.-W. Yu.
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## 1. Introduction and Main Results

By a meromorphic function we shall always mean a function that is meromorphic in the open complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the notations of Nevanlinna theory such as $T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), \bar{N}(r, f), S(r, f)$ and so on, that can be found, for instance, in [2], [5].
Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions, $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, we say that $f$ and $g$ share the value $a \mathbf{I M}$ (ignoring multiplicities) if $f-a$ and $g-a$ have the same zeros, they share the value $a \mathbf{C M}$ (counting multiplicities) if $f-a$ and $g-a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. When $a=\infty$ the zeros of $f-a$ means the poles of $f$ (see [5]).
Let $l$ be a non-negative integer or infinite. For any $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, we denote by $E_{l}(a, f)$ the set of all $a$-points of $f$ where an $a$-point of multiplicity $m$ is counted $m$ times if $m \leq l$ and $l+1$ times if $m>l$. If $E_{l}(a, f)=E_{l}(a, g)$, we say $f$ and $g$ share the value $a$ with weight $l$ (see [3], [4]).
$f$ and $g$ share a value $a$ with weight $l$ means that $z_{0}$ is a zero of $f-a$ with multiplicity $m(\leq l)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g-a$ with the multiplicity $m(\leq l)$, and $z_{0}$ is a zero of $f-a$ with multiplicity $m(>l)$ if and only if it is a zero of $g-a$ with the multiplicity $n(>l)$, where $m$ is not necessarily equal to $n$.

[^0]We write $f$ and $g$ share ( $a, l$ ) to mean that $f$ and $g$ share the value $a$ with weight $l$. Clearly, if $f$ and $g$ share $(a, l)$, then $f$ and $g$ share $(a, p)$ for all integers $p, 0 \leq p \leq l$. Also we note that $f$ and $g$ share a value $a$ IM or CM if and only if $f$ and $g$ share $(a, 0)$ or $(a, \infty)$ respectively (see [3], [4]).

A function $a(z)$ is said to be a small function of $f$ if $a(z)$ is a meromorphic function satisfying $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$, i.e. $T(r, a)=o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$ possibly outside a set of finite linear measure. Similarly, we define that $f$ and $g$ share a small function $a \mathrm{IM}$ or CM or with weight $l$ by $f-a$ and $g-a$ sharing the value 0 IM or CM or with weight $l$ respectively.

Brück [1] first considered the uniqueness problems of an entire function sharing one value with its derivative and proved the following result.

Theorem A. Let $f$ be an entire function which is not constant. If $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share the value 1 $C M$ and if $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)=S(r, f)$, then $\frac{f^{\prime}-1}{f-1} \equiv c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.

Brück [1] further posed the following conjecture.
Conjecture 1.1. Let $f$ be an entire function which is not constant, $\rho_{1}(f)$ be the first iterated order of $f$. If $\rho_{1}(f)<+\infty$ and $\rho_{1}(f)$ is not a positive integer, and if $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share one value $a C M$, then $\frac{f^{\prime}-a}{f-a} \equiv c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.

Yang [7] proved that the conjecture is true if $f$ is an entire function of finite order. Zhang [9] extended Theorem A to meromorphic functions. Yu [8] recently considered the problem of an entire or meromorphic function sharing one small function with its derivative and proved the following two theorems.

Theorem B ([8]). Let $f$ be a non-constant entire function and $a \equiv a(z)$ be a meromorphic function such that $a \not \equiv 0, \infty$ and $T(r, a)=o\left(T(r, f)\right.$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. If $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 C M$ and $\delta(0, f)>\frac{3}{4}$, then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$.

Theorem C ([8]). Let $f$ be a non-constant, non-entire meromorphic function and $a \equiv a(z)$ be a meromorphic function such that $a \not \equiv 0, \infty$ and $T(r, a)=o(T(r, f)$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. If
(i) $f$ and $a$ have no common poles,
(ii) $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 C M$,
(iii) $4 \delta(0, f)+2 \Theta(\infty, f)>19+2 k$,
then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$, where $k$ is a positive integer.
In the same paper $\mathrm{Yu}[8]$ further posed the following open questions:
(1) Can a CM shared be replaced by an IM shared value?
(2) Can the condition $\delta(0, f)>\frac{3}{4}$ of Theorem be further relaxed?
(3) Can the condition (iii) of Theorem C be further relaxed?
(4) Can, in general, the condition (i) of TheoremCbe dropped?

