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AbSTRACT. This paper introduces a new subclass and investigates the sufficiency conditions for a function to belong to this subclass. Certain types of inequalities are also studied exhibiting the well-known geometric properties of multivalently analytic functions in the unit disk. Several interesting consequences of the main results are also mentioned.

## 1. Introduction and Definition

Let $\mathcal{T}(p)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad(p \in \mathcal{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]which are analytic and multivalent in the open disk $\mathcal{U}=\{z: z \in \mathcal{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$. A function $f(z)$ belonging to $\mathcal{T}(p)$ is said to be multivalently starlike order $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{U}$ if it satisfies the inequality:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p)$ is said to be multivalently convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{U}$ if it satisfies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the aforementioned definitions, one may refer to [1] (see also [11]). Further, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p)$ is said to be in the subclass $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(p ; \alpha)$ if it satisfies the inequality:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Re\left\{\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\delta}\right\}>\alpha,  \tag{1.4}\\
(z \in \mathcal{U} ; \delta \neq 0 ; \quad 0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1 ; \quad 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; \quad p \in \mathcal{N}) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, and throughout this paper, the value of expressions like

$$
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\delta}
$$

is considered to be its principal value. We mention below some of the subclasses of the functions $\mathcal{T}(p)$ from the families of functions $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(p ; \alpha)$ (defined above). Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(p ; \alpha) & \equiv \mathcal{T S}_{0}^{\delta}(p ; \alpha) \quad(\delta \neq 0,0 \leqslant \alpha<p, p \in \mathcal{N})  \tag{1.5}\\
\mathcal{T} \mathcal{K}^{\delta}(p ; \alpha) & \equiv \mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}_{1}^{\delta}(p ; \alpha) \quad(\delta \neq 0,0 \leqslant \alpha<p, p \in \mathcal{N})  \tag{1.6}\\
\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}(p ; \alpha) & \equiv \mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{1}(p ; \alpha) \quad(0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant \alpha<p, p \in \mathcal{N}) \quad(\text { see [5]) }) \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The important subclasses in Geometric Function Theory such as multivalently starlike functions $\mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$ of order $\alpha(0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N})$ in $\mathcal{U}$, multivalently convex functions $\mathcal{K}_{p}(\alpha)$ of order $\alpha(0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N})$ in $\mathcal{U}$, multivalently starlike functions $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ in $\mathcal{U}$, multivalently convex functions $\mathcal{K}_{p}$ in $\mathcal{U}$, starlike functions $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ of order $\alpha(0 \leqslant \alpha<1)$ in $\mathcal{U}$, convex functions $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ of order $\alpha(0 \leqslant \alpha<1)$ in $\mathcal{U}$, starlike functions $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ and convex functions $\mathcal{K}$ in $\mathcal{U}$, are seen to be easily identifiable with the aforementioned classes ([1], [5] and [11]).

By introducing a subclass $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(p ; \alpha)$ of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p)$ satisfying the inequality (1.4), our motive in this paper is to obtain sufficient conditions for a function to belong to the above subclass. The other results investigated include certain inequalities for multivalent functions depicting the properties of starlikeness, close-to-convexity and convexity in the open unit disk. Several corollaries are deduced as worthwhile consequences of our main results.

## 2. Main Results

Before stating and proving our main results, we require the following assertion (popularly known as Jack's Lemma).

Lemma 2.1 ([7]). Let the function $w(z)$ be non-constant and regular in the unit disc $\mathcal{U}$ such that $w(0)=0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at the point $z_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=c w\left(z_{0}\right) \quad(c \geqslant 1) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We begin now to prove the following:

Theorem 2.2. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, 0 \leqslant \alpha<p, p \in \mathcal{N}$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p)$. If a function $F(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z) \quad(0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the inequality:

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{1+z\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)}{1-p^{\delta}\left(\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)^{-\delta}}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
<\frac{1}{\delta} \text { when } \delta>0  \tag{2.3}\\
>\frac{1}{\delta} \text { when } \delta<0
\end{array}\right\} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{T} \mathcal{S K}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(p ; \beta)$, where $\beta=p^{\delta}-(p-\alpha)^{\delta}$.
Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p)$ and $F(z)$ be defined by (2.2). From (1.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}  \tag{2.4}\\
&=\frac{p+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k[1+\lambda(k-1)]}{1+\lambda(p-1)} a_{k} z^{k-p}}{1+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\lambda(p-1)} a_{k} z^{k-p}} . \\
&(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1 ; p \in \mathcal{N})
\end{align*}
$$

