ON THE BEHAVIOR OF r-DERIVATIVE NEAR THE ORIGIN OF SINE SERIES WITH CONVEX COEFFICIENTS

Xh. Z. KRASNIQI AND N. L. BRAHA

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Avenue "Mother Theresa " 5, Prishtinë, 10000, Kosova-UNMIK EMail: xheki00@hotmail.com and nbraha@yahoo.co

Received:	04 August, 2006	
Accepted:	21 December, 2006	
Communicated by:	H. Bor	
2000 AMS Sub. Class.:	42A15, 42A32.	
Key words:	Sine series, Convex coefficients.	
Abstract:	In this paper we will give the behavior of the r -derivative near origin of sine series with convex coefficients.	



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Contents

1	Introduction	and	Prelimina	aries

2 Results



3

5

Page 2 of 11 Go Back Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let us denote by

(1.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx,$$

the sine series of the function f(x) with coefficients a_n such that $a_n \downarrow 0$ or $a_n \to 0$ and $\Delta^2 a_n = \Delta a_n - \Delta a_{n+1} \ge 0$, $\Delta a_n = a_n - a_{n+1}$. It is a known fact that under these conditions, series (1.1) converges uniformly in the interval $\delta \le x \le 2\pi - \delta$, $\forall \delta > 0$ (see [2, p. 95]). In the following we will denote by g(x) the sum of the series (1.1), i.e

(1.2)
$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx.$$

Many authors have investigated the behaviors of the series (1.1), near the origin with convex coefficients. Young in [9] gave the estimation for |g(x)| near the origin from the upper side. Later Salem (see [4], [5]) proved the following estimation for the behavior of the function g(x) near the origin

$$g(x) \sim ma_m,$$

for

$$\frac{\pi}{m+1} < x \le \frac{\pi}{m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hartman and Winter (see [3]), proved that

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{g(x)}{x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n,$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

holds for $a_n \downarrow 0$. In this context Telyakovskii (see [7]) has proved the behavior near the origin of the sine series with convex coefficients. He has compared his own results with those of Shogunbenkov (see [6]) and Aljancic et al. (see [1]).

In the sequel we will mention some results which are useful for further work. Dirichlet's kernels are denoted by

$$D_n(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos kt = \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{2\sin\frac{t}{2}},$$
$$\widetilde{D}_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sin kt = \frac{\cos\frac{t}{2} - \cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{2\sin\frac{t}{2}},$$

and

$$\overline{D}_n(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\cot\frac{t}{2} + \widetilde{D}_n(t) = -\frac{\cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{2\sin\frac{t}{2}}.$$

Let $E_n(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n e^{ikt}$ and $E_{-n}(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-ikt}$, then the following holds:

Lemma 1.1 ([8]). Let r be a non-negative integer. Then for all $0 < x \le \pi$ and all $n \ge 1$ the following estimates hold

$$I. \left| E_{-n}^{(r)}(x) \right| \leq \frac{4\pi n^r}{|x|};$$

$$2. \left| \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x) \right| \leq \frac{4\pi n^r}{|x|};$$

$$3. \left| \overline{D}_n^{(r)}(x) \right| \leq \frac{4\pi n^r}{|x|} + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{r+1}}\right)$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

2. Results

 $1 a \perp 0$

Theorem 2.1. Let a_n be a sequence of scalars such that:

2.
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^r \Delta a_n < \infty$$
, for $r = 0, 1, 2, ...,$

then for $\frac{\pi}{m+1} < x \leq \frac{\pi}{m}$, m = 1, 2, ... the following estimate is valid

$$g^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{r} a_{n} \left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2} \right) + O\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{m} a_{n} \left[n^{r} \left(\frac{n}{m} + \frac{r}{2} \right)^{3} + n^{3} m^{r-3} \right] \right\} + o(m).$$

Proof. Applying Abel's transform we obtain

(2.1)
$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n(x),$$

where $\widetilde{D}_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sin kx$ is Dirichlet's conjugate kernel. Let us denote by $g^{(r)}(x)$ the *r*-th derivatives for the function *g*. Let

(2.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x),$$

be the r-th derivatives of the series in the relation (2.1).

