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AbStract. In this paper, we are concerned with the following $n$th difference equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta^{n} y(k-1)+f(k, y(k))=0, k \in\{1, \ldots, T\} \\
\Delta^{i} y(0)=0, i=0,1, \ldots, n-2, \Delta^{n-2} y(T+1)=\alpha \Delta^{n-2} y(\xi)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $f$ is continuous, $n \geq 2, T \geq 3$ and $\xi \in\{2, \ldots, T-1\}$ are three fixed positive integers, constant $\alpha>0$ such that $\alpha \xi<T+1$. Under some suitable conditions, we obtain the existence result of at least three positive solutions for the problem by using the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper deals with the following three-point discrete boundary value problem (BVP, for short):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{n} y(k-1)+f(k, y(k))=0, \quad k \in\{1, \ldots, T\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{i} y(0)=0, i=0,1, \ldots, n-2, \quad \Delta^{n-2} y(T+1)=\alpha \Delta^{n-2} y(\xi) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta y(k-1)=y(k)-y(k-1), \Delta^{n} y(k-1)=\Delta^{n-1}(\Delta y(k-1)), k \in\{1, \ldots, T\}$.
Throughout, we assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

[^0]```
\(\left(H_{1}\right) T \geq 3\) and \(\xi \in\{2, \ldots, T-1\}\) are two fixed positive integers, \(\alpha>0\) such that \(\alpha \xi<\)
    \(T+1\).
\(\left(H_{2}\right) f \in C(\{1, \ldots, T\} \times[0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))\) and \(f(k, \cdot) \equiv 0\) does not hold on \(\{1, \ldots, \xi-1\}\)
    and \(\{\xi, \ldots, T\}\).
```

In the few past years, there has been increasing interest in studying the existence of multiple positive solutions for differential and difference equations, for example, we refer the reader to [1] - [8].

Recently, Ma [9] studied the following second-order three-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\lambda a(t) f(u)=0, t \in(0,1), \quad u(0)=0, \quad \alpha u(\eta)=u(1) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by applying fixed-point index theorems and Leray-Schauder degree and upper and lower solutions. In the case $\lambda=1$, under the conditions that $f$ is superlinear or sublinear, Ma [10] considered the existence of at least one positive solution of problem (1.3) by using Krasnosel'skii's fixed-point theorem.

However, in [9] - [11], the author did not give the associate Green's function and exceptional work was carried out for higher order multi-point difference equations. In the current work, we give the associate Green's function and obtain the existence of multiple positive solutions for BVP (1.1) - (1.2) by employing the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem. Our results are new and different from those in [9] - [11]. Particularly, we do not require the assumption that $f$ is either superlinear or sublinear.

## 2. Background Definitions and Green's Function

For the convenience of the reader, we present here the necessary definitions from cone theory in Banach space, which can be found in [3].
Let $\mathbf{N}$ be the nonnegative integers, we let $\mathbf{N}_{i, j}=\{k \in \mathbf{N}: i \leq k \leq j\}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{p}=\mathbf{N}_{0, p}$.
We say that $y$ is a positive solution of BVP (1.1) - (1.2), if $y: \mathbf{N}_{T+n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, y$ satisfies (1.1) on $\mathbf{N}_{1, T}, y$ fulfills (1.2) and $y$ is nonnegative on $\mathbf{N}_{T+n-1}$ and positive on $\mathbf{N}_{n-1, T}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $E$ be a Banach space, a nonempty closed set $K \subset E$ is said to be a cone provided that
(i) if $x \in K$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ then $\lambda x \in K$;
(ii) if $x \in K$ and $-x \in K$ then $x=0$.

If $K \subset E$ is a cone, we denote the order induced by $K$ on $E$ by $\leq$. For $x, y \in K$, we write $x \leq y$ if and only if $y-x \in K$.
Definition 2.2. A map $h$ is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on the cone $K$ which is convex, provided that
(i) $h: K \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous;
(ii) $h(t x+(1-t) y) \geq t h(x)+(1-t) h(y)$ for all $x, y \in K$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Now we shall denote

$$
K_{c}=\{y \in K:\|y\|<c\}
$$

and

$$
K(h, a, b)=\{y \in K: h(y) \geq a,\|y\| \leq b\}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the maximum norm.
