

## ON SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE SKEW LAPLACIAN ENERGY OF DIGRAPHS

C. ADIGA AND Z. KHOSHBAKHT DEPARTMENT OF STUDIES IN MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE MANASAGANGOTHRI MYSORE - 570 006, INDIA c\_adiga@hotmail.com

znbkht@gmail.com

Received 16 April, 2009; accepted 28 July, 2009 Communicated by S.S. Dragomir

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce and investigate the skew Laplacian energy of a digraph. We establish upper and lower bounds for the skew Laplacian energy of a digraph.

Key words and phrases: Digraphs, skew energy, skew Laplacian energy.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C50, 05C90.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with simple directed graphs. A directed graph (or just digraph) G consists of a non-empty finite set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  of elements called vertices and a finite set  $\Gamma(G)$  of ordered pairs of distinct vertices called arcs. Two vertices are called adjacent if they are connected by an arc. The skew-adjacency matrix of G is the  $n \times n$  matrix  $S(G) = [a_{ij}]$ where  $a_{ij} = 1$  whenever  $(v_i, v_j) \in \Gamma(G)$ ,  $a_{ij} = -1$  whenever  $(v_j, v_i) \in \Gamma(G)$ ,  $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Hence S(G) is a skew symmetric matrix of order n and all its eigenvalues are of the form  $i\lambda$  where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . The skew energy of G is the sum of the absolute value of the eigenvalues of S(G). For additional information on the skew energy of digraphs we refer to [1]. The degree of a vertex in a digraph G is the degree of the corresponding vertex of the underlying graph of G. Let  $D(G) = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ , the diagonal matrix with vertex degrees  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$  of  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Then L(G) = D(G) - S(G) is called the Laplacian matrix of the digraph G. Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$  be the eigenvalues of L(G). Then the set  $\sigma_{SL}(G) = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n\}$  is called the skew Laplacian spectrum of the digraph G. The Laplacian matrix of a simple, undirected (n, m) graph  $G_1$  is  $L(G_1) = D(G_1) - A(G_1)$ , where  $A(G_1)$  is the adjacency matrix of  $G_1$ . It is symmetric, singular, positive semi-definite and all its eigenvalues are real and non negative. It is well known that the smallest eigenvalue is zero and

We thank the referee for helpful remarks and useful suggestions. The first author is thankful to the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi for the financial support under the grant DST/SR/S4/MS: 490/07.

<sup>103-09</sup> 

its multiplicity is equal to the number of connected components of  $G_1$ . The Laplacian spectrum of the graph  $G_1$ , consisting of the numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$  is the spectrum of its Laplacian matrix  $L(G_1)$  [3, 4]. The spectrum of the graph  $G_1$ , consisting of the numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  is the spectrum of its adjacency matrix  $A(G_1)$ . The ordinary and Laplacian eigenvalues obey the following well-known relations:

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 0; \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 = 2m,$$

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 2m; \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2 = 2m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2.$$

The energy of the graph  $G_1$  is defined as

$$E(G_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|.$$

For a survey of the mathematical properties of the energy we refer to [5]. In order to define the Laplacian energy of  $G_1$ , Gutman and Zhou [6] introduced auxiliary "eigenvalues"  $\beta_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, defined by

$$\beta_i = \alpha_i - \frac{2m}{n}.$$

Then it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i^2 = 2M$$

where  $M = m + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - \frac{2m}{n})^2$ .

If  $G_1$  is an (n, m)-graph and its Laplacian eigenvalues are  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ , then the Laplacian energy of  $G_1$  [6] is defined by

$$LE(G_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\beta_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \alpha_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right|.$$

Gutman and Zhou [6] have shown a great deal of analogy between the properties of  $E(G_1)$  and  $LE(G_1)$ . Among others they proved the following two inequalities:

$$(1.3) LE(G_1) \le \sqrt{2Mn}$$

and

(1.4) 
$$2\sqrt{M} \le LE(G_1) \le 2M.$$

Various bounds for the Laplacian energy of a graph can be found in [8, 9].

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of the skew Laplacian energy SLE(G) of a simple, connected digraph G, and to establish upper and lower bounds for SLE(G) which are similar to (1.3) and (1.4). We may mention here that the skew Laplacian energy of a digraph considered in [2] was actually the second spectral moment.

## 2. BOUNDS FOR THE SKEW LAPLACIAN ENERGY OF A DIGRAPH

We begin by giving the formal definition of the skew Laplacian energy of a digraph.

**Definition 2.1.** Let S(G) be the skew adjacency matrix of a simple digraph G, possessing n vertices and m edges. Then the skew Laplacian energy of the digraph G is defined as

$$SLE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right|,$$

where  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$  are the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix L(G) = D(G) - S(G).

In analogy with (1.2), Adiga and Smitha [2] have proved that

(2.1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i = 2m$$

and

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i (d_i - 1).$$

We may observe that equations (2.1) and (2.2) are evident as (1.1) and (1.2), which follow from the trace equality.

Define  $\gamma_i = \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n. On using (2.1) and (2.2) we see that

(2.3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i = 0$$

and

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i^2 = 2M,$$

where

$$M = -m + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( d_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right)^2.$$

Since 2m/n is the average vertex degree, we have M + m = 0 if and only if G is regular.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let G be an (n, m)-digraph and let  $d_i$  be the degree of the  $i^{th}$  vertex of G, i = 1, 2, ..., n. If  $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$  are the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix L(G) = D(G) - S(G), where  $D(G) = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$  is the diagonal matrix and  $S(G) = [a_{ij}]$  is the skew-adjacency matrix of G, then

$$SLE(G) \le \sqrt{2M_1 n}$$
  
Here  $M_1 = M + 2m = m + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - \frac{2m}{n})^2$ .

*Proof.* From (2.1) it is clear that

(2.5) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Re}(\mu_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}.$$

By Schur's unitary triangularization theorem, there is a unitary matrix U such that  $U^*L(G)U = T = [t_{ij}]$ , where T is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries  $t_{ii} = \mu_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, i.e.  $L(G) = [s_{ij}]$  and  $T = [t_{ij}]$  are unitarily equivalent. That is,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |s_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |t_{ij}|^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} |t_{ii}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_i|^2.$$

Thus

(2.6) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 + 2m \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_i|^2.$$

Let  $\gamma_i = \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied to the Euclidean vectors  $(|\gamma_1|, |\gamma_2|, ..., |\gamma_n|)$  and (1, 1, ..., 1), we have

(2.7) 
$$SLE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_i| \le \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_i|^2 \sqrt{n}}.$$

Now by (2.5) and (2.6),

(2.8)  

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right| \right) \left( \left| \overline{\mu_i} - \frac{2m}{n} \right| \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu_i|^2 - \frac{2m}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 \operatorname{Re} \mu_i + \frac{4m^2}{n}$$

$$\leq 2m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 - \frac{4m}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i + \frac{4m^2}{n}$$

$$= 2M_1.$$

Using (2.8) in (2.7), we conclude that

$$SLE(G) \le \sqrt{2M_1n}.$$

Second Proof. Consider the sum

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (|\gamma_i| - |\gamma_j|)^2$$

By direct calculation

$$S = 2n \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_i|^2 - 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_i| \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\gamma_j|\right).$$

It follows from (2.8) and the definition of SLE(G) that

$$S \le 4nM_1 - 2SLE(G)^2.$$

Since  $S \ge 0$ , we have  $SLE(G) \le \sqrt{2M_1n}$ .

If  $E(G_1)$  is the ordinary energy of a simple graph  $G_1$  it is well-known [7] that

(2.9) 
$$E(G_1) \le \frac{2m}{n} + \sqrt{(n-1)\left[2m - \left(\frac{2m}{n}\right)^2\right]}$$

We prove an inequality similar to (2.9) involving the skew Laplacian energy of a digraph. Let G be an (n,m)-digraph. Suppose  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$  are the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix L(G) with  $|\gamma_1| \leq |\gamma_2| \leq \cdots \leq |\gamma_n| = k$ , where  $\gamma_i = \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Let X =

 $(|\gamma_1| \le |\gamma_2| \le \cdots \le |\gamma_{n-1}|)$  and  $Y = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\gamma_i|\right)^2 \le (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\gamma_i|^2.$$

That is,

$$(SLE(G) - |\gamma_n|)^2 \le (n-1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma_i|^2 - |\gamma_n|^2 \right).$$

Using (2.8) in the above inequality we obtain

$$SLE(G) \le k + \sqrt{(n-1)(2M_1 - k^2)},$$

where  $k = |\gamma_n|$  and  $M_1$  is as in Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** We have

$$2\sqrt{|M|} \le SLE(G) \le 2M_1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j}^{n} \gamma_i \gamma_j = 0.$$

Now, using (2.4) in the above equation we have

$$2M = -2\sum_{i< j}^n \gamma_i \gamma_j.$$

This implies

(2.10) 
$$2|M| = 2\left|\sum_{i< j}^{n} \gamma_i \gamma_j\right| \le 2\sum_{i< j}^{n} |\gamma_i| |\gamma_j|.$$

Now by (2.4),

$$SLE(G)^{2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_{i}|\right)^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma_{i}|^{2} + 2\sum_{i< j}^{n} |\gamma_{i}||\gamma_{j}|$$
$$\geq 2|M| + 2\sum_{i< j}^{n} |\gamma_{i}||\gamma_{j}|,$$

which combined with (2.10) yields  $SLE(G)^2 \ge 4|M|$ . Thus

$$2\sqrt{|M|} \le SLE(G).$$

To prove the right-hand inequality, note that for a graph with m edges and no isolated vertex,  $n \leq 2m$ . By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$SLE(G) \le \sqrt{2M_1n} \le \sqrt{2M_1(2m)} = 2\sqrt{M_1m}.$$
  
tain  $SLE(G) \le 2M_1$ 

Since  $M_1 \ge m$ , we obtain  $SLE(G) \le 2M_1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. ADIGA, R. BALAKRISHNAN AND WASIN SO, The skew energy of a graph, (communicated for publication).
- [2] C. ADIGA AND M. SMITHA, On the skew Laplacian energy of a digraph, *International Math. Forum*, **39** (2009), 1907–1914.
- [3] R. GRONE AND R. MERRIS, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph II, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 7 (1994), 221–229.
- [4] R. GRONE, R. MERRIS AND V.S. SUNDER, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, **11** (1990), 218–238.
- [5] I. GUTMAN, The energy of a graph: Old and new results, *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2001, 196–211.
- [6] I. GUTMAN AND B. ZHOU, Laplacian energy of a graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **414** (2006), 29–37.
- [7] J. KOOLEN AND V. MOULTON, Maximal energy graphs, Adv. Appl. Math., 26 (2001), 47-52.
- [8] B. ZHOU AND I. GUTMAN, On Laplacian energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, **57** (2007), 211–220.
- [9] B. ZHOU, I. GUTMAN AND T. ALEKSIC, A note on Laplacian energy of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, **60** (2008), 441–446.