From the given conditions in the theorem and Lemma 1.1(2), series (2.2) converges uniformly in $(0, \pi]$, so the following relation holds

(2.3)
$$g^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x)$$





in pure and applied mathematics

From the last relation we have

(2.4)
$$g^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x) + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x) = I_1(x) + I_2(x).$$

In the following we will estimate sums $I_1(x)$ and $I_2(x)$. Let us start with estimation of the second sum. From the second condition in Lemma 1.1, the second condition of the theorem and fact that $\frac{\pi}{m+1} < x \leq \frac{\pi}{m}$, we have

(2.5)
$$I_2(x) \le 4\pi \cdot \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \Delta a_n \frac{n^r}{x} \le 8m \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} n^r \Delta a_n = o(m).$$

For the first sum we have the following estimation

$$I_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m a_n \left[\widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x) - \widetilde{D}_{n-1}^{(r)}(x) \right] - a_{m+1} \widetilde{D}_m^{(r)}(x),$$

where $\widetilde{D}_0^{(r)}(x) = 0$. Knowing that

$$\widetilde{D}_{n}^{(r)}(x) - \widetilde{D}_{n-1}^{(r)}(x) = n^{r} \sin\left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2}\right),$$

taking into consideration Lemma 1.1 and the conditions in Theorem 2.1, we have

$$I_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m n^r \sin\left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2}\right) + O(m^{r+1}a_m).$$

In the last relation we can use the known fact that $\sin x = x + O(x^3)$ for $x \to 0$. The following relation then holds

$$I_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m n^r a_n \left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2} \right) + O\left[\sum_{n=1}^m n^r a_n \left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2} \right)^3 \right] + 8m^{r+1} a_m.$$



in pure and applied mathematics

Taking into consideration the fact that a_n is a monotone sequence we obtain

$$ma_m \le \frac{4}{m^3} \sum_{n=1}^m n^3 a_n,$$

from which it follows that

$$m^{r+1}a_m \le 4m^{r-3}\sum_{n=1}^m n^3 a_n.$$

From the above relations we have the following estimation for $I_1(x)$,

(2.6)
$$I_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^m n^r a_n \left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2} \right) + O\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^m a_n \left[n^r \left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2} \right)^3 + n^3 m^{r-3} \right] \right\}.$$

Now proof of Theorem 2.1 follows from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

Remark 1. The above result is a generalization of that given in [7].

Corollary 2.2. Let a_n be sequence of scalars such that $a_n \downarrow 0$. Then for $\frac{\pi}{m+1} < x \le \frac{\pi}{m}$, m = 1, 2, ..., the following relation holds

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} n a_n x + O\left(\frac{1}{m^3} \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^3 a_n\right)$$

Theorem 2.3. Let (a_n) be a sequence of scalars such that the following conditions hold:

1.
$$a_n \to 0$$
 and $\Delta a_n \ge 0$
2. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r+1} \Delta^2 a_n < \infty$, for $r = 0, 1, 2, ...$



in pure and applied mathematics

Then for $\frac{\pi}{m+1} < x \le \frac{\pi}{m}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ the following estimate is valid $g^{(r)}(x) \le M(r) \left\{ m^{r+2}[a_m + \Delta a_m] + \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{r+1} \left(\frac{n}{m} + \frac{r}{2} \right) \Delta a_n + o(m) \right\},$

where M(r) is a constant which depends only on r.

Proof. Applying Abel's transform we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^r \Delta a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta^2 a_n \sum_{i=1}^n i^r \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r+1} \Delta^2 a_n < \infty.$$

From the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^r \Delta a_n$ and Condition 2 in Lemma 1.1 we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x)$$

converges uniformly in $(0, \pi]$, so the following relation is valid

$$g^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x).$$

From the other side we have that

$$\widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\cot \frac{x}{2} \right)^{(r)} + \overline{D}_n^{(r)}(x),$$

respectively,

$$g^{(r)}(x) = \frac{a_m}{2} \left(\cot \frac{x}{2} \right)^{(r)} + \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta a_n \widetilde{D}_n^{(r)}(x) + \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \Delta a_n \overline{D}_n^{(r)}(x)$$

$$= \frac{a_m}{2} \left(\cot \frac{x}{2} \right)^{(r)} + J_1(x) + J_2(x).$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

