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#### Abstract

In the present paper we obtain new sufficient conditions for the univalence and convexity of an analytic function defined in the upper half-plane. In particular, in the case of hydrodynamically normalized functions, we obtain by a different method a known result concerning the convexity and univalence of an analytic function defined in a half-plane.
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## 1. Introduction

In the present paper, we continue the work in [4], by obtaining new sufficient conditions for the convexity and univalence for analytic functions defined in the upper half-plane (Theorems 2.3. 2.5 and 2.7). In particular, under the additional hypothesis (1.2) below, they become necessary and sufficient conditions for convexity and univalence in a half-plane (Corollary 2.9), obtaining thus by a different method the results in [5] and [6].

We begin by establishing the notation and with some preliminary results needed for the proofs.

[^0]We denote by $D=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ the upper half-plane in $\mathbb{C}$ and for $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ we let $T_{\varepsilon}$ be the angular domain defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\varepsilon}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{*}: \frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon<\arg (z)<\frac{\pi}{2}+\varepsilon\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that a function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is convex if $f$ is univalent in $D$ and $f(D)$ is a convex domain.

For an arbitrarily chosen positive real number $y_{0}>0$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{y_{0}}$ the class of functions $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic in the upper half-plane $D$ satisfying $f\left(i y_{0}\right)=0$ and such that $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in D$. In particular, for $y_{0}=1$ we will denote $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}$.

We will refer to the following normalization condition for analytic functions $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as the hydrodynamic normalization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D}(f(z)-z)=a i \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \geq 0$ is a non-negative real number, and we will denote by $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ the class of analytic functions $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying this condition in the particular case $a=0$.

For analytic functions satisfying the above normalization condition, J. Stankiewicz and Z. Stankiewicz obtained (see [5] and [6]) the following necessary and sufficient condition for convexity and univalence in a half-plane:

Theorem 1.1. If the function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0, \quad \text { for all } z \in D \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>0, \quad \text { for all } z \in D \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is a convex function.
In order to prove our main result we need the following results from [2]:
Lemma 1.2. If the function $f: D \rightarrow D$ is analytic in $D$, then for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ the following limits exist and we have the equalities:

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{f(z)}{z}=\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}} f^{\prime}(z)=c
$$

where $c \geq 0$ is a non-negative real number.
Moreover, for any $z \in D$ we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} f(z) \geq c \operatorname{Im} z \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if there exists $z_{0} \in D$ such that we have equality in the inequality (1.5), then there exists a real number a such that

$$
f(z)=c z+a, \quad \text { for all } z \in D
$$



Figure 2.1: The domain $D_{r s}$.

Lemma 1.3. If the function $f: D \rightarrow D$ is analytic in $D$ and hydrodynamically normalized, then for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and any natural number $n \geq 2$ we have

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}}\left(z^{n} f^{(n)}(z)\right)=0 .
$$

## 2. Main Results

Let us consider the family of domains $D_{r, s}$ in the complex plane, defined by

$$
D_{r, s}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r, \operatorname{Im} z>s\},
$$

where $r$ and $s$ are positive real numbers, $0<s<r$ (see Figure 2.1).
Let us note that for any $r>1$ and $0<s<1$ we have the inclusion $D_{r, s} \subset D$, and that for any $z \in D$ arbitrarily fixed, there exists $r_{z}>0$ and $s_{z}>0$ such that $z \in D_{r, s}$ for any $r>r_{z}$ and any $0<s<s_{z}$ (for example, we can choose $r_{z}$ and $s_{z}$ such that they satisfy the conditions $r_{z}>|z|$ and $\left.s_{z} \in(0, \operatorname{Im} z)\right)$.