Let $p$ be a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$. We use $N_{p}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)$ to denote the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ (counted with proper multiplicities) whose multiplicities are not greater than $p, N_{(p+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)$ to denote the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ whose multiplicities are not less than $p+1$. And $\bar{N}_{p)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)$ and $\bar{N}_{(p+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)$ denote their corresponding reduced counting functions (ignoring multiplicities) respectively. We also use $N_{p}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)$ to denote the counting function of the zeros of $f-a$ where a zero of multiplicity $m$ is counted $m$
times if $m \leq p$ and $p$ times if $m>p$. Clearly $N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)$. Define

$$
\delta_{p}(a, f)=1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{N_{p}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)} .
$$

Obviously $\delta_{p}(a, f) \geq \delta(a, f)$.
Lahiri [4] improved the results of Zhang [9] with weighted shared value and obtained the following two theorems

Theorem $\mathbf{D}$ ([4]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and $k$ be a positive integer. If $f$ and $f^{(k)}$ share $(1,2)$ and

$$
2 \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)<(\lambda+o(1)) T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)
$$

for $r \in I$, where $0<\lambda<1$ and $I$ is a set of infinite linear measure, then $\frac{f^{(k)}-1}{f-1} \equiv c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.

Theorem $\mathbf{E}$ ([4]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and $k$ be a positive integer. If $f$ and $f^{(k)}$ share $(1,1)$ and

$$
2 \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)<(\lambda+o(1)) T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)
$$

for $r \in I$, where $0<\lambda<1$ and I is a set of infinite linear measure, then $\frac{f^{(k)}-1}{f-1} \equiv c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.

In the same paper Lahiri [4] also obtained the following result which is an improvement of Theorem C

Theorem $\mathbf{F}$ ([4]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and $k$ be a positive integer. Also, let $a \equiv a(z)(\not \equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic function such that $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$. If
(i) a has no zero (pole) which is also a zero (pole) of $f$ or $f^{(k)}$ with the same multiplicity.
(ii) $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share $(0,2) C M$,
(iii) $2 \delta_{2+k}(0, f)+(4+k) \Theta(\infty, f)>5+k$,
then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$.
In this paper, we still study the problem of a meromorphic or entire function sharing one small function with its derivative and obtain the following two results which are the improvement and complement of the results of Yu [8] and Lahiri [4] and answer the four open questions of Yu in [8].

Theorem 1.2. Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and $k(\geq 1), l(\geq 0)$ be integers. Also, let $a \equiv a(z)(\not \equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic function such that $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$. Suppose that $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share $(0, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f / a)^{\prime}}\right)<(\lambda+o(1)) T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $l=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f / a)^{\prime}}\right)<(\lambda+o(1)) T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $l=0$, i.e. $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share the value 0 IM and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \bar{N}(r, f)+3 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f / a)^{\prime}}\right)<(\lambda+o(1)) T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in I$, where $0<\lambda<1$ and $I$ is a set of infinite linear measure, then $\frac{f^{(k)}-a}{f-a} \equiv c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.
Theorem 1.3. Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and $k(\geq 1), l(\geq 0)$ be integers. Also, let $a \equiv a(z)(\not \equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic function such that $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$. Suppose that $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share $(0, l)$. If $l \geq 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(3+k) \Theta(\infty, f)+2 \delta_{2+k}(0, f)>k+4 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $l=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(4+k) \Theta(\infty, f)+3 \delta_{2+k}(0, f)>k+6 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $l=0$, i.e. $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 I M$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(6+2 k) \Theta(\infty, f)+5 \delta_{2+k}(0, f)>2 k+10 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$.
Clearly Theorem 1.2 extends the results of Lahiri (Theorem D and E) to small functions. Theorem 1.3 gives the improvements of Theorem C and F, which removes the restrictions on the zeros (poles) of $a(z)$ and $f(z)$ and relaxes other conditions, which also includes a result of meromorphic function sharing one value or small function IM with its derivative, so it answers the four open questions of Yu [8].