Now, define a function $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)^{\delta}-p^{\delta}=(p-\alpha)^{\delta} w(z), \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; \delta \neq 0 ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N}) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function $w(z)$ is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and $w(0)=0$. Differentiation of 2.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}=\left(\frac{(p-\alpha)^{\delta}}{p^{\delta}+(p-\alpha)^{\delta} w(z)}\right) \frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{\delta} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (2.5) and (2.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+z\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)}{1-p^{\delta}\left(\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)^{-\delta}}=\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{\delta w(z)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $|w(z)|<1$ in $\mathcal{U}$. For otherwise (by Jack's Lemma), there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=c w\left(z_{0}\right), \text { where }\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \quad(c \geqslant 1)
$$

Therefore, (2.7) yields

$$
\Re\left\{\left.\frac{1+z\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)}{1-p^{\delta}\left(\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)^{-\delta}}\right|_{z=z_{0}}\right\}=\frac{1}{\delta} \Re\left\{\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}=\frac{c}{\delta}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\geqslant \frac{1}{\delta} \text { when } \delta>0  \tag{2.8}\\
\leqslant \frac{1}{\delta} \text { when } \delta<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

which contradicts our assumption (2.3) . Therefore, $|w(z)|<1$ holds true for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, and we conclude from (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)^{\delta}-p^{\delta}\right|=(p-\alpha)^{\delta}|w(z)|<(p-\alpha)^{\delta}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which evidently implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\left(\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)^{\delta}\right\}>p^{\delta}-(p-\alpha)^{\delta} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $f(z) \in \mathcal{T} \mathcal{S K}_{\lambda}^{\delta}(p ; \alpha)$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; n, m, p \in \mathcal{N} ; q=n-m ; f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ and $g(z) \in \mathcal{T}(m)$. If $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality:

$$
\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
<q+\alpha+\frac{1}{2 \delta} & \text { when } \quad \delta>0 & \text { and } g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{m}(\alpha)  \tag{2.11}\\
>q+\alpha+\frac{1}{2 \delta} & \text { when } \quad \delta<0 & \text { and } g(z) \notin \mathcal{S}_{m}(\alpha),
\end{array}\right.
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\left(z^{-q} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right)^{\delta}\right\}>0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the value of $\left(z^{-q} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right)^{\delta}$ is taken to be its principle value.
Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ and $g(z) \in \mathcal{T}(m)$ with $n-m \in \mathcal{N}$. Since

$$
\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}=z^{q}+c_{1} z^{q+1}+c_{2} z^{q+2}+\cdots \in \mathcal{T}(q) \quad(q=n-m \in \mathcal{N})
$$

we define $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{-q} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right)^{\delta}=1+w(z) \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; \delta \neq 0) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the function $w(z)$ is an analytic function in $\mathcal{U}$ and $w(0)=0$. Differentiating (2.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=q+\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{\delta(1+w(z))}+\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=c w\left(z_{0}\right)$ where $\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=$ $1(c \geqslant 1)$, i.e. $w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}(\theta \in[0,2 \pi)-\{\pi\})$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} & =q+\frac{1}{\delta} \Re\left\{\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{\delta z_{0} g^{\prime}(z)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \\
& =q+\frac{1}{\delta} \Re\left\{\frac{c e^{i \theta}}{1+e^{i \theta}}\right\}+\Re\left\{\frac{z_{0} g^{\prime}(z)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.15) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \geqslant q+\alpha+\frac{1}{2 \delta} \quad(\delta>0) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}>\alpha
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \leqslant q+\alpha+\frac{1}{2 \delta} \quad(\delta<0) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that

$$
\Re\left\{\frac{z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \leqslant \alpha
$$

But the inequalities in 2.16) and 2.17) contradict the inequalities in 2.11). Hence $|w(z)|<1$, for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, and therefore (2.13) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(z^{-q} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right)^{\delta}-1\right|=|w(z)|<1, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which evidently implies (2.12), and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.3 .
Theorem 2.4. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; n, m, p \in \mathcal{N} ; q=n-m ; f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(n)$, and $g(z) \in \mathcal{T}(m)$. If $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality:

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
<q+\alpha+\frac{1}{2 \delta} & \text { when } & \delta>0 & \text { and }  \tag{2.19}\\
\hline q(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{m}(\alpha) \\
>\alpha+\frac{1}{2 \delta} & \text { when } & \delta<0 & \text { and } \\
g(z) \notin \mathcal{K}_{m}(\alpha),
\end{array}\right.
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\left(z^{-q} \frac{m f^{\prime}(z)}{n g^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\delta}\right\}>0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the value of $\left(z^{-q \frac{m f^{\prime}(z)}{n g^{\prime}(z)}}\right)^{\delta}$ is taken its principle value.
Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ and $g(z) \in \mathcal{T}(m)$ with $n-m \in \mathcal{N}$. Since

$$
\frac{m f^{\prime}(z)}{n g^{\prime}(z)}=z^{q}+k_{1} z^{q+1}+k_{2} z^{q+2}+\cdots \in \mathcal{T}(q) \quad(q=n-m \in \mathcal{N})
$$

and if we define $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{-q} \frac{m f^{\prime}(z)}{n g^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\delta}=1+w(z) \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by appealing to the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we arrive at the assertion (2.20) of Theorem 2.4 under the conditions stated with (2.19).