Next we shall state the fixed point theorem due to Leggett-Williams [12] also see [3].

Theorem 2.1. Let $E$ be a Banach space, and let $K \subset E$ be a cone in $E$. Assume that $h$ is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $K$ such that $h(y) \leq\|y\|$ for all $y \in \overline{K_{c}}$, and let $S: \overline{K_{c}} \longrightarrow \overline{K_{c}}$ be a completely continuous operator. Suppose that there exist $0<a<b<d \leq$ c such that
$\left(A_{1}\right)\{y \in K(h, b, d): h(y)>b\} \neq \emptyset$ and $h(S y)>b$ for all $y \in K(h, b, d) ;$
$\left(A_{2}\right)\|S y\|<a$ for $\|y\|<a$;
$\left(A_{3}\right) h(S y)>b$ for all $y \in K(h, b, c)$ with $\|S y\|>d$.
Then $S$ has at least three fixed points $y_{1}, y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$ in $\overline{K_{c}}$ such that $\left\|y_{1}\right\|<a, h\left(y_{2}\right)>b$ and $\left\|y_{3}\right\|>a$ with $h\left(y_{3}\right)<b$.

In the following, we assume that the function $G(k, l)$ is the Green's function of the problem $-\Delta^{n} y(k-1)=0$ with the boundary condition (1.2).
It is clear that (see [3])

$$
g(k, l)=\Delta^{n-2} G(k, l),(\text { with respect to } k)
$$

is the Green's function of the problem $-\Delta^{2} y(k-1)=0$ with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=0, \quad y(T+1)=\alpha y(\xi) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall give the Green's function of the problem $-\Delta^{2} y(k-1)=0$ with the boundary condition (2.1).

Lemma 2.2. The problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} y(k-1)+u(k)=0, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{1, T}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary condition (2.1) has the unique solution

$$
\begin{align*}
y(k)=-\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}(k-l) u(l)+\frac{k}{T+1-\alpha \xi} & \sum_{l=1}^{T}(T+1-l) u(l)  \tag{2.3}\\
& -\frac{\alpha k}{T+1-\alpha \xi} \sum_{l=1}^{\xi-1}(\xi-l) u(l), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (2.2), one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta y(k)-\Delta y(k-1)=-u(k), \\
\Delta y(k-1)-\Delta y(k-2)=-u(k-1), \\
\vdots \\
\Delta y(1)-\Delta y(0)=-u(1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We sum the above equalities to obtain

$$
\Delta y(k)=\Delta y(0)-\sum_{l=1}^{k} u(l), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T}
$$

here and in the following, we denote $\sum_{l=p}^{q} u(l)=0$, if $p>q$. Similarly, we sum the equalities from 0 to $k$ and change the order of summation to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(k+1) & =y(0)+(k+1) \Delta y(0)-\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} u(j) \\
& =y(0)+(k+1) \Delta y(0)-\sum_{l=1}^{k}(k+1-l) u(l), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(k)=y(0)+k \Delta y(0)-\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}(k-l) u(l), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the boundary condition (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y(0)=\frac{1}{T+1-\alpha \xi} \sum_{l=1}^{T}(T+1-l) u(l)-\frac{\alpha}{T+1-\alpha \xi} \sum_{l=1}^{\xi-1}(\xi-l) u(l) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), we have shown that (2.3) holds.
Lemma 2.3. The function

$$
g(k, l)= \begin{cases}\frac{l[T+1-k-\alpha(\xi-k)]}{T+1-\alpha \xi}, & l \in \mathbf{N}_{1, k-1} \bigcap \mathbf{N}_{1, \xi-1}  \tag{2.6}\\ \frac{l(T+1-k)+\alpha \xi(k-l)}{T+1-a \xi}, & l \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, k-1} \\ \frac{k[T+1-l-\alpha(\xi-l)]}{T+1-\alpha \xi}, & l \in \mathbf{N}_{k, \xi-1} \\ \frac{k(T+1-l)}{T+1-\alpha \xi}, & l \in \mathbf{N}_{k, T} \cap \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}\end{cases}
$$

is the Green's function of the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta^{2} y(k-1)=0, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{1, T} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We shall divide the proof into the following two steps.