From Theorem 1.2 we have the following corollary which is the improvement of Theorem A
Corollary 1.4. Let $f$ be an entire function which is not constant. If $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share the value 1 IM and if $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=S(r, f)$, then $\frac{f^{\prime}-1}{f-1} \equiv c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.

From Theorem 1.3 we have
Corollary 1.5. Let $f$ be a non-constant entire function and $a \equiv a(z)(\not \equiv 0, \infty)$ be a meromorphic function such that $T(r, a)=S(r, f)$. If $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share the value $0 C M$ and $\delta(0, f)>\frac{1}{2}$, or if $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share the value 0 IM and $\delta(0, f)>\frac{4}{5}$, then $f \equiv f^{(k)}$.

Clearly Corollary 1.5 is an improvement and complement of Theorem B

## 2. Main Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 (see [4]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function, $k$ be a positive integer, then

$$
N_{p}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

This lemma can be obtained immediately from the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [4] which is the special case $p=2$.
Lemma 2.2 (see [5]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function, $n$ be a positive integer. $P(f)=a_{n} f^{n}+a_{n-1} f^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} f$ where $a_{i}$ is a meromorphic function such that $T\left(r, a_{i}\right)=$ $S(r, f)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Then

$$
T(r, P(f))=n T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $F=\frac{f}{a}, G=\frac{f^{(k)}}{a}$, then $F-1=\frac{f-a}{a}, G-1=\frac{f^{(k)}-a}{a}$. Since $f-a$ and $f^{(k)}-a$ share $(0, l), F$ and $G$ share $(1, l)$ except the zeros and poles of $a(z)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}}{F^{\prime}}-2 \frac{F^{\prime}}{F-1}\right)-\left(\frac{G^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}}-2 \frac{G^{\prime}}{G-1}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following two cases to investigate.
Case 1. $H \equiv 0$. Integration yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{F-1} \equiv C \frac{1}{G-1}+D \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ and $D$ are constants and $C \neq 0$. If there exists a pole $z_{0}$ of $f$ with multiplicity $p$ which is not the pole and zero of $a(z)$, then $z_{0}$ is the pole of $F$ with multiplicity $p$ and the pole of $G$ with multiplicity $p+k$. This contradicts with (3.2). So

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, a)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{a}\right)=S(r, f),  \tag{3.3}\\
& \bar{N}(r, F)=S(r, f), \quad \bar{N}(r, G)=S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

(3.2) also shows $F$ and $G$ share the value 1 CM . Next we prove $D=0$. We first assume that $D \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{F-1} \equiv \frac{D\left(G-1+\frac{C}{D}\right)}{G-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1+\frac{C}{D}}\right)=\bar{N}(r, F)=S(r, f) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\frac{C}{D} \neq 1$, by the second fundamental theorem and $(3.3)$, 3.5$)$ and $S(r, G)=S(r, f)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, G) & \leq \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1+\frac{C}{D}}\right)+S(r, G) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f) \leq T(r, G)+S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, G)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

this contradicts with conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) of this theorem.
If $\frac{C}{D}=1$, from 3.4 we know

$$
\frac{1}{F-1} \equiv C \frac{G}{G-1}
$$

then

$$
\left(F-1-\frac{1}{C}\right) G \equiv-\frac{1}{C}
$$

Noticing that $F=\frac{f}{a}, G=\frac{f^{(k)}}{a}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{f\left(f-\left(1+\frac{1}{C}\right) a\right)} \equiv-\frac{C}{a^{2}} \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (3.3) and (3.7), then

$$
\begin{align*}
2 T(r, f) & =T\left(r, f\left(f-\left(1+\frac{1}{C}\right) a\right)\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{3.8}\\
& =T\left(r, \frac{1}{f\left(f-\left(1+\frac{1}{C}\right) a\right)}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& =T\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, f)+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

So $T(r, f)=S(r, f)$, this is impossible. Hence $D=0$, and $\frac{G-1}{F-1} \equiv C$, i.e. $\frac{f^{(k)}-a}{f-a} \equiv C$. This is just the conclusion of this theorem.

