

# Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

http://jipam.vu.edu.au/

Volume 6, Issue 2, Article 38, 2005

## A REFINEMENT OF JENSEN'S INEQUALITY

J. ROOIN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES IN BASIC SCIENCES
ZANJAN, IRAN

rooin@iasbs.ac.ir

Received 27 August, 2004; accepted 16 March, 2005 Communicated by C.E.M. Pearce

ABSTRACT. We refine Jensen's inequality as

$$\varphi\left(\int_X f d\mu\right) \leq \int_Y \varphi\left(\int_X f(x)\omega(x,y)d\mu(x)\right) d\lambda(y) \leq \int_X (\varphi\circ f)d\mu,$$

where  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$  are two probability measure spaces,  $\omega : X \times Y \to [0, \infty)$  is a weight function on  $X \times Y$ , I is an interval of the real line,  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $f(x) \in I$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi$  is a real-valued convex function on I.

Key words and phrases: Product measure, Fubini's Theorem, Jensen's inequality.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 26D15, 28A35.

## 1. Introduction

The classical integral form of Jensen's inequality states that

(1.1) 
$$\varphi\left(\int_X f d\mu\right) \le \int_X (\varphi \circ f) d\mu,$$

where  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a probability measure space, I is an interval of the real line,  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $f(x) \in I$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi$  is a real-valued convex function on I; see e.g. [2, p. 202] or [4, p. 62]. Now suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$  are two probability measure spaces. By a (separately) weight function on  $X \times Y$  we mean a product- measurable mapping  $\omega : X \times Y \to [0, \infty)$ , see e.g. [4, p. 160], such that

(1.2) 
$$\int_X \omega(x,y) d\mu(x) = 1 \text{ (for each } y \text{ in } Y),$$

and

(1.3) 
$$\int_{Y} \omega(x,y) d\lambda(y) = 1 \text{ (for each } x \text{ in } X).$$

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756

© 2005 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

2 J. ROOIN

For example, if we take X and Y as the unit interval [0,1] with Lebesgue measure, then  $\omega(x,y)=1+(\sin 2\pi x)(\sin 2\pi y)$  is a weight function on  $[0,1]\times[0,1]$ .

In this paper, using a weight function  $\omega$ , we refine Jensen's inequality (1.1) as in the following section. For some applications in the discrete case, see e.g. [3].

### 2. REFINEMENT

In this section, using the terminologies of the introduction, we refine the integral form of Jensen's inequality (1.1) via a weight function  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$  be two probability measure spaces and  $\omega : X \times Y \to [0, \infty)$  be a weight function on  $X \times Y$ . If I is an interval of the real line,  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $f(x) \in I$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $\varphi$  is a real convex function on I, then

$$\int_{Y} \varphi \left( \int_{X} f(x) \omega(x, y) d\mu(x) \right) d\lambda(y)$$

has meaning and we have

$$(2.1) \qquad \varphi\left(\int_X f d\mu\right) \leq \int_Y \varphi\left(\int_X f(x)\omega(x,y)d\mu(x)\right) d\lambda(y) \leq \int_X (\varphi\circ f)d\mu.$$

*Proof.* The functions  $\omega$  and  $(x,y) \to f(x)$ , and so

$$(x,y) \to f(x)\omega(x,y)$$

is product-measurable on  $X \times Y$ . Now since

(2.2) 
$$\int_{X} \int_{Y} |f(x)| \omega(x, y) d\lambda(y) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_{X} |f(x)| \left( \int_{Y} \omega(x, y) d\lambda(y) \right) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_{Y} |f(x)| d\mu(x) = ||f||_{L^{1}(\mu)} < \infty,$$

by Fubini's theorem, the real-valued function  $(x,y) \to f(x)\omega(x,y)$  on  $X \times Y$  belongs to  $L^1(\mu \times \lambda)$ . Therefore for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $y \in Y$ , the function  $x \to f(x)\omega(x,y)$  belongs to  $L^1(\mu)$ . Fix an arbitrary  $\alpha \in I$ . Define  $F: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$F(y) = \int_X f(x)\omega(x, y)d\mu(x)$$

if the integral exists, and  $F(y) = \alpha$  otherwise. By Fubini's theorem, we have  $F \in L^1(\lambda)$ . It is easy to show that  $F(y) \in I$   $(y \in Y)$ . So,

$$\int_{Y} \varphi \left( \int_{X} f(x) \omega(x, y) d\mu(x) \right) d\lambda(y) := \int_{Y} (\varphi \circ F)(y) d\lambda(y)$$

has meaning and is an extended real number belonging to  $(-\infty, +\infty]$ ; see e.g. [4, p. 62]. Now, since  $(x, y) \to f(x)\omega(x, y)$  belongs to  $L^1(\mu \times \lambda)$ , by (1.1) and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{Y} \varphi \left( \int_{X} f(x) \omega(x, y) d\mu(x) \right) d\lambda(y) &= \int_{Y} (\varphi \circ F)(y) d\lambda(y) \\ &\geq \varphi \left( \int_{Y} F(y) d\lambda(y) \right) \\ &= \varphi \left( \int_{Y} \int_{X} f(x) \omega(x, y) d\mu(x) d\lambda(y) \right) \\ &= \varphi \left( \int_{X} f(x) \left( \int_{Y} \omega(x, y) d\lambda(y) \right) d\mu(x) \right) \\ &= \varphi \left( \int_{X} f d\mu \right), \end{split}$$

and the left-hand side inequality (2.1) is obtained.