Step 1. We suppose $k<\xi$. Then the unique solution of problem (2.7), (2.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(k)=-\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}( & k-l) u(l)+\frac{k}{T+1-\alpha \xi} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1}(T+1-l) u(l) \\
& +\frac{k}{T+1-\alpha \xi}\left[\sum_{l=k}^{\xi-1}(T+1-l) u(l)+\sum_{l=\xi}^{T}(T+1-l) u(l)\right] \\
& \quad \frac{\alpha k}{T+1-\alpha \xi}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}(\xi-l) u(l)+\sum_{l=k}^{\xi-1}(\xi-l) u(l)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{l[T+1-k-\alpha(\xi-k)]}{T+1-\alpha \xi} u(l) \\
& \quad \quad+\sum_{l=k}^{\xi-1} \frac{k[T+1-l-\alpha(\xi-l)]}{T+1-\alpha \xi} u(l)+\sum_{l=\xi}^{T} \frac{k(T+1-l)}{T+1-\alpha \xi} u(l) \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) u(l) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. We suppose $k \geq \xi$. Then the unique solution of problem (2.7), 2.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(k)= & -\left[\sum_{l=1}^{\xi-1}(k-l) u(l)+\sum_{l=\xi}^{k-1}(k-l) u(l)\right] \\
& +\frac{k}{T+1-\alpha \xi}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{\xi-1}(T+1-l) u(l)+\sum_{l=\xi}^{k-1}(T+1-l) u(l)+\sum_{l=k}^{T}(T+1-l) u(l)\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{\alpha k}{T+1-\alpha \xi} \sum_{l=1}^{\xi-1}(\xi-l) u(l) \\
= & \sum_{l=1}^{\xi-1} \frac{l[T+1-k-\alpha(\xi-k)]}{T+1-\alpha \xi} u(l) \\
& +\sum_{l=\xi}^{k-1} \frac{l(T+1-k)+\alpha \xi(k-l)}{T+1-\alpha \xi} u(l)+\sum_{l=k}^{T} \frac{k(T+1-l)}{T+1-\alpha \xi} u(l) \\
= & \sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) u(l) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the unique solution of problem 2.7, 2.1) can be written as $y(k)=\sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) u(l)$.
We observe that the condition $\alpha \xi<T+1$ implies that $g(k, l)$ is nonnegative on $\mathbf{N}_{T+1} \times \mathbf{N}_{1, T}$, and positive on $\mathbf{N}_{1, T} \times \mathbf{N}_{1, T}$. From (2.3), we have

$$
y(k)=\sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) u(l),
$$

where
$g(k, l):=(T+1-\alpha \xi)^{-1}\left(k(T+1-l)-(k-l)(T+1-\alpha \xi) \chi_{[1, k-1]}(l)-\alpha k(\xi-l) \chi_{[1, \xi-1]}(l)\right)$.
This is a positive function, which means that the finite set

$$
\{g(k, l) / g(k, k): k, l=1,2, \ldots, T\}
$$

takes positive values. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be its minimum and maximum values, respectively.

## 3. Existence of Triple Solutions

In the following, we denote

$$
m=\min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} \sum_{l=\xi}^{T} g(k, l), \quad M=\max _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}} \sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l)
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{m}=\min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} g(k, k), \quad \widetilde{M}=\max _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}} g(k, k)
$$

Then $0<m<M, 0<\widetilde{m}<\widetilde{M}$.
Let $E$ be the Banach space defined by

$$
E=\left\{y: \mathbf{N}_{T+n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \Delta^{i} y(0)=0, i=0,1, \ldots, n-2\right\}
$$

Define

$$
K=\left\{y \in E: \Delta^{n-2} y(k) \geq 0 \text { for } k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1} \text { and } \min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} \Delta^{n-2} y(k) \geq \sigma\|y\|\right\}
$$

where $\sigma=\frac{M_{1} \tilde{m}}{M_{2} M} \in(0,1),\|y\|=\max _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}}\left|\Delta^{n-2} y(k)\right|$. It is clear that $K$ is a cone in $E$.
Finally, let the nonnegative continuous concave functional $h: K \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$
h(y)=\min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} \Delta^{n-2} y(k), \quad y \in K
$$

Note that for $y \in K, h(y) \leq\|y\|$.