Case 2. $H \not \equiv 0$. From (3.1) it is easy to see that $m(r, H)=S(r, f)$.
Subcase 2. $1 \geq 1$. From (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N(r, H) \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{(l+1} & \left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& +\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}(r, a)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)$ denotes the counting function of the zeros of $F^{\prime}$ which are not the zeros of $F$ and $F-1$, and $\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)$ denotes its reduced form. In the same way, we can define $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $z_{0}$ be a simple zero of $F-1$ but $a\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0, \infty$, then $z_{0}$ is also the simple zero of $G-1$. By calculating $z_{0}$ is the zero of $H$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{H}\right)+N(r, a)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{a}\right) \leq N(r, H)+S(r, f) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that $N_{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)=N_{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)= & N_{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
\leq \bar{N}(r, F) & +\bar{N}_{(l+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the second fundamental theorem and 3.11) and noting $\bar{N}(r, F)=\bar{N}(r, G)+S(r, f)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, G) \leq & \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, G)  \tag{3.12}\\
\leq & 2 \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(l+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

While $l \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(l+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right) \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

so

$$
T(r, G) \leq 2 \bar{N}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

i.e.

$$
T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) \leq 2 \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f / a)^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) .
$$

This contradicts with (1.1).
While $l=1$, (3.13) turns into

$$
\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(l+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right) \leq 2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

Similarly as above, we have

$$
T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) \leq 2 \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f / a)^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

This contradicts with (1.2).
Subcase 2.2 $l=0$. In this case, $F$ and $G$ share 1 IM except the zeros and poles of $a(z)$.
Let $z_{0}$ be the zero of $F-1$ with multiplicity $p$ and the zero of $G-1$ with multiplicity $q$. We denote by $N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of $F-1$ where $p=q=1$; by $\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of $F-1$ where $p=q \geq 2$; by $\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of $F-1$ where $p>q \geq 1$, each point in these counting functions is counted only once. In the same way, we can define $N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right), \bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)$ and $\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)=N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f), \\
\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)=\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f), \\
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)=  \tag{3.14}\\
=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f) \\
=N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
\quad+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.1) we have now

$$
\begin{align*}
N(r, H) \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+ & \bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
& +\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, (3.10) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leq N(r, H)+S(r, f) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq & \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +2 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+2 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, F)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+2 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the second fundamental theorem, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, G) \leq & \bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, G) \\
\leq & 2 \bar{N}(r, G)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+2 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & 2 \bar{N}(r, G)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 2.1 for $p=1, k=1$ we know

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right) \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, G)+S(r, G)
$$

So

$$
T(r, G) \leq 4 \bar{N}(r, F)+3 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

i.e.

$$
T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) \leq 4 \bar{N}(r, f)+3 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{(f / a)^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

This contradicts with (1.3). The proof is complete.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.2. We define $F$ and $G$ and (3.1) as above, and we also distinguish two cases to discuss.
Case 3. $H \equiv 0$. We also have (3.2). From (3.3) we know that $\Theta(\infty, f)=1$, and from (1.4), $(1.5)$ and $\sqrt{1.6)}$, we further know $\delta_{2+k}(0, f)>\frac{1}{2}$. Assume that $D \neq 0$, then

$$
-\frac{D\left(F-1-\frac{1}{D}\right)}{F-1} \equiv C \frac{1}{G-1},
$$

so

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1-\frac{1}{D}}\right)=\bar{N}(r, G)=S(r, f) .
$$

If $D \neq-1$, using the second fundamental theorem for $F$, similarly as 3.6 we have

$$
T(r, F)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

i.e.