For the right-hand side inequality in (2.1), we consider two cases: If  $\int_X (\varphi \circ f) d\mu = +\infty$ , the assertion is trivial. Suppose then,  $\varphi \circ f \in L^1(\mu)$ . Take an arbitrary  $y \in Y$  such that  $x \to f(x)\omega(x,y)$  belongs to  $L^1(\mu)$ , and put

$$d\nu^y = \omega^y d\mu.$$

where

$$\omega^y(x) = \omega(x, y) \qquad (x \in X).$$

Trivially,  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \nu^y)$  is a probability measure space,  $f \in L^1(\nu^y)$  and

$$F(y) = \int_X f(x)\omega(x,y)d\mu(x) = \int_X f(x)d\nu^y(x).$$

Thus, by Jensen's inequality (1.1), we have

(2.3) 
$$(\varphi \circ F)(y) = \varphi \left( \int_X f(x) d\nu^y(x) \right) \le \int_X (\varphi \circ f) d\nu^y.$$

Since  $\varphi \circ f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,

(2.4) 
$$\int_{X} \int_{Y} |(\varphi \circ f)(x)| \omega(x, y) d\lambda(y) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_{X} |(\varphi \circ f)(x)| d\mu(x) \int_{Y} \omega(x, y) d\lambda(y)$$

$$= \int_{X} |(\varphi \circ f)(x)| d\mu(x) < \infty,$$

and so for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $y \in Y$ , the function  $x \to (\varphi \circ f)(x)\omega(x,y)$  belongs to  $L^1(\mu)$  and for these y's, we have

(2.5) 
$$\int_{Y} (\varphi \circ f)(x)\omega(x,y)d\mu(x) = \int_{Y} (\varphi \circ f)(x)d\nu^{y}(x).$$

Thus, by (2.3) and (2.5), for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $y \in Y$ 

(2.6) 
$$(\varphi \circ F)(y) \le \int_X (\varphi \circ f)(x)\omega(x,y)d\mu(x).$$

Denote temporarily the right-hand side of (2.6) by  $\psi(y)$  (put  $\psi(y)=0$ , if the integral does not exist). Since by (2.4),  $\psi\in L^1(\lambda)$ , from  $(\varphi\circ F)^+\leq \psi^+$  ( $\lambda$ -a.e.), we conclude that  $\int_Y (\varphi\circ F)^+d\lambda\leq \int_Y \psi^+d\lambda<\infty$ .

4 J. Rooin

On the other hand, we know that  $\int_Y (\varphi \circ F)^- d\lambda < \infty$ . Thus  $\varphi \circ F \in L^1(\lambda)$ , and so by (2.6), (2.4) and Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{split} \int_Y \varphi \left( \int_X f(x) \omega(x,y) d\mu(x) \right) d\lambda(y) &= \int_Y (\varphi \circ F)(y) d\lambda(y) \\ &\leq \int_Y \psi(y) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int_Y \int_X (\varphi \circ f)(x) \omega(x,y) d\mu(x) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int_X (\varphi \circ f)(x) d\mu(x) \int_Y \omega(x,y) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int_Y (\varphi \circ f) d\mu. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.2.** If  $\varphi$  is a real convex function on a closed interval [a, b], then we have Hermite-Hadamard inequalities [1]:

(2.7) 
$$\varphi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t)dt \le \frac{\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Put  $X=\{0,1\}$  with  $\mathcal{A}=2^X$  and  $\mu\{0\}=\mu\{1\}=\frac{1}{2}$ , and Y=[0,1] with Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ . Now, (2.7) follows from (2.1) by taking  $\omega(0,y)=2(1-y),\ \omega(1,y)=2y\ (0\leq y\leq 1),\ I=[a,b],\ f(0)=a,\ f(1)=b,$  and considering the change of variables t=(1-y)a+yb.

We conclude this paper by the following open problem:

**Open problem.** Characterize all weight functions. Actually, if  $\omega(x,y)$  is a weight function, then  $\theta(x,y) = \omega(x,y) - 1$  satisfies the following relations:

(2.8) 
$$\int_{Y} \theta(x, y) d\mu(x) = 0 \text{ (for each } y \text{ in } Y),$$

(2.9) 
$$\int_{Y} \theta(x, y) d\lambda(y) = 0 \text{ (for each } x \text{ in } X).$$

So precisely, the weight functions are of the form  $1 + \theta(x, y)$  with nonnegative values such that  $\theta(x, y)$  is product-measurable and satisfies (2.8) and (2.9). Therefore, it is sufficient only to characterize these  $\theta$ 's.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S.S. DRAGOMIR AND C.E.M. PEARCE, Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2000. [ONLINE: http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/]
- [2] E. HEWITT AND K. STROMBERG, Real and Abstract Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.
- [3] J. ROOIN, Some aspects of convex functions and their applications, *J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **2**(1) (2001), Art. 4. [ONLINE http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=120]
- [4] W. RUDIN, Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.