Remark 3.1. If $y \in K,\|y\| \leq c$, then

$$
0 \leq y(k) \leq q c, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+n-1}
$$

where

$$
q=q(n, T)=\frac{(T+n-1)(T+n) \cdots(T+2 n-4)}{(n-2)!}
$$

In fact, if $y \in K,\|y\| \leq c$, then $0 \leq \Delta^{n-2} y(k) \leq c, k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}$, i.e.,

$$
0 \leq \Delta\left(\Delta^{n-3} y(k)\right)=\Delta^{n-3} y(k+1)-\Delta^{n-3} y(k) \leq c
$$

Then one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq \Delta^{n-3} y(1)-\Delta^{n-3} y(0) \leq c \\
0 \leq \Delta^{n-3} y(2)-\Delta^{n-3} y(1) \leq c \\
\vdots \\
0 \leq \Delta^{n-3} y(k)-\Delta^{n-3} y(k-1) \leq c
\end{gathered}
$$

We sum the above inequalities to obtain

$$
0 \leq \Delta^{n-3} y(k) \leq k c, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+2}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
0 \leq \Delta^{n-4} y(k) \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} i\right) c=\frac{k(k+1)}{2!} c, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+3}
$$

By using the induction method, one has

$$
0 \leq y(k) \leq \frac{k(k+1) \cdots(k+n-3)}{(n-2)!} c, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+n-1}
$$

Then

$$
0 \leq y(k) \leq \frac{(T+n-1)(T+n) \cdots(T+2 n-4)}{(n-2)!} c=q c, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+n-1}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Assume that there exist constants $a, b, c$ such that $0<a<b<c \cdot \min \left\{\sigma, \frac{m}{M}\right\}$ and satisfy
$\left(H_{3}\right) f(k, y) \leq \frac{c}{M},(k, y) \in[0, T+n-1] \times[0, q c]$,
$\left(H_{4}\right) f(k, y)<\frac{a}{M},(k, y) \in[0, T+n-1] \times[0, q a]$,
$\left(H_{5}\right)$ There exists some $l_{0} \in[n-2, T+n-1]$, such that $f(k, y) \geq \frac{b}{m_{0}},(k, y) \in[n-2, T+$ $n-1] \times\left[b, \frac{q b}{\sigma}\right]$, where $m_{0}=\min _{k, l \in \mathbf{N}_{T}} g(k, l)>0$.
Then BVP (I.1) - (I.2) has at least three positive solutions $y_{1}, y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{1}\right\|<a, \quad h\left(y_{2}\right)>b \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{3}\right\|>a \quad \text { with } \quad h\left(y_{3}\right)<b . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let the operator $S: K \longrightarrow E$ be defined by

$$
(S y)(k)=\sum_{l=1}^{T} G(k, l) f(l, y(l)), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+n-1} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{n-2}(S y)(k)=\sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) f(l, y(l)), \text { for } \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now show that the operator $S$ maps $K$ into itself. For this, let $y \in K$, from $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{n-2}(S y)(k)=\sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) f(l, y(l)) \geq 0, \text { for } \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{n-2}(S y)(k) & =\sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) f(l, y(l)) \\
& \leq M_{2} \sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, k) f(l, y(l)) \\
& \leq M_{2} \widetilde{M} \sum_{l=1}^{T} f(l, y(l)), \quad \text { for } \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\|S y\| \leq M_{2} \widetilde{M} \sum_{l=1}^{T} f(l, y(l))
$$

From $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$, and $\left.\sqrt{3.3}\right)$, for $k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{n-2}(S y)(k) & \geq M_{1} \sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, k) f(l, y(l)) \\
& \geq M_{1} \widetilde{m} \sum_{l=1}^{T} f(l, y(l)) \geq \frac{M_{1} \widetilde{m}}{M_{2} \widetilde{M}}\|S y\|=\sigma\|S y\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subsequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} \Delta^{n-2}(S y)(k) \geq \sigma\|S y\| . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain $S y \in K$. Hence $S(K) \subseteq K$. Also standard arguments yield that $S: K \longrightarrow K$ is completely continuous.
