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AbSTRACT. In this paper we estimate the rate of pointwise convergence of certain orthogonal expansions for measurable and bounded functions.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $H_{n}$ be the class of all polynomials of degree not exceeding $n$ and let $w$ be a weight function defined on $I=[-1,1]$, i.e. $w(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in I$ and

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}|t|^{k} w(t) d t<\infty \quad \text { for } \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Then there is a unique system $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ of polynomials such that $p_{n} \in H_{n}, p_{n} \equiv p_{n}(w ; x)=\gamma_{n} x^{n}$ + lower degree terms, where $\gamma_{n}>0$ and

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} p_{n}(t) p_{m}(t) w(t) d t=\delta_{n, m}
$$

(see [9, Chap. II]). If $f w$ is integrable on $I$, then by $S_{n}[f](w ; x)$ we denote the $n$-th partial sum of the Fourier series of the function $f$ with respect to the system $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$, i.e.

$$
S_{n}[f](w ; x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k} p_{k}(x)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(t) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{k} & :=\int_{-1}^{1} f(t) p_{k}(t) w(t) d t, & & k=0,1,2, \ldots \\
K_{n}(x, t) & :=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{k}(x) p_{k}(t), & & n=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In 1985 (see [6, p. 485]) R. Bojanic proved the following
Theorem 1.1. Let $w$ be a weight function and suppose that for all $x \in(-1,1)$ and $n=1,2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<w(x) \leq K\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-A},  \tag{1.2}\\
& \left|p_{n}(x)\right| \leq K\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-B},  \tag{1.3}\\
& \left|\int_{-1}^{x} w(t) p_{n}(t) d t\right| \leq \frac{C}{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A, B, C, K$ are some non-negative constants. If $f$ is a function of bounded variation in the Jordan sense on $I$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{n}[f](w ; x)-\frac{1}{2}(f(x+)+f(x-))\right| \leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V & \left(g_{x} ; x-\frac{1+x}{k}, x+\frac{1-x}{k}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}|f(x-)-f(x+)|\left|S_{n}\left[\psi_{x}\right](w ; x)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(x+), f(x-)$ denote the one-sided limits of $f$ at the point $x$, the function $g_{x}$ is given by

$$
g_{x}(t):= \begin{cases}f(t)-f(x-) & \text { if }-1 \leq t<x  \tag{1.5}\\ 0 & \text { if } t=x \\ f(t)-f(x+) & \text { if } x<t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{x}(t):=\operatorname{sgn}_{x}(t)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } t>x  \tag{1.6}\\ 0 & \text { if } t=x \\ -1 & \text { if } t<x\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, $\varphi(x)>0$ for $x \in(-1,1)$ and $V\left(g_{x} ; a, b\right)$ is the total variation of $g_{x}$ on $[a, b]$.
In this paper, we extend this Bojanic result to the case of measurable and bounded functions $f$ on $I$ (in symbols $f \in M(I)$ ). We will estimate the rate of convergence of $S_{n}[f](w ; x)$ at those points $x \in I$ at which $f$ possesses finite one-sided limits $f(x+), f(x-)$. In our main estimate we use the modulus of variation $v_{n}\left(g_{x} ; a, b\right)$ of the function $g_{x}$ on some intervals $[a, b] \subset I$. For positive integers $n$, the modulus of variation of a function $g$ on $[a, b]$ is defined by

$$
\nu_{n}(g ; a, b):=\sup _{\pi_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left|g\left(x_{2 k+1}\right)-g\left(x_{2 k}\right)\right|,
$$

where the supremum is taken over all systems $\pi_{n}$ of $n$ non-overlapping open intervals $\left(x_{2 k}, x_{2 k+1}\right) \subset$ $(a, b), k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ (see [2]). In particular, we obtain estimates for the deviation $\left|S_{n}[f](w ; x)-\frac{1}{2}(f(x+)+f(x-))\right|$ for functions $f \in B V_{\Phi}(I)$. We will say that a function $f$, defined on the interval $I$ belongs to the class $B V_{\Phi}(I)$, if

$$
V_{\Phi}(f ; I):=\sup _{\pi} \sum_{k} \Phi\left(\left|f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(t_{k}\right)\right|\right)<\infty
$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite systems $\pi$ of non-overlapping intervals $\left(x_{k}, t_{k}\right) \subset I$. It will be assumed that $\Phi$ is a continuous, convex and strictly increasing function on the interval $[0, \infty)$, such that $\Phi(0)=0$. The symbol $V_{\Phi}(f ; a, b)$ will denote the total $\Phi$-variation of $f$ on the interval $[a, b] \subset I$. In the special case, if $\Phi(u)=u^{p}$ for $u \geq 0(p \geq 1)$, we will write $B V_{p}(I)$ instead of $B V_{\Phi}(I)$, and $V_{p}(f ; a, b)$ instead of $V_{\Phi}(f ; a, b)$.

