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#### Abstract

A $L^{1}$-estimate will be established for cosine series, considering the generalized Fomin-class $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$, where $\varphi$ is a function more general than the power function
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## 1. Introduction

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos n x . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Many authors ([1], [2], [9] - [15]) have investigated coefficient conditions guaranteeing that 1.1) is a Fourier series of some function $f \in L^{1}$ and they have given estimates for $\int_{0}^{\pi}|f(x)| d x$ via the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$.

Recently Z. Tomovski [15] proved a theorem of this type by using the class of coefficients defined by Fomin [1] as follows: a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{p}(p>1)$ if $a_{k} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty}\left|\Delta a_{i}\right|^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can formulate Z. Tomovski's result [15]:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{p}, 1<p \leq 2$, then the series (1.1) is a Fourier series and the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi}|f(x)| d x \leq C_{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ depends only on $p$.
Recently we ([7]) investigated the properties of classes of numerical sequences obtained by using functions more general than the power functions. Such functions were used first of all in the works of H.P. Mulholland [5] and M. Mateljevič and M. Pavlovič [8]. The following definition is due to Mateljevič and Pavlovič.
$\Delta(q, p)(q \geq p>0)$ denotes the family of the nonnegative real functions $\varphi(x)$ defined on $[0 ; \infty)$ with the following properties: $\varphi(0)=0, \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{q}}$ is nonincreasing and $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{p}}$ is nondecreasing on $(0 ; \infty) . \Delta$ will denote the set of the functions $\varphi(x) \in \Delta(q, p)$ for some $q \geq p>0$.

Using this notion in [7] we defined the following class: a nullsequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ for some $\varphi \in \Delta$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)\right)<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\varphi}$ is the inverse of the function $\varphi$.
The aim of the present paper is to generalize Theorem 1.1 using $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ instead of $\mathcal{F}_{p}$, where $\varphi \in \Delta$. Since our goal is to get a result concerning such functions as $\varphi(x)=x \log ^{\alpha}(1+x)$ and not only for functions which are generalizations of $x^{p}(p>1)$, we therefore need to define two subclasses of $\Delta$. Namely we use the following definitions: $\Delta^{(1)}$ denotes the family of functions $\varphi(x)$ belonging to $\Delta(q, p)$ for some $q \geq p>1$ and $\Delta^{(2)}$ is the collection of functions $\varphi(x)$ from $\Delta(q, 1)$ for some $q>1$ such that for all $A>0$ there exists $p:=p(A)>1$ satisfying the condition that $\frac{\varphi(x)}{x^{p}}$ is nondecreasing on $(0 ; A)$. It is obvious that $\Delta^{(1)} \subset \Delta^{(2)}$.
After giving these definitions we can formulate our result which generalizes Theorem 1.1 (if $\varphi \in \Delta^{(1)}$ ). Furthermore, it contains the case like $\varphi(x)=x \log ^{\alpha}(1+x)(\alpha>0)$.

## 2. Result

Theorem 2.1. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ for $\varphi \in \Delta^{(2)}$. Then the series (1.1) is a Fourier series and the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi}|f(x)| d x \leq C_{\varphi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\varphi}$ is a constant depending only on $\varphi$.
Remark 2.2. In [3] and [4] L. Leindler investigated a relation among classes of numerical sequences other than $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ (see the classes denoted by $\left.S_{p}, \mathcal{F}_{p}^{*}, S_{p}(\delta), S_{p}(A)\right)$ and he proved that all these classes coincide. Later in [7] we defined the classes of sequences $S_{\varphi}, \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}^{*}, S_{\varphi}(\delta), S_{\varphi}(A)$ exchanging the functions $x^{p}$ to $\varphi(x)$ and showed that all these classes also coincide. Therefore in Theorem 2.1 the class $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ can be replaced by any of the above mentioned classes, if $\varphi \in \Delta^{(2)}$.

## 3. Lemmas

Lemma 3.1. ([11]). Let the nullsequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be of bounded variation and