We denote by $\Gamma_{r, s}=c_{r} \cup d_{s}$ the boundary of the domain $D_{r, s}$, where $c_{r}$ and $d_{s}$ are the arc of the circle, respectively the line segment, defined by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
c_{r}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=r, \quad z \geq s\} \\
d_{s}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq r, z=s\}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The curve $\Gamma_{r, s}$ has an exterior normal vector at any point, except for the points $a$ and $b$ (with $\arg a<\arg b$ ) where the line segment $d_{s}$ and the arc of the circle $c_{r}$ meet (see Figure 2.1). The exterior normal vector to the curve $f\left(c_{r}\right)$ at the point $f(z)$, with $z=r e^{i t} \in c_{r}, t \in(\arg a, \arg b)$,
has the argument

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\arg \left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the exterior normal vector to the curve $f\left(d_{s}\right)$ at the point $f(z)$, with $z=x+i s \in d_{s}$, $x \in(\operatorname{Re} b, \operatorname{Re} a)$, has the argument

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=-\frac{\pi}{2}+\arg f^{\prime}(x+i s) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.1. We say that the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is convex on the curve $\Gamma_{r, s}$ if the argument of the exterior normal vector to the curve $f\left(\Gamma_{r, s}\right)-\{f(a), f(b)\}$ is an increasing function.

Remark 2.1. In particular, the condition in the above theorem is satisfied if the functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ defined by (2.1)-(2.2) are increasing functions.

Let us note that for $z=r e^{i t} \in c_{r}$, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log \left(r e^{i t} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right)=i\left(\frac{r e^{i t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)}+1\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln \left|r e^{i t} f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right|+i \varphi^{\prime}(t)
$$

and for $z \in d_{s}$ :

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log f^{\prime}(x+i s)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x+i s)}{f^{\prime}(x+i s)}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln \left|f^{\prime}(x+i s)\right|+i \psi^{\prime}(x+i s)
$$

We obtain therefore

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{r e^{i t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i t}\right)}+1
$$

for $r e^{i t} \in c_{r}$, and

$$
\psi^{\prime}(x+i s)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x+i s)}{f^{\prime}(x+i s)}
$$

for $x+i s \in d_{s}$, and from the previous observation it follows that if the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1>0, & z \in c_{r}  \tag{2.3}\\ \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>0, & z \in d_{s}\end{cases}
$$

the function $f$ is convex on the curve $\Gamma_{r, s}$, and therefore $f\left(D_{r, s}\right)$ is a convex domain.
Since the function $f$ has in the domain $D_{r, s}$ bounded by the curve $\Gamma_{r, s}$ a simple zero, from the argument principle it follows that the total variation of the argument of the function $f$ on the curve $\Gamma_{r, s}$ is $2 \pi$, and therefore $f$ is injective on the curve $\Gamma_{r, s}$. From the principle of univalence on the boundary, it follows that the function $f$ is univalent $D_{r, s}$.

We obtained the following:
Theorem 2.2. If the function $f$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}$ and there exist real numbers $0<s<$ $1<r$ such that conditions (2.3) are satisfied, then the function $f$ is univalent in the domain $D_{r, s}$ and $f\left(D_{r, s}\right)$ is a convex domain.

More generally, we have the following:

Theorem 2.3. If the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}$ and there exist real numbers $0<s_{0}<1<r_{0}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $z \in D$ with $|z|>r_{0}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $z \in D$ with $\operatorname{Im} z<s_{0}$, then the function $f$ is convex and univalent in the half-plane $D$.
Proof. Let $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ be arbitrarily fixed distinct points in the half-plane $D$. For any $r>r^{*}=$ $\max \left\{\left|z_{1}\right|,\left|z_{2}\right|\right\}$ and any $s \in\left(0, s^{*}\right)$, where $s^{*}=\min \left\{\operatorname{Im} z_{1}, \operatorname{Im} z_{2}\right\}$, the points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ belong to the domain $D_{r, s}$.

From the hypothesis (2.4) and 2.5) and using the Remark 2.1 it follows that for any $r>r_{0}$ and $s \in\left(0, s_{0}\right)$ the function $f$ is univalent in the domain $D_{r, s}$, and that $f\left(D_{r, s}\right)$ is a convex domain.