$$
T(r, f)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

Hence $\Theta(0, f)=0$, this contradicts with $\Theta(0, f) \geq \delta_{2+k}(0, f)>\frac{1}{2}$.
If $D=-1$, then $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)=S(r, f)$, i.e. $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=S(r, f)$, and

$$
\frac{F}{F-1} \equiv C \frac{1}{G-1}
$$

Then

$$
F(G-1-C) \equiv-C
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(k)}\left(f^{(k)}-(1+C) a\right) \equiv-C a^{2} \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As same as 3.8, by Lemma 2.2 and 3.3 and $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=S(r, f)$, from 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) & =T\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& =N\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq k \bar{N}(r, f)+k \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)=S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)=S(r, f)$ and $T\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)=S(r, f)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & \leq T\left(r, \frac{f}{f^{(k)}}\right)+T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)+O(1) \\
& =T\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)+T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)+O(1)=S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

this is impossible. Therefore $D=0$, and from (3.2) then

$$
G-1 \equiv \frac{1}{C}(F-1) .
$$

If $C \neq 1$, then

$$
G \equiv \frac{1}{C}(F-1+C)
$$

and

$$
N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)=N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1+C}\right) .
$$

By the second fundamental theorem and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, F) & \leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1+C}\right)+S(r, G) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 for $p=1$ and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{1+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq 2 N_{1+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\delta_{1+k}(0, f) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This is a contradiction with $\delta_{1+k}(0, f) \geq \delta_{2+k}(0, f)>\frac{1}{2}$. So $C=1$ and $F \equiv G$, i.e. $f \equiv f^{(k)}$. This is just the conclusion of this theorem.

Case 4. $H \not \equiv 0$.
Subcase 4. $1 \quad l \geq 1 . \quad$ As similar as Subcase 2 . 1 , From (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+ & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
= & N_{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{(l+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

While $l \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}_{(l+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq T(r, G)+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}(r, & \left.\frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
\leq \bar{N}(r, F)+ & \bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(r, F)+T(r, G) \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\leq \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}(r, G)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
\quad+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, F)+S(r, G) \\
\leq 3 \bar{N}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f),
\end{array}, \quad .
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
T(r, F) \leq 3 \bar{N}(r, F)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

i.e.

$$
T(r, f) \leq 3 \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f) .
$$

By Lemma 2.1 for $p=2$ we have

$$
T(r, f) \leq(3+k) \bar{N}(r, f)+2 N_{2+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

so

$$
(3+k) \Theta(\infty, f)+2 \delta_{2+k}(0, f) \leq k+4
$$

This contradicts with (1.4).
While $l=1$,

$$
\bar{N}_{(l+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq T(r, G)+O(1)
$$

so by Lemma 2.1 for $p=1, k=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+ & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & 2 \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

As same as above, by the second fundamental theorem we have

$$
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 4 \bar{N}(r, F)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f),
$$

so

$$
T(r, F) \leq 4 \bar{N}(r, F)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f),
$$

i.e.

$$
T(r, f) \leq 4 \bar{N}(r, f)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f) .
$$

By Lemma 2.1 for $p=2$ we have

$$
T(r, f) \leq(4+k) \bar{N}(r, f)+3 N_{2+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

so

$$
(4+k) \Theta(\infty, f)+3 \delta_{2+k}(0, f) \leq k+6 .
$$

This contradicts with (1.5).
Subcase (4. $2 l=0$. From (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) and Lemma 2.1 for $p=1, k=1$, noticing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) & \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& \leq T(r, G)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+ & \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
= & N_{E}^{1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, F)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+2 \bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{E}^{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & \bar{N}(r, F)+2 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{\prime}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{\prime}}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f) \\
\leq & 4 \bar{N}(r, F)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As same as above, by the second fundamental theorem, we can obtain

$$
T(r, F)+T(r, G) \leq 6 \bar{N}(r, F)+3 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+T(r, G)+S(r, f),
$$

so

$$
T(r, F) \leq 6 \bar{N}(r, F)+3 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

i.e.

$$
T(r, f) \leq 6 \bar{N}(r, f)+3 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+2 N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

By Lemma 2.1 for $p=2$ we have

$$
T(r, f) \leq(6+2 k) \bar{N}(r, f)+5 N_{2+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

so

$$
(6+2 k) \Theta(\infty, f)+5 \delta_{2+k}(0, f) \leq 2 k+10
$$

This contradicts with (1.6). Now the proof has been completed.
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