We now show that all of the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled. For all $y \in \overline{K_{c}}$, we have $\|y\| \leq c$. From assumption $\left(H_{3}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|S y\| & =\max _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}}\left|\Delta^{n-2}(S y)(k)\right| \\
& =\max _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}}\left|\sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) f(l, y(l))\right| \\
& \leq \frac{c}{M} \max _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}} \sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l)=c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $S: \overline{K_{c}} \longrightarrow \overline{K_{c}}$.
Similarly, if $y \in K_{a}$, then assumption $\left(H_{4}\right)$ yields $f(k, y)<\frac{a}{M}$, for $k \in \mathbf{N}_{T+1}$. As in the argument above, we can show $S: \overline{K_{a}} \longrightarrow K_{a}$. Therefore, condition $\left(A_{2}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

Now we prove that condition $\left(A_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1 holds. Let

$$
y^{*}(k)=\frac{k(k+1) \cdots(k+n-3) b}{(n-2)!\sigma}, \text { for } \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}
$$

Then we can show that $y^{*} \in K\left(h, b, \frac{q b}{\sigma}\right)$ and $h\left(y^{*}\right) \geq \frac{b}{\sigma}>b$. So

$$
\left\{y \in K\left(h, b, \frac{b}{\sigma}\right): h(y)>b\right\} \neq \emptyset .
$$

From assumptions $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{5}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(S y) & =\min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} \sum_{l=1}^{T} g(k, l) f(l, y(l)) \\
& >\min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} \sum_{l=\xi}^{T} g(k, l) f(l, y(l)) \\
& \geq \min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} g\left(k, l_{0}\right) f\left(l_{0}, y\left(l_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{b}{m_{0}} \min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} g(k, l) \geq b .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that condition $\left(A_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.
Finally, suppose that $y \in K(h, b, c)$ with $\|S y\|>\frac{b}{\sigma}$, then

$$
h(S y)=\min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\xi, T}} \Delta^{n-2}(S y)(k) \geq \sigma\|S y\|>b .
$$

Thus, condition $\left(A_{3}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1 is also satisfied. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 implies that BVP (1.1) - (1.2) has at least three positive solutions $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ described by (3.1) and (3.2).

## Corollary 3.3. Suppose that there exist constants

$$
0<a_{1}<b_{1}<c_{1} \cdot \min \left\{\sigma, \frac{m}{M}\right\}<a_{2}<b_{2}<c_{2} \cdot \min \left\{\sigma, \frac{m}{M}\right\}<\cdots<a_{p}
$$

p is a positive integer, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\left(H_{7}\right) f(k, y)<\frac{a_{i}}{M},(k, y) \in[0, T+n-1] \times\left[0, q a_{i}\right], i \in \mathbf{N}_{1, p} ;
$$

( $H_{8}$ ) There exist $l_{i 0} \in[n-2, T+n-1]$, such that $f(k, y) \geq \frac{q b_{i}}{m_{0}},(k, y) \in[n-2, T+n-$ $1] \times\left[b_{i}, \frac{q b_{i}}{\sigma}\right], i \in \mathbf{N}_{1, p-1}$.
Then BVP (1.1) - (1.2) has at least $2 p-1$ positive solutions.
Proof. When $p=1$, from condition $\left(H_{7}\right)$, we show $S: \overline{K_{a_{1}}} \longrightarrow K_{a_{1}} \subseteq \overline{K_{a_{1}}}$. By using the Schauder fixed point theorem, we show that BVP (1.1) - (1.2) has at least one fixed point $y_{1} \in \overline{K_{a_{1}}}$. When $p=2$, it is clear that Theorem 3.2 holds ( with $c_{1}=a_{2}$ ). Then we can obtain BVP (1.1) - (1.2) has at least three positive solutions $y_{1}, y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$, such that $\left\|y_{1}\right\|<a_{1}$, $h\left(y_{2}\right)>b_{1},\left\|y_{3}\right\|>a_{1}$, with $h\left(y_{3}\right)<b_{1}$. Following this way, we finish the proof by the induction method. The proof is completed.

If the case $n=2$, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3.4. Assume that there exist constants $a, b, c$ such that $0<a<b<c \cdot \min \left\{\sigma, \frac{m}{M}\right\}$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(H_{9}\right) f(k, y) & \leq \frac{c}{M},(k, y) \in[0, T+n-1] \times[0, c], \\
\left(H_{10}\right) f(k, y) & <\frac{a}{M},(k, y) \in[0, T+n-1] \times[0, a], \\
\left(H_{11}\right) f(k, y) & \geq \frac{b}{m},(k, y) \in[\xi, T+n-1] \times\left[b, \frac{b}{\sigma}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then BVP (1.1) - (1.2) has at least three positive solutions $y_{1}, y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$, satisfying (3.1) and (3.2).

Finally, we give an example to illustrate our main result.
Example 3.1. Consider the following second order third point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} y(k-1)+f(k, y)=0, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_{1,6}  \tag{3.6}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y(7)=\frac{7}{9} y(3),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f(k, y)=\frac{100}{k+100} a(y)$, and

$$
a(y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{720}+\sin ^{8} y, & \text { if } y \in\left[0, \frac{1}{30}\right] \\ \frac{1}{720}+6\left(y-\frac{1}{30}\right)+\sin ^{8} y, & \text { if } y \in\left[\frac{1}{30}, 3\right] \\ \frac{1}{720}+\frac{89}{5}+\frac{\sin ^{2}(y-3)}{2}+\sin ^{8} y, & \text { if } y \in[3,360]\end{cases}
$$

Then $T=6, n=3, \alpha=\frac{7}{9}<1, T+1-\alpha n=\frac{14}{3}>0$. Then the conditions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ are satisfied, and the function

$$
G(k, l)=\frac{3}{14} \begin{cases}\frac{l(42-2 k)}{9}, & l \in \mathbf{N}_{1, k-1} \cap \mathbf{N}_{1,2} \\ \frac{3 l(7-k)+7(k-l)}{3}, & l \in \mathbf{N}_{3, k-1} \\ \frac{k(42-2 l)}{9}, & l \in \mathbf{N}_{k, 2} \\ k(7-l), & l \in \mathbf{N}_{k, 6} \cap \mathbf{N}_{3,6}\end{cases}
$$

is the Green's function of the problem $-\Delta^{2} y(k-1)=0, k \in \mathbf{N}_{1,6}$ with (3.7).

Thus we can compute $m=\frac{27}{2}, M=18, \widetilde{m}=\frac{9}{7}, \widetilde{M}=\frac{18}{7}, M_{1}=\frac{2}{9}, M_{2}=9, \sigma=\frac{1}{81}<\frac{m}{M}=$ $\frac{3}{4}$. We choose that $a=\frac{1}{35}, b=\frac{1}{10}, c=360$, consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(k, y) & =\frac{100}{k+100} a(y) \\
& \leq a(y) \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{720}+1<20=\frac{c}{M}, & (k, y) \in[0,7] \times\left[0, \frac{1}{30}\right] \\
\frac{1}{720}+6\left(3-\frac{1}{30}\right)+1<20=\frac{c}{M}, & (k, y) \in[0,7] \times\left[\frac{1}{30}, 3\right] \\
\frac{1}{720}+\frac{89}{5}+\frac{3}{2}<20=\frac{c}{M}, & (k, y) \in[0,7] \times[3,360]\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
f(k, y) \leq \frac{c}{M}, \quad(k, y) \in[0,7] \times[0,360]
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(k, y) \leq \frac{1}{720}+\sin ^{8} y<\frac{1}{630}=\frac{a}{M}, \quad(k, y) \in[0,7] \times\left[0, \frac{1}{35}\right] \\
f(k, y) \geq \frac{100}{107}\left[\frac{1}{720}+6\left(\frac{1}{10}-\frac{1}{30}\right)+\sin ^{8} y\right] \geq \frac{1}{135}=\frac{b}{m}, \quad(k, y) \in[3,7] \times\left[\frac{1}{27}, 3\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

That is to say, all the conditions of Corollary 3.4 are satisfied. Then the boundary value problem (3.6), (3.7) has at least three positive solutions $y_{1}, y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$, such that

$$
y_{1}(k)<\frac{1}{35}, \text { for } k \in \mathbf{N}_{7}, y_{2}(k)>\frac{1}{27}, \text { for } k \in \mathbf{N}_{3,7}
$$

and

$$
\max _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{1,7}} y_{3}(k)>\frac{1}{35}, \text { for } k \in \mathbf{N}_{7} \text { with } \min _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{3,7}} y_{3}(k)<\frac{1}{27} .
$$
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