Therefore, choosing $r>\max \left\{r_{0}, r^{*}\right\}$ and $s \in\left(0, s_{1}\right)$, where $s_{1}=\min \left\{s_{0}, s^{*}\right\}$, it follows that the points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ belong to the domain $D_{r, s}$, and since the function $f$ is univalent in the domain $D_{r, s}$, we obtain that $f\left(z_{1}\right) \neq f\left(z_{2}\right)$.

Since $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ were arbitrarily chosen in the half-plane $D$, it follows that the function $f$ is univalent in $D$, concluding the first part of the proof.

In order to show that $f(D)$ is a convex domain, we consider $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ arbitrarily fixed distinct points in $f(D)$, and let $z_{1}=f^{-1}\left(w_{1}\right)$ and $z_{2}=f^{-1}\left(w_{2}\right)$ be their preimages.

Repeating the above proof it follows that the points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ belong to the domain $D_{r, s}$ (for any $r>\max \left\{r_{0}, r^{*}\right\}$ and $s \in\left(0, s_{1}\right)$, where $s_{1}=\min \left\{s_{0}, s^{*}\right\}$, in the notation above), and therefore we obtain that $w_{1}=f\left(z_{1}\right) \in f\left(D_{r, s}\right)$ and $w_{2}=f\left(z_{2}\right) \in f\left(D_{r, s}\right)$.

Since $f\left(D_{r, s}\right)$ is a convex domain, it follows that the line segment $\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]$ is also contained in the domain $f\left(D_{r, s}\right)$, and since $f\left(D_{r, s}\right) \subset f(D)$, we obtain that $\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right] \subset f(D)$.

Since $w_{1}, w_{2} \in f(D)$ were arbitrarily chosen, it follows that $f(D)$ is a convex domain, concluding the proof.

Remark 2.4. The point $z_{0}=i$, in which the functions $f$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1}$ are normalized can be replaced by any point $z_{0}=i y_{0}$, with $y_{0}>0$. Repeating the proof of the previous theorem with this new choice for the normalization condition, we obtain the following result which generalizes the previous theorem:

Theorem 2.5. If the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}_{y_{0}}$ for some $y_{0}>0$, and there exist real numbers $0<s_{0}<y_{0}<r_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1>0, & z \in D,|z|>r_{0} \\ \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>0, & z \in D, z \in\left(0, s_{0}\right)\end{cases}
$$

then the function $f$ is univalent and convex in the half-plane $D$.
Remark 2.6. By noticing that the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is convex and univalent in $D$ if and only the function $\tilde{f}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \tilde{f}(z)=f(z)-f\left(i y_{0}\right)$ is convex and univalent in $D$, for any arbitrarily chosen point $y_{0}>0$, and replacing the function $f$ in the previous theorem by $\widetilde{f}(z)=$ $f(z)-f\left(i y_{0}\right)$, we can eliminate from the hypothesis of this theorem the condition $f\left(i y_{0}\right)=0$, obtaining the following more general result:

Theorem 2.7. If the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic in $D$, satisfies $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in D$ and there exist real numbers $0<s_{0}<r_{0}$ such that the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1>0, & z \in D,|z|>r_{0}  \tag{2.6}\\ \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>0, & z \in D, z \in\left(0, s_{0}\right)\end{cases}
$$

then the function $f$ is convex and univalent in the half-plane $D$.
Example 2.1. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the function $f_{a}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
f_{a}(z)=z^{a}, \quad z \in D
$$

where we have chosen the determination of the power function corresponding to the principal branch of the logarithm, that is:

$$
z^{a}=e^{a \log z}, \quad z \in D,
$$

where $\log z$ denotes the principal branch of the logarithm (with $\log i=i \frac{\pi}{2}$ ).
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{a}^{\prime}(i) & =a i^{a-1} \\
& =a\left(\cos \frac{(a-1) \pi}{2}+i \sin \frac{(a-1) \pi}{2}\right) \\
& \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $a \neq 0$.
For an arbitrarily chosen $z \in D$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f_{a}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)} & =(a-1) \frac{1}{z} \\
& =-\frac{(a-1) z}{|z|^{2}} \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $a<1$, and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z f_{a}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{a}^{\prime}(z)}+1 & =(a-1)+1 \\
& =a \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $a>0$.
It follows that the hypotheses of the previous theorem are satisfied for any $a \in(0,1)$, and according to this theorem it follows that the function $f_{a}(z)=z^{a}(z \in D)$ is convex and univalent in the half-plane $D$ for any $a \in(0,1)$.