## 2. LEMMAS

In this section we first mention some results which are necessary for proving the main theorem.

Lemma 2.1. Under the assumptions (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), we have for $n \geq 2$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\int_{-1}^{s} K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t\right| \leq \frac{4 C K}{n-1} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-B}}{x-s} & (-1 \leq s<x<1), \\
\left|\int_{s}^{1} K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t\right| \leq \frac{4 C K}{n-1} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-B}}{s-x} & (-1<x<s \leq 1), \\
\int_{x-\frac{1+x}{n}}^{x}\left|K_{n}(x, t) w(t)\right| d t \leq 2^{A+B} K^{3} \frac{1+x}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B}} & (-1<x<1), \\
\int_{x}^{x+\frac{1-x}{n}}\left|K_{n}(x, t) w(t)\right| d t \leq 2^{A+B} K^{3} \frac{1-x}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B}} & (-1<x<1), \\
\left|K_{n}(x, t) w(t)\right| \leq \frac{2 K^{3}}{|x-t|} \frac{1}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{B}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{B+A}}  \tag{2.5}\\
\text { if } \quad x \neq t,-1<x<1,-1<t<1 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. In order to prove (2.1), let us observe that by the Christoffel-Darboux formula ([3, p. 26] or [9, p. 42]) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}(x, t)=\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \frac{p_{n-1}(t) p_{n}(x)-p_{n-1}(x) p_{n}(t)}{x-t} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the mean-value theorem and (1.3), we get for $-1 \leq s<x<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{-1}^{s} K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \cdot \frac{K\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-B}}{x-s}\left\{\left|\int_{\varepsilon}^{s} p_{n-1}(t) w(t) d t\right|+\left|\int_{\eta}^{s} p_{n}(t) w(t) d r\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon, \eta \in[-1, s]$. From the inequality $\frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}} \leq 1$ (see [6, p. 488]) and from the assumption (1.4) our estimate (2.1) follows immediately.

The proof of 2.2 ) is similar.
In view of (1.1) and the assumptions (1.2), (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{x-\frac{1+x}{n}}^{x}\left|K_{n}(x, t) w(t)\right| d t & \leq \frac{n K^{3}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{B}} \int_{x-\frac{1+x}{n}}^{x} \frac{d t}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{A+B}} \\
& \leq 2^{A+B} K^{3} \frac{1+x}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we get (2.4).
Applying identity (2.6), assumptions (1.2) and (1.3), we can easily prove (2.5).