## 3. Some Consequences of Main Results

Among the various interesting and important consequences of Theorems $2.2-2.4$, we mention now some of the corollaries relating to the classes $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}(p ; \alpha), \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}(\alpha), \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha), \mathcal{K}_{p}(\alpha), \mathcal{S}_{p}, \mathcal{K}_{p}$, $\mathcal{S}(\alpha), \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$, which are easily deducible form the main results. Inequalities concerning analytic and multivalent functions were also studied in [2] - [6], and in [8] - [10].

Firstly, if we take $\delta=1$, then Theorem 2.2 by virtue of (1.7) gives the following:
Corollary 3.1. Let a function $F(z)$ defined by (2.2) satisfy the condition:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Re\left\{\frac{1+z\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)}{1-p\left(\frac{F(z)}{z F^{\prime}(z)}\right)}\right\}<1,  \tag{3.1}\\
(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N} ; f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(p))
\end{gather*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}(p ; \alpha)$.
Next, if we take $\delta-1=\lambda=0$ in Theorem 2.2, so that $F(z)=f(z)$, then we get
Corollary 3.2. If $F(z)=f(z)$ satisfies the condition in (3.1), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(\alpha)$, i.e. $f(z)$ is $p$-valent starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N})$ in $\mathcal{U}$.

If we take $\delta=\lambda=1$ in Theorem 2.2, so that $F(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)$, then we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.3. If $f(z)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{1+z\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)}{1-p\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right)}\right\}<1 \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{p}(\alpha)$, that is $f(z)$ is $p$-valent convex of the order $\alpha(0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; p \in \mathcal{N})$ in $\mathcal{U}$.
For $p=1$ in Corollaries 3.1-3.3 give the following:
Corollary 3.4. Let a function $F(z)$ defined by (2.2) satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Re\left\{\frac{1+z\left(\frac{F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right)}{1-\frac{F(z)}{z F^{\prime}(z)}}\right\}<1,  \tag{3.3}\\
(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<1 ; \quad f(z) \in \mathcal{T})
\end{gather*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}(\alpha)$.
Corollary 3.5. If $F(z)=f(z)$ satisfies the condition (3.3), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}(\alpha)$, i.e. $f(z)$ is starlike of order $\alpha(0 \leqslant \alpha<1)$ in $\mathcal{U}$.
Corollary 3.6. If $f(z)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{1+z\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}-\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)}{1-\frac{) f^{\prime}(z)}{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}}\right\}<1 \quad(z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<1), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$, i.e., $f(z)$ is convex of order $\alpha(0 \leqslant \alpha<1)$ in $\mathcal{U}$.
Let us take $\delta=1$ in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, then we get the following:
Corollary 3.7. Let $z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; n, m, p \in \mathcal{N} ; f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ and a function $g(z) \in$ $\mathcal{T}(m)$ belong to the class $\mathcal{S}_{m}(\alpha)$ with $q=n-m \in \mathcal{N}$. If $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}<q+\alpha+\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{z^{-q} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right\}>0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.8. Let $z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; n, m, p \in \mathcal{N} ; f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ and a function $g(z)$ in $\mathcal{T}(m)$ belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_{m}(\alpha)$ with $q=n-m \in \mathcal{N}$. If $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}<q+\alpha+\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{z^{-q} \frac{m f^{\prime}(z)}{n g^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lastly, setting $\delta=-1$ in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain the following:
Corollary 3.9. Let $z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; n, m, p \in \mathcal{N} ; f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ and suppose a function $g(z) \in \mathcal{T}(m)$ does not belong to the class $\mathcal{S}_{m}(\alpha)$ with $q=n-m \in \mathcal{N}$. If $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>q+\alpha-\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{z^{q} \frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.10. Let $z \in \mathcal{U} ; 0 \leqslant \alpha<p ; n, m, p \in \mathcal{N} ; f(z) \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ and suppose a function $g(z)$ in $\mathcal{T}(m)$ does not belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_{m}(\alpha)$ with $q=n-m \in \mathcal{N}$. If $f(z)$ satisfies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>q+\alpha-\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{z^{q} \frac{n g^{\prime}(z)}{m f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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