It is easy to see that the function $f_{a}(z)=z^{a}, z \in D$, is convex and univalent for any $a \in(-1,0) \cup(0,1)$, and therefore the previous theorem gives only sufficient conditions for the convexity and univalence of an analytic function defined in the upper half-plane $D$.

Remark 2.8. As shown in [4], the condition

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>0, \quad z \in D
$$

is a necessary condition (but not also a sufficient one) for an analytic function in $D$ to be convex and univalent in $D$.

However, in the case of a hydrodynamically normalized function, as shown in Theorem 1.1 (see [5] and [6]), this becomes also a sufficient condition for the convexity and the univalence in the half-plane $D$. We recall that the hydrodynamic normalization used by Stankiewicz in is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D}(f(z)-z)=0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, in the case of analytic and hydrodynamically normalized functions in the upper half-plane, from Theorem 2.7 we can obtain as a consequence a new proof of the last cited result, namely a necessary and sufficient condition for the convexity and the univalence of an analytic, hydrodynamically normalized function defined in the half-plane, as follows:

Corollary 2.9. If the function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic and hydrodynamically normalized by (1.2) in the half-plane $D$, and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } z \in D \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}>0, \quad \text { for all } z \in D \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function $f$ is convex and univalent in the half-plane $D$.
Proof. Since $f$ satisfies the hydrodynamic normalization condition

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D}(f(z)-z-a i)=0,
$$

for some $a \geq 0$, it follows that for any $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ there exists $r>0$ such that for $z \in D$ with $|z|>r$ we have:

$$
|\operatorname{Im}(f(z)-z-a i)| \leq|f(z)-z-a i|<\varepsilon^{\prime},
$$

and therefore we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Im} f(z)>\operatorname{Im} z+a-\varepsilon^{\prime},
$$

for any $z \in D$ with $|z|>r$.
Choosing $y_{0}=\max \{r, \varepsilon-a\}$ and considering the auxiliary function $g: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
g(z)=f\left(z+2 i y_{0}\right)
$$

it follows that for all $z \in D$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(z) & =f\left(z+2 i y_{0}\right) \\
& >z+2 y_{0}+a-\varepsilon \\
& >y_{0} \\
& >0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $g: D \rightarrow D$.
Since the function $f$ is hydrodynamically normalized, the function $g$ is also hydrodynamically normalized, and from Lemma 1.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}} f^{\prime}\left(z+2 i y_{0}\right) & =\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}} g^{\prime}(z) \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{g(z)}{z} \\
& =1,
\end{aligned}
$$

since from the hydrodynamic normalization condition we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D} \frac{g(z)}{z}-1 & =\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D} \frac{g(z)-z}{z} \\
& =\frac{\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D} g(z)-z}{\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D} z} \\
& =\frac{a i}{\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D} z} \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore we obtain $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{g(z)}{z}=1$, for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.
From Lemma 1.3, applied to the function $g$ in the particular case $n=2$, we obtain:

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}}\left[z^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]=0
$$

for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, and therefore we obtain

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}}\left[z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]=\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}}\left[\left(z-2 i y_{0}\right)^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\left(z-2 i y_{0}\right) \frac{z^{2}}{\left(z-2 i y_{0}\right)^{2}}\right]=0 .
$$

Since $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in D} f^{\prime}(z)=1$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=0
$$

for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

It follows that for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ arbitrarily fixed, there exists $r_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1>0
$$

for any $z \in T_{\varepsilon}$ with $|z|>r_{0}$.
Following the proof Theorem 2.7 it can be seen that this inequality together with the hypotheses (2.8) and (2.9) suffices for the proof, and therefore the function $f$ is convex and univalent in the half-plane $D$, concluding the proof.
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