



STARLIKENESS CONDITIONS FOR AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

PRAVATI SAHOO AND SAUMYA SINGH

Department of Mathematics

Banaras Hindu University

Banaras 221 005, India

Email: pravatis@yahoo.co.in bhu.saumya@gmail.com

Received: 30 June, 2009

Accepted: 26 August, 2009

Communicated by: R.N. Mohapatra

2000 AMS Sub. Class.: 30C45, 30D30.

Key words: Meromorphic functions; Differential subordination; Starlike functions, Convex functions

Abstract: Let for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Σ_n denotes the class of function of the following form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk $\Delta^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. In the present paper we defined and studied an operator in

$$F(z) = \left[\frac{c+1-\mu}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z \left(\frac{f(t)}{t} \right)^\mu t^{c+\mu} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{\mu}}, \quad \text{for } f \in \Sigma_n \text{ and } c+1-\mu > 0.$$

Integral Operator

Pravati Sahoo and Saumya Singh

vol. 10, iss. 3, art. 77, 2009

Title Page

Contents



Page 1 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

Contents

1 Introduction	3
2 Main Results	5



Integral Operator

Pravati Sahoo and Saumya Singh

vol. 10, iss. 3, art. 77, 2009

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 2 of 14

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756



1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(\Delta) = \mathcal{H}$ denote the class of analytic functions in Δ , where $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For a fixed positive integer n and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f(z) \in \mathcal{H} : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\},$$

with $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}[0, 1]$. Let \mathcal{A}_n be the class of analytic functions defined on the unit disc with the normalized conditions $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$, that is $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ has the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (z \in \Delta \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ and let \mathcal{S} be the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in Δ .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in \mathcal{S}^* iff $f(\Delta)$ is a starlike domain with respect to the origin. Let for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha, z \in \Delta \right\}$$

be the class of all starlike functions of order α . So $\mathcal{S}^*(0) \equiv \mathcal{S}^*$. We denote $\mathcal{S}_n^*(\alpha) \equiv \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{A}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in \mathcal{C} iff $f(\Delta)$ is a convex domain. Let for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$,

$$\mathcal{C}(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \Delta \right\}$$

be the class of convex functions of order α . So $\mathcal{C}(0) \equiv \mathcal{C}$.



Title Page

Contents



Page 4 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Let for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Σ_n denote the class of meromorphic functions of the following form

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk $\Delta^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = \Delta - \{0\}$. Let $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$.

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be meromorphically starlike of order α in Δ^* if it satisfies the condition

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in \Delta^*).$$

We denote by $\Sigma^*(\alpha)$, the subclass of Σ consisting of all meromorphically starlike functions of order α in Δ^* and $\Sigma_n^*(\alpha) \equiv \Sigma^*(\alpha) \cap \Sigma_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ and $f \prec g$ in Δ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in \Delta$) if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in Δ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in \Delta$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in Δ , $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in \Delta$) $\Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$.

In the present paper, for $f(z) \in \Sigma_n$, we define and study a generalized operator $I[f]$

$$(1.3) \quad I[f] = F(z) = \left[\frac{c+1-\mu}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z \left(\frac{f(t)}{t} \right)^\mu t^{c+\mu} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{\mu}}, \quad (c+1-\mu > 0, z \in \Delta^*),$$

which is similar to the Alexander transform when $c = \mu = 1$ and is similar to Bernardi transformation when $\mu = 1$ and $c > 0$.



2. Main Results

For our main results we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (Goluzin [5]). *If $f \in \mathcal{A}_n \cap S^*$, then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{f(z)}{z} \right]^{\frac{n}{2}} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

This inequality is sharp with extremal function $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z^n)^{\frac{2}{n}}}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([9]). *Let u and v denote complex variables, $u = \alpha + i\rho$, $v = \sigma + i\delta$ and let $\Psi(u, v)$ be a complex valued function that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $\Psi(u, v)$ is continuous in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$;
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in \Omega$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\Psi(1, 0)) > 0$;
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re}(\Psi(i\rho, \sigma)) \leq 0$ whenever $(i\rho, \sigma) \in \Omega$, $\sigma \leq -\frac{1+\rho^2}{2}$ and ρ, σ are real.

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ is a function that is analytic in Δ , such that $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in \Omega$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\Psi(p(z), zp'(z))) > 0$ hold for all $z \in \Delta$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, when $z \in \Delta$.

Lemma 2.3 ([9, p. 34], [8]). *Let $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$*

- (i) *If $\Psi \in \Psi_n[\Omega, M, a]$, then*

$$\Psi(p(z), zp'^2p''(z); z) \in \Omega \Rightarrow |p(z)| < M.$$

- (ii) *If $\Psi \in \Psi_n[M, a]$, then*

$$|\Psi(p(z), zp'^2p''(z); z)| < M \Rightarrow |p(z)| < M.$$



Lemma 2.4 ([6]). Let $h(z)$ be an analytic and convex univalent function in Δ , with $h(0) = a$, $c \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} c \geq 0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, n]$ and

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{c} \prec h(z),$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z),$$

where

$$q(z) \prec \frac{c}{nz^{\frac{c}{n}}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{c}{n}-1} f(t) dt, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

The function q is convex and the best dominant.

Theorem 2.5. Let $c > 0$ and $0 < \mu < 1$. If $f \in \Sigma_n^*(\alpha)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $I(f) = F(z) \in \Sigma_n^*(\beta)$, where

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= \beta(\alpha, c, \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\mu} \left[2c + 2\alpha\mu + n + 2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{[4(c - \alpha\mu)]^2 + (n + 2)(n + 2 + 4c + 4\mu\alpha) - 16\alpha - 8\mu n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Here we have the conditions

$$(2.2) \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad 0 < \mu < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad c > 0,$$

which will imply that $\beta < 1$.

Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_n^*(\alpha)$. We first show that $F(z)$ defined by (1.3) will become nonzero for $z \in \Delta^*$. Again since $f \in \Sigma_n^*(\alpha)$, we have $f(z) \neq 0$, for $z \in \Delta^*$.

Let $g(z) = \frac{1}{(f(z))^\mu}$, then a simple computation shows that $g(z) \in S_n^*(\alpha\mu)$.



Title Page

Contents



Page 7 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

If we define

$$I_g = \left[\frac{g(z)}{z} \right]_{\{ \frac{1}{1-\alpha\mu} \}},$$

then $I(g) \in S_n^*$ and by Goluzin's subordination result (by Lemma 2.1), we obtain

$$\left[\frac{I_g}{z} \right]^{\frac{n}{2}} \prec \frac{1}{1+z}.$$

From the relation between I_g , g and f we get that

$$\frac{g(z)}{z} \prec (1+z)^{\frac{2}{n}(\alpha\mu-1)},$$

which implies

$$z(f(z))^\mu \prec (1+z)^{\frac{2}{n}(1-\alpha\mu)}$$

and since $0 < \alpha\mu < 1$, we have $z(f(z))^\mu \prec (1+z)^{\frac{2}{n}}$. Combining this with

$$\min_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Re}(1+z)^{\frac{2}{n}} = 0,$$

we deduce that

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{Re}[z(f(z))^\mu] > 0.$$

By differentiating (1.3), we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad (c+1)(F(z))^\mu + z \frac{d}{dz}(F(z))^\mu = (c+1-\mu)(f(z))^\mu.$$

If we let

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{P(z)}{z} = (F(z))^\mu,$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 8 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

then (2.4) becomes

$$P(z) + \frac{1}{c}zP'(z) = \frac{c+1-\mu}{c}z(f(z))^\mu.$$

Hence from (2.3) we have

$$(2.6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \Psi(P(z), zP'(z)) = \operatorname{Re} \left[P(z) + \frac{zP'(z)}{c} \right],$$

where $\Psi(r, s) = r + \frac{s}{c}$. To show that $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, condition (iii) of Lemma 2.2 must be satisfied. Since $c > 0$, (2.6) implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \Psi(i\rho, \sigma) = \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho + \frac{\sigma}{c} \right) \leq -\frac{n(1+\rho^2)}{2c} \leq 0,$$

when $\sigma \leq -\frac{n(1+\rho^2)}{2}$, for all $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence from (2.6) we deduce that $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, which implies that $F(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \Delta^*$.