For $\frac{\pi}{m+1} < x \leq \frac{\pi}{m},$ we will have the following estimation

(2.8)
$$\left(\cot\frac{x}{2}\right)^{(r)} \le \frac{M}{x^{r+1}} \le M(r)m^{r+2}.$$

On the other hand it is known that

$$\widetilde{D}_{n}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{r} \sin\left(ix + \frac{r\pi}{2}\right) \le n^{r+1} \left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2}\right) \le \pi n^{r+1} \left(\frac{n}{m} + \frac{r}{2}\right).$$

From last two relations we have the following estimation for $J_1(x)$,

(2.9)
$$J_1(x) \le \pi \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{r+1} \left(\frac{n}{m} + \frac{r}{2}\right) \Delta a_n.$$

In the following we will estimate the second sum $J_2(x)$. Applying the Abel transform we have

$$J_2(x) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \Delta^2 a_n \sum_{i=0}^n \overline{D}_i^{(r)}(x) - \Delta a_m \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \overline{D}_i^{(r)}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \Delta^2 a_n \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n \overline{D}_i^{(r)}(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \overline{D}_i^{(r)}(x) \right\},$$

because $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \Delta^2 a_n = \Delta a_m$. Taking into consideration Lemma 1.1, we have the following estimation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \left| \overline{D}_{i}^{(r)}(x) \right| \leq 4\pi \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{i^{r}}{x} + M \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{x^{r+1}} \leq M(r)mn^{r+1}.$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

In a similar way we can prove that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left| \overline{D}_i^{(r)}(x) \right| \le M(r) m^{r+2}.$$

Now the estimation of $J_2(x)$ can be expressed in the following way

(2.10)
$$|J_2(x)| \le M(r) \left\{ m \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} n^{r+1} \Delta^2 a_n + m^{r+2} \Delta a_m \right\} = M(r) \{ m^{r+2} \Delta a_m + o(m) \}.$$

The proof of the theorem follows from relations (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10).

Remark 2. The above theorem is a generalization of the result obtained in [7], from the upper side for the case $m \ge 11$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $a_n \rightarrow 0$ be a convex sequence of scalars. If

$$\frac{\pi}{m+1} < x \le \frac{\pi}{m}, m \ge 11$$

then the following estimation holds

$$\frac{a_m}{2}\cot\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}n^2\Delta a_n \le g(x) \le \frac{a_m}{2}\cot\frac{x}{2} + \frac{6}{m}\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}n^2\Delta a_n.$$

Remark 3. Telyakovskii compared his own results with those given by Hartman, Winter (see [3]), then with results given by Salem (see [4], [5]). Taking into consideration Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 for the case r = 0, we can compare our results with the results mentioned above.



Close journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

References

- [1] S. ALJANCIC, R. BOJANIC AND M. TOMIC, Sur le comportement asymtotique au voisinage de zero des series trigonometrique de sinus a coefficients monotones, *Publ. Inst. Math. Acad. Serie Sci.*, **10** (1956), 101–120.
- [2] N.K. BARY, Trigonometric Series, Moscow, 1961 (in Russian).
- [3] Ph. HARTMAN AND A. WINTER, On sine series with monotone coefficients, J. London Math. Soc., 28 (1953), 102–104.
- [4] R. SALEM, Determination de l'order de grandeur a l'origine de certaines series trigonometrique, *C.R. Acad. Paris*, **186** (1928), 1804–1806.
- [5] R. SALEM, Essais sur les series Trigonometriques, Paris, 1940.
- [6] Sh.Sh. SHOGUNBENKOV, Certain estimates for sine series with convex coefficients (in Russian), *Primenenie Funktzional'nogo analiza v teorii priblizhenii*, Tver' 1993, 67–72.
- [7] S.A. TELYAKOVSKI, On the behaivor near the origin of sine series with convex coefficients, *Pub. De L'inst. Math. Nouvelle serie*, **58**(72) (1995), 43–50.
- [8] Z. TOMOVSKI, Some results on L¹-approximation of the r-th derivative of Fourier series, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., 3(1) (2002), Art. 10. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=162].
- [9] W.H. YOUNG, On the mode of oscillation of Fourier series and of its allied series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **12** (1913), 433–452.



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics