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The conditions

$$
\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \leq \int_{x}^{b}(t-a) d t \quad\left(\text { resp. } \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \geq \int_{x}^{b}(t-a) d t\right), \quad \forall x \in[a, b]
$$

given in Lemma 1.1, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 [1] are not sufficient to prove the following results

$$
f(x) \leq x-a \quad(\text { resp. } f(x) \geq x-a)
$$

And clearly, the mistake appears in line 5 of the proof of Lemma 1.1 [1].
It is easy to give counter examples for the above lemma. If we choose, $f(x)=$ $\frac{1}{3}, a=0$ and $b=1$, then the first part of the assumptions of Lemma 1.1 gives $x \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and also, since $f(x) \leq x-a$, we have $\frac{1}{3} \leq x$. This is a contradiction.

In fact, the following conditions $f^{\prime}(x) \geq 1$ (resp. $\left.f^{\prime}(x) \geq 1\right), \forall x \in(a, b)$ should be added in the first (resp. second) part of the assumptions of Lemma 1.1, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3. Therefore, Lemma 1.1 becomes:

Lemma 1. Let $f(x)$ be a nonnegative function, continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$.
If $\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \leq \int_{x}^{b}(t-a) d t, \forall x \in[a, b]$, and $f^{\prime}(x) \geq 1, \forall x \in(a, b)$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \leq x-a \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \geq \int_{x}^{b}(t-a) d t, \forall x \in[a, b]$, and $f^{\prime}(x) \leq 1, \forall x \in(a, b)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \geq x-a \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In order to prove (1), set

$$
G(x)=\left(\int_{x}^{b}[f(t)-(t-a)] d t\right)(x-a-f(x)), \quad \forall x \in[a, b]
$$
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we have

$$
G^{\prime}(x)=(x-a-f(x))^{2}+\left(\int_{x}^{b}[f(t)-(t-a)] d t\right)\left(1-f^{\prime}(x)\right) .
$$

If $\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \leq \int_{x}^{b}(t-a) d t$, and $f^{\prime}(x) \geq 1$, then $G^{\prime}(x) \geq 0, G(x)$ increases and $G(x) \leq 0$, since $G(b)=0$, that is, $x-a-f(x) \geq 0$, so that $f(x) \leq x-a$. Similarly, if $\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \geq \int_{x}^{b}(t-a) d t$ and $f^{\prime}(x) \leq 1$, we obtain $G^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$, and $G(x) \leq 0$, that is, $f(x) \geq x-a$.
Remark 1. In the second part of Example 2.1, the function $f(t)=t-\frac{\pi}{2}+\cos t$ should be replaced by $f(t)=t-\frac{\pi}{2}-\sin t$.
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