We next determine β such that $F \in \Sigma_n^*(\beta)$. Let us define $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ by

$$(2.7) \quad -\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = (1-\beta)p(z) + \beta.$$

By applying (part iii) of Lemma 2.2 again with different Ψ we finish the proof of the theorem. Since $f \in \Sigma_n^*(\alpha)$, by differentiating (2.4) we easily get

$$\operatorname{Re} \Psi(p(z), zp'(z)) > 0,$$

where

$$\Psi(r, s) = (1-\beta)r + \beta + \frac{(1-\beta)\sigma}{c+1-\mu\beta-\mu(1-\beta)p(z)} - \alpha.$$



For $\beta \leq \beta(\alpha, c, \mu)$, where $\beta(\alpha, c, \mu)$ is given by (2.1), a simple calculation shows that the admissibility condition (iii) of Lemma 2.2 is satisfied. Hence by Lemma 2.2, we get $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$. Using this result in (2.7) together with $\beta < 1$ shows that $F(z) \in \Sigma_n^*(\beta)$. \square

Theorem 2.6. Let $0 < c + 1 - \mu < 1$. If, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $f \in \Sigma^*(\alpha)$, then $I(f) \in \Sigma^*(\beta)$, where

$$(2.8) \quad \beta = \beta(\alpha, \mu, c) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left[2c + 2\alpha\mu + 3 - \sqrt{[2(c - \alpha\mu)]^2 + 3(3 + 4c) - 4\mu(2 + \alpha)} \right].$$

The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.5.

In the special case when the meromorphic function given in (1.2) has a coefficient $a_0 = 0$, it is possible to obtain a stronger result than (2.8).

Theorem 2.7. Let $c > 0$, $0 < \mu < 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $f \in \Sigma_1^*(\alpha)$, then $I(f) \in \Sigma_1^*(\beta)$, where

$$(2.9) \quad \beta = \beta(\alpha, \mu, c) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left[c + \alpha\mu + 1 - \sqrt{(c - \alpha\mu)^2 + 4(c + 1 - \mu)} \right].$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.8. Let $n \geq 1$, $c + n + 1 > 0$ and $g(z) \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$. If $|((g(z))^\mu)'| \leq \lambda$ and

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{F}(z) = \left[\frac{1}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z (g(t))^\mu t^c dt \right]^{\frac{1}{\mu}},$$

then

$$|((\mathcal{F}(z))^\mu)'| \leq \frac{\lambda}{c + n + 1}.$$



Proof. From (2.10) we deduce $(c + 1)(\mathcal{F}(z))^\mu + z((\mathcal{F}(z))^\mu)' = g^\mu(z)$. If we set $z((\mathcal{F}(z))^\mu)' = P(z)$, then $P \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$ and

$$(c + 1)P(z) + zP'(z) = z(g^\mu(z))' \prec \lambda z.$$

From part(i) of Lemma 2.3, it follows that this differential subordination has the best dominant

$$P(z) \prec Q(z) = \frac{\lambda z}{c + n + 1}.$$

Hence we have

$$|((\mathcal{F}(z))^\mu)'| \leq \frac{\lambda}{c + n + 1}.$$

□

Corollary 2.9. Let $c + n + 1 > 0$ and $f \in \Sigma_n$ be given as

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + g(z),$$

where $n \geq 1$ and $g(z) \in \mathcal{H}[0, n]$. Let \mathcal{F} be defined by

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{F}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{z} + G(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \left[\frac{1}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z (g(t))^\mu t^c dt \right]^\frac{1}{\mu}.$$

Then

$$|((g(z))^\mu)'| \leq \frac{n(c + n + 1)}{\sqrt{n^2 + 1}}.$$

Proof. From Corollary 2.8 we obtain

$$|((G(z))^\mu)'| \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 + 1}},$$



since from (2.11), we have

$$|z^2 ((\mathcal{F}(z))^\mu)' + 1| = |G'(z)|.$$

Hence from [2], we conclude that $\mathcal{F} \in \Sigma_n^*$. □

Corollary 2.10. *Let n be a fixed positive integer and $c > 0$. Let q be a convex function in Δ , with $q(0) = 1$ and let h be defined by*

$$(2.12) \quad h(z) = q(z) + \frac{n+1}{c} zq'(z).$$

If $f \in \Sigma_n$ and $F(z)$ is given by (1.3), then

$$-\frac{c+1-\mu}{c} z^2 ((f(z))^\mu)' \prec h(z) \Rightarrow -z^2 ((F(z))^\mu)' \prec q(z),$$

and this result is sharp.

Proof. From the definition of $h(z)$, it is a convex function. If we obtain

$$p(z) = -z^2 (F^\mu(z))',$$

then $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n+1]$ and from (2.3), we get

$$p(z) + \frac{1}{c} zp'(z) = -\frac{c+1-\mu}{c} z^2 ((f(z))^\mu)' \prec h(z).$$

The conclusion of the corollary follows by Lemma 2.4. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $c > 0$. Let $f \in \Sigma_n$ and let $F(z)$ given by (1.3). If $\lambda > 0$, then*

$$|z^2 ((f(z))^\mu)' + 1| < \lambda \Rightarrow |z^2 ((F(z))^\mu)' + 1| < \frac{\lambda c}{c+n+1}.$$



Title Page

Contents



Page 12 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

In particular,

$$|z^2((f(z))^\mu)' + 1| < \frac{c+n+1}{c} \Rightarrow |z^2((F(z))^\mu)' + 1| < 1.$$

Hence $(F(z))^\mu$ is univalent.

Proof. If we take

$$q(z) = 1 + \frac{\lambda cz}{c+n+1},$$

then (2.12) becomes

$$h(z) = 1 + \lambda z.$$

The conclusion of the corollary follows by Corollary 2.10. □



References

- [1] H. AL-AMIRI AND P.T. MOCANU, Some simple criteria of starlikeness and convexity for meromorphic functions, *Mathematica(Cluj)*, **37**(60) (1995), 11–21.
- [2] S.K. BAJPAI, A note on a class of meromorphic univalent functions, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **22** (1977), 295–297.
- [3] P.L. DUREN, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.
- [4] G.M. GOEL AND N.S. SOHI, On a class of meromorphic functions, *Glasnik Mat. Ser. III*, **17**(37) (1981), 19–28.
- [5] G. GOLUZIN, Some estimates for coefficients of univalent functions (Russian), *Mat. Sb.*, **3**(45), **2** (1938), 321–330.
- [6] D. J. HALLENBECK AND St. RUSCHWEYH, Subordination by convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52** (1975), 191–195.
- [7] P. T. MOCANU, Starlikeness conditions for meromorphic functions, *Proc. Mem. Sect. Sci., Academia Romania*, **4**(19) (1996), 7–12.
- [8] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, Differential Subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, **28** (1981), 157–171.
- [9] S.S. MILLER AND P.T. MOCANU, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math.*, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [10] P. T. MOCANU AND Gr. St. SĂLĂGEAN, Integral operators and meromorphic starlike functions, *Mathematica(Cluj)*, **32**(55), **2** (1990), 147–152.

Integral Operator

Pravati Sahoo and Saumya Singh

vol. 10, iss. 3, art. 77, 2009

Title Page

Contents



Page 13 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

- [11] Gr. St. SĂLĂGEAN, Meromorphic starlike univalent functions, *Babeş-Bolyai Uni. Fac. Math. Res. Sem.*, **7** (1986), 261–266.
- [12] Gr. St. SĂLĂGEAN, Integral operators and meromorphic functions, *Rev. Roumine Math. Pures Appl.*, **33**(1-2) (1988), 135–140.



Integral Operator

Pravati Sahoo and Saumya Singh

vol. 10, iss. 3, art. 77, 2009

Title Page

Contents



Page 14 of 14

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

journal of **inequalities**
in pure and applied
mathematics

issn: 1443-5756