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $g \in M(I)$ is equal to zero at a fixed point $x \in(-1,1)$ and that assumptions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) are satisfied with $A, B$ such that $A+B<1$. Then for $n \geq 3$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{x}^{1} g(t) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t\right| \leq \frac{c_{1}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B} n^{1-(A+B)}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g ; t_{n-j}, 1\right)}{j^{1+A+B}}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad+\frac{c_{2}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1+B}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g ; x, t_{j}\right)}{j^{2}}+\frac{\nu_{n-1}(g ; x, 1)}{n-1}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{j}=x+j(1-x) / n(j=1,2, \ldots, n), c_{1}=8 K^{3} /(1-A-B), c_{2}=8 K\left(3 K^{2}+2 C\right)$.
Proof. Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{x}^{1} g(t) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\int_{x}^{t_{1}} g(t) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g\left(t_{j}\right) \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t \\
& +\int_{t_{n-1}}^{1}\left(g(t)-g\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}}\left(g(t)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4}, \quad \text { say } .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leq \int_{x}^{t_{1}}|g(t)-g(x)|\left|K_{n}(x, t) w(t)\right| d t \leq \frac{2 K^{3}(1-x)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B}} \nu_{1}\left(g ; x, t_{1}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Abel transformation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =g\left(t_{1}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left(g\left(t_{j+1}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right) \sum_{k=j+1}^{n-1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t \\
& =\left(g\left(t_{1}\right)-g(x)\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{1} K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left(g\left(t_{j+1}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right) \int_{t_{j+1}}^{1} K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, using the inequality (2.2) and once more the Abel transformation we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|I_{2}\right| \leq \frac{4 C K}{(n-1)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{B}}\left(\frac{\left|g\left(t_{1}\right)-g(x)\right|}{t_{1}-x}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left|g\left(t_{j+1}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\left(t_{j+1}-x\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{4 C K n}{(n-1)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{B}(1-x)}\left\{\left.\left|g\left(t_{1}\right)-g(x)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{(j+1)(j+2)} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \right\rvert\, g\left(t_{k+1}-g\left(t_{k}\right) \mid\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-3}\left|g\left(t_{k+1}\right)-g\left(t_{k}\right)\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, in view of the definition of the modulus of variation and its elementary properties,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{2}\right| \leq \frac{8 C K}{(1-x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{B}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{k}\left(g ; x, t_{k}\right)}{k^{2}}+\frac{\nu_{n-1}(g ; x, 1)}{n-1}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see the proof of Lemma 1 in [8]).
Next, by inequality (2.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{3}\right| & \leq \frac{2 K^{3}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{B}} \nu_{1}\left(g ; t_{n-1}, 1\right) \int_{t_{n-1}}^{1} \frac{d t}{(t-x)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{A+B}}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \leq \frac{4 K^{3} \nu_{1}\left(g ; t_{n-1}, 1\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{B}(1-x)(1+x)^{A+B}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{1} \frac{d t}{(1-t)^{A+B}} \\
& =\frac{4 K^{3} \nu_{1}\left(g ; t_{n-1}, 1\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B} n^{1-(A+B)}(1-(A+B))}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{4}\right| & \leq \frac{2 K^{3}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{B}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{\left|g(t)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right|}{\left(t_{j}-x\right)\left(1-t_{j+1}\right)^{A+B}\left(1+t_{j}\right)^{A+B}} d t \\
& \leq \frac{2 K^{3} n^{1+A+B}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B}(1-x)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{\left|g(t)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right|}{j(n-j-1)^{A+B}} d t \\
& =\frac{2 K^{3} n^{1+A+B}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B}(1-x)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{0}^{h} \frac{\left|g\left(s+t_{j}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right|}{j(n-j-1)^{A+B}} d t \\
& =\frac{2 K^{3} n^{1+A+B}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B}(1-x)} \int_{0}^{h}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\left|g\left(s+t_{j}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right|}{j(n-j-1)^{A+B}}+\sum_{j=m+1}^{n-2} \frac{\left|g\left(s+t_{j}\right)-g\left(t_{j}\right)\right|}{j(n-j-1)^{A+B}}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h=(1-x) / n$ and $m=[n / 2]$. Next, arguing similarly to the proof of the lemma in [7] (the estimate of $I_{4}$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{4}\right| \leq \frac{2 K^{3}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B}}\{2 \cdot & 6^{A+B} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g ; x, t_{j}\right)}{j^{2}}+\frac{6^{A+B} \nu_{n-1}(g ; x, 1)}{n-1}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \left.\quad+\frac{4}{n^{1-(A+B)}} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g ; t_{n-j}, 1\right)}{j^{1+A+B}}+2 \frac{\nu_{n-1}(g ; x, 1)}{n^{1-(A+B)}(n-1)^{A+B}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of $(2.8),(2.9),(2.10),(2.11)$ and $(2.12)$ we get the desired estimation.

By symmetry, the analogous estimate for the integral $\int_{-1}^{x} g(t) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t$ can be deduced as well. Namely, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{-1}^{x} g(t) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t\right| \leq & \frac{c_{1}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B} n^{1-(A+B)}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g ;-1, s_{n-j}\right)}{j^{1+A+B}}  \tag{2.13}\\
& +\frac{c_{2}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1+B}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g ; s_{j}, x\right)}{j^{2}}+\frac{\nu_{n-1}(g ;-1, x)}{n-1}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{j}=x-j(1+x) / n(j=1,2, \ldots, n), c_{1}, c_{2}$ are the same as in Lemma 2.2.

## 3. Results

Suppose that $f \in M(I)$ and that at a fixed point $x \in(-1,1)$ the one-sided limits $f(x+), f(x-)$ exist. As is easily seen

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{n}[f](w ; x)-\frac{1}{2}(f(x+)+f(x-))=\int_{-1}^{1} g_{x}(t) K_{n}(x, t) w(t) d t  \tag{3.1}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(f(x+)-f(x-)) S_{n}\left[\psi_{x}\right](w ; x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{x}$ and $\psi_{x}$ are defined by (1.5) and (1.6), respectively.
The first term on the right-hand side of identity (3.1) can be estimated via (2.7) and (2.13). Consequently, we get:
Theorem 3.1. Let w be a weight function and let assumptions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) be satisfied with $A+B<1$. If $f \in M(I)$ and if the limits $f(x+), f(x-)$ at a fixed $x \in(-1,1)$ exist, then for $n \geq 3$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|S_{n}[f](w ; x)-\frac{1}{2}(f(x+)+f(x-))\right|  \tag{3.2}\\
& \leq \frac{c_{1}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B} n^{1-(A+B)}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g_{x} ; t_{n-j}, 1\right)+\nu_{j}\left(g_{x} ;-1, s_{n-j}\right)}{j^{1+A+B}} \\
& \quad+\frac{c_{2}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1+B}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g ; x, t_{j}\right)+\nu_{j}\left(g_{x} ; s_{j}, x\right)}{j^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\nu_{n-1}\left(g_{x} ;-1, x\right)+\nu_{n-1}\left(g_{x} ; x, 1\right)}{n-1}\right\}+\frac{1}{2}(f(x+)-f(x-)) S_{n}\left[\psi_{x}\right](w ; x),
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{j}, s_{j}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ are defined above (in Section 2).
Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in B V_{\Phi}(I)$ and let assumptions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) be satisfied with $A+B<$ 1. Then for every $x \in(-1,1)$, and all $n \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\left.\mid S_{n}[f]\right) w ; x\right) \left.-\frac{1}{2}(f(x+)+f(x-)) \right\rvert\,  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq \frac{c_{3}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1+B}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n} V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; x, x+\frac{1-x}{k}\right)+\frac{k}{n} V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; x-\frac{1+x}{k}, x\right)\right) \\
&+\frac{c_{4}(x)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{A+2 B} n^{1-(A+B)}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^{A+B}} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)+\frac{1}{2}|f(x+)-f(x-)|\left|S_{n}\left[\psi_{x}\right](w ; x)\right|,
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{3}=10 c_{2}, c_{4}(x)=c_{1}\left(\max \left\{1, V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; x, 1\right)\right\}+\max \left\{1, V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ;-1, x\right)\right\}\right)$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ denotes the inverse function of $\Phi$.

Proof. It is known that, for every positive integer $j$ and for every subinterval $[a, b]$ of $[-1, x]$ (or $[x, 1]$ ),

$$
\nu_{j}\left(g_{x} ; a, b\right) \leq j \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{j} V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; a, b\right)\right)
$$

(see [2, p. 537]). Consequently,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{1-(A+B)}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g_{x}, t_{n-j}, 1\right)}{j^{1+A+B}} \leq \frac{\max \left\{V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; x, 1\right), 1\right\}}{n^{1-(A+B)}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j^{A+B}} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) .
$$

Moreover

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g_{x} ; x, t_{j}\right)}{j^{2}} \leq 8 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n} V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; x, x+\frac{1-x}{k}\right)\right)
$$

(see [7. Section 3]). Similarly,

$$
\frac{\nu_{n-1}\left(g_{x} ; x, 1\right)}{n-1} \leq 2 \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; x, 1\right)}{n}\right) \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n} V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; x, x+\frac{1-x}{k}\right)\right) .
$$

Analogous estimates for the other terms in the inequality (3.2), corresponding to the interval $[-1, x]$, can be obtained as well. Theorem 3.1 and the above estimates give the desired result.

Remark 3.3. Since the function $g_{x}$ is continuous at the point $x$, we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} V_{\Phi}\left(g_{x} ; x, x+t\right)=0$. Consequently, under the additional assumption,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)<\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}\left[\psi_{x}\right](w ; x)=0, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the right-hand side of inequality (3.3) converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
In particular, if $f \in B V_{p}(I)$ with $p \geq 1$, i.e. if $\Phi(u)=u^{p}$ for $u \geq 0$, then (3.4) holds true. Moreover, the function $\lambda$ defined as $\lambda(t)=f(\cos t)$ is $2 \pi$-periodic and of bounded $p$-th power variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Hence, in view of the theorem of Marcinkiewicz ([5], p. 38]), its $L^{p}$-integral modulus of continuity

$$
\omega(\lambda ; \delta)_{p}:=\sup _{|h| \leq \delta}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|\lambda(x+h)-\lambda(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

satisfies the inequality

$$
\omega(\lambda ; \delta)_{p} \leq \delta^{1 / p} V_{p}(\lambda ; 0,3 \pi) \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq \pi .
$$

Consequently, if $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$
\omega(\lambda ; \delta)_{2} \leq \delta^{1 / 2} V_{2}(\lambda ; 0,3 \pi) \leq \delta^{1 / 2}\left(V_{p}(\lambda ; 0,3 \pi)\right)^{2 / p}
$$

which means that $\lambda \in \operatorname{Lip}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2\right)$. Applying now the Freud theorem ([3, V. Theorem 7.5]) we can easily state that in the case of $f \in B V_{p}(I)$ with $1 \leq p \leq 2$, condition (3.5) holds. So, from Theorem 3.2 we get:
Corollary 3.4. Let $w$ be a weight function satisfying $0<w(x) \leq M\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ for $x \in$ $(-1,1)(M=$ const. $)$ and let (1.3), (1.4) be satisfied with $0<B<1 / 2$. If $f \in B V_{p}(I)$, where $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then $S_{n}[f](w ; x)$ converges to $\frac{1}{2}(f(x+)+f(x-))$ at every $x \in(-1,1)$, where $w$ is continuous.

From our theorems we can also obtain some results concerning the rate of uniform convergence of $S_{n}[f](w ; x)$. Namely, we have:
Corollary 3.5. Let conditions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) be satisfied with $A+B<1$. If $f$ is continuous on the interval I and if $-1<a<b<1$, then for all $x \in[a, b]$ and all integers $n \geq 3$

$$
\left|S_{n}[f](w ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq c(a, b, A, B)\left\{\omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \frac{\nu_{k}(f ;-1,1)}{k^{2}}\right\}
$$

where $\omega(f ; \delta)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of $f$ on $I, c(a, b, A, B)$ is a positive constant depending only on $a, b, A, B$ and $m$ is an arbitrary integer, such that $m<n$.
Proof. It is known ([2, 8]) that, for every interval $[a, b] \subset[-1,1]$ and for every positive integer $j$,

$$
\nu_{j}(f ; a, b) \leq 2 j \omega\left(f ; \frac{b-a}{j}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\nu_{j}\left(g_{x} ; s_{j}, x\right) \leq 4 j \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \nu_{j}\left(g_{x} ; x, t_{j}\right) \leq 4 j \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{n^{1-(A+B)}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\nu_{j}\left(g_{x}, t_{n-j}, 1\right)+\nu_{j}\left(g_{x} ;-1, s_{n-j}\right)}{j^{1+A+B}} \leq \frac{8}{1-(A+B)} \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{n}\right) .
$$

Using the above estimation and inequality (3.2) we get the desired result.
Clearly, Corollary 3.5 yields some criterions for the uniform convergence of orthogonal polynomial expansions on each compact interval contained in $(-1,1)$ (cf. [2, 7]).

Finally, let us note that our results can be applied to the Jacobi orthonormal polynomials $\left\{p_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\right\}$ determined via the Jacobi weight $w(x):=w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}$, where $\alpha>-1, \beta>-1$. In this case, the fulfillment of (1.2) and (1.3) with some $A, B$ follows from the definition of the weight $w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ and from Theorem 8.1 in [3] (Chap. I). Estimate 1.4] can be verified via the known formula

$$
\int_{x}^{1} p_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) d t=\left(\frac{n}{n+\alpha+\beta+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(1-x)^{(\alpha+1)}(1+x)^{(\beta+1)}}{n} p_{n}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)
$$

(cf. [6, identity (51)]) and the inequality

$$
\left|p_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right| \leq c(\alpha, \beta)\left((1-x)^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\alpha-1 / 2}\left((1+x)^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\beta-1 / 2}
$$

(see e.g. [4, inequality (12)]). Moreover, it was stated by R. Bojanic that in the case of the Jacobi polynomials condition (3.5) is satisfied (see [6, estimate (12)]).

In particular, our general estimations given in Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and in Corollary 3.4remain valid for the Legendre polynomials (see [7]). The rate of pointwise convergence of the Legendre polynomial expansions for functions $f$ of bounded variation in the Jordan sense on $I$ (i.e. for $f \in B V_{1}(I)$ was first obtained in [1].
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