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{[i / 2]}\left|\frac{\Delta a_{i-k}-\Delta a_{i+k}}{k}\right|<\infty
$$

then (1.1) is a Fourier series and the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi}|f(x)| d x \leq C\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{[i / 2]} \frac{\Delta a_{i-k}-\Delta a_{i+k}}{k}\right|\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is some absolute constant.
Lemma 3.2. ([1]). Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a nullsequence. Then the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{[i / 2]}\left|\frac{\Delta a_{i-k}-\Delta a_{i+k}}{k}\right| \leq C_{p} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{s}^{(p)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{s}^{(p)}=\left\{\frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}}\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1<p \leq 2,
$$

and $C_{p}$ is a constant depending only on $p$.
Lemma 3.3. ([5]). If $\frac{\Psi(x)}{x}$ is increasing then for all sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ of nonnegative numbers

$$
\Psi\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}\right) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \Psi\left(2 b_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}
$$

holds.
Lemma 3.4. ([6]). Let $\rho(x)$ denote a nonnegative function increasing to infinity such that $\frac{\rho(x)}{x}$ is decreasing to zero when $x$ is increasing from zero to infinity. Furthermore, if $a_{n} \geq 0, \lambda_{n}>0$ for all $n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \rho\left(\frac{a_{n}}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}\right) \leq C_{\rho} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \rho\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k}\right), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\rho}$ depends only on the function $\rho(x)$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $b_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n$ and let $\bar{\varphi}$ be the inverse of the function $\varphi(x) \in \Delta^{(2)}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}\left(b_{n}\right) \leq K_{\bar{\varphi}} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_{k}}{n}\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\bar{\varphi}}$ is a constant depending only on $\bar{\varphi}$.
Proof. Since $\frac{\bar{\varphi}(x)}{x}$ is decreasing to zero if $x \rightarrow \infty$, therefore using Lemma 3.4 and taking $\rho(x)=\bar{\varphi}(x), b_{n}=n \cdot a_{n}, \lambda_{n}=1$, we get

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}\left(b_{n}\right) \leq K_{\bar{\varphi}} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{k}\right),
$$

whence the statement of Lemma 3.5 is obtained.

## 4. Proof

Proof of Theorem 2.1] Let $\varphi \in \Delta^{(2)}$ and $b_{n}=\varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{n}\right|\right)$. Using Lemma 3.5 and that $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\Delta a_{n}\right| \leq K \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{n}\right|\right)\right)<\infty \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ depends only on $\varphi$. It now follows that the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is of bounded variation.
Further on, we use the following notations:

$$
\Delta_{s}^{(\varphi)}:=\bar{\varphi}\left\{\frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)\right\}, p^{\prime}>1
$$

denotes a number for which $\frac{\varphi(x)}{x^{p^{\prime}}} \uparrow$ on $(0 ; A)$, where $A=\sup _{k}\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|$, and by $q$ we denote a number satisfying $\frac{\varphi(x)}{x^{q}} \downarrow$ (see the definition of $\varphi \in \Delta^{(2)}$ ).

Now we will prove that for any $1<p<p^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{s} \Delta_{s}^{(p)} \leq 2^{q / p} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{s} \Delta_{s}^{(\varphi)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Since for $\psi(t)=\varphi\left(t^{1 / p}\right)$ the function $\frac{\psi(t)}{t}$ is increasing thus using Lemma 3.3 and that $\varphi\left(2^{1 / p} x\right) \leq 2^{\frac{q}{p}} \varphi(x)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\frac{\sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}}\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|^{p}}{2^{s-1}}\right) \leq 2^{\frac{g}{p}} \frac{\sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)}{2^{s-1}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.3), taking into account that $\bar{\varphi}(c x) \leq c \bar{\varphi}(x)$ if $c>1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}\left[\psi\left(\frac{\sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}}\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|^{p}}{2^{s-1}}\right)\right] \leq 2^{\frac{q}{p}} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)}{2^{s-1}}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\bar{\varphi}(\psi(t))=t^{1 / p}$ so from 4.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{s}^{(p)}=\left\{\frac{\sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}}\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|^{p}}{2^{s-1}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2^{q / p} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)}{2^{s-1}}\right)=2^{q / p} \Delta_{s}^{(\varphi)} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.5), (4.2) immediately follows.
Now we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{s} \Delta_{s}^{(\varphi)} \leq 4 \cdot \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)}{s}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sequence $U_{s}=\frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)$ is monotone decreasing, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{s=1}^{n} 2^{s} \Delta_{s}^{(\varphi)} & =2 \cdot \sum_{s=1}^{n} 2^{s-1} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \sum_{k=2^{s-1}+1}^{2^{s}} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)\right) \\
& \leq 4 \cdot \sum_{s=1}^{2^{n-1}} \bar{\varphi}\left(U_{s}\right)=4 \cdot \sum_{s=1}^{2^{n-1}} \bar{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\left|\Delta a_{k}\right|\right)\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have from (4.7) the inequality (4.6).

Collecting (4.1), (4.2), (4.6), using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we get that (1.1) is a Fourier series and (2.1) is true. Thus Theorem 2.1 is proved.
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