# journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au issn: 1443-5756

Volume 10 (2009), Issue 3, Article 81, 5 pp.



© 2009 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

# TWO NEW MAPPINGS ASSOCIATED WITH INEQUALITIES OF HADAMARD-TYPE FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS

#### LAN HE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
CHONGQING INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
XINGSHENG LU 4, YANGJIAPING 400050
CHONGQING CITY, CHINA.
helan0505@163.com

Received 18 April, 2008; accepted 23 April, 2009 Communicated by S.S. Dragomir

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define two mappings associated with the Hadamard inequality, investigate their main properties and give some refinements.

Key words and phrases: Convex function, Monotonicity, Integral inequality, Refinement.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D07; Secondary 26B25, 26D15.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $f, -g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  both be continuous functions. If f is a convex function, then we have

(1.1) 
$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt.$$

The inequality (1.1) is well known as the Hadamard inequality (see [1] - [6]). For some recent results which generalize, improve, and extend this classical inequality, see the references of [3].

When f, -g both are convex functions satisfying  $\int_a^b g(x)dx > 0$  and  $f(\frac{a+b}{2}) \ge 0$ , S.-J. Yang in [7] generalized (1.1) as

(1.2) 
$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \le \frac{\int_a^b f(t)dt}{\int_a^b g(t)dt}.$$

To go further in exploring (1.2), we define two mappings L and F by  $L: [a,b] \times [a,b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$L(x,y;f,g) = \left[ \int_x^y f(t)dt - (y-x)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \right] \left[ (y-x)g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) - \int_x^y g(t)dt \right]$$

and  $F: [a,b] \times [a,b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F(x,y;f,g) = g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \int_{x}^{y} g(t)dt.$$

2 Lan He

The aim of this paper is to study the properties of L and F and obtain some new refinements of (1.2).

To prove the theorems of this paper we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let f be a convex function on [a, b]. The mapping H is defined as

$$H(x,y;f) = \int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt - (y-x)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

Then H(a, y; f) is nonnegative and monotonically increasing with y on [a, b] (see [8]), H(x, b; f) is nonnegative and monotonically decreasing with x on [a, b] (see [9]).

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The properties of L are embodied in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let f and -g both be convex functions on [a, b]. Then we have:

- (1) L(a, y; f, g) is nonnegative increasing with y on [a, b], L(x, b; f, g) is nonnegative decreasing with x on [a, b].
- (2) When  $\int_a^b g(x)dx > 0$  and  $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \geq 0$ , for any  $x,y \in (a,b)$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , we have the following refinement of (1.2)

$$(2.1) \qquad \frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{(b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{2\int_{a}^{b}g(t)dt} + \frac{\int_{a}^{b}f(t)dt}{2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \\ \leq \frac{(b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{2\int_{a}^{b}g(t)dt} + \frac{\int_{a}^{b}f(t)dt}{2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} + \frac{\alpha L(a,y;f,g) + \beta L(x,b;f,g)}{2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_{a}^{b}g(t)dt} \\ \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{b}f(t)dt}{2\int_{a}^{b}g(t)dt} + \frac{2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{2g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{b}f(t)dt}{\int_{a}^{b}g(t)dt}.$$

The main properties of F are given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let f and -g both be nonnegative convex functions on [a,b] satisfying  $\int_a^b g(x)dx > 0$ . Then we have the following two results:

(1) If f and -g both are increasing, then F(a, y; f, g) is nonnegative increasing with y on [a, b], and we have the following refinement of (1.2)

(2.2) 
$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \le \frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} + \frac{F(a,y;f,g)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_a^b g(t)dt} \le \frac{\int_a^b f(t)dt}{\int_a^b g(t)dt},$$

where  $y \in (a, b)$ .

(2) If f and -g both are decreasing, then F(x,b;f,g) is nonnegative decreasing with x on [a,b], and we have the following refinement of (1.2)

(2.3) 
$$\frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} \le \frac{f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)} + \frac{F(x,b;f,g)}{g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_a^b g(t)dt} \le \frac{\int_a^b f(t)dt}{\int_a^b g(t)dt},$$

where  $x \in (a, b)$ .

# 3. PROOF OF THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 2.1.

- (1) By Lemma 1.1 and the convexity of f and -g, it is obvious that H(a, y; f) and H(a, y; -g) both are nonnegative increasing with y on [a, b]. Then L(a, y; f, g) = H(a, y; f)H(a, y; -g) is nonnegative increasing with y on [a, b]. By the same arguments of proof for L(a, y; f, g), we can also prove that L(x, b; f, g) is nonnegative decreasing with x on [a, b].
- (2) Since H(a, y; f) is monotonically increasing with y on [a, b], for any  $y \in (a, b)$  and  $\alpha \ge 0$ , we have

$$(3.1) 0 = \alpha L(a, a; f, g) \le \alpha L(a, y; f, g) \le \alpha L(a, b; f, g).$$

As H(x, b; f) is monotonically decreasing with x on [a, b], for any  $x \in (a, b)$  and  $\beta \ge 0$ , we have

$$(3.2) 0 = \beta L(a, a; f, g) \le \beta L(x, b; f, g) \le \beta L(a, b; f, g).$$

When  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , expression (3.1) plus (3.2) yields

$$(3.3) 0 = L(a, a; f, q) < \alpha L(a, y; f, q) + \beta L(x, b; f, q) < L(a, b; f, q).$$

Expression (3.3) plus

$$(b-a)^2 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \int_a^b f(t)dt \int_a^b g(t)dt$$

yields

$$(3.4) (b-a)^2 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \int_a^b f(t)dt \int_a^b g(t)dt$$

$$\leq (b-a)^2 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \int_a^b f(t)dt \int_a^b g(t)dt$$

$$+ \alpha L(a,y;f,g) + \beta L(x,b;f,g)$$

$$\leq (b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b f(t)dt + (b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t)dt.$$

By the convexity of f and g,  $\int_a^b g(x)dx > 0$ ,  $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \ge 0$  and (1.1), we get

$$(3.5) (b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \ge \int_a^b g(t)dt > 0, \int_a^b f(t)dt \ge (b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \ge 0.$$

Using (3.5), we obtain

$$(3.6) (b-a)^2 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \int_a^b f(t)dt \int_a^b g(t)dt$$

$$\geq (b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t)dt + (b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t)dt$$

$$= 2(b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(t)dt$$

4 LAN HE

and

$$(3.7) \quad (b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_a^b f(t)dt + (b-a)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_a^b g(t)dt$$

$$\leq 2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_a^b f(t)dt.$$

Combining (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7), and dividing the combined formula by

$$2(b-a)g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_{a}^{b}g(t)dt$$

yields (2.1).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.2.

(1) By Lemma 1.1 and the convexity of f and -g, we can see that H(a, y; f) and H(a, y; -g) both are nonnegative increasing with y on [a, b]. From the nonnegative increasing properties of f and g, we get that

$$F(a, y; f, g) = g\left(\frac{a+y}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{y} f(t)dt - f\left(\frac{a+y}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{y} g(t)dt$$

$$= g\left(\frac{a+y}{2}\right) \left(\int_{a}^{y} f(t)dt - (y-a)f\left(\frac{a+y}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$+ f\left(\frac{a+y}{2}\right) \left(\int_{a}^{y} g(t)dt - (y-a)g\left(\frac{a+y}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$= g\left(\frac{a+y}{2}\right) \cdot H(a, y; f) + f\left(\frac{a+y}{2}\right) \cdot H(a, y; -g)$$

is nonnegative increasing with y on [a, b].

Since F(a, y; f, q) is monotonically increasing with y on [a, b], for any  $y \in (a, b)$ , we have

(3.8) 
$$0 = F(a, a; f, g) \le F(a, y; f, g) \le F(a, b; f, g).$$

Expression (3.8) plus

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_a^b g(t)dt$$

yields

(3.9) 
$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} g(t)dt \le f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} g(t)dt + F(a, y; f, g)$$
$$\le f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} g(t)dt + F(a, b; f, g)$$
$$= g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt.$$

Expression (3.9) divided by

$$g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\int_a^b g(t)dt$$

yields (2.2).

(2) By Lemma 1.1 and the convexity of f and -g, we can see that H(x, b; f) and H(x, b; -g) are both nonnegative decreasing with x on [a, b]. Further, from the nonnegative decreasing properties of f and g, we obtain that

$$F(x,b;f,g) = g\left(\frac{x+b}{2}\right) \cdot H(x,b;f) + f\left(\frac{x+b}{2}\right) \cdot H(x,b;-g)$$

is nonnegative decreasing with x on [a, b].

For any  $x \in (a, b)$ , then

$$(3.10) 0 = F(a, a; f, g) \le F(x, b; f, g) \le F(a, b; f, g).$$

Using (3.10), by the same arguments of proof for (1) of Theorem 2.2, we can also prove that (2.3) is true.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. HADAMARD, Etude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann, J. Math. Pures Appl., **58** (1893), 171–215.
- [2] L.-C. WANG, Three mapping related to Hermite-Hadamard inequalities, *J. Sichuan Univ.*, **39** (2002), 652–656. (In Chinese).
- [3] S.S. DRAGOMIR, Y.J. CHO AND S.S. KIM, Inequalities of Hadamard's type for Lipschitzian mappings and their applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **245** (2000), 489–501.
- [4] G.-S. YANG AND K.-L. TSENG, Inequalities of Hadamard's type for Lipschitzian mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **260** (2001), 230–238.
- [5] M. MATIC AND J. PEČARIĆ, Note on inequalities of Hadamard's type for Lipschitzian mappings, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **32**(2) (2001), 127–130.
- [6] L.-C. WANG, *Convex Functions and Their Inequalities*, Sichuan University Press, Chengdu, China, 2001. (Chinese).
- [7] S.-J. YANG, A direct proof and extensions of an inequality, *J. Math. Res. Exposit.*, **24**(4) (2004), 649–652.
- [8] S.S. DRAGOMIR AND R.P. AGARWAL, Two new mappings associated with Hadamard's inequalities for convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **11**(3) (1998), 33–38.
- [9] L.-C. WANG, Some refinements of Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for convex functions, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, **15** (